

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
ELECTROLYSIS COUNCIL
RULES WORKSHOP
FEBRUARY 13, 2015
12:00 p.m. EST
AGENDA**

**Embassy Suites Hotel
225 Shorecrest Drive
Altamonte Springs, FL**

Participants in this public meeting should be aware that these proceedings are being recorded and that an audio file of the meeting will be posted to the Electrolysis Council's website.

12:00 p.m.

Call to Order – Rules Workshop

I. Opening Comments

Two primary purposes: 1) hear public comment on the Board of Medicine's directive to take a broader look at distinguishing licensure pathways for those who want to use primarily laser equipment, versus those who want to use an epilator only, versus those who want to use both modalities; 2) hear public comment on the need for certification by a national entity in addition to the laser training course required by rule (or by rule changes made to distinguish licensure pathways) and continuing education (CE) requirements.

II. Public Comment

The Electrolysis Council would like to hear public comment on the above topics. This includes but is not limited to public comment on the questions below.

Whether the current 320 hour training requirement in Rule 64B8-53.002, F.A.C., is necessary for electrologists who primarily want to use laser equipment?

For electrologists who primarily want to use laser equipment, what initial training requirements should be put in place? What changes, if any should be made to the 30 hour laser training course currently required by the electrology rules.

What training is needed when an electrologist uses a type of laser equipment that he or she has not used before?

For electrologists who primarily want to use laser equipment, what, if any, ongoing training requirements should be put in place in addition to the current continuing education requirements? For example, should the requirement for certification by a national entity continue to be required?

What are the economic impacts of any potential rule changes?

III. Concluding Comments