# 2010 Community Health Assessment Survey

**Topic: Current Participation**

**Has your CHD participated within the last three years or are you currently participating in a community health improvement process?**

**Related Standards:**
**NPHPSP**: 5.3.1 An established community health improvement process in place
**National Accreditation:**
Standard 5.3 Conduct a health improvement planning process
Measure 5.3.1 Conduct a health improvement process that includes broad participation from the community
Measure 5.3.2 Produce a health improvement plan as a result of the community health improvement process
Measure 5.3.3 Implement elements and strategies of the health improvement plan in partnership with others
Measure 5.3.4 Establish a monitoring system to track progress on strategies and health improvement in order to revise plan as needed

**DOH Community Health Improvement:**
Standard 1.1 Utilizes a systematic process for community health improvement planning

**CHDs Participating in Community Health Improvement During the Past Three Years**




**Baker County Response: Yes**

**Number and Percent of CHDs Participating in Community Health Improvement**

| Statewide Response | Yes | | No | | No Response | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | No. of CHDs | Percent | No. of CHDs | Percent | No. of CHDs | Percent |
| **2003** | 48 | 72% | 14 | 21% | 5 | 7% |
| **2004** | 53 | 79% | 8 | 12% | 6 | 9% |
| **2005** | 57 | 85% | 6 | 9% | 4 | 6% |
| **2006** | 59 | 88% | 8 | 12% | 0 | 0% |
| **2007** | 64 | 96% | 3 | 4% | 0 | 0% |
| **2008** | 52 | 78% | 12 | 18% | 3 | 4% |
| **2009** | 56 | 84% | 10 | 15% | 1 | 1% |
| **2010** | 41 | 61% | 26 | 39% | 0 | 0% |

# 2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

**Topic: CHD Leadership Role**

**What is your CHD's role in the community health improvement process?**

**Related Standards:**

**NPHPSP**: 4.1 Constituency Development

4.1.1 Process for identifying key constituents or stakeholders

4.1.2 Encourage participation of constituents in improving community health

**National Accreditation**:

Standard 4.1 Engage the Public Health System and Community in Comprehensive Planning

**DOH Community Health Improvement:**

1B. Organizes effective partnerships that include diverse memberships, a vision, and partnerships management processes




| Statewide Response | Lead Agency | | Stakeholder | | Sponsor | | Process Manager | | Participant | | Blank/Not Applicable | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent |
| 2003 | 32 | 48% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 2004 | 28 | 42% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 2005 | 48 | 72% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 2006 | 51 | 76% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 2007 | 52 | 78% | 7 | 10% | 3 | 4% | 1 | 1% | 4 | 6% | 0 | 0% |
| 2008 | 48 | 72% | 10 | 15% | 4 | 6% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 6% |
| 2009 | 45 | 67% | 12 | 18% | 3 | 4% | 2 | 3% | 2 | 3% | 3 | 4% |
| 2010 | 41 | 61% | 15 | 22% | 4 | 6% | 1 | 1% | 2 | 3% | 4 | 6% |

(data from 2003 - 2006 is not comparable)

**Baker County Response: Lead Agency**

# 2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

**Topic: Community Health Assessment Models**
**What community health improvement models or tools are currently used at your CHD?**

**Related Standards:**
**NPHPSP**: 5.3.1.1 Communty health improvement process use of established tool such as MAPP or PACE-EH
**Accreditation**: Standard 5.3 Conduct a health Improvement Planning Process
**DOH Community Health Improvement:**

Implements a community health assessment process that includes
1A1. Identification of community themes (issues) and strengths
1A2. Assessment of the local public health system
1A3. Assessment of community health status resulting in the development of a community health profile document
1A4. Identification of potential forces of change (threats and opportunities)

**Models of Community Health Assessment in Use**
**CHDs May Use More Than One Model**




*CHDs may be using more than one community health assessment model.*

| Statewide Responses | 2003 | | 2004 | | 2005 | | 2006 | | 2007 | | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2010 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Models in Use | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % |
| MAPP | 28 | 42% | 34 | 51% | 45 | 67% | 48 | 72% | 49 | 73% | 45 | 67% | 41 | 61% | 39 | 58% |
| PACE EH | 10 | 15% | 17 | 25% | 24 | 36% | 25 | 37% | 21 | 31% | 19 | 28% | 25 | 37% | 24 | 36% |
| CATCH | 13 | 19% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 3% | 18 | 27% | 9 | 13% | 6 | 9% | 7 | 10% | 5 | 7% |
| APEX PH | 8 | 12% | 5 | 7% | 8 | 12% | 12 | 18% | 6 | 9% | 6 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 3% |
| PATCH | 12 | 18% | 11 | 16% | 8 | 12% | 14 | 21% | 5 | 7% | 7 | 10% | 1 | 1% | 4 | 6% |
| Other | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 9% | 17 | 25% | 4 | 6% | 9 | 13% | 14 | 21% | 18 | 27% |
| None | 0 | 0% | 4 | 6% | 1 | 1% | 1 | 1% | 3 | 4% | 4 | 6% | 10 | 15% | 8 | 12% |
| HPHC | 0 | 0% | 22 | 33% | 20 | 30% | 26 | 39% | 34 | 51% | 44 | 66% | (not asked) | (not asked) | (not asked) | (not asked) |
| Unknown | 6 | 9% | 3 | 4% | 2 | 3% | 3 | 4% | 3 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | (not asked) | (not asked) |

**Descriptive Names**

| | |
|---|---|
| MAPP | Mobilizing for Action through Planning and Partnerships |
| PACE EH | Protocol for Assessing Community Excellence in Environmental Health |
| CATCH | Comprehensive Assessment for Tracking Community Health |
| APEX PH | Assessment Protocol for Excellence in Public Health |
| PATH | Planned Approach to Community Health |
| HPHC | Healthy People, Healthy Communities |

**Baker County Response: MAPP**

# 2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

**What assets does the CHDs community health improvement process include?**

**Related Standards:**
**NPHPSP**: 5.3.3 CHD conducts a strategic planning process
**Accreditation**: Standard 5.2 Engage in local health department strategic planning
**DOH Community Health Improvement:**
1D. Demonstrates linkage of strategic plan with community health improvement planning




| Assets | Budget | | Dedicated Staff | | Written Policy-Strategic Plan | | Other | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Statewide Responses | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % |
| 2005 | 19 | 28% | 16 | 24% | 36 | 54% | 0 | 0% |
| 2006 | 34 | 51% | 15 | 22% | 17 | 25% | 0 | 0% |
| 2007 | 25 | 37% | 25 | 37% | 46 | 69% | 16 | 24% |
| 2008 | 24 | 36% | 39 | 58% | 39 | 58% | 10 | 15% |
| 2009 | 29 | 43% | 34 | 51% | 41 | 61% | 14 | 21% |
| 2010 | 31 | 46% | 37 | 55% | 17 | 25% | 5 | 7% |

**Baker County Response: Budget for assessment/planning, Staff dedicated for community health assessment/improvement planning process.**

# 2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

**Topic: Staff Dedication**

**How many staff (FTE) are dedicated to your community health improvement process?**

**Related Standards:**

**Accreditation:** Part A - Administrative Capacity and Governance
Standard A1: Provide infrastructure for public health services

**CHDs with FTE Devoted to Community Health Improvement**




**Number of CHDs by FTE Devoted to Community Health Assessment**

| Statewide Responses | 2007 | | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2010 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent |
| More than 0 and less than 1 FTE | 11 | 16% | 15 | 22% | 32 | 48% | 31 | 46% |
| 1 - 2 FTE | 6 | 9% | 10 | 15% | 9 | 13% | 7 | 10% |
| More than 2 FTE | 6 | 9% | 8 | 12% | 9 | 13% | 8 | 12% |
| Approximate Statewide Total FTE | 35.15 | n/a | 54.7 | n/a | 63 | n/a | 71 | n/a |

**Baker County Response: < 1.00 FTE**

# 2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

**What unit coordinates your community health improvement activities?**

**Related Standards:**
**NPHPSP**: 5.3.3.1 CHD reviews its organizational strategic plan to determine how it can best be aligned with the community health improvement process
**Accreditation**: Part A - Administrative Capacity and Governance
Standard A1 Provide infrastructure for public health services

## Coordinating Unit for Community Health Assessment Activities




**Coordinating Units for Community Health Assessment Activities**

| Statewide Responses | 2007 | | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2010 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent |
| Director's Office | 39 | 58 | 35 | 52 | 40 | 60 | 39 | 58 |
| Nursing | 5 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 7 |
| Epidemiology | not asked | | not asked | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Environmental Health | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Quality Improvement | 5 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 16 |
| Health Education | 11 | 16 | 12 | 18 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 3 |
| Public Information | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| Community Health | 5 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 1 |
| Total | 67 | 100 | 63 | 94 | 66 | 100 | 67 | 100 |

**Baker County Response: Director/Administrator office**

# 2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

**What is the status of your CHD's strategic plan?**

**Related Standards:**

**NPHPSP**: 5.3.3 CHD conducts a strategic planning process

**Accreditation:** Standard 5.2 Engage in local health department strategic planning
Measure 5.2.1 Conduct a strategic planning process
Measure 5.2.2 Produce a strategic plan
Measure 5.2.3 Implement the strategic plan
Measure 5.2.4 Review and update the strategic plan

**DOH Community Health Improvement:**
1D. Demonstrates linkage of strategic plan with community health improvement planning




## Strategic Plan Status

| Statewide Response | 2006 | | 2007 | | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2010 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent |
| Planned | 11 | 16 | 8 | 12 | 6 | 10 | 13 | 19 | 10 | 15 |
| In Progress | 40 | 60 | 31 | 46 | 40 | 65 | 25 | 37 | 32 | 48 |
| Complete | 16 | 24 | 28 | 42 | 16 | 26 | 28 | 43 | 25 | 37 |
| Total | 67 | 100 | 67 | 100 | 62 | 100 | 67 | 100 | 67 | 100 |

**Baker County Response: In progress**

# 2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

**Do the issues in your CHD's strategic plan align with some of the community-identified issues?**

**Related Standards:**
**NPHPSP**:

5.3.3.1 CHD reviews its organizational strategic plan to determine how it can best be aligned the community health improvement process

**Accreditation**:

Measure 5.2.1 Conduct a strategic planning process (documentation: cross reference to community health improvement plan or quality improvement plan)

**DOH Community Health Improvement:**

1D. Demonstrates linkage of strategic plan with community health improvement planning




**Strategic Plan Alignment with Community Issues**

| Statewide Responses | Yes | Percent Yes | No | Percent No | Don't Know / Have not identified priorities | Percent Don't Know / Have not identified priorities | Total No. of Responses |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2006 | 55 | 98 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| 2007 | 62 | 93 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 67 |
| 2008 | 58 | 94 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 62 |
| 2009 | 58 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 66 |
| 2010 | 57 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 15 | 67 |

**Baker County Response: Yes**

# 2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

**What is the status of the Community Health improvement process?**

**Related Standards:**
**NPHPSP**:
4.2.1 Partnerships exist in the community to maximize public health improvement activities (organize for success)
5.3.1.2 Broad participation in the community health improvement process (organize for success, visioning)
5.3.1.3 Process includes information from community health assessments (health status assessment)
5.3.1.4 Process includes issues and themes identified by the community (community themes, strengths, forces of change)
5.3.1.5 Process includes identification of community assets and resources (local public health system assessment)
5.3.1.6 Process includes priorization of community health issues (identify strategic issues)
5.3.1.7 Process includes development of measurable health objectives (implement action cycle)
**Accreditation**:
Standard 4.1 Engage the public health system and the community in comprehensive planning
Measure 4.1.1 Recruit governing entity members, stakeholders, community partners and the public to participate in a community
Measure 4.1.3 Establish and suppor planning process to improve health
Standard 4.2 Engage the community to promote policies to improve the public's health
Standard 4.2.1 Disseminate results of community health assessments to community (assess community themes and strengths, forces of change, local public health system, community health status)
Standard 5.3.1 Conduct a health improvement process that includes broad participation from the community
Standard 5.3.2 Produce a health improvement plan as a result of the community health improvement process (assessments, strategic issues, action plan)
Standard 5.3.3 Implement elements and strategies of the health improvement plan in partnership with others (action cycle)
Standard 5.3.4 Establish a monitoring system to track progress on strategies and health improvement in order to revise, update plan as needed (action cycle, evaluation)

**DOH Community Health Improvement:**
Implements a community health assessment process that includes
1A1. Identification of community themes (issues) and strengths
1A2. Assessment of the local public health system
1A3. Assessment of community health status resulting in the development of a community health profile document
1A4. Identification of potential forces of change (threats and opportunities)

**Completed Phases of the Community Health Improvement Cycle, 2010**



# 2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

**What is the status of the Community Health improvement process?**

**Percent of CHDs by the Completed Phases of the Community Health Improvement Cycle**

| Status | 2005 | Count | 2006 | Count | 2007 | Count | 2008 | Count | 2009 | Count | 2010 | Count | Baker County Response |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Percent | | Percent | | Percent | | Percent | | Percent | | Percent | | |
| **Organize for Success** | 31% | 21 | 39% | 26 | 42% | 28 | 42% | 28 | 48% | 32 | 49% | 33 | Complete |
| **Visioning** | 24% | 16 | 31% | 21 | 40% | 27 | 48% | 32 | 52% | 35 | 45% | 30 | #REF! |
| **Assess Community Themes and Strengths** | 25% | 17 | 46% | 31 | 43% | 29 | 42% | 28 | 48% | 32 | 39% | 26 | #REF! |
| **Assess Forces of Change** | 16% | 11 | 24% | 16 | 34% | 23 | 31% | 21 | 36% | 24 | 30% | 20 | Complete |
| **Assess Local Public Health System** | 70% | 47 | 48% | 32 | 51% | 34 | 51% | 34 | 52% | 35 | 39% | 26 | Complete |
| **Assess Community Health Status** | 28% | 19 | 34% | 23 | 51% | 34 | 49% | 33 | 51% | 34 | 51% | 34 | In Progress |
| **Identify Strategic Issues** | 18% | 12 | 22% | 15 | 27% | 18 | 28% | 19 | 42% | 28 | 28% | 19 | In Progress |
| **Implement the Action Cycle** | 6% | 4 | 10% | 7 | 13% | 9 | 16% | 11 | 16% | 11 | 12% | 8 | In Progress |



# 2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

### What themes are being addressed by community-identified strategic issues?

**Related Standards:**
**NPHPSP**:
5.3.1.6 Community health improvement process includes prioritization of community health issues
**Accreditation**:
Measure 4.1.3 Establish and support collaborative partnerships to solve priority health issues
**DOH Community Health Improvement:**
Implements a community health assessment process that includes
1A1. Identification of community themes (issues) and strengths




**Number of CHDs by Themes Addressed**

| Themes | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Access to care | 51 | 61 | 52 | 55 | 56 |
| Health Promotion | 46 | 60 | 51 | 58 | 50 |
| Public Health Infrastructure | 2 | 25 | 31 | 33 | 24 |
| Public Health Preparedness | 34 | 34 | 31 | 35 | 25 |
| Environmental Health | 23 | 18 | 26 | 31 | 24 |
| Policies and Laws | 2 | 13 | 17 | 22 | 17 |
| Other | 0 | 10 | 13 | 10 | 8 |

*Counties may address more than one theme.*

# 2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

**What themes are being addressed by community-identified strategic issues?**




| Percentage of CHDs by Themes Addressed | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Themes** | **2006** | **2007** | **2008** | **2009** | **2010** |
| Access to Care | 76% | 91% | 78% | 82% | 95% |
| Health Promotion | 69% | 90% | 76% | 87% | 85% |
| Public Health Infrastructure | 3% | 37% | 46% | 49% | 41% |
| Public Health Preparedness | 51% | 51% | 46% | 52% | 42% |
| Environmental Health | 34% | 27% | 39% | 46% | 41% |
| Policies and Laws | 3% | 19% | 25% | 33% | 29% |
| Other | 0% | 15% | 19% | 15% | 14% |

Counties may address more than one theme.

**Baker County Response: Access to care, services, Health promotion and health education Policies and laws, Public health infrastructure**

# 2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

**What topics are being addressed by community-identified goals and objectives?**

**Related Standards:**
**NPHPSP**: Community health improvement process that includes development of measureable health objectives
**Accreditation**: Measure 5.3.2 Produce a health improvement plan as a result of the community health improvement process (documentation: measureable health improvement indicators to monitor progress)
Measure 5.3.4 Establish monitoring system to track progress on strategies and health improvement in order to revise, update plan as needed (documentation: performance measures, evaluation results)
**DOH Community Health Improvement:** Implements a community health assessment process that includes
1C. Produces action plans including the identification of strategic issues, goals and strategies, a continuous action cycle with evaluation components

**Topics being Addressed by Community Identified Goals**
**2010**




**Community Health Improvement Topics by Number of CHDs**

| Topics | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Diabetes | 49 | 47 | 46 | 43 |
| Overweight & Obesity | 48 | 47 | 46 | 42 |
| Smoking & Tobacco Use | 49 | 46 | 49 | 40 |
| Dental Issues | | | | 37 |
| Teen Pregnancy | 28 | 39 | 47 | 35 |
| Maternal and child Health | 34 | 37 | 40 | 33 |
| Heart Disease and Stroke | 37 | 42 | 34 | 30 |
| Infant Mortality | 34 | 36 | 36 | 29 |
| Immunization | 28 | 29 | 37 | 28 |
| Public Health Preparedness | 32 | 28 | 31 | 26 |
| Infectious Disease | 26 | 33 | 32 | 25 |
| Environmental Health | 26 | 30 | 30 | 24 |
| Mental Health | 21 | 24 | 29 | 22 |
| Substance Abuse | 16 | 19 | 26 | 20 |
| Cancer | 26 | 21 | 30 | 19 |
| Asthma | 17 | 16 | 14 | 13 |
| Injury and trauma | 18 | 21 | 23 | 11 |
| Aging | 9 | 15 | 19 | 10 |
| Not Yet Identified | 8 | 1 | 8 | 8 |
| Workforce | 21 | 21 | 22 | |

CHDs may select multiple topics

# 2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

**What topics are being addressed by community-identified goals and objectives?**

**Community Health Improvement Topics by Percent of CHDs**

| Topics | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | Baker County Response |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Diabetes | 73% | 70% | 69% | 64% | √ |
| Overweight & Obesity | 72% | 70% | 69% | 63% | √ |
| Smoking & Tobacco Use | 73% | 69% | 73% | 60% | √ |
| Dental Issues | 0% | 0% | 0% | 55% | √ |
| Teen Pregnancy | 42% | 58% | 70% | 52% | √ |
| Maternal and child Health | 51% | 55% | 60% | 49% | |
| Heart Disease and Stroke | 55% | 63% | 51% | 45% | √ |
| Infant Mortality | 51% | 54% | 54% | 43% | √ |
| Immunization | 42% | 43% | 55% | 42% | |
| Public Health Preparedness | 48% | 42% | 46% | 39% | |
| Infectious Disease | 39% | 49% | 48% | 37% | |
| Environmental Health | 39% | 45% | 45% | 36% | |
| Mental Health | 31% | 36% | 43% | 33% | √ |
| Substance Abuse | 24% | 28% | 39% | 30% | √ |
| Cancer | 39% | 31% | 45% | 28% | |
| Asthma | 25% | 24% | 21% | 19% | |
| Injury and trauma | 27% | 31% | 34% | 16% | |
| Aging | 13% | 22% | 28% | 15% | |
| Not Yet Identified | 12% | 1% | 12% | 12% | |
| Workforce | 31% | 31% | 33% | | |

*shaded areas indicate this response option was not available*

CHDs may select multiple topics



# 2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

**How many times has your CHD completed the community health improvement cycle?**




## Iterations of the Community Health Improvement Cycle

| Statewide Responses | 2009 Percent | 2009 Count | 2010 Percent | 2010 Count |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| One | 34% | 23 | 37% | 25 |
| Two | 18% | 12 | 25% | 17 |
| Three or more | 27% | 18 | 16% | 11 |
| Not Applicable | | | 21% | 14 |

**Baker County Response: 3 or more**

# 2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

**What products has your CHD helped produce as a result of the community health improvement process?**

**Products Resulting from Community Health Improvement Process**




**Products Resulting from the Community Health Improvement Process**

| Statewide | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2010 | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Response** | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | **Baker County Response** |
| Community Health Status Profile Report | 69% | 46 | 63% | 42 | 66% | 44 | √ |
| Community Health Improvement Plan | 42% | 28 | 42% | 28 | 42% | 28 | √ |
| Action plans | 58% | 39 | 63% | 42 | 61% | 41 | √ |
| Web site | 0% | | 21% | 14 | 22% | 15 | √ |
| Other | 7% | 5 | 16% | 11 | 4% | 3 | |
| None/Not Applicable | 6% | 4 | 7% | 5 | 15% | 10 | |

# 2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

**What benefits have you attained as a result of participating in the community improvement process?**




**Percent and Number of CHDs by Type of Benefit**

| Response | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2010 | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Baker County Response |
| Stronger partnerships | 75% | 50 | 76% | 51 | 85% | 57 | √ |
| More partners | 73% | 49 | 73% | 49 | 76% | 51 | √ |
| Increased understanding of public health | 61% | 41 | 78% | 52 | 75% | 50 | √ |
| Increased community ownership of issues | 54% | 36 | 54% | 36 | 64% | 43 | |
| Developed partnership with health planning council | 46% | 31 | 51% | 34 | 51% | 34 | |
| Created a community health improvement plan | 36% | 24 | 45% | 30 | 45% | 30 | √ |
| Obtained grant funding | 43% | 29 | 52% | 35 | 42% | 28 | √ |
| Improved targeted indicators (based on data) | 30% | 20 | 37% | 25 | 39% | 26 | √ |
| Improved perception of government | 0% | 0 | 42% | 28 | 39% | 26 | √ |
| Addressed or resolved a strategic issue | 39% | 26 | 45% | 30 | 34% | 23 | √ |
| Created policy around a community health issue | 21% | 14 | 33% | 22 | 24% | 16 | √ |
| Other | 4% | 3 | 9% | 6 | 9% | 6 | |

# 2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

**Needs of CHDs for conducting, participating in or sustaining a community health improvement process:**

**CHD Needs for Community Health Improvement Processes, 2010**




**Community Health Improvement Process Needs by Percent of CHDs**

| | Response | Training | Resources | Technical Assistance | No Assistance Needed | **Baker County Response** |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Introduction to the MAPP planning tool | 19% | 27% | 16% | 55% | No Assistance Needed |
| 2 | Organizing for success | 15% | 16% | 1% | 60% | No Assistance Needed |
| 3 | Building & sustaining partnerships | 21% | 18% | 19% | 48% | No Assistance Needed |
| 4 | Building Skills & meeting facilitation | 22% | 18% | 16% | 52% | |
| 5 | Accessing & using www.FloridaCHARTS.com | 12% | 10% | 9% | 70% | No Assistance Needed |
| 6 | Identifying local health indicators | 10% | 19% | 13% | 66% | Resource Materials |
| 7 | Collecting & using qualitative data | 21% | 19% | 15% | 58% | No Assistance Needed |
| 8 | Creating a community health status profile report | 21% | 25% | 25% | 42% | Resource Materials Technical Assistance |
| 9 | Applying results of the local public health system assessment | 24% | 16% | 24% | 48% | Resource Materials Technical Assistance |
| 10 | Using maps for health planning | 28% | 24% | 25% | 46% | No Assistance Needed |
| 11 | Identifying community strategic health priorities | 15% | 24% | 13% | 63% | No Assistance Needed |
| 12 | Formulating measurable goals & objectives | 18% | 13% | 12% | 63% | No Assistance Needed |
| 13 | Developing action plans | 22% | 16% | 19% | 52% | No Assistance Needed |
| 14 | Measuring success and evaluation | 27% | 24% | 21% | 48% | No Assistance Needed |
| 15 | Writing Community Health Improvement Plan | 22% | 31% | 22% | 43% | |
| 16 | Implementing & sustaining a community health planning process | 22% | 36% | 33% | 39% | No Assistance Needed |
| 17 | Identifying & using model practices for assessment & planning | 19% | 37% | 28% | 37% | Resource Materials Technical Assistance |
| 18 | Identifying & using evidence-based practices to improve community health | 13% | 22% | 12% | 42% | Training Resource Materials Technical Assistance |
| 19 | Core functions and essential services of public health | 15% | 18% | 6% | 69% | |
| 20 | Identifying accessing & using reference materials | 13% | 22% | 12% | 60% | |
| 21 | Participating in a mentoring program | 18% | 19% | 13% | 45% | Technical Assistance |

# 2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

**CHD ratings of Community Health Improvement (COMPASS) resources:**




## Ratings of Resources by Percent of CHDs

| | 2009 | | | 2010 | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Ratings of Resources** | Useful | Not Useful | Never Used/Didn't Know About | Useful | Somewhat Useful | Not Useful | Never Used/Didn't Know About | **Baker County Response** |
| MAPP Field Guide | 73% | 3% | 19% | 40% | 33% | 4% | 21% | Somewhat useful |
| MAPP Tour Book | 63% | 4% | 25% | 37% | 30% | 4% | 27% | Somewhat useful |
| CHARTS User's Guide | 78% | 1% | 16% | 60% | 27% | 0% | 13% | Useful |
| COMPASS Points | 72% | 3% | 19% | 43% | 37% | 4% | 13% | Useful |
| COMPASS Website | 81% | 0% | 13% | 58% | 40% | 1% | 13% | Useful |
| Core Fuctions & MAPP training | 88% | 1% | 18% | 37% | 31% | 1% | 27% | Useful |
| CHARTS website | 97% | 0% | 1% | 87% | 12% | 0% | 1% | Useful |
| Quarterly Meet-me-Calls | 76% | 3% | 12% | 37% | 31% | 12% | 16% | Useful |
| Technical Assistance | 88% | 0% | 9% | 57% | 24% | 1% | 18% | Useful |
| PARTNER tool | 22% | 0% | 70% | 18% | 13% | 1% | 64% | Never used/didn't know about |
| CDC Community Guide | 60% | 1% | 36% | 43% | 19% | 0% | 37% | Never used/didn't know about |
| Intervention MICA | 7% | 0% | 84% | 7% | 7% | 0% | 85% | Never used/didn't know about |

# 2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

**CHD ratings of CHARTS features**




**Usefulness of CHARTS Features by Percent of CHDs**

| Component | Useful | Not Useful | Never Used | Didn't Know | Useful | Not Useful | Never Used/ Didn't Know | Baker County Response |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 2010 | | | | 2009 | | |
| **Individual indicator reports** | 97% | 0% | 1% | 1% | 99% | 0% | 0% | Useful |
| **Profile Reports** | 100% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 97% | 0% | 0% | Useful |
| **Community Interactive Maps** | 81% | 3% | 12% | 4% | 73% | 3% | 21% | Useful |
| **CHARTS Training and Reference Info** | 88% | 0% | 9% | 3% | 69% | 0% | 28% | Useful |
| **CHARTS Query Systems** | 96% | 0% | 0% | 3% | not asked | not asked | not asked | Useful |

# 2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

**Has your CHD received new resources as a result of the community health improvement process?**




**2009: The total value of the new resources received by 30 CHDs was $23,544,903.**
**2010: The total value of the new resources received by 35 CHDs was $53,816,509.**
*Some funding reported covers multiple years.*

**Number of CHDs Receiving Resources**
*Have new resources been received?*

| | 2009 | 2010 |
|---|---|---|
| YES | 30 | 35 |
| NO | 36 | 31 |
| Blank | 1 | 1 |

**Baker County Response: Yes**

**Resources by Source**

| Sources | 2009 | 2010 | Percent in 2010 | Baker County Response |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| State | 6 | 6 | 17% | |
| Foundations | 5 | 3 | 9% | |
| Local | 5 | 3 | 9% | |
| Federal | 8 | 17 | 57% | |
| Combination | | 3 | 9% | |

**Baker 2010 Response: A RWJ Grant for one year (evening and weekend primarycare services) $100,000.**