VOLATILE ORGANICS GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY

Note: Make enough copies of Pages 1-12 to assess each test method in use at the laboratory, one method at a time

CHEMISTRY TEST METHOD EVALUATED:			
 5.5.4.1.2(a)	Does the laboratory have an in-house methods manual for each accredited analyte or method Note: This manual may consist of copies of published or referenced test methods		
 5.5.4.1.2(b)	Does the laboratory clearly indicate in its methods manual any modifications made to the referenced test method and describe any changes or clarifications where the referenced test method is ambiguous or provides insufficient detail		
Does ea	ich test method in the in-house methods manual include or reference, where applicable:		
5.5.4.1.2(b)(14) 5.5.4.1.2(b)(15) 5.5.4.1.2(b)(16) 5.5.4.1.2(b)(17) 5.5.4.1.2(b)(18) 5.5.4.1.2(b)(20) 5.5.4.1.2(b)(21) 5.5.4.1.2(b)(21) 5.5.4.1.2(b)(22)	Sample collection, preservation, shipment, & storage Quality control Calibration & standardization Procedure Calculations Method performance Pollution prevention Data assessment & acceptance criteria for quality control measures Corrective actions for out-of-control data Contingencies for handling out-of-control or unacceptable data Waste management		
 D	Does the laboratory ensure that the essential standards outlined in Appendix D are incorporated into the method manuals and/or Quality Manual		

COMMENTS:

CHEMISTRY TEST METHOD EVALUATED:			
 5.5.5.2.2	Do the laboratory's initial & continuing instrument calibration verifications meet the requirements in mandated test methods & regulations (see page 14 for acceptance criteria and the number of standards required)		
	Note: If it is not apparent which standard is more stringent, then the requirements of the regulation or the mandated test method are to be followed		
 5.5.5.2.2.1(a)	Does the laboratory's test method SOP include or reference details of the initial instrument calibration procedures		
	Note: This includes calculations, integrations, & associated statistics Note: If the test method is referenced for initial instrument calibration procedures, the laboratory must have this method & make it available for review		
 5.5.5.2.2.1(b)	Does the laboratory retain sufficient raw data records to permit reconstruction of the initial instrument calibration		
	Note: Examples of such data records include calibration date, test method, instrument, analysis date, each analyte name, analyst initials or signature, concentration & response, calibration curve or response factor, and unique equation or coefficient used to reduce instrument responses to concentration		
 5.5.5.2.2.1(c)	Does the laboratory quantitate sample results only from the initial instrument calibration and not from any continuing instrument calibration verifications, unless required by regulation, method, or program		
 5.5.5.2.2.1(d)	Does the laboratory verify all initial instrument calibrations with a standard obtained from a second manufacturer or lot if the lot can be demonstrated from the manufacturer as prepared independently from other lots Note: When commercially available, traceability shall be to a national standard		
 5.5.5.2.2.1(e)	Has the laboratory established criteria for the acceptance of an initial instrument calibration Note: Examples include linear regression correlation coefficient & response factor %RSD Note: The acceptance criteria must be appropriate to the calibration technique employed		
 5.5.5.2.2.1(f)	For purposes of establishing the working calibration range , is the lowest calibration standard concentration the lower limit of quantitation		
 5.5.5.2.2.1(f)	Is all data reported below the lower limit of quantitation reported using defined qualifiers or flags or explained in the case narrative		
 5.5.5.2.2.1(g)	Is the highest calibration standard the highest concentration for which quantitative data are to be reported		
 5.5.5.2.2.1(g)	Is all data reported above the highest calibration standard reported using defined qualifiers or flags or explained in the case narrative		
 5.5.5.2.2.1(h)	Does the laboratory report measured concentrations outside the working calibration range as having less certainty & using defined qualifiers or flags or explained in the case narrative		
 5.5.5.2.2.1(h)	Is the lowest calibration standard above the limit of detection for each analyte		

	CHEMISTRY TEST METHOD EVALUATED:				
	Note: F	For instrument technologies (e.g., ICP, ICP/MS) with validated techniques from manufacturers or methods employing standardization with a zero point & a single-point calibration std., the following must occur:			
	5.5.5.2.2.1(h)(1)	Prior to the analysis of samples, are the zero point & single point calibration analyzed , and the linear range of the instrument established by analyzing a series of standards, one of which must be at the lowest quantitation level Note: Sample results within the established linear range will not require data qualifier flags			
	5.5.5.2.2.1(h)(2)	Are the zero point & single point calibration standard analyzed with each analytical batch			
	5.5.5.2.2.1(h)(3)	Is a standard corresponding to the limit of quantitation analyzed with each analytical batch & meet established acceptance criteria			
	5.5.5.2.2.1(h)(4)	Is the linearity verified at a frequency established by the test method and/or the manufacturer			
	5.5.5.2.2.1(i)	Does the laboratory perform corrective actions & reanalyze all associated samples if the initial instrument calibration results are outside established acceptance criteria			
	5.5.5.2.2.1(i)	When reanalysis is not possible, does the laboratory report sample data associated with unacceptable initial instrument calibrations with appropriate data qualifiers Note: NELAC Standards 5.5.5.2.2.1(h) & (i) may need to be assessed in conjunction with the Quality Systems data audit			
	5.5.5.2.2.1(j)	Does the laboratory have a standard operating procedure for determining the number of points for establishing the initial instrument calibration			
	5.5.5.2.2.1(j)	Does the laboratory use a minimum of two calibration standards (not including blanks or a zero standard) for performing an initial instrument calibration Note: This Standard applies if a reference or mandated method does not specify the number of calibration standards Note: One of the standards must be at the limit of quantitation Note: This Standard does not apply to instrument technologies for which it has been established by methodologies & procedures that a zero & a single point standard are appropriate for calibrations (see Section 5.5.5.2.2.1(h))			
COMM	ENTS:				
	5.5.5.10	Does the laboratory verify the validity of the initial calibration by a continuing instrument calibration verification with each analytical batch, prior to sample analyses, whenever an initial instrument calibration is not performed on the day of analysis			
	5.5.5.10(a)	Are the details of the continuing instrument calibration verification procedure , calculations , & associated statistics included or referenced in the test method SOP			
	5.5.5.10(b)	Is calibration verified for each compound, element, or other discrete chemical species Note: For multi-component analytes such as Aroclors, Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons, or Toxaphene, a representative chemical related substance or mixture can be used			

CHEMISTRY TEST METHOD EVALUATED:			
 5.5.5.10(c)(1)	Is the instrument calibration verification performed at the beginning & end of each analytical batch Note: Only one verification needs to be performed at the beginning of the analytical batch if an internal standard is used		
	internal standard is used		
 5.5.5.10(c)(2)	Is the instrument calibration verification performed whenever it is expected that the analytical system may be out of calibration or might not meet the verification acceptance criteria		
 5.5.5.10(c)(3)	Is the instrument calibration verification performed if the time period for calibration or the most previous calibration verification has expired		
 5.5.5.10(c)(4)	Is the instrument calibration verification performed for analytical systems that contain a calibration verification requirement		
 5.5.5.10(d)	Does the laboratory retain sufficient raw data records to permit reconstruction of the continuing instrument calibration verification Note: Such records include test method, instrument, analysis date, name of each analyte, concentration & response, calibration curve or response factor, or unique equations or coefficients used to convert instrument responses into concentrations		
 5.5.5.10(d)	Does the laboratory's continuing calibration verification records explicitly connect the continuing verification data to the initial instrument calibration		
 5.5.5.10(e)	Has the laboratory established criteria for the acceptance of a continuing instrument calibration verification (e.g. relative percent difference)		
 5.5.5.10(e)	Does the laboratory perform corrective actions if the continuing instrument calibration verification results are outside established acceptance criteria		
 5.5.5.10(e)	Does the laboratory perform a new initial instrument calibration if the routine corrective action procedures fail to produce a second consecutive (immediate) calibration verification within acceptance criteria Note: Alternatively, the laboratory can demonstrate acceptable performance after correction with 2 consecutive calibration verifications		
5.5.5.10(e)	If the laboratory has not verified calibration, do sample analyses not occur until the analytical system is calibrated or calibration verified Note: For sample data associated with an unacceptable calibration verification, the results must be flagged but the data may be useable under the following special conditions: - Non-detects for analytes in associated samples where the acceptance criteria for the continuing calibration verifications are exceeded high - Any test result for an analyte that indicates exceedence of a maximum regulatory limit or decision level, when the acceptance criteria for the continuing calibration verification for that analyte is exceeded low Any samples with test results that do not meet either of the above criteria must be re-analyzed after a new initial instrument calibration has been established, evaluated, & accepted		

COMMENTS:

the entire measurement system, and the analyst must then perform the first option above

CHEMISTRY TEST METHOD EVALUATED:

CHEMISTRY TEST METHOD ASSESSED:			
 C.1	Is an initial evaluation performed for all analytes to be added to an existing accredited test method (for analytes not currently found on the laboratory's list of accredited analytes)		
 5.5.2.6(c)(3)	Does each Analyst have documentation of continued proficiency by at least one of the following once per year:		
	 Acceptable performance of a blind sample (single blind to the analyst) An initial measurement system evaluation or another demonstration of capability Successful performance of a blind performance sample on a similar test method using the same technology (acceptable limits must be determined prior to analysis) At least 4 consecutive laboratory control samples with acceptable levels of precision & accuracy (the acceptable limits must be determined prior to analysis) Analysis of authentic samples that have been analyzed by another trained analyst with statistically indistinguishable results 		
 5.5.4.2.2(d) C.2	Does the laboratory use the NELAC-specified certification statement to document the completion of each Demonstration of Capability (initial & continuing)		
 C.2	Are copies of these certification statements retained in the personnel records of each employee performing the test method		
 5.5.4.2.2(d) C.1	Does the laboratory retain & make available all associated supporting data necessary to reproduce the analytical results summarized in the appropriate certification statement		
 5.5.4.2.2(e) C.1	Does the laboratory complete a demonstration of capability each time there is a change in instrument type , personnel , or test method		
 5.5.4.2.2(f)	Does the laboratory fully document the achievement of demonstration of capability requirements for each specialized work cell Note: A work cell is defined as a group of analysts with specifically defined tasks that together perform the test method		
 5.5.4.2.2(g)	Does the laboratory demonstrate & document acceptable performance through acceptable continuing performance checks (e.g, laboratory control samples) each time that membership in a work cell changes		
 5.5.4.2.2(g)	Do the new members of the work cell work with experienced analysts in the specialty area		
 5.5.4.2.2(g)	Does the laboratory repeat a Demonstration of Capability with the new work cell if the first 4 continuing performance checks following the change in personnel produce a failure in any sample batch acceptance criteria		
 5.5.4.2.2(g)	Does the laboratory repeat a Demonstration of Capability if the entire work cell is changed or replaced		
 5.5.4.2.2(h)	Is the performance of the work cell as a group linked to the training records of the individual members of the work cell		
 5.1.1	Does the laboratory's procedure for demonstrating its capability to perform the method, the analyst's capability to perform the method, or the acceptance criteria for precision & accuracy comply with the requirements specified in the mandated test method Note: See page 15 for such Demonstration of Capability procedural requirements & acceptance criteria		

CHEMISTRY TEST METHOD ASSESSED:			
 D	Does the laboratory have procedures for developing acceptance/rejection criteria for each Chemistry test method (where no regulatory or method criteria exist)		
 D	Does the laboratory assess & evaluate all quality control measures on an on-going basis		
 D	Does the laboratory use quality control acceptance criteria to determine the validity of the data		
 5.5.9.2(d) App. D	Does the laboratory's Chemistry data indicate that the quality control protocols in the test methods manual are being followed (by all analysts)		
 5.1.1	Does the laboratory's acceptance criteria for blanks, laboratory control samples, duplicates, & matrix spikes fulfill the requirements in mandated test methods Note: See pages 15-16 for acceptance criteria		
 5.1.1	Does the laboratory fulfill additional requirements specified in the mandated test method or regulation Note: See page 16 for the additional requirements stated in test methods		
 D.1.1.1(a)	Does the laboratory process the method blank along with & under the same conditions as the associated samples to include all steps in the analytical procedure		
 D.1.1.1(a)	Does the laboratory have procedures in place to determine if a method blank is contaminated		
 D.1.1.1(b)	Does the laboratory analyze method blanks at a frequency of at least one per preparation batch or one per 20 environmental samples analyzed together with the same method & personnel using the same lots of reagents		
 D.1.1.1(c)	Does the method blank consist of a quality system matrix similar to associated samples & known to be free of the analytes of interest		
 D.1.1.1(d)	Does the laboratory critically evaluate each method blank as to the nature of any interferences & the effect on the analyses of each sample within the batch		
 D.1.1.1(d)	Is the source of the contamination investigated & measures taken to minimize or eliminate the problem		
 D.1.1.1(d)	 Are all samples associated with a contaminated blank reprocessed for analysis or reported with appropriate data qualifying codes Note: Such sample results can be reported with data qualifiers: If the analyte concentration in the blank is at or above the reporting limit AND is greater than 1/10 of the amount measured in any sample OR If the method blank contamination affects the sample results as per test method requirements or individual project data quality objectives 		
 D.1.1.1(d)	Does the laboratory document all corrective actions taken with respect to a contaminated blank		

	D.1.1.2(b)	Does the laboratory analyze at least one laboratory control sample (LCS or QC Check Sample) per preparation batch or one per 20 environmental samples analyzed together with the same method & personnel using the same lots of reagents Note: This Standard does not apply to analytes for which spiking solutions are not available (e.g. Total Suspended Solids, Total Dissolved Solids, Total Volatile Solids, Total Solids, pH, Color, Odor, Temperature, Dissolved Oxygen, or Turbidity) Note: The matrix spike may be used in place of this control sample as long as the acceptance criteria are as stringent as for the laboratory control sample Note: The LCS may consist of media containing known & verified concentrations of analytes or as a Certified Reference Material
	D.1.1.2(c)	Does the laboratory include all target analytes in the LCS spike mixture over a 2-year period
	D.1.1.2(c)	Are all analyte concentrations in the LCS within the calibration range of the test method
	D.1.1.2(c)	 Are the components spiked into the LCS as specified by the mandated test method or other regulatory requirement or as requested by the client Note: In the absence of such requirements, the minimum number of analytes to spike are: For methods with 1-10 target analytes, spike all analytes For methods with 11-20 analytes, spike at least 10 analytes or 80%, whichever is greater For methods with more than 20 target analytes, spike at least 16 analytes Note: The analytes selected for spiking must be representative of all analytes reported & must represent the chemistries and elution patterns of the components to be reported, when some components interfere with accurate assessment (e.g., simultaneously spiking technical Chlordane, Toxaphene, & PCB's)
	D.1.1.2 (d)	Does the laboratory document the calculations for percent recovery of the individual batch LCS
	D.1.1.2(d)	Are the individual analyte percent recoveries compared to the acceptance criteria published in the mandated test method or, where such criteria are not established, to client-specified acceptance criteria or to internal criteria determined at the laboratory Note: The laboratory must document the method used to establish internal LCS recovery limits
	D.1.1.2(d)	Are all samples associated with an out-of-control LCS reprocessed for analysis or reported with appropriate data qualifying codes
	D.1.1.2(e)	For large number of analytes in the LCS, does the laboratory take corrective actions if acceptance criteria (3 standard deviations) are not achieved: - for 2 analytes when the LCS contains 11-30 analytes - for 3 analytes when the LCS contains 31-50 analytes - for 4 analytes when the LCS contains 51-70 analytes - for 5 analytes when the LCS contains 71-90 analytes - for 6 analytes when the LCS contains over 90 analytes
	D.1.1.2(e)	Does the laboratory locate the source of error & take corrective action if the same analyte exceeds LCS control limits repeatedly

Does the laboratory have a written procedure to **monitor the application of marginal exceedance allowances** to LCS control limits to **ensure random behavior**

D.1.1.2(e)

CHEMISTRY TEST METHOD ASSESSED:

 D.1.1.3	Does the laboratory document procedures for determining the effect of the sample matrix on test method performance
	Note: These procedures relate to the analysis of quality system matrix specific QC samples & could be data quality indicators for a specific sample using a designated test method; these controls alone are not used to judge laboratory performance
 D.1.1.3	Does the laboratory have procedures in place for tracking, managing, & handling matrix-specific QC criteria
	Note: These procedures must include spiking appropriate components at appropriate concentrations, calculating recoveries & relative percent difference, and evaluating & reporting results based on performance of the QC samples
 D.1.1.3.1(b)	Does the laboratory perform matrix spikes (MS) at a frequency specified by the test method Note: This matrix spike analysis frequency is specified in pages xx-xx
	Note: If the test method is not mandated, the laboratory must determine the frequency of matrix spike analysis as part of a systematic planning process (e.g., data quality objectives)
 D.1.1.3.1(c)	Are the components spiked into the MS as specified by the mandated test method or other regulatory requirement or as requested by the client
	Note: In the absence of such requirements, the minimum number of analytes to spike are:
	 For methods with 1-10 target analytes, spike all analytes For methods with 11-20 analytes, spike at least 10 analytes or 80%, whichever is greater
	- For methods with more than 20 target analytes, spike at least 16 analytes
	Note: The analytes selected for spiking should represent the chemistries & elution patterns of components to be reported (e.g., simultaneously spiking Chlordane, Toxaphene, & PCB's)
 D.1.1.3.1(c)	Does the laboratory include all target analytes in the MS spike mixture over a 2-year period
 D.1.1.3.1(d)	Does the laboratory document the calculations for percent recovery & relative percent difference in matrix spikes & matrix spike duplicates
 D.1.1.3.1(d)	Are the individual analyte percent recoveries compared to the acceptance criteria published in the mandated test method
 D.1.1.3.1(d)	If there is no established criteria, has the laboratory determined internal criteria & documented the method used to establish the limits
 D.1.1.3.1(d)	Are all samples associated with matrix spike results outside established criteria documented with corrective actions or reported with appropriate data qualifying codes

CHEMISTRY TEST METHOD ASSESSED:

COMMENTS:

 D.1.1.3.2(b)	Does the laboratory perform matrix duplicates at a frequency specified by the required mandated test method Note: This matrix duplicate analysis frequency is specified in pages xx-xx
 D.1.1.3.2(c)	Are matrix duplicates performed on replicate aliquots of actual samples
 D.1.1.3.2(d)	Does the laboratory document the calculations for relative percent difference or other statistical treatments
 D.1.1.3.2(d)	Are the individual analyte duplicate precisions compared to the acceptance criteria published in the mandated test method
 D.1.1.3.2(d)	If there is no established criteria, has the laboratory determined internal criteria & documented the method used to establish the limits
 D.1.1.3.2(d)	Are all samples associated with duplicate precisions outside established criteria documented with corrective actions or reported with appropriate data qualifying codes
 D.1.1.3.3(b)	Does the laboratory add surrogate compounds to all samples, standards, & blanks for all appropriate test methods Note: This Standard does not apply if the sample matrix precludes the use of surrogates or when a surrogate is not commercially available
 D.1.1.3.3(c)	Do the surrogates represent the various chemistries of the method's target analytes & deliberately chosen for being unlikely to occur as an environmental contaminant
 D.1.1.3.3(d)	Are the surrogate recoveries compared to the acceptance criteria in the mandated test method
 D.1.1.3.3(d)	Does the laboratory evaluate surrogate recoveries outside acceptance limits for the effect indicated for the individual sample results
 D.1.5(a)	Has the laboratory evaluated selectivity by following the checks established within the method Note: These evaluations may include mass spectral tuning, second-column confirmation, chromatography retention time windows, ICP inter-element interference checks, sample blanks, spectrochemical absorption or fluorescence profiles, co-precipitation evaluations, & electrode response factors.
 D.1.5(b)	Does the laboratory perform confirmations to verify compound identification when positive results are detected on a sample from a location that has not been previously tested by the laboratory Note: These confirmations are performed on pesticides, herbicides, acid extractables, or other organic tests, or when recommended by the analytical test method Note: Confirmation is not required when the analysis involves the use of a mass spectrometer Note: Confirmation is required unless stipulated in writing by the client
 D.1.5(b)	Does the laboratory document all confirmations of compound identity


If a mass spectrometer is used, has the laboratory documented acceptance criteria for mass

spectral tuning

D.1.5(c)

CHEMISTRY TEST METHOD EVALUATED: _____

CHEMISTRY TEST METHOD EVALUATED:			
 D.1.2	Does the laboratory document all procedures & retain all supporting data in determining & verifying limits of detection & limits of quantitation		
 D.1.2.1	Does this test method provide limits of detection (LOD's) that are appropriate & relevant for the intended use of the data		
 D.1.2.1	Has the laboratory determined the limit(s) of detection by the protocol in the mandated test method or applicable regulation Note: If the protocol for determining LOD's is not specified, the laboratory must still determine the LOD's but according to a procedure that reflects instrument limitations & intended application of the test method Note: In the absence of regulatory or client requirements, an LOD is not required when test results are not reported outside of the calibration range		
 D.1.2.1(a)	Has the laboratory initially determined the detection limits for the compounds of interest in this test method in a quality system matrix in which there are no target analytes or interferences at a concentration that would impact the results Note: If this is not possible, the laboratory must determine these detection limits in the quality system matrix of interest		
 D.1.2.1(b)	Does the laboratory determine LOD's each time there is a change in the test method that affects how the test is performed or when a change in instrumentation occurs that affects the sensitivity of the analysis		
 D.1.2.1(c)	Does the laboratory have established procedures to relate LOD's with Limits of Quantitation (LOQ's)		
D.1.2.1(d)	 Has the laboratory verified the LOD annually for each quality system matrix, test method, & analyte Note: All sample processing steps of the analytical method must be included in the determination of the LOD Note: Validity of the LOD is confirmed by qualitative identification of the analyte(s) in a quality control sample in each quality system matrix containing the analyte at no more than 2-3x the LOD for single-analyte tests and 1-4x the LOD for multiple analyte tests Note: LOD verification must be performed on every instrument that is to be used for analysis of samples & reporting of data Note: A LOD study is not required for any component for which spiking solutions or quality control samples are not available (e.g., Temperature), or when test results are not to be reported to the LOD (versus the Limit of Quantitation or working range of instrument calibration according to Appendices D.1.2, D.4.5, D.5.4, and D.6.6 to NELAC Chapter 5). 		



COMMENTS: List analytes for which the above requirements for measurement sensitivity have not been fulfilled

VOLATILE ORGANICS GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY

REQUIRED REAGANTS & STANDARDS

EPA 502.2, 8021 (GC-PID & ELCD series); 601 (GC-ELCD); 602 (GC-PID); 603, 8015 (GC-FID); SM6220B ($<=19^{th}$ ed.) (GC-PID), SM6230B ($<=19^{th}$ ed.) (GC-ELCD) (both packed-column); SM6200C (20^{th} ed.), SM6230D ($<=19^{th}$ ed.) (both capillary-column GC-PID & ELCD series)

Tenax/Silica Gel/Charcoal solid sorbent trap, or synthetic polymer, conditioned per manufacturer's instructions (includes EPA 5030 prior to 8021)

Note: Direct sample injection or other sample introduction techniques available for EPA 8015

EPA 504, 504.1, 505, 8011 (GC-ECD); EPA 8031 (GC-NPD); SM6231B, SM6232B (GC-ECD)

Sodium Chloride to salt aqueous phase (dissolve before hexane is added)

n-Hexane micro-extraction solvent (**EPA 504, 504.1, 505, 8011; SM6231B**), to extract EDB & DBCP MTBE micro-extraction solvent (**EPA 8031**)

Pentane, Hexane, Isooctane, or Methylcyclohexane extraction solvents (SM6232B), to extract THM's

EPA 1671 (GC-FID)

Internal Standards

EPA 3585 with EPA 8021, 8031, 8033, 8260

Waste Dilution Solvent: n-Hexadecane

EPA 5035 with EPA 8021, 8260

Methanol (or other water-miscible solvent) Sodium Bisulfate, as solid preservative

EPA 8032 (GC-ECD)

KBr & HBr, to brominate Acrylamide Ethyl Acetate extraction solvent Sodium Sulfate to salt the aqueous phase Sodium Thiosulfate, to quench excess bromine

Gasoline-Range Organics

Methanol dissolution of Solids

 $Gasoline\ commercial\ std.\ (\textbf{EPA\ 8015}, \textbf{NWTPH-Gx}, \textbf{CA\ LUFT}, \textbf{AK-101}, \textbf{OA-1} + \textbf{BTEX}, \textbf{TN-GRO}, \ as\ LCS)$

Component std. of BTEX, MTBE, i-C5, n-C5, i-C8, n-C9, C10H8, 124-Trimethylbenzene (MA-VPH)

Component std. of BTEX, MTBE, C10H8, 124- & 135-Trimethylbenzenes (WI-GRO, OK-GRO, ME 4.2.17)

Component std. of BTEX, i-C5, i-C8, n-C7, 124-Trimethylbenzene (MT-GRO, TN-GRO)

2,5-Dibromotoluene Surrogate (MA-VPH)

Bromofluorobenzene or Trifluorotoluene Surrogate (AK-101)

Isopropyltoluene Surrogate (TN-GRO)

HOLDING TIME, SAMPLE CONTAINER, & SAMPLE PRESERVATION REQUIREMENTS

14-Day Holding Time, glass vial w/ Teflon-lined septum, 4 C, 0.008% Na2S2O3

Purgeable Halocarbons (NO HEADSPACE)

14-Day Holding Time, glass vial with Teflon-lined septum, 4 C, 0.008% Sodium Thiosulfate, HCl to pH<2 (NO HEADSPACE)

Purgeable Aromatic Hydrocarbons (7-Day Holding Time if pH adjustment not made)

14-Day Holding Time, glass vial with Teflon-lined septum, 4 C, 0.008% Sodium Thiosulfate, pH 4-5

Acrolein & Acrylonitrile (3-Day Holding Time for Acrolein & 7-Day Holding Time for Acrylonitrile if pH adjustment not made)

INITIAL INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR MANDATED TEST METHODS

3 standards + blank

SM6020B, 1a & 1b, applies to all SM Organics mtds., int. std. response factor (if used) < 20% RSD

EPA 502.2, 10.2, calibration factor or response factor (if used) < 10% RSD

EPA 504.1, 10.1, calibration factor (if used) < 20% RSD

EPA 601, 602, 603, 7.3, calibration factor or response factor (if used) < 10% RSD

OK-GRO, correlation coefficient > 0.990

AK-101, MT-GRO, calibration factor (if used) < 25% RSD

OA-1, ME 4.2.17, calibration factor (if used) < 20% RSD or correlation coefficient > 0.990

5 standards + blank

EPA 1671, 10.2, calibration factor (if usd) < 10% RSD

EPA 8000, 7.4-7.5, calibration factor or response factor (if used) < 20% RSD,

correlation coefficient >0.990 for non-linear calibration

Applies to **EPA 8011, 8015, 8021, 8031, 8032, 8033** (GC Volatile Organics)

Requires **client notification of analytes** quantitated from CF or RF when mtd. criteria not met (and mean RSD < 20%)

WI-GRO, NWTPH-Gx, correlation coefficient > 0.990

TN-GRO, calibration factor (if used) < 25% RSD

CALIBRATION VERIFICATION ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR MANDATED TEST METHODS

Recovery 60-140%

EPA 8011, 8.3, also analyzed every 20 samples

Recovery 75-125%

MA-VPH, AK-101, MT-GRO, TN-GRO

Recovery 80-120%

EPA 502.2, 10.3.4, PLUS (40 CFR 141) 50-150% recovery for MRL verification std. at 1.0 ug/L for THM's

EPA 8021, 7.4.8, for target analytes that boil below 30 C

SM6020B, 1b (applies to SM Organics methods)

40 CFR 141.40, App. A, 3, mid-range std. **plus** 60-140% recovery for std. at or below Minimum Reporting Level (applies to SDWA Unregulated Contaminants)

OA-1, WI-GRO, ME 4.2.17

Recovery 85-115%

EPA 8000, 7.7

Recovery 90-110%

CA-LUFT

Recovery within the Test Method QC Acceptance Criteria

EPA 601, 602, 603, 7.5

EPA 1671, 13.1

PRECISION & ACCURACY ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR MANDATED TEST METHODS (INITIAL DEMONSTRATION OF CAPABILITY)

Mean Accuracy 60-140% for each analyte

EPA 8011, 8.2, 0.030 ug/L used for spike concentration & 7 replicates used **OK-GRO**, precision < 30% RSD

Mean Accuracy 70-130%; Precision RSD < 20%

EPA 504.1, 9.2, MDL study also required **MA-VPH**, precision RSD < 25% RSD

Mean Accuracy 80-120% for each analyte; Precision RSD<20%

EPA 502.2, 9.3, concentrations 0.1-5.0 ug/L for each analyte, MDL also required

Average Recovery & Std. Dev. of Recovery compared to Acceptance Criteria in Table of Test Method

EPA 601, 602, 603, 8.2, concentration 20 ug/L or less for each target analyte **EPA 8000**, 8.6, applicable to all **EPA 8000-series** methods

QUALITY CONTROL ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR MANDATED TEST METHODS

Control Standard Recoveries within 50-150%

TN-GRO, LCSD Precisions < 20% RPD, at 1.0 mg/L spike concentration

QC Check Sample (or LCS or LFB) Recoveries within 60-140%

EPA 8011, 8.3.2, each target analyte concentration must be 0.25 ug/L

OC Check Sample Recoveries within 70-130%

EPA 502.2, SDW Technical Notes for EPA 524.2

EPA 504.1, 9.3, Recommended criteria, but required every 10 samples, each analyte must be 0.25 ug/L

Control Standard Recoveries 75-125%

AK-101, analyzed every 10 samples & end of run, LCSD Precisions < 20% RPD

QC Check Sample or Matrix Spike Recoveries within the Test Method QC Acceptance Criteria for each Analyte EPA 601, 602, 603, 8.4

EPA 8000, 8.8, applicable to EPA 8011, 8015, 8021

External QC Check Sample Analyzed Quarterly

EPA 502.2, 9.10

External QC Check Sample Recoveries within 60-140%

EPA 8011, 8.4, analyzed weekly, analyte concentrations at 0.10 ug/L

Matrix Spike Recoveries 60-140%, MSD Precision < 20% RPD

ME 4.2.17

Matrix Spike Recoveries 65-135%

EPA 504.1, 9.5, analyzed every 20 samples

Matrix Spike Recoveries 70-130%, MSD Precision < 50% RPD

MA-VPH

Matrix Spike Recoveries 80-120%

WI-GRO (MSD Precision < 20% RPD), OK-GRO aqueous phase (60-140% for soils)

Surrogate Recoveries 80-120%

EPA 502.2, 9.7 & 551.1, 9.8

Internal Standards Responses

EPA 502.2, 9.6, 80-120% of mean response from last Initial Calibration

EPA REGULATORY LEVELS REQUIRING SPECIFIC DETECTION LIMITS

SDWA MAXIMUM CONTAMINANT LEVELS

1,2-Dibromomethane (EDB)	0.0	5 ug/L
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP)	0.2	ug/L
Each Regulated VOC (MDL requirement)	0.5	ug/L
Total Trihalomethanes	80	ug/L

RCRA TOXICITY CHARACTERISTICS

Benzene	0.5 mg/L	Carbon Tetrachloride	0.5 mg/L
Chlorobenzene	100.0 mg/L	Chloroform	6.0 mg/L
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	7.5 mg/L	1,2-Dichloroethane	0.5 mg/L
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.7 mg/L	Methyl Ethyl Ketone	200.0 mg/L
Tetrachloroethene	0.7 mg/L	Trichloroethene	0.5 mg/L
Vinyl Chloride	0.2 mg/L		

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Matrix Spikes analyzed every 10 samples

EPA 601, 602, 603, 8.3

SM6020B, 3c, or Monthly (whichever is more frequent) (applies to all SM Organics methods)

Matrix Spike & Matrix Spike Duplicate each batch of 20 samples or fewer

40 CFR 141.40, App. A, 6, must also **alternate between mid- & low-level** concentrations for spikes (applies to SDWA Unregulated Contaminants)

EPA 1671, 9.3

EPA 8000, 8.5, applies to all 8000-series Organics mtds., may use sample dup. in place of MSD

Quality Control Check Samples analyzed every 10 samples

EPA 504.1, 9.3, concentration must be 0.25 ug/L for each target analyte

EPA 601 & 602, 8.1.5, frequency may be reduced if Matrix Spike recoveries meet all specified QC criteria

Method Detection Limit Requirements

WI-GRO, 0.1 mg/L for waters & 10 ppm for soils

ME 4.2.17, 10 ug/L for waters

CA-LUFT, 5 ppm headspace

GC Retention Time Definitions for Gasoline Range Organics

EPA 8015, TN-GRO: 2-Methylpentane to 124-Trimethylbenzene, valley-valley integration

MA-VPH: C5 to C8 Aliphatics, C9 to C12 Aliphatics, C9 to C10 Aromatics

WI-GRO, ME 4.2.17: MTBE to Naphthalene, forced baseline integration

MT-GRO: Iso-octane to 124-Trimethylbenzene, forced baseline integration

NWTPH-Gx: Toluene to Naphthalene, forced baseline integration

AK-101: C6 to C10, forced baseline integration

Initial Instrument Calibration Concentration Range Must Encompass Minimum Reporting Level of 1.0 ug/L 40 CFR Part 141, applies to Trihalomethanes