Florida Morbidity Statistics 2007



Florida Department of Health Division of Disease Control Bureau of Epidemiology 4052 Bald Cypress Way, Bin # A-12 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1720 850-245-4401

http://www.doh.state.fl.us/

Florida Morbidity Statistics Report 2007: http://www.doh.state.fl.us/disease_ctrl/epi/Morbidity _Report/amr_2007.pdf

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments	V
Introduction	ix
Purpose	
Report Format	
Data Sources	
Interpreting the Data	x
Florida County Boundaries	
Population Estimates	xiii
Table A: Florida Population by Year and County, 1998-2007	xiv
Table B: Florida Population by Age Group, 2007	xv
Table C: Florida Population by Age Gender, 2007	xv
Table D: Florida Population by Race (white and non-white), 2007	xv
List of Reportable Diseases/Conditions in Florida, 2007	xvi
Selected Florida Department of Health Contacts	. xvii
Public Health Disease Surveillance Developments in the Bureau of Epidemiology	xviii
Section 1: Summary of Selected Notifiable Diseases	23
Table 1.1: Reported Confirmed and Probable Cases and Incidence Rate per 100,000 Population	
for Selected Notifiable Diseases, Florida, 1998-2007	25
Table 1.2: Reported Confirmed and Probable Cases of Notifiable Diseases of Infrequent	
Occurrence, Florida, 1998-2007	26
Table 1.3: Reported Confirmed and Probable Cases and Incidence Rate per 100,000 Population	
for Selected Notifiable Diseases by County of Residence, Florida, 2007	28
Table 1.4: Reported Confirmed and Probable Cases and Incidence Rate per 100,000 Population	
for Selected Notifiable Diseases by Age Group, Florida, 2007	38
Table 1.5: Top 10 Reported Confirmed and Probable Cases of Disease by Age Group, Florida, 2007	39
Table 1.6: Reported Confirmed and Probable Cases and Incidence Rate per 100,000 Population	
for Selected Notifiable Diseases by Gender, Florida, 2007	40
Table 1.7: Reported Confirmed and Probable Cases of Selected Notifiable Diseases by	
Month of Onset, Florida, 2007	41
Section 2: Selected Notifiable Diseases and Conditions	43
Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome/Human Immunodeficiency Virus	44
Brucellosis	55
Campylobacteriosis	57
Chlamydia	60
Ciguatera Fish Poisoning	63
Cryptosporidiosis	65
Cyclosporiasis	68
Dengue Fever	71
Ehrlichiosis/Anaplasmosis	74
Escherichia coli (O157:H7)	77
Giardiasis	
Gonorrhea	83
Haemophilus influenzae, Invasive Disease	
Hepatitis A	
Hepatitis B (+HBsAg in Pregnant Women)	93

Hepatitis B, Acute	98
Hepatitis C, Acute	100
Lead Poisoning	104
Legionellosis	105
Listeriosis	109
Lyme Disease	112
Measles	116
Meningitis (Other Bacterial, Cryptococcal, Mycotic)	117
Meningococcal Disease	120
Mumps	123
Neonatal Infections	125
Pertussis	126
Pesticide-Related Illness or Injury	130
Psittacosis	133
Q Fever	134
Rabies, Animal	135
Rabies, Possible Exposure	137
Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever	141
Salmonellosis	144
Shigellosis	147
Streptococcal Disease, Invasive Group A	150
Streptococcus pneumoniae, Invasive Disease, Drug-Resistant	154
Streptococcus pneumoniae, Invasive Disease, Drug-Susceptible	158
Syphilis	161
Tetanus	171
Tuberculosis	163
Toxoplasmosis	172
Typhoid Fever	175
Typhus Fever	176
Varicella	177
Vibrio Infections	180
West Nile Virus	183
Section 3: Summary of Foodborne Diseases	187
2006 and 2007 Summary	
Costion 4. Common of Notable Outhweeks and Cose Investigations 2007	405
Section 4: Summary of Notable Outbreaks and Case Investigations, 2007	195
Section 5: Recently Published Papers and Reports, 2007	219
Section 6: Summary of Cancer Data, 2004	227
Cochon C. Cummary of Cancer Data, 2004	221
Section 7: Summary of Revisions to Florida's Notifiable Disease Reporting Rule (Chapter 64D-3, F.A.C.)	239

Acknowledgments

The theme of this year's Florida Morbidity Statistics Report is the development and integration of technology in the Bureau of Epidemiology. The systems that are discussed in the introductory section support disease control efforts by allowing quick access to data and data analysis tools. These efforts would not be possible without collaboration with information technology (IT) professionals within the Florida Department of Health as well as IT professionals within external health systems.

Collaboration is one of the reasons that the Bureau of Epidemiology is so proud of this publication which is produced through the combined efforts of many within as well as outside the Florida Department of Health. One of our most important partnerships outside of the Florida Department of Health is with the physicians, nurses, laboratorians, hospital infection control practitioners and other health care professionals that participate in notifiable disease surveillance. Without their participation, our knowledge of infectious disease epidemiology within the state would be much more limited. Additionally, the Bureau of Epidemiology would like to thank the other program areas within the Florida Department of Health that contributed information to this report including the Bureau of Immunization, Bureau of HIV/AIDS, Bureau of Sexually Transmitted Diseases Prevention and Control, Bureau of Tuberculosis Control and Refugee Health, and the Bureau of Environmental Public Health Medicine. Finally, many thanks are extended to the County Health Department staff and other public health professionals that are involved in notifiable disease surveillance, either through disease control activities, case investigations, data collection, or other essential functions. This year we were pleased to have a record number of County Health Department employees submit summaries to be included in Section 4: Summary of Notable Outbreaks and Case Investigations, 2007.

Tracking these diseases is a cooperative effort which requires all areas of public health to interact and work in a collaborative and efficient way. The goal of this effort is to identify cases where public health action can be taken to prevent and control disease. These actions and responses help to achieve the Florida Department of Health mission to promote, protect and improve the health of all people in Florida.

We hope readers will find this document useful when setting priorities and directions for action at the individual and community levels to improve the health of all Floridians.

Sincerely,

Julia Juli

Julia Gill, PhD, MPH

Chief, Bureau of Epidemiology

Florida Morbidity Statistics Report Staff

Editors

Kate Goodin, M.P.H. Bureau of Epidemiology Aaron Kite-Powell, M.S. Bureau of Epidemiology Bureau of Epidemiology Janet J. Hamilton, M.P.H. Bureau of Epidemiology Richard Hopkins, M.D., M.S.P.H.

Contributing Authors

JoEllen Alvarez, R.N., M.P.H. Palm Beach County Health Department Hillsborough County Health Department **Duval County Health Department** Taj Azarian, M.P.H.

Bureau of Environmental Public Health Medicine Bureau of Environmental Public Health Medicine Carina Blackmore, D.V.M., Ph.D. Bureau of Environmental Public Health Medicine

Gayle Bush, R.N. Volusia County Health Department

Bureau of HIV/AIDS

Collier County Health Department Sarasota County Health Department Bureau of Sexually Transmitted Diseases

Prevention and Control

Virginia Crandall, R.N., B.S.N., M.P.H. Citrus County Health Department Seminole County Health Department

Bureau of Epidemiology

Pinellas County Health Department

Bureau of Epidemiology

Orange County Health Department Hillsborough County Health Department

Bureau of Environmental Public Health Medicine

Kim Geib, M.S.N., A.R.N.P. Nassau County Health Department Polk County Health Department

Bureau of Epidemiology

Bureau of Environmental Public Health Medicine

Bureau of Epidemiology

Collier County Health Department

Bureau of Environmental Public Health Medicine Richard Hutchinson

> **Brevard County Health Department** Palm Beach County Health Department

Bureau of Epidemiology

Martin County Health Department

Bureau of Epidemiology Bureau of Epidemiology Bureau of Epidemiology

Nassau County Health Department

Bureau of Environmental Public Health Medicine Bureau of Tuberculosis and Refugee Health Miami-Dade County Health Department Sarasota County Health Department

Bureau of HIV/AIDS

Volusia County Health Department

David Atrubin, M.P.H.

Rosanna Barrett, M.P.H.

Dean Bodager, R.S., M.P.A., D.A.A.S

Tracinda Bush

Pedro Castellon, M.P.H.

Carrie Cerini

Adrian Cooksey, M.P.H.

Gregory Danyluk, Ph.D, M.P.H.

John DePasquale, M.D., M.P.H.

Andrea Dopico, M.P.H. Timothy Doyle, M.P.H. Leah Eisenstein, M.P.H. Kimberly Fraser, M.P.H. Mike Friedman, M.P.H.

Cynthia Goldstein-Hart, M.P.H., R.E.H.S.

Kate Goodin, M.P.H.

Roberta Hammond, Ph.D., R.S.

Janet J Hamilton, M.P.H. Terri Harder, B.S.N., R.N.

Barry Inman, B.A./B.S., C.I.C. Diane King, R.N., M.S.P.H. Aaron Kite-Powell, M.S. Kim Kossler, M.P.H.

Richard Hopkins, M.D., M.S.P.H.

Tara Hylton, M.P.H. Robyn Kay, M.P.H. Becky Lazensky, M.P.H. Ryan M. Lowe, M.P.H.

Yvonne Luster-Harvey, M.P.H.

Erin O'Connell, M.P.H. Scott Pritchard, M.P.H. Lorene Maddox, M.P.H.

Kateesha McConnell, M.P.H.

Catheryn Mellinger, R.N., B.C. Pedro Noya-Chaveco, M.P.H.

Andre Ourso, M.P.H. Aimee Pragle, M.S.

Patricia Ragan, Ph.D., M.P.H.

Sergio Rivas

Samantha Rivers, M.S., M.P.H. Andrew Reich, M.S., M.S.P.H. Roger Sanderson, M.A., B.S.N.

Rebecca Shultz, M.P.H. Danielle Stanek, D.V.M.

Juan Suarez
Robin Terzagian
Stephanie Thouvenel-Romans, Ph.D.
Sharleen Traynor, M.P.H.
Kathleen Van Zile, R.S., M.P.H.
Ruth Voss, R.N., M.P.H.
Janet Wamnes, M.S.

Michael Wydotis

Emily Wilson, R.S., M.P.H.

Bureau of Immunization

Miami-Dade County Health Department Volusia County Health Department

Bureau of Environmental Public Health Medicine

Bureau of Epidemiology

Miami-Dade County Health Department Escambia County Health Department

Bureau of Environmental Public Health Medicine

Bureau of Epidemiology

Bureau of Environmental Public Health Medicine Bureau of Environmental Public Health Medicine Bureau of Environmental Public Health Medicine Bureau of Environmental Public Health Medicine

Escambia County Health Department Charlotte County Health Department

Bureau of Environmental Public Health Medicine

Duval County Health Department

Bureau of Environmental Public Health Medicine

Alachua County Health Department Pasco County Health Department

Introduction

Purpose

The Florida morbidity report is compiled to:

- summarize annual morbidity from notifiable acute communicable and environmental diseases, and cancer in Florida;
- 2. describe patterns of disease as an aid in directing future disease prevention and control efforts; and.
- 3. provide a resource to medical and public health authorities at county, state and national levels.

Report Format

This report is divided into 7 sections:

Section 1: Summary of Selected Notifiable Diseases and Conditions

Section 2: Selected Notifiable Diseases and Conditions

Section 3: Summary of Foodborne Disease

Section 4: Summary of Notable Outbreaks and Case Investigations

Section 5: Abstracts of Recently Published Papers and Reports

Section 6: Summary of Cancer Data

Section 7: Summary of Revisions to Florida's Notifiable Disease Reporting Statute (Chapter 64D-3 *F.A.C.*).

Data Sources

Data presented in this report are based on reportable disease information received by county and state health department staff from physicians, hospitals, and laboratories throughout the state. Data on occurrence of reportable diseases in Florida were obtained through passive and sometimes active surveillance. Reporting suspect and confirmed notifiable diseases or conditions in the State of Florida is mandated under Florida Statute 381.0031, Chapter 64D-3, *Florida Administrative Code* (*F.A.C.*). Persons in charge of laboratories, practitioners, hospitals, medical facilities, schools, nursing homes, state institutions, or other locations providing health services are required to report diseases or conditions and the associated laboratory test results listed in the Table of Notifiable Diseases or Conditions, Chapter 64D-3 *F.A.C.* Reporting test results by a laboratory does not nullify the practitioner's obligation to also report the disease or condition. These data are the basis for providing useful information on reportable diseases and conditions in Florida to health care workers and policymakers, and would not be possible without the cooperation of the extensive network involving both private and public sector participants.

- 1. Passive surveillance relies on physicians, laboratories, and other health-care providers to report diseases to the Florida Department of Health using a confidential morbidity report form, electronically, by telephone, or by facsimile.
- 2. Active surveillance entails Florida Department of Health staff regularly contacting hospitals, laboratories and physicians in an effort to identify all cases of a given disease.
- 3. Increasingly, information about cases of reportable diseases is passed from providers, especially laboratories, to the Florida DOH as electronic records, which occurs automatically.

Interpreting the Data

This report should be interpreted in light of the following limitations:

1. <u>Underreporting</u>

Evaluations of infectious disease reporting systems have, in general, indicated that the completeness of reporting varies by disease: the less common, more severe reportable diseases such as bacterial meningitis, diphtheria, polio, botulism, anthrax, tuberculosis, and congenital syphilis are more completely reported than the more common but (individually) less severe diseases such as hepatitis A or campylobacteriosis. Variation in reported disease incidence at the local level probably reflects to varying degrees both differences in the true incidence of disease and differences in the vigor with which surveillance is performed.

2. Reliability of Rates

All incidence rates in this report are expressed as the number of reported cases of a disease per 100,000 population unless otherwise specified. Animal rabies is only reported as the number of cases, because no reliable denominators exist for animal populations. Rates for diseases with only a few cases reported per year can be unstable, and should be interpreted with caution. The observation of zero events is especially hazardous. To account for these instabilities, all rates in the report based on fewer than 19 events are considered unreliable. This translates into a relative standard error of the rate of 23% or more, which is the cut-off for rate reliability used by the National Center for Health Statistics.

3. Reporting Period

The data in this report are aggregated by the date the case was reported to the Bureau of Epidemiology for each of the years presented, beginning January 1 and ending December 31. Frequency counts included only cases reported during this time. In some cases diseases reported in 2007 may have onset dates in 2006. For some cases, date of onset or diagnosis may be in a different year than date of report.

4. Case Definition

Cases are classified as confirmed, probable, or suspected at the local level, using a published set of surveillance case definitions (Surveillance Case Definitions for Select Reportable Diseases in Florida). For cases of selected diseases, these classifications are reviewed at the state level. In this report confirmed and probable cases have been included for all diseases, but no suspected cases have been included.

5. Place of Acquisition of Disease or Condition

The distribution of cases among Florida counties was determined by the patient's reported county of residence. Cases were allocated to their county of residence regardless of where they became ill or were hospitalized, diagnosed, or exposed. Cases in people whose official residence was outside the state of Florida, but who became ill or were hospitalized or diagnosed in Florida, were not included. These cases were referred through an interstate reciprocal notification system to the state where the patient resided.

6. Population Estimates

All population estimates are from the Community Health Assessment Resource Tool Set (CHARTS). The CHARTS system receives its estimates from the Florida Legislature's Office of Economic and Demographic Research (EDR). Estimates are updated once per year in the CHARTS system. Note that previous editions of this report may show somewhat different populations for a given year than the ones shown here, as these estimates are revised periodically.

7. Incomplete Case Information

Certain analyses may not include all reportable cases of a specific disease due to incomplete case information. For graphs denoting month of onset, it is important to note that only those cases of disease for which an onset date could be determined are included.

Palm Beach St. tucia) Miami-Dade Martin Broward odan River Brevard Okeschopes TODIES. Montoe geminole/ Osceola Hendry Glades Orange Carter. 8 Plier lar jouns Highlands Pova C. Charlotte 100 SEC. 150 Pok Hardee De5 oto 407 Suntan Caral å Marion armiter. - Netropolite Alachua S. Barre Pasco levy <u> G</u>lohrist $\omega_{JX,jQ}$ Madlson Taylor 4084848A Wakula Gadsden, Pranklin лрецу. trotte's Gulf à Molmes, Walton OKRIDOSE Santa Roea

Florida County Boundaries

Table A. Florida Population by Year and County, 1998-2007. (Source - Florida CHARTS; accessed June 2008)

2006 2007	9	244,646 246,637 25,216 25,765	192	.885	460		14,192 14,550	731	88		945		7		15,715 15,882	883,875 902,361									_	970	`	999	1,197	19,525 19,476	546
2005	497 18,	23 980	162,499			,603	011	153,788	133,472	171,118	320,859	61,744	4	32,391	15,482	965,965			10,909	47,883	16,303	10,743	16,543	14,319	27,277	38,610	152,049	93,807	1,137,583 1,	19,189	130.849
2004	17,613,368	23/ 2/4		27,865	524,046	1,730,580	13,636	158,006	129,822	164,868	909,369	60,821	2,388,138	34,220	15,054	843,772	308,068	71,004	10,682	46,965	16,016	10,763	16,235	14,346		37,800	146,118	92,456	1,114,774	19,027	127.831
2003	17,164,199	232,110	155,414	27,084	510,622	1,706,363	13,491	152,865	126,475	157,325	295,848	59,218	2,354,404	33,912	14,768	829,937	304,165	62,511	10,530	46,600	15,637	10,759	15,691	14,039	27,434	36,739		90,770	1,085,318	18,983	121,887
2002	16,772,201	223,524	152,818	26,649	497,429	1,673,972	13,286	149,486	123,704	151,746	281,148	58,537	2,320,465	32,959	14,530	813,817	300,421	58,004	10,250	46,073	15,140	10,675	15,290	13,952	27,474	36,174	137,613	89,343	1,062,140	18,746	118,884
2001	16,412,296	724,337 72,541	150,748	26,136	487,131	1,654,923	13,101	145,481	121,078	144,161	267,632	57,354	2,292,316	32,741	14,154	797,566	297,321	53,881	9,974	45,419	14,759	10,624	15,101	13,792	27,021	36,256	133,497	88,373		18,713	116,291
2000	16,074,896	27 388		-	478,541	1,631,445	13,038	142,357	118,689	141,331	254,571	56,683	2,262,902	32,404	13,883	782,691	294,911	50,620	9,871	45,070	14,533	10,595	14,785	13,457	26,952	-	131,298	929'28	1,005,808	18,620	113,755
1999	15,679,606	215,346 21.498	147,075	25,767	469,515	1,590,361	12,863	139,032	116,208	137,357	242,408	55,446	2,219,329	31,436	13,559	767,860	292,937	47,559	9,710	45,312	13,980	10,407	13,559	12,831	26,543	35,608	128,733	85,892	978,079	18,371	110,142
1998	15,309,968	200,130	144,693	25,496	461,493	1,551,039	12,611	135,610	113,914	133,044	229,929	54,314	2,179,945	30,389	13,152	758,691	288,240	44,897	699'6	45,011	13,554	10,090	13,204	12,472	26,215	34,533	126,176	84,012	950,947	18,011	107,231
1997	15,011,697	205,414	141,889	25,368	454,738	1,515,711	12,538	133,308	111,629	128,654	217,914	53,088	2,152,720	29,333	12,946	746,515	285,819	42,474	9,626	44,582	12,937	2986	13,201	12,187	25,601	33,687	123,377	82,484	934,544	17,934	105,148
County	State Total	Alacnua Baker	Day S	Bradford	Brevard	Broward	Calhoun	Charlotte	Citrus	Clay	Collier	Columbia	Dade	Desoto	Dixie	Duval	Escambia	Flagler	Franklin	Gadsden	Gilchrist	Glades	Gulf	Hamilton	Hardee	Hendry	Hernando	Highlands	Hillsborough	Holmes	Indian River

2007	,528	,242	/807	621,401	,460	,223	,791	886	,646	928	340	,203	904	. 536	1,137	,158	595	,341	/82	,437	.133	914	175,384	,213	456	785	,131	1,750	780	,616	.816	,464	,548	418
	14	00														Ψ.		_										8						
2006	14,390	8,092	279,583	594,219	272,573	39,277	7,784	19,846	309,952	317,755	142,859	80,055	68,662	193,668	38,821	1,087,172	259,521	1,290,600	427,594	947,122	270,067	74,549	167,553	263,319	142,004	381,828	422,288	84,687	800'EE	21,696	15,160	505,317	28,727	56,199
2005	14,265	8,064	265,716	555,874	272,749	38,136	7,623	19,738	306,557	307,646	141,871	82,628	66,019	189,766	37,752	1,050,939	237,659	1,272,335	410,758	948,925	545,064	73,897	159,168	243,061	137,245	370,123	413,937	75,660	38,319	21,395	15,135	497,224	27,193	54.218
2004	14,110	7,559	254,246	526,157	265,258	37,691	7,372	19,564	297,037	295,550	138,329	81,336	65,478	186,744	38,153	1,021,215	228,755	1,249,598	392,507	944,966	531,472	73,435	151,114	228,480	134,761	360,214	405,565	67,221	37,863	20,977	14,752	486,874	25,692	51,167
2003	13,618	7,394	242,919	499,387	256,921	36,856	7,248	19,183	288,888	284,232	135,280	80,473	63,523	182,020	37,377	989,962	213,723	1,218,508	378,085	941,435	514,247	72,114	141,216	213,614	129,842	350,664	396,934	63,522	37,479	20,794	13,793	473,185	25,141	47 472
2002	13,329	7,245	233,622	481,014	249,744	36,197	7,165	18,974	279,366	273,602	132,009	81,030	61,643	178,036	36,715	962,531	197,901	1,190,653	364,900	935,274	504,381	71,481	135,467	205,396	125,947	341,784	389,549	61,979	35,815	19,878	13,786	462,377	24,340	
2001	13,107	2,076	222,988	459,278	245,070	35,325	7,145	18,878	272,342	265,629	129,415	80,850	59,452	174,228	36,211	936,749	182,202	1,160,977	354,196	930,602	498,011	70,929	129,880	199,390	122,252	335,428	380,763	58,083	35,744		13,660	453,840	23,936	43.270
2000	12,874	7,061	212,823	444,151	240,631	34,626	7,045	18,775	265,701	260,407	127,430	79,721	58,037	171,264	35,998	000'906	174,107	1,137,532	346,882	923,308	487,183	70,532	124,613	194,062		328,135		54,203	35,091		13,473	445,676	23,150	40 990
1999	13,307	6,703	204,152	430,644	236,658	33,759	296'9	18,596	259,039	253,235	124,952	79,875	56,022	167,880	35,452	864,197	166,024	1,107,053	337,348	917,331	475,268	70,029	118,249	189,330	115,333	319,980	357,714	50,539	34,226	19,264	13,335	436,218	21,917	39,387
1998	13,237	6,653	196,543	417,030	232,476	32,845	6,772	18,370	252,397	244,918	122,482	79,738	53,727	164,531	34,932	835,119	154,021	1,077,422	329,192	909,434	464,207	69,527	113,097	185,250	111,025	314,418	347,636	47,684	33,423	19,102	13,071	427,865	20,787	37 275
1997	13,063	6,649	190,097	405,640	227,612	31,713	908'9	18,182	246,838	238,739	119,230	79,850	52,308	161,597	34,562	816,075	148,603	1,051,581	322,705	901,901	455,930	69,091	109,055	181,316	106,800	309,333	340,527	44,533	32,591	18,887	12,716	420,574	19,417	35.830
County	Jefferson	Lafayette	Lake	Lee	Leon	Levy	Liberty	Madison	Manatee	Marion	Martin	Monroe	Nassau	Okaloosa	Okeechobee	Orange	Osceola	Palm Beach	Pasco	Pinellas	Polk	Putnam	Saint Johns	Saint Lucie	Santa Rosa	Sarasota	Seminole	Sumter	Suwannee	Taylor	Union	Volusia	Wakulla	Walton

Table B. Florida Population by Age Group, 2007

Age Group	oup, 2007
in Years	Population
< 1	222,955
1-4	891,819
5-9	1,146,029
10-14	1,179,377
15-19	1,214,911
20-24	1,206,669
25-34	2,275,868
35-44	2,548,694
45-54	2,651,032
55-64	2,193,057
65-74	1,582,395
75-84	1,184,051
85+	465,157
_Total	18,762,014

Table C. Florida Population by Gender, 2007

Gender	Population
Male	9,184,752
Female	9,577,262
	18.762.014

Table D. Florida Population by Race, Aggregated to White and Non-White, 2007

Race	Population
White	15,139,870
Non-White	3,622,144
Total	18,762,014

List of Reportable Diseases/Conditions in Florida, 2007

Section 381.0031 (1,2), Florida Statutes, provides that "Any practitioner, licensed in Florida to practice medicine, osteopathic medicine, chiropractic, naturopathy, or veterinary medicine, who diagnoses or suspects the existence of a disease of public health significance shall immediately report the fact to the Department of Health." County health departments serve as the Department's representative in this reporting requirement. Furthermore, this Section provides that "Periodically the Department shall issue a list of diseases determined by it to be of public health significance...and shall furnish a copy of said list to the practitioners...". This list reflects updates made in November, 2006.

Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS)

Anthrax Botulism Brucellosis

California Serogroup Virus (neuroinvasive and non-

neuroinvasive) Campylobacteriosis

Cancer (except non melanoma skin cancer, and including

benign and borderline intracranial and CNS tumors)

Chancroid Chlamydia Cholera

Ciguatera Fish Poisoning (Ciguatera)

Clostridium perfringens, epsilon toxin (disease due to)

Congenital Anomalies

Conjunctivitis (in neonates ≤ 14 days old) Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease (CJD)

Cryptosporidiosis Cyclosporiasis Dengue Diphtheria

Eastern Equine Encephalitis Virus Disease (neuroinvasive and

non-neuroinvasive)

Ehrlichiosis [human granulocytic (HGE), human monocytic

(HME), human other or unspecified agent]

Encephalitis. Other (non-arboviral)

Enteric diseases due to:

Escherichia coli, O157:H7

Escherichia coli, Other (known serotypes)

Giardiasis (acute) Glanders Gonorrhea

Granuloma inquinale

Haemophilus influenzae (meningitis and invasive disease)

Hansen's Disease (Leprosy) Hantavirus Infection Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome

Hepatitis A

Hepatitis B, C, D, E, and G

Hepatitis B Surface Antigen (HBsAg) Positive in a Pregnant

Woman or a Child ≤ 24 Months of Age

Herpes Simplex Virus (HSV) [in Infants to 6 months of age;

anogenital in children ≤ 12 yrs] Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV)

Human Papillomavirus (HPV) [in children ≤ 6 years; anogenital

in children ≤ 12 yrs, cancer associated strains] Influenza Due to Novel or Pandemic Strains

Influenza-associated Pediatric Mortality (in persons aged < 18

yrs)

Lead Poisoning Legionellosis Leptospirosis Listeriosis Lyme Disease

Lymphogranuloma venereum (LGV)

Malaria

Measles (Rubeola)

Melioidosis

Meningitis (bacterial, cryptococcal, mycotic) Meningococcal Disease (includes meningitis and

meningococcemia) Mercury Poisoning

Mumps

Neurotoxic Shellfish Poisoning

Pertussis

Pesticide-Related Illness and Injury

Plague Poliomyelitis

Psittacosis (Ornithosis)

Q Fever

Rabies (human, animal) Rabies (possible exposure)

Ricin toxicity

Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever Rubella (including congenital)

St. Louis Encephalitis (SLE) Virus Disease (neuroinvasive and

non-neuroinvasive) Salmonellosis

Saxitoxin Poisoning (including paralytic shellfish poisoning) Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-associated *Coronavirus*

(SARS-CoV) Disease

Shigellosis Smallpox

Staphylococcus aureus (with intermediate or full resistance to

vancomycin, VISA, VRSA)
Staphylococcus Enterotoxin B

Streptococcal Disease (invasive, Group A) Streptococcus pneumoniae (invasive disease)

Syphilis Tetanus

Toxoplasmosis (acute)

Trichinosis Tuberculosis Tularemia Typhoid Fever

Typhus Fever (epidemic and endemic)

Vaccinia Disease

Varicella

Varicella Mortality

Venezuelan Equine Encephalitis Virus Disease (neuroinvasive

and non-neuroinvasive)

Vibriosis (Vibrio infections)

Viral Hemorrhagic Fevers (Ebola, Marburg, Lassa, Machupo) West Nile Virus Disease (neuroinvasive and non-neuroinvasive) Western Equine Encephalitis Virus Disease (neuroinvasive and

non-neuroinvasive) Yellow Fever

Any disease outbreak
Any grouping or clustering

Selected Florida Department of Health Contacts

Division of Disease Control

Bureau of Epidemiology (850) 245-4401 (accessible 24/7)

Bureau of Immunization (850) 245-4342

Bureau of HIV/AIDS (850) 245-4334

Bureau of Sexually Transmitted Diseases

Control and Prevention (850) 245-4303

Bureau of Tuberculosis and Refugee Health (850) 245-4350

Division of Environmental Health

Bureau of Environmental Public Health Medicine (850) 245-4277

Public Health Disease Surveillance Developments in the Bureau of Epidemiology

Public health surveillance is defined as "the ongoing systematic collection, analysis, interpretation, and dissemination of data regarding health-related events for use in public health action to reduce morbidity and mortality and to improve health" (1). Public health surveillance systems provide the fundamental data and tools that the epidemiologist uses as the scientific basis for making informed decisions. The purpose of this summary is to briefly describe recent public health surveillance system developments in the Bureau of Epidemiology.

Florida Statute 381.0031 gives the Department of Health the responsibility to control communicable diseases and conditions which may significantly affect public health. One way this goal is achieved is by monitoring those diseases and conditions that have been identified as having a significant impact on the health of the State, through a high incidence or severe disease outcomes. Four key items are assessed when determining if a disease or condition is of public health significance and needs to be placed on the list of notifiable diseases for public health surveillance: 1) there will be an urgent public health response to every individual case; 2) there will be an urgent public health response to clusters or outbreaks of the disease; 3) there is information that needs to be collected about occurrence and exposures to design prevention programs; 4) or there is information that needs to be collected to assess effectiveness of control programs. Careful evaluation is important as making a disease or condition notifiable is not the only manner in which to conduct surveillance.

If a disease or condition is deemed appropriate to be placed under public health surveillance as a reportable disease, it is included in the *Diseases or Conditions to Be Reported* section of *Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.)* Chapter, 64D-3.029. Health care professionals who operate within the State of Florida, and certain licensed health care facilities like hospitals and laboratories, are responsible for complying with the Rule and must report cases, or suspected cases, of those diseases under public health surveillance to the Florida Department of Health (FDOH).

The majority of notifiable disease reports come from hospital infection control practitioners and clinical laboratories. The methods of reporting and reporting time frames are slightly different for practitioners and laboratories; also, there is a slight variation in the diseases or conditions that are reportable for each group (a complete explanation of the reporting requirements can be viewed in the *F.A.C.* 64D-3.028, through 64D-3.033).

In addition to the two main sources, notifiable disease case reports are also received from many other sources including physicians, blood banks, school nurses, hospices, assisted living facilities, nursing homes, charitable groups, daycare center operators, cruise operators, and private citizens, etc. These groups also partner with the FDOH to conduct other important public health surveillance activities for non-notifiable disease surveillance such as the Florida Sentinel Physician Influenza Surveillance Network. This program plays a vital role in monitoring influenza surveillance activity each season and is designed to detect the start, peak, and wane of the influenza season. (For more information about influenza surveillance please visit http://www.doh.state.fl.us/disease_ctrl/epi/htopics/flu/index.htm)

Integration of Technology for Notifiable Disease Surveillance

Prior to January, 2001 paper copies of morbidity reports were received by the Bureau of Epidemiology from each of the County Health Department (CHD) offices on a weekly basis. Staff at the Bureau of Epidemiology then entered the case data as well as any laboratory testing information into an electronic database that was managed using Epilnfo software. The paper-based notifiable surveillance system was inadequate for meeting the needs of modern surveillance activities particularly in a state with over 18 million residents. The process was slow, time consuming, and error prone. It led to errors with data entry and required state staff to contact the CHD office with questions about their submissions. Eliminating duplicate reporting of cases was difficult.

Beginning in February 2000, the Bureau of Epidemiology worked with an outside contractor to design and develop an electronic system for managing the surveillance and reporting process of notifiable disease reports. This system was called Merlin, and after a brief pilot period, was available for use by all the 67 counties in January, 2001. Merlin is a person-centric surveillance system, making it is easy to see if there are multiple disease occurrences for one individual over time. Merlin allows for the electronic entry of patient information, case information, laboratory data, interview questions, and other pertinent data. Once data is entered into the system the data associated with a case are immediately available to all staff with access to the Merlin system including those at the State Health Office. This system allows for more timely reporting from the CHD to the Bureau of Epidemiology, and subsequently, data transmission from the Bureau of Epidemiology to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Each CHD has electronic access to all of their cases including those previously reported. This allows for easy case updating when new information is obtained. Merlin also has built in analysis and visualization functions that help to summarize and display notifiable disease data on a zip code, county, and state level as well as by time. Merlin continues to evolve as new features and functionality are added and the process flow is improved.

Major modules developed since Merlin's initial implementation handle data related to lead poisoning, perinatal hepatitis B, rabies, electronic laboratory reporting and disease outbreaks. Merlin interfaces with the Food- Water- and Vectorborne Surveillance System (FWVSS) operated by the Division of Environmental Health by providing a daily data feed.

The Merlin Outbreak Module (MOM) is a tool for documenting and analyzing outbreaks and unusual disease occurrences investigated by county health department epidemiology programs and the State Health Office. The MOM is highly integrated with the surveillance module so there is a ready exchange of records from surveillance application to outbreak module. The MOM facilitates more complete outbreak reporting, provides a tool to CHDs to guide and train staff in outbreak investigation and epidemiology, provides a drop-in surveillance tool using the statewide central database and provides a simple way for state staff to assist counties to manage outbreaks. The MOM has been evaluated against Public Health Information Network (PHIN) early event detection standards and additional enhancements are planned for release in fall 2008.

EpiCom (described below) serves as an information-sharing and alert network for epidemiologists and their partners, accessible to users outside the DOH firewall. Following PHIN guidance, Merlin and EpiCom systems now function with a seamless user interface. Integration of Merlin and EpiCom has de-segregated the data collection and data dissemination through the creation of a single portal to report diseases and receive information. System enhancements include a seamless user interface for Merlin and EpiCom, single sign-on feature, email alerting features to notify state surveillance staff of case investigations of all associated case activities and rapid paperless case reporting from users beyond the DOH firewall.

A major addition to the FDOH surveillance systems, including Merlin, is electronic laboratory reporting (ELR). ELR is part of a national initiative that allows public and private clinical labs, including those serving multiple states, to report laboratory results indicative of cases of notifiable diseases to their respective State Health Departments in a standardized electronic format. This eliminates the need for laboratories to fax or mail paper copies of lab results to public health agencies, and the need for most manual data entry. Paper laboratory reports have several disadvantages: they are slow to arrive at the appropriate office, and time consuming to process and route appropriately. Duplicates may be sent because the laboratory reports to the State Office as well as the County Office, and they require manual data entry by health department staff into the electronic system which is time consuming and another opportunity for data entry errors. ELR mitigates many of these problems and in particular increases the timeliness of reporting by the laboratories to the CHDs. Any delay in reporting leads to increases in the time to implement disease control measures leading to greater opportunity for additional infections to occur.

In a recent evaluation of reporting timeliness conducted by the Bureau of Epidemiology, it was observed that the implementation of ELR will shorten the interval between the time laboratory results are completed and when they are reported to the CHD from five days to one for salmonellosis, from four days to one for shigellosis, and from three days to one for hepatitis A. The evaluation indicated there will be no change in reporting timeliness for meningococcal disease reporting. This is largely due to the fact that meningococcal disease is required to be reported by telephone upon first suspicion (prior to confirmatory diagnosis), and is already reported very quickly.

Another recent addition to Merlin is the use of Electronic Case Reporting (ECR). This module provides Infection Control Practitioners (ICP) at participating hospitals around Florida the ability to report cases of notifiable diseases electronically as opposed to calling their local CHD or faxing in a form. This reduces duplicate data entry and facilitates for more complete reporting by the ICPs because they are able to upload documents such as laboratory results and history and physicals. This system began its pilot period in 2007.

Integration of Technology for Other Disease Surveillance Activities

The Bureau of Epidemiology (BOE) conducts other surveillance activities in addition to notifiable disease surveillance. The BOE supports a robust respiratory disease surveillance program. This includes surveillance for influenza and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV). The Pneumonia and Influenza Mortality Surveillance System became operational in January 2007. Twenty-three of Florida's 67 most populous counties participate in this system, representing approximately 85% of Florida's population and deaths. This surveillance system is a partnership between the Office of Vital Statistics and the Bureau of Epidemiology. Each week, a user from each of the participating vital statistics offices logs into EpiGateway (described below) and enters data related to the number of deaths due to pneumonia and/or influenza that occurred in that county for the past week, total and by age group. This data is then used to model the expected number of deaths and the actual number of deaths. The system is used for monitoring the time, magnitude, and duration of seasonal epidemics and will be used to monitor the magnitude of mortality during a pandemic. Data analysis displays are available through the same interface used for data entry.

A statewide respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) surveillance system was implemented in Florida in 1999 to support clinical decision-making for RSV prophylaxis of premature infants. RSV infections usually occur during the late fall, winter, or early spring months. Data collected by the Florida RSV surveillance system from 1999 to the present time provides the ability to identify geographical regions where high infection rates also occur during the summer months. Data are collected weekly by the BOE from sentinel hospitals throughout Florida. Each site reports the total number of RSV tests performed and

the total number positive via email or fax. Regional and statewide data are made available to public health professionals, health care providers and the public via a website http://www.doh.state.fl.us/disease_ctrl/epi/RSV/rsv.htm. The current process is labor intensive as it requires manual data entry and analysis by the BOE staff. A module has been developed that allows the reporting and analysis of RSV test data via the EpiGateway system. Facilities participating in this system will immediately be able to access data summaries for their facility as well as regional and state-level data displays. This sub-component of the EpiGateway application is expected to be available for use by participants from around the state in the fall of 2008.

In October of 2007, the Bureau of Epidemiology implemented a standard statewide syndromic surveillance system called the Electronic Surveillance System for the Early Notification of Community-based Epidemics (ESSENCE). This system was originally designed by the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research for use in a military context. System development and distribution is currently managed by the Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory. ESSENCE uses automated processes to collect the earliest available pre-diagnostic clinical data (a patient's chief complaint) from hospital emergency rooms across the state, and automatically categorizes chief complaint text into clinical syndromes. Various analyses are then performed on the syndrome categories that are designed to determine whether the current number of observations are more than expected based on a historical baseline. The underlying goals of this surveillance are to improve the timeliness of disease outbreak detection, to help characterize health problems in natural disaster settings, to assist with influenzalike illness surveillance, and to provide general situational awareness. Data from the notifiable disease surveillance system, Merlin, will be integrated into the ESSENCE system. This module will enable analysis and visualization of notifiable disease data via ESSENCE and will include mapping functionality utilizing GIS.

The National Retail Data Monitor (NRDM), another form of syndromic surveillance, has been utilized in Florida since 2003. This system was designed by the University of Pittsburgh's Real-Time Outbreak and Disease Surveillance (RODS) Laboratory. The NRDM is a surveillance tool that collects and analyzes daily over-the-counter (OTC) medication sales from >2,000 retail stores across Florida in an effort to rapidly detect disease outbreaks.

Additional Surveillance Applications and Software

Beyond Merlin, other applications are used within the Florida Department of Health to monitor disease outbreaks, emerging trends, and health issues that need immediate attention. EpiCom provides a secure, threaded, moderated information exchange for reporting and tracking threats and outbreaks and to alert public health officials to such events. Users are able to log on to the system and post information related to outbreaks, potential outbreaks, unusual cases, or other health issues that might be relevant to other users. Content experts, referred to as moderators, review the submissions or "posts" prior to approving them in order to ensure the content is appropriate, no confidentiality rules have been breached, and the post is accurate and timely. EpiCom is a sub application of the Florida Department of Health Emergency Notification System (FDENS) which can contact all or selected users by e-mail, phone, pager, or fax, depending on the urgency of the message. Health care practitioners may request accounts so they can post and read health notifications posted on EpiCom. However, the most frequent users are CHD and State level public health staff.

Another BOE application is EpiGateway. EpiGateway is housed outside of the Department of Health firewall. EpiGateway serves as the single portal for accessing surveillance programs. Programs or systems accessible via EpiGateway include ESSNECE, the Pneumonia and Influenza Mortality Surveillance System, County Influenza Activity Code Reporting System and Electronic Case Reporting. Additionally, a module that will allow for the entry of respiratory disease laboratory surveillance data is being developed and is scheduled to be operational in the fall of 2008.

Future Areas for Development

On the national level, there is great activity in the creation of electronic medical records. Electronic medical records give each patient complete access to all of their health related data through a secure internet-based portal. Electronic medical records provide patients the ability to consolidate their medical information from various physicians, pharmacies, outpatient clinics, rehabilitation centers, etc. into one location. This would also allow patients to see their test results or physician notes as soon as they are entered into the system. Such systems assure that all of a patient's clinical information is available to any of their treating providers. The evolution of these electronic records can support more rapid complete reporting of confirmed or probable cases of reportable diseases to public health officials. The integration of technology would allow for automatic reporting or automatic triggers and reminders for reporting, as well as provision of decision support content for providers.

The integration of current technology into the field of public health has significantly improved the quality of data available to epidemiologists as well as the timeliness of that data. However, the complete reporting of all notifiable diseases that occur in Florida is not assured and the continued development and implementation of technology will help achieve surveillance goals. The intersection of "traditional public health surveillance" methods with new technological capabilities provides the opportunity to focus more clearly on the end user of the systems, data output, and not the flow of how data is captured. System integration enables the best features of each system to be effectively utilized in order to improve public health surveillance and information exchange.