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Summary

In this report, the Florida Department of Health reviewed 2004 and 2006 soil test results from the Durrs neighborhood at the request of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. This neighborhood surrounds the 16.5-acre Lincoln Park Complex in Ft. Lauderdale, Florida. Previously, Florida DOH reviewed blood-lead test results and 2004 soil and groundwater test results.

The City of Ft. Lauderdale completely fenced the former incinerator area, cleaned contaminated soil on the “One-stop” property, and capped contaminated soil on the Lincoln Park playground. As a result, there are no current exposure pathways to soil contaminants on the Lincoln Park Complex site proper, as opposed to the potential for exposures to soil chemicals offsite in Durrs neighborhood yards and road right-of-ways, and other non-residential properties.

Chemicals found in off-site soil could have originated on the Lincoln Park Complex site as incinerator ash, wastewater treatment plant sludge, or from wastewater treatment plant flooding. Primary or secondary sources of chemicals measured in off-site soil could be residues from gasoline or diesel fuel combustion, asphalt roads or roofing materials, and residues from residential burning.

Although offsite soil testing is limited, the locations and levels of the chemicals measured seem to indicate incinerator ash may have been locally used as fill, because the highest arsenic and lead levels were measured in subsurface soil. In contrast, the highest dioxin and polychlorinated aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) levels were measured in surface soil. The occurrence of the highest dioxin levels near the site and in surficial soil may indicate dioxins moved off the site as residues when the wastewater treatment plant flooded. PAH levels may not be related to the site; their levels tended to be only slightly elevated and were highest near roads in surface soil, additionally the highest PAH levels were not measured nearest the site.

The extent of soil contamination in the Durrs neighborhood needs to be adequately determined. Residents who dig (or have dug) in their yards may bring (or may have brought) subsurface incinerator residues to the surface where they are more likely to be contacted. Once the areas and depths of soil contamination are known, measures can be taken to prevent contact with soils having elevated chemical contaminant levels.

Although elevated chemical levels have not been measured in the surface soils of properties that are currently residential, persons walking in bare feet or sandals could contact contaminated surface soil on vacant properties or on rights-or ways near the Lincoln Park Complex that have elevated contamination, especially children that might then accidentally eat (ingest) the contacted soil. Depending on where soil contaminants are measured and at what levels, removal operations, deed restrictions, and/or engineering controls can be used to prevent future chemical exposures, for workers on City property and areas accessing utility lines, and for residents.

Until the full extent of soil contamination is determined, The Florida DOH recommends area residents and workers should avoid contact with soil that contains ash, glass, or metal pieces that might allow them to accidentally eat (ingest) soil and inhale dust. In addition as a precaution, residents should:

- use safe gardening practices (Appendix C); and
- only grow edible fruits and vegetables using raised beds with clean soil or compost.
Purpose

The Florida Department of Health (DOH) evaluates the public health significance of environmental contamination through a cooperative agreement with the federal Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) in Atlanta, Georgia. In March 2005, the Florida DOH reported the results of blood lead testing of children attending the former Lincoln Park School at the Lincoln Park Complex in Ft. Lauderdale. In October 2005, Florida DOH assessed the public health threat from soil and groundwater in the Durrs neighborhood surrounding the Lincoln Park Complex. At the request of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP), Florida DOH reviewed the additional 2006 soil test results together with the 2004 Durrs neighborhood soil testing results in this report.

Background

The 16.5-acre Lincoln Park Complex is in a commercial and light industrial area north of Sistrunk Boulevard between NW 18th Avenue and Interstate 95, in Ft. Lauderdale (Broward County), Florida (Figure 1). The complex includes the former City of Ft. Lauderdale (City) municipal incinerator and later a waste water treatment plant, the former Lincoln Park School (now the One-stop Shop for City of Ft. Lauderdale permits), and the Lincoln Park playground. Remediation of the playground and One-stop properties and the fencing of the incinerator property prevent exposure to the on-site soil contamination.

At a November 2003 public meeting, the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) shared the results of environmental testing in the Lincoln Park Complex with nearby residents. Florida DEP found layers of ash in soil deeper than one to two feet. Residents living north of the complex reported finding similar buried debris in their yards. In response, Florida DEP tested 30 surface soil (0-3”) and 10 subsurface residential soil samples north of the complex in July 2004.

In a March 2005 health consultation report, Florida DOH found the blood lead levels in 40 children and three young adults attending or playing at the former Lincoln Park School were below the CDC guidelines for intervention for lead-poisoned individuals (ATSDR 2005d). In an October 2005 public health assessment report, Florida DOH reviewed all of the 2004 soil and groundwater test data for the Lincoln Park Complex (ATSDR 2005a). We found the site posed “no apparent public health hazard,” based on the information available at that time. We also found the health threat from past exposures was “indeterminate.” In the public health assessment, Florida DOH addressed arsenic, copper, dioxins and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in on-site surface soil (0-6’’); and arsenic, copper, lead, and PAHs in on-site subsurface soil (3-24’’). We concluded that recent exposures to surface soil are unlikely to have caused non-cancer illness. We also found “no apparent” increased theoretical cancer risk using the highest levels of all the chemicals measured in surface soil on the site.

In 2005, the City of Ft. Lauderdale secured grant funding for additional off-site soil testing. In February and March 2006, the City funded Florida DEP’s contractor to test 25 more surface and subsurface soil samples in the surrounding Durrs neighborhood. In June 2006, the Florida DEP asked the Florida DOH to evaluate these soil test results. In this health consultation, we evaluate these results in combination with the 2004 soil test results.

In 2000, about 19,643 persons lived within a 1-mile radius of the site. Approximately 39% were 19 years of age or less. Approximately 88% were black, 8% were white, and less than 3% were
Latinos/Hispanics, American Indians/Alaska Natives, Asian/Pacific Islanders, and all other racial/ethnic groups made up about 1% of the population (US Census Bureau 2000).

Community Health Concerns

Some residents in the Durrs neighborhood north of the Lincoln Park complex are concerned about the potential for contaminants in debris and waste materials they found buried in their yards.

Discussion

In this report, Florida DOH evaluates 2004 and 2006 test results from Durrs neighborhood soil. Florida DOH estimated soil ingestion and dust inhalation levels based on studies that measured people’s actual exposure levels via soil dust and ingestion using a computer program (Risk Assistant 1.1). We are not aware of any other completed exposure pathways. Site-related contamination has not been traced to off-site surface water.

Although the City’s contractor recently identified limited groundwater contamination in a monitoring well on the southeastern part of the site, municipal water is currently used by homes and businesses in the area, and drinking water wells are not likely to have been a past exposure pathway. However, some residents currently use irrigation wells. Although site-related contamination has not been measured in off-site groundwater, irrigation wells may be shallow and could pull in surface water. Because surface water may contain bacteria, DOH recommends people should not drink water from shallow irrigation wells or use it for bathing, cleaning food contact surfaces (like grills, dishes, or grilling utensils), or for rinsing food.

In July 2004, Florida DEP’s contractor collected surface soil samples at 30 locations and subsurface soil samples at 10 of those locations. They analyzed all the surface samples for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), metals, and dioxins. They analyzed all the subsurface samples for PAHs and metals and analyzed five samples for dioxins. They collected twenty-four surface soil samples on residential properties, half on City-owned, vacant or right-of-way properties which we designate “other” properties. They collected 4 subsurface soil samples on residential properties, and 6 on “other” properties.

In February and March 2006, Florida DEP’s contractor collected surface and subsurface soil samples at 25 locations. They analyzed all the surface samples for PAHs and metals, and 16 of the 25 samples for dioxins. They analyzed all the subsurface samples for PAHs and metals, and 15 of the 25 samples for dioxins. They collected nineteen surface and subsurface soil samples on residential properties, and 6 on “other” properties.

Levels of arsenic, dioxins, lead, and PAHs in some Durrs neighborhood soil samples were above ATSDR screening values. Arsenic, dioxins, lead, and PAHs are chemicals that could be associated with incinerator ash. Use of chlorine in wastewater treatment can also produce dioxins. We discuss the public health threat of these contaminants below. The highest soil concentration of barium is below the ATSDR screening values and is thus not likely to cause illness.

We separated the soil data into yards currently being used as residences and “other” areas (vacant lots, City property, and rights-of-way). In general, residential yards had lower contaminant levels than “other” areas. Surface soil (0-3 inches deep) in residential yards had lower levels of arsenic, lead, and dioxins than “other” areas. Subsurface soil (3-24 inches deep)
in residential yards had lower levels of dioxins and lead than “other” areas. The highest level of arsenic, however, was in subsurface soils in a residential yard.

Figures 2 through 5 (Appendix A) show the soil sample locations where chemicals were measured above the ATSDR 1 in 1 million additional cancer risk screening guideline (CREGs)†. Florida DEP found arsenic, dioxins, and lead immediately north and east of the site with higher concentrations in subsurface than surface soil. Conversely, they found PAHs scattered throughout the area with higher concentrations in surface than subsurface soil. The distribution and depth of PAHs in soil suggest they may be associated with road asphalt, roofing tar or shingles, and vehicle emissions rather than incinerator ash. PAHs also tended to have less elevated levels, relative to their screening values.

### Distribution of Arsenic and Dioxin in Durrs Neighborhood Surface/Subsurface Soil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Type</th>
<th>Arsenic and Dioxins &gt;1 in 1 million cancer-risk soil value</th>
<th>Percentage (Count/Total)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface soil (0-3”)</td>
<td>Arsenic and dioxins &gt;1 in 1 million cancer-risk soil value</td>
<td>38% (21/55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsurface soil (3-24”)</td>
<td>Arsenic and dioxins &gt;1 in 1 million cancer-risk soil value</td>
<td>49% (17/35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although contractors for Florida DEP have tested subsurface soil in 35 locations, the full extent of soil contamination in the Durrs neighborhood north and east of the site has still not been determined. Current data suggests that soil contamination may extend further to the north and east of the Lincoln Park Complex. Additional soil testing between NW 7th St and NW 7th Place, and one block east of NW 18th Place between NW6th Place and NW 7th Place (Figure 6) is necessary to assess the public health risk fully. Until the full extent of soil contamination is determined, residents living north and east of the Lincoln Park Complex (Figure 6) should avoid contact with soil that contains ash, glass, or metal pieces. Residents in this area that have ash, glass, or metal pieces in their soil should use the safe gardening practices outlined in Appendix C. Residents with ash, glass, or metal pieces in their soil should only grow edible fruits and vegetables using raised beds with clean soil or compost.

### Public Health Implications

In this health consultation, we evaluate the risk of illness from exposure to soil in the surrounding Durrs neighborhood via incidental ingestion or inhalation of air-borne dust. We use a computer program (Risk Assistant 1.1) to estimate soil ingestion and dust inhalation levels.

Florida DOH evaluates chemical exposures by estimating daily doses for children and adults (Tables 5a, 5b, 6a, and 6b). A dose is an amount of chemical per body weight per day. Florida DOH compares estimated doses to amounts having known health effects from animal studies or from human medical reports (Tables 8 & 9). We use the units of milligrams (mg) of contaminant per kilogram (kg) of body weight per day (mg/kg/day). A milligram is 1/1,000 of a gram (a gram weighs about as much as a small raisin or paper clip); a kilogram is approximately 2 pounds.

† CREG: ATSDR’s Cancer Risk Evaluation Guide. A concentration in air, water, or soil (or other environmental media), which is derived from EPA’s cancer slope factor and carcinogenic risk of 1x10-6 for oral exposure. It is the concentration that would be expected to cause no more than one excess cancer in a million persons exposed over a lifetime.
The following sections describe the relationships of the doses we calculated for the highest measured soil concentrations to health effects at the lowest doses known from human medical reports or animal studies. For each chemical, we considered:

- Child and adult exposures
- Inhalation and ingestion exposures
- Residential and “other” location exposures
- Surface and subsurface soil exposures

Compared to ingestion, the estimated dose for inhalation was insignificant. This means that, if the ingestion and inhalation doses are added together, the inhalation doses insignificantly increase the entire exposure dose. Therefore, we only considered the ingestion doses for exposure. **Because we considered so many exposure scenarios (Tables 8 and 9), we compare only the highest estimated doses with the lowest doses known to cause illness in the following sections.** Similarly, for cancer causing chemicals we discuss only the largest theoretical increased cancer risk. We assumed residents are exposed to subsurface soil (3-24 inches deep) although routine contact with subsurface soil is unlikely.

**Arsenic**

Numerous medical studies document adverse health effects of long-term ingestion of arsenic-contaminated water. We compare the highest estimated daily soil dose for Durr's neighborhood children and adults to the results of these studies. Recent animal studies indicate that mammals absorb between a quarter and a third of the arsenic that they ingest with their food, which may mean that soil ingestion results in a lower absorbed dose of arsenic than would result from arsenic-contaminated water (DEP 2005).

The highest estimated arsenic dose was for a child’s daily ingestion of residential subsurface soil. This dose, 0.0007 mg/kg/day, is 1/3 the dose associated with cerebrovascular disease and interruption of the blood supply to the brain (resulting in brain damage). This dose is also about 1/3 the dose associated with adverse skin effects known form contaminated drinking water. While recent studies (Wasserman et al. 2004) have shown children’s mental deficits beginning at this dose, daily exposure to subsurface soil is unlikely and all the other estimated exposure levels are lower. The highest surface soil dose (for a non-residential property) was about half the subsurface dose; for residential property, the highest measured level was 10 times lower than the highest-measured subsurface dose.

Based on limited off-site sampling, it appears that if incinerator ash was used as fill, it may generally still be buried; therefore the assumptions we made when calculating doses for daily, long-term (chronic) exposures probably are not being met with subsurface soil. Florida DOH’s primary motivation for estimating the likelihood of adverse health effects for chronic exposures at the estimated dose levels was to demonstrate the need for determining where offsite contaminants occur so that appropriate measures are taken to prevent potential future exposures, including in areas with contaminated subsurface soil. Depending on where soil contaminants are measured and at what levels, removal operations, deed restrictions, and/or engineering controls can be used to prevent future chemical exposures, for workers on City property and for residents.

Although chronic exposures to subsurface soils are currently unlikely, the theoretical increased cancer risk for an adult’s daily exposure to arsenic in residential subsurface soil is 5 in 100,000,
between “low” and “no apparent increased risk”. Different cancers are associated with different arsenic doses. From lowest to highest dose, chronic arsenic exposures in people have been linked to lung cancer, basal and squamous cell skin cancers, liver cancer (haemangioendothelioma), urinary tract cancers (bladder, kidney, ureter and all urethral cancers), and intraepidermal cancers. Intraepidermal is the name for the early pre-invasive form of squamous cell skin cancer (ATSDR 2006).

**Dioxin**

Dioxins are a family of chemicals with similar structures and differing toxicities. The toxicities of each congener (chemical family member) are related to the most well studied member (2,3,7,8-dibenzo-p-dioxin) and they are added together to give a toxicity equivalent (TEQ) for the entire family. Dioxins can be produced when materials containing chlorine such as plastics are burned. Primary and secondary treatment of sewage and wastewater as well as the use of oxidation ponds also creates dioxins. Municipal garbage incineration, burning of yard trash and wastewater treatment may have contributed to the dioxin found in soil near the Lincoln Park Complex.

The highest dioxin TEQ dose was estimated for children via surface soil ingestion at one of the “other” properties. Because this dose was 40 times lower than the dose associated with altered developmental, social, and reproductive effects in a long-term study of rhesus monkeys fed dioxins in their food, and children’s daily exposures to non-residential property soils are less likely to occur daily, non-cancer health effects from the measured dioxin levels are unlikely. DEP’s contractors measured dioxins at lower levels in surface soil at residential properties and in subsurface soils, see Tables 8 and 9, Appendix B.

While daily long-term exposures might be unlikely to surface soil dioxins on “other” properties, the theoretical increased cancer risk for an adult’s daily exposure is 2 in 100,000, or “no apparent increased risk”. For specific information addressing dioxin and cancer links, see Tables 8 and 9.

**Lead**

For lead, estimated blood levels more accurately predict health effects than traditional dose estimates. We used a simple model (ATSDR 2006) to estimate blood lead levels from exposure to the highest levels of lead in Durrs neighborhood soil (Table 1). This model takes into account children and adults’ exposures to lead from sources other than soil. Using this model, DOH assumed people might be exposed to lead-contaminated soil 8 hours per day. While this might be an exceptional assumption for other parts of the United States, it might be reasonable for persons not working outside the home, given the enjoyable climate and weather in Ft. Lauderdale. Table 7 (Appendix B) lists other model assumptions.
Table 1. Modeled (Estimated) Blood Lead Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Child Blood Lead (µg/dl)</th>
<th>Adult Blood Lead (µg/dl)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential surface soil (maximum = 290 mg/kg)</td>
<td>1.5 – 3.3</td>
<td>0.8 – 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Other” surface soil (maximum = 330 mg/kg)</td>
<td>1.5 – 3.6</td>
<td>0.9 – 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential subsurface soil (maximum = 870 mg/kg)</td>
<td>2.6 – 7.2</td>
<td>2.0 – 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Other” subsurface soil (maximum = 4,500 mg/kg)</td>
<td>9.8 – 31.1</td>
<td>9.2 – 30.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram or parts per million (ppm)
µg/dl = micrograms per deciliter

Current Centers for Disease Control Guidelines call for intervention when people’s blood lead levels (BLLs) are above 10 µg/dl. Intervention measures include determining where the person is contacting lead in their environments and abating that source. The BLLs FDOH modeled from the highest residential surface (290 ppm) and subsurface (870 ppm) soil lead levels are below this intervention BLL. Only the non-residential subsurface soil contained lead (4,500 ppm) at a level that might increase children’s or adult’s BLLs over this guideline; however, since this soil is non-residential and subsurface, chronic exposures are currently unlikely.

We discuss the potential for adverse health effects from chronic exposures to soil the model shows might be below CDC’s intervention levels, because:

- The adverse health effects documented for low blood-lead levels include conditions that could exist for other reasons in the community, therefore chronic exposures to soil with lead might add to the causes of these conditions,
- Off-site soil testing is incomplete, and
- We saw two gardens when we took residents’ soil test results door-to-door.

Chronic exposures to the highest lead level measured in residential surface soil (290 mg/kg) might result in BLLs between 1.5 and 2.9 µg/dl. In children, very low BLLs decreased the activity of an enzyme (ALAD) necessary for heme synthesis (no blood lead threshold level, Roels & Lauwerys 1987). Decreased heme synthesis can lead to anemia. Neurological and immunological effects occur in children at relatively low levels of exposure (1-17 µg/dl, Altmann et al. 1998; and 1-45 µg/dl Winneke et al. 1994). A BLL average of 2.3 µg/dl increased blood pressure in adults (Den Hond et al. 2002).

Chronic exposures to the highest lead level measured in residential subsurface soil (870 mg/kg) may currently be much less likely than exposures to surface soil; however, if these soils were ever dug up, chronic exposures to them could have greater health impact because the lead level is higher. If excavated, chronic exposures to soil with the highest lead level might result in BLLs between 2.6 and 6.8 µg/dl. In children, BLLs of:

- 5.4 µg/dl (Chiodo et al. 2001) were linked with decrements in attention, executive function, and visual-motor integration;
- 7.7 µg/dl (Canfield et al. 2003) were linked with decline of 7.4 IQ points, and

† Most of the modeled levels are below Centers for Disease Control (CDC) guidelines of 10 µg/ dl for intervention when patients’ actual blood lead levels are tested, adverse health effects are documented at blood lead levels below this intervention guideline level.
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- 7-80 µg/dl (Angle and McIntire 1978; Angle et al. 1982) were linked with decreases in enzymes involved in cellular-level metabolism.

In adults, BLLs of:
- 3.3 µg/dl (mean, Muntner et al. 2003) were linked to decreased kidney function,
- 4.5 µg/dl (mean, Gennart et al. 1992) were linked to impaired cognitive performance (measurable, objective mental processes),
- ≥ 5.1 µg/dl (Torres-Sanchez et al. 1999) were linked to increased pre-term births to exposed mothers.

These modeled levels for residential subsurface soil do not represent levels people are likely to be exposed to currently, especially on a daily basis. Rather, they represent the potential for exposure, potential that may not be recognized by the community, and potential that may become more likely if residents dig on their property. For example, if residents plant shrubs and trees this might result in greater exposure potentials later, if contaminated buried materials are brought to the surface. The higher exposure levels that might come from currently buried materials adds urgency to the need to characterize offsite areas with incinerator ash and the need for implementation of mechanisms to prevent future exposures.

Currently, daily exposures to subsurface soil (the highest measured lead level was 4,500 ppm) on vacant properties, City-owned properties, or road right-of-ways, probably would be less likely than the levels we modeled and would probably be work-related. However, if these materials were ever excavated, chronic exposures could result in the highest modeled BLLs (9.8-30.7 µg/dl). As with residential subsurface soil, we modeled potential BLLs for chronic exposures to show that the areas with contaminated soils need to be identified (and addressed), to anticipate and prevent potential future exposures. In children, in addition to the results described above for lower BLLs, BLLs of:

- 11.9 µg/dl (Bhattacharya et al. 1993) were linked with postural disequilibrium (dizziness when standing);
- 12-120 µg/dl (Mahaffey et al. 1982, Rosen et al. 19890) were linked with decreased Vitamin D metabolism, and
- 12-17 µg/dl (Bornschein et al. 1989, McMichael et al. 1996, Moore et al. 1982, Ward et al. 1987, Wibberly et al. 1977) were linked reduced birth weight and/or reduced gestational age, and increased incidence of stillbirth and neonatal death.

In adults, BLLs of:
- > 10 µg/dl (mean, Muntner et al. 2003) were linked to increased incidence of miscarriages and stillbirths, and
- 36 µg/dl (mean, Chia et al. 1992) were linked with postural disequilibrium (dizziness when standing).

Blood lead levels above 10 µg/dl have not been confirmed for residents near the Lincoln Park Complex site. The Broward County Health Department offered blood-lead testing in April 2004 to anyone who was concerned they might have had exposure to lead-contaminated soil associated with the Lincoln Park Complex site. The County Health Department publicized this free testing (for children six years of age and younger, living in the 33311 zip code) through a press release to major and community media outlets. Broward County Health Department staff provided the testing at the Sunrise Health Center—Edgar P. Mills Multipurpose Center at 900

α Our model assumed daily exposure, for eight hours a day, a scenario unlikely with subsurface soil, but deliberately done to show why future exposures to this buried soil should be prevented.
NW 31st Avenue in Fort Lauderdale. Testing was available on Wednesday April 7, 2004 from 8:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. and Thursday, April 8, 2004 from 4:00 p.m. at 7:00 p.m. Approximately 50 persons were tested, including some adults, but none had blood lead level greater than or equal to 10 µg/dl.

The Environmental Protections Agency (EPA) considers lead to be a probable human carcinogen. While worker studies have shown limited associations between elemental lead exposure and lung, stomach, kidney, and gliomal (brain and spinal cord) cancers in humans, a dose-response relationship has not been established and a cancer slope factor has not been calculated. Therefore, we were unable to calculate a lifetime excess cancer risks these estimated lead exposures.

**Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)**

Like dioxins, PAHs are a family of chlorinated compounds formed when organic chemicals (garbage, coal, oil, gasoline, wood, tobacco, and charbroiled meat) are burned. They are also found in asphalt, crude oil, coal, coal tar pitch, creosote, and roofing tar. To evaluate toxicity, we relate the toxicities of the carcinogenic PAH family members to the toxicity of benzo(a)pyrene, and then add them together for the PAH toxicity equivalent (TEQ).

The location of PAHs in surface soil (Figure 3) suggests they might not be exclusively site related. PAHs in soil may be more related to the proximity of asphalt and vehicle emissions from roads. Only 3 surface soil PAH TEQs were greater than 10 times the ATSDR 1 in 1 million increased cancer risk screening value. One soil sample appeared to contain roofing tar or asphalt. While 25 of 45 surface soil samples contained PAHs above the screening value, only 7 of 35 subsurface samples contained elevated PAHs. These 7 are located near roads rather than near the site (Figure 5).

The theoretical increased cancer risk for residential children’s and adults’ exposures to subsurface soil with the highest PAH TEQ level is 2 in 100,000, or “no apparent” increased risk, all other measured levels are lower and are listed in Tables 8 and 9.

**Exposures to Mixtures**

Dioxins (Schantz et al. 1992), arsenic (Wasserman et al. 2004) and lead (Chiodo et al. 2004) have been linked with developmental decrements at or near the lowest levels of exposure having reported health effects. Although the off-site sampling has been limited:

- 1 surface and 3 subsurface soil samples contained dioxin sufficient to produce children’s doses above the minimum risk level calculated from a study showing adverse developmental effects in monkeys,
- 1 subsurface soil sample contained arsenic at a level that causes developmental and cognitive effects in children drinking arsenic-contaminated water, and
- 2 surface and 6 subsurface soil samples contained lead at levels that could affect children’s and adults’ cognitive processes.

Daily, long-term exposures to more than one of these chemicals in soil could have additive effects. Complete characterization of off-site soil contamination will assure that the future measures taken adequately safeguard children from possible developmental decrements.
Cancers from Mixtures - Although the highest individual chemical levels were not measured in samples from the same locations, we added the cancer risks for residential yards and “other” properties. While the theoretical increased cancer risk is higher for subsurface soil, people are not likely to have daily exposure to it. The theoretical increased cancer risk from exposure to the mixture to chemicals found in residential yards is between “no apparent increase” (1 in 100,000) and “low increased risk” (1 in 10,000). Arsenic, dioxin, lead, and PAHs have been linked to lung cancer. Arsenic dioxin and PAHs have been linked to skin cancer. In additions, arsenic has been linked to liver, gastrointestinal, and urinary tract cancers (stomach, intestines, kidney, and bladder). PAHs have been linked to bladder and other cancers. Lead has been linked to kidney, stomach, brain and spinal cord cancers. Dioxin has been linked with soft-tissue sarcoma, non-Hodgkin’s lymphomas, liver and thyroid cancers.

### Increased Theoretical Cancer Risk from Chemical Mixture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Residential Yards</th>
<th>“Other” Properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>· Surface Soil</td>
<td>“no apparent increase” ( \gamma )</td>
<td>“low increased risk” ( \gamma )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 in 100,000 ( \gamma )</td>
<td>5 in 100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Subsurface Soil</td>
<td>“low increased risk” ( \gamma )</td>
<td>“low increased risk” ( \gamma )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 in 100,000 ( \gamma )</td>
<td>5 in 100,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Quality Assurance and Quality Control
The completeness and reliability of the referenced environmental data determine the validity of the analyses and conclusions drawn for this health consultation. Florida DOH used existing environmental data. We assume these data are valid: Florida DEP’s contractor and the laboratory they used have approved comprehensive quality assurance project plans.

#### Child Health Considerations
ATSDR and FDOH recognize the unique vulnerabilities of infants and children demand special attention (ATSDR 2005b). Children are at a greater risk than are adults for some hazardous substance exposures. Because children are smaller than adults are, their exposures can result in higher doses of chemical per body weight. If toxic exposures occur during critical growth stages, the developing body systems of children can sustain permanent damage. Probably most important, however, is that children depend on adults for risk identification and risk management, hygiene awareness, and access to medical care. Thus, adults should be aware of public health risks in their community so they can guide their children accordingly. In recognition of these concerns, ATSDR developed the chemical screening values for children’s exposures that FDOH used in preparing this report to address site-specific child health considerations.

Susceptible populations have different or enhanced responses to toxic chemicals than most people. Reasons include genetic makeup, age, health, nutritional status, and exposure to other toxic substances (like cigarette smoke or alcohol). These factors may limit a susceptible person’s ability to detoxify or excrete harmful chemicals or may increase the effects of damage to their organs or systems. For example, persons with the ALAD2 gene may be genetically susceptible to

---

\( \gamma \) Total does not include the cancer risk from PAHs which may not be site related.

\( \gamma \) We did not use the cancer risk for the highest PAH value measured at one residence, because it was 2 in 10,000 and the other highest PAH values gave an increased risk of 2 in 1 million. FDOH asked FDEP about this PAH anomaly and they thought there might have been asphalt in this sample.
malignant meningioma. Persons with the ALAD2 gene tend to have higher lead concentrations in their blood, and lead has been shown to increase the risk of brain cancer, particularly meningioma (Ranjaraman et al. 2005).

Conclusions

1. Based on the limited available data, the public health hazard category for surface soil contaminants on residential properties is “No Apparent Public Health Hazard” although daily exposures lasting for periods longer than a year (chronic exposures) to the highest levels of lead measured in surface soil might adversely affect sensitive subpopulations with high blood pressure and anemia. Arsenic, lead, and dioxin levels measured in residential surface soil might adversely affect sensitive subpopulations such as children with developmental disabilities.

Some of the levels of contaminants in residential surface and subsurface soil exceed the Florida residential Soil Target Cleanup Levels. The theoretical increased cancer risk from long-term exposures to a mixture of the highest measured levels of contaminants in residential surface soil is “low” to “no apparent.”

Arsenic and lead levels are generally higher in subsurface soils than in surface soils on residential properties, indicating incinerator ash may have been used as fill. Digging into subsurface soils on residential properties could increase residents’ exposure potentials especially if buried wastes are brought to the surface.

2. Some off-site soil on non-residential properties that has been tested would be a “public health hazard” if people had daily, long-term exposures to it.

Modeling indicates daily exposures to off-site subsurface soils with the highest lead levels could result in blood lead levels above the Centers of Disease Control’s action level (for intervention) of 10 µg/L. While the model assumptions we made (daily, long-term exposures, lasting 8 hours a day) are unlikely to be met for buried soils that are not currently on residential property, these levels indicate a potential for excess lead exposure. The community may not recognize this potential, and workers who may replace or repair utility lines or cables buried in road right-of-ways, or may carry out construction on vacant properties, similarly may not recognize their potential for exposure.

3. Based on the distribution and the measured levels, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in Durrs neighborhood soil do not appear to be related to the Lincoln Park Complex site.

Recommendations

1. Collect additional surface and subsurface soil samples from the Durrs neighborhood north and east of the Lincoln Park Complex (Figure 6). Analyze for arsenic, lead, and dioxins to characterize the extent and levels of contamination.

† Between 1 in 100,000 and 1 in 10,000 risk of an increased cancer case over the expected numbers of cases of all types of cancers combined.
2. Take measures to prevent future contamination exposures once the levels and extent of contamination are known.

3. Until the full extent of soil contamination is determined, avoid contact with soil in the untested areas north and east of the Lincoln Park Complex that contains ash, glass, or metal pieces (Figure 6). Residents in this untested area that have ash, glass, or metal pieces in their soil should use the safe gardening practices outlined in Appendix C. Residents with ash, glass, or metal pieces in their soil should only grow edible fruits and vegetables using raised beds with clean soil or compost. DEP has advised the residents whose yards have been tested and are known to have elevated levels of contaminants to follow these same recommendations.

**Public Health Action Plan**

Florida DOH will continue to work with DEP to inform and educate Durrs neighborhood residents.
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Figure 1: Location of the site in Ft. Lauderdale, Broward County
Figure 2: Levels of arsenic and dioxin in Durr's neighborhood surface soil (2004 and 2006) that could theoretically increase the risk of cancer related to arsenic or dioxin for persons having daily, long-term exposures by 1 extra case in 1 million persons, or more. ppm = parts per million ppt = parts per trillion
Figure 3: Levels of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in Durs neighborhood surface soil (2004 and 2006) that could theoretically increase the risk of cancer related to PAHs for persons having daily, long-term exposures by 1 extra case in 1 million persons, or more. ppm = parts per million.
Figure 4: Levels of arsenic and dioxin in Durr's neighborhood subsurface soil (2004 and 2006) that could theoretically increase the risk of cancer related to arsenic or dioxin for persons having daily, long-term exposures by 1 extra case in 1 million persons, or more. Lead values are above the Florida residential soil target cleanup level.
Figure 5: Levels of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in Durrs neighborhood subsurface soil (2004 and 2006) that could theoretically increase the risk of cancer related to PAHs for persons having daily, long-term exposures by 1 extra case in 1 million persons, or more. ppm = parts per million.
Figure 6: Areas of potential incinerator ash deposition that require more soil testing.
Appendix B—Tables
### Table 2a. Completed exposure pathways

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathway Name</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Environmental/Exposure Media</th>
<th>Point of Exposure</th>
<th>Route of Exposure</th>
<th>Exposed Population and land use</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contaminated off-site surface soil, dust</td>
<td>Residential soil and soil on other properties</td>
<td>Wastes, surface and subsurface soil</td>
<td>Off-site properties</td>
<td>Incidental ingestion and inhalation</td>
<td>Off-site residents/owners, workers</td>
<td>Past, Current, Future</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2b. Potential exposure pathways

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathway Name</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Environmental/Exposure Media</th>
<th>Point of Exposure</th>
<th>Route of Exposure</th>
<th>Exposed Population and land use</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contaminated off-site subsurface soil, dust</td>
<td>Residential soil and soil on other properties</td>
<td>Wastes, surface and subsurface soil</td>
<td>Off-site properties</td>
<td>Incidental ingestion and inhalation</td>
<td>Off-site residents/owners, workers</td>
<td>Past, Current, Future</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2c. Incomplete exposure pathways

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathway Name</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Environmental/Exposure Media</th>
<th>Point of Exposure</th>
<th>Route of Exposure</th>
<th>Exposed Population and land use</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shallow groundwater</td>
<td>Contaminated groundwater on the site</td>
<td>Shallow groundwater well</td>
<td>Off-site irrigation well</td>
<td>Incidental ingestion and inhalation</td>
<td>Down-gradient residents</td>
<td>Future</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 3. Maximum concentrations in off-site surface soil (0 to 3 inches below ground surface).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contaminants of Concern</th>
<th>Screening Value (mg/kg) ATSDR: Children/adults</th>
<th>DEP:</th>
<th>Highest Soil Concentration (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Location of Highest Concentration</th>
<th>Number Soil Samples Above Screening Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATSDR: DEP</td>
<td>DEP:</td>
<td>residences</td>
<td>Other*</td>
<td>residences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arsenic</td>
<td>20/200 EMEG</td>
<td>2.1 SCTL</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Front yard of 2nd res. N of former Inc. RSLPRSS-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>barium</td>
<td>30,000 400,000 EMEG</td>
<td>210 SCTL</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>BSL/120</td>
<td>Back yard, lot N. of former inc. LPRSS-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dioxin TEQ</td>
<td>0.00005/0.0007 EMEG 0.000007 SCTL</td>
<td>0.00003</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td></td>
<td>Side yard of 2nd res. N of former Inc. RSLPRSS-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lead</td>
<td>400 SCTL</td>
<td>BSL/290</td>
<td>BSL/330</td>
<td></td>
<td>Side yard of 2nd res. N of former Inc. RSLPRSS-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAHs TEQ</td>
<td>0.1 CREG</td>
<td>0.1 residential SCTL</td>
<td>51/3</td>
<td>0.447</td>
<td>Front yard of 2nd res. N of former LP school RS2LPSS-8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EMEG—Environmental Media Evaluation Guide
Inc. or Incin.—Incinerator Property
mg/kg—milligrams per kilogram
N—north
PAHs—polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
SCTL—FDEP’s Soil Target Cleanup Level for residential land uses.
* Other—sites include vacant lots, lots owned by the city, and road right-of-ways
### Table 4. Maximum concentrations in off-site subsurface soil (3 to 24 inches below ground surface).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contaminants of Concern</th>
<th>Screening Value (mg/kg)</th>
<th>DEP:</th>
<th>Highest Soil Concentration (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Location of Highest Concentration</th>
<th>Number Soil Samples Above Screening Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATSDR: Children/adults</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arsenic</td>
<td>20/200 EMEG</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>SCTL</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>ATSDR DEP 1/23 8/23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>residences</td>
<td>Other*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>barium</td>
<td>30,000 400,000 EMEG</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>SCTL</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>ATSDR DEP 0/23 3/23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>residences</td>
<td>Other*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dioxin TEQ</td>
<td>0.00005/0.0007 EMEG</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>residential SCTL</td>
<td>0.00008</td>
<td>ATSDR DEP 2/14 6/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>residences</td>
<td>Other*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lead</td>
<td>400 SCTL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>870</td>
<td>ATSDR DEP 3/23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAHs TEQ</td>
<td>0.1 CREG</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>residential SCTL</td>
<td>18.3/1.3</td>
<td>ATSDR DEP 7/23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EMEG—Environmental Media Evaluation Guide
Inc. or Incin.—Incinerator Property
mg/kg—milligrams per kilogram
N—north
PAHs—polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
SCTL—FDEP’s Soil Target Cleanup Level for residential land uses.
* Other—sites include vacant lots, lots owned by the city, and road right-of-ways.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contaminant of Concern (maximum concentration: mg/kg)</th>
<th>Oral MRL (mg/kg/day)</th>
<th>Soil/dust-Ingestion (mg/kg/day)</th>
<th>Inhalation MRL (mg/m³)</th>
<th>Soil/dust- Inhalation (mg/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Child</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arsenic (6.3)</td>
<td>0.0003 Chr</td>
<td>0.00008</td>
<td>0.000009</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>barium (230 mg/kg)</td>
<td>0.6 Chr</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dioxin TEQ (0.0003)</td>
<td>0.000000001 Chr</td>
<td>0.000000004</td>
<td>0.0000000004</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lead (290)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAHs (51)/(3)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0007/0.00004</td>
<td>0.00007/0.000002</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5b. Estimated doses from exposures to right-of-way, city-owned or vacant lot surface soil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contaminant of Concern (maximum concentration mg/kg)</th>
<th>Oral MRL (mg/kg/day)</th>
<th>Soil/dust-Ingestion (mg/kg/day)</th>
<th>Inhalation MRL (mg/m³)</th>
<th>Soil/dust- Inhalation (mg/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Child</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arsenic (30)</td>
<td>0.0003 Chr</td>
<td><strong>0.0004</strong></td>
<td>0.00004</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>barium (120 mg/kg)</td>
<td>0.6 Chr</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dioxin TEQ (0.0002)</td>
<td>0.000000001 Chr</td>
<td><strong>0.000000003</strong></td>
<td>0.000000003</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lead (330)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAHs (0.447)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.000006</td>
<td>0.0000006</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lincoln Park Complex, Durrs Neighborhood Soil
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Table 6a. Estimated doses from exposures to residential subsurface soil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contaminant of Concern (maximum concentration mg/kg)</th>
<th>Oral MRL (mg/kg/day)</th>
<th>Soil/dust-Ingestion (mg/kg/day)</th>
<th>Inhalation MRL (mg/m³)</th>
<th>Soil/dust- Inhalation (mg/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Child</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arsenic (53)</td>
<td>0.0003 Chr</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.00008</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>barium (520 mg/kg)</td>
<td>0.6 Chr</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dioxin TEQ (0.00008)</td>
<td>0.000000001 Chr</td>
<td>0.000000001</td>
<td>0.0000000001</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lead (870)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAHs (18.3)(1.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0002/</td>
<td>0.00003/</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6b. Estimated doses from exposures to right-of-way, city-owned or vacant lot subsurface soil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contaminant of Concern (maximum concentration mg/kg)</th>
<th>Oral MRL (mg/kg/day)</th>
<th>Soil/dust-Ingestion (mg/kg/day)</th>
<th>Inhalation MRL (mg/m³)</th>
<th>Soil/dust- Inhalation (mg/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Child</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arsenic (40)</td>
<td>0.0003 Chr</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.00006</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>barium (2300 mg/kg)</td>
<td>0.6 Chr</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dioxin TEQ (0.0001)</td>
<td>0.000000001 Chr</td>
<td>0.000000001</td>
<td>0.0000000001</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lead (4,500)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAHs (0.143)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.000002</td>
<td>0.000002</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Explanations for abbreviations and footnotes used on Tables 5 and 6.

Acute – Acute exposure length of 0-14 days
Int – Intermediate exposure length of 15-364 days
Chr – Chronic exposure length of more than 365 days
N.S. – Not Significant
mg/kg/day – milligram chemical per kilogram body weight per day
mg/m³ – microgram of chemical per cubic meter of air
M – values were modeled (tables precede these explanations)
MRL – Minimum Risk Level extrapolation of a No Observable Adverse Effect level in a study to exposures, calculated by dividing the study dose by safety factors.
Table 7. Estimated Blood Lead Concentrations In Children and Adults Ingesting Off-Site

(0 to 3” foot) Surface Soil and Subsurface Soil (3’-24”) (micrograms per deciliter - µg/dl)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Media</th>
<th>Children</th>
<th>Adults</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Off-site residential surface soil</td>
<td>1.5-3.3</td>
<td>0.8-2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-site other surface soil</td>
<td>1.5-3.6</td>
<td>0.9-3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-site residential subsurface soil</td>
<td>2.6-7.2</td>
<td>2.0-6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-site other subsurface soil</td>
<td>9.8-31.1</td>
<td>9.2-30.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values used to Estimated Blood Lead Concentrations in Persons Ingesting On-Site

(0 to 2 foot) Soil (micrograms per deciliter - µg/dl)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time 8 hrs a day for both</th>
<th>Values for children Slopess</th>
<th>Values for adults Slopess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Default Value from ATSDR 1999a, Appendix D.
These slopes were for children and adults from ATSDR 1999a, Appendix D.
ATSDR’s Regression Analysis with Multiple-uptake Parameters to Estimate Blood Lead from Environmental Exposures (ATSDR 1999a, Appendix D)
Table 8. Comparison of doses calculated from highest measured surface soil values to lowest observable adverse effect levels (LOAELs) in animal and human medical studies. Bolded doses are above LOAEL or minimum risk level (MRL).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Soil Doses are in mg/kg/day, inh. are in mg/m³</th>
<th>theoretical increased cancer risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>children’s dose</td>
<td>adults’ dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>Ing 0.00008</td>
<td>Ing 0.000009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(residences)</td>
<td>Inh 0.000003</td>
<td>Inh 0.0000003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>Ing <strong>0.0004</strong></td>
<td>Ing 0.00004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(other†)</td>
<td>Inh 0.000002</td>
<td>Inh 0.000002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ATSDR 2000 (Update)

Child (residential) ingestion dose (0.00008) is 1/25 times the lowest observable adverse effect (LOAEL) dose (0.002, Chiou et al. 1997) associated with cerebrovascular disease and cerebral infarction (an interruption of the blood supply to any part of the brain, resulting in damaged brain tissue). Another study showed skin effects at 0.0018 (Haque et al., 2003). This child residential ingestion dose is 3.75 less than the MRL (0.0003) calculated from a no observable adverse effect level NOAEL (0.0008, Tseng et al.1968) for skin effects from long-term ingestion of arsenic in drinking water. ATSDR scientists divided this NOAEL dose (0.0008) by 3 to account for human diversity in calculating the MRL.

Adult (residential) ingestion dose is 8.8 times less than the (0.00008) dose referenced for children and 1/222nd the LOAEL dose, we would not expect skin or gastrointestinal health effects for most adults and children, at these exposure levels.

Inhalation (residential) exposure level (0.0000003) is 2,333 times less than the amount associated with increased risk of still birth in humans (0.0007, Ihrig et al., 1998, As 3⁺) and 23,333 times less than the dose causing dermatitis (0.007, Mohamed 1998, As 3⁺) in humans inhaling arsenic. Dermatitis is skin inflammation that may cause redness, pain, and occasionally itching.

Child (other) ingestion dose (**0.0004**) is 1/5⁰ the LOAEL dose (0.002, Chiou et al. 1997) associated with cerebrovascular disease and cerebral infarction (an interruption of the blood supply to any part of the brain, resulting in damaged brain tissue). This level is 1/3 more than the MRL (0.0003) calculated from the NOAEL (0.0008, Tseng et al.1968) for adverse skin effects from long-term ingestion of arsenic in drinking water. ATSDR scientists divided this NOAEL dose (0.0008) by 3 to account for human diversity in calculating the MRL (0.0003). Sensitive children or children ingesting more than the level of soil we estimated might experience adverse skin effects.

Adult (other) ingestion dose, 0.00004, is 10 times less than the children’s (other) dose (0.0004), we would not expect skin or gastrointestinal health effects for adults at this level of exposure.

Inhalation (other) exposure level (0.0000002) is 350 times less than the exposure level associated with increased risk of still birth in humans (0.0007, Ihrig et al., 1998, As 3⁺) and 3,500 times less than the dose causing dermatitis (0.007, Mohamed 1998, As 3⁺) in humans inhaling arsenic. Dermatitis is skin inflammation that may cause redness, pain, and occasionally itching.

Arsenic Associated cancers: From lowest to highest dose cancer effect levels, chronic arsenic exposures in people have been linked to lung cancer, basal and squamous cell skin cancers, liver cancer (haemangioendothelioma), urinary tract cancers (bladder, kidney, ureter, and all urethral cancers), and intraepidermal cancers. Intraepidermal is the name for the early pre-invasive form of squamous cell skin cancer. Pre-invasive means that the cancer cells are confined to the outermost layer of skin, the epidermis. At this stage, the cancer cells are unlikely to have spread to the lymph nodes, but they can spread along the skin surface. If left untreated, these cells can develop into an invasive cancer and spread into the lymphatic system.

†Other properties include right-of-ways, city-owned or vacant lot surface soil.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Soil Doses are in mg/kg/day, inh. are in mg/m³</th>
<th>theoretical increased cancer risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>children’s dose</td>
<td>adults’ dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium (residences)</td>
<td>Ing 0.003  Inh 0.00001</td>
<td>Ing 0.0003 Inh 0.00001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium (other*)</td>
<td>Ing 0.002  Inh 0.000007</td>
<td>Ing 0.0002 Inh 0.000007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Other properties include right-of-ways, city-owned or vacant lot surface soil.

ATSDR 2006 (Update) Draft

Child (residential) ingestion dose (0.003) is 200 times less than the chronic MRL (0.6 mg/kg/day). Rats dosed (115 mg/kg/day, NTP 1994) with barium chloride in their food for 90 days showed increased kidney weight. The NOAEL for this study was 65 mg/kg/day. ATSDR authors used this NOAEL to derive the chronic MRL of 0.6 for barium exposure by dividing the NOAEL by 100 (10 to account for extrapolation from animals to humans and 10 for human variability).

Adult (residential) ingestion dose (0.0003) is 10 times less than the (0.003) dose referenced for children, we would not expect kidney or other health effects for most adults and children, at these exposure levels.

Inhalation (other) exposure level. Medical case reports and animal studies are inadequate to establish the health effects of barium by inhalation. The lowest reported exposure level is 0.06 mg/m³/minute (Hicks et al. 1986). Guinea pigs exposed for an unspecified amount of time to this concentration of aerosolized barium chloride solution experienced bronchoconstriction. The estimated exposure level, (0.00001) is 6,000 times less.

Child (other) ingestion dose (0.002) is 300 times less than the chronic MRL (0.6 mg/kg/day). Rats dosed (115 mg/kg/day, NTP 1994) with barium chloride in their food for 90 days showed increased kidney weight. The NOAEL for this study was 65 mg/kg/day. ATSDR authors used this NOAEL to derive the chronic MRL of 0.6 for barium exposure by dividing the NOAEL by 100 (10 to account for extrapolation from animals to humans and 10 for human variability).

Adult (other) ingestion dose (0.0002) is 10 times less than the (0.003) dose referenced for children, we would not expect kidney or other health effects for most adults and children, at these exposure levels.

Inhalation (other) exposure level. Medical case reports and animal studies are inadequate to establish the health effects of barium by inhalation. The lowest reported exposure level is 0.06 mg/m³/minute (Hicks et al. 1986). Guinea pigs exposed for an unspecified amount of time to this concentration of aerosolized barium chloride solution experienced bronchoconstriction. The estimated exposure level, (0.000007) is 8,571 times less.

Barium associated cancers. Barium has not been shown to cause cancer in people or animals.
Lincoln Park Complex, Durrs Neighborhood Soil Health Consultation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Soil Doses are in mg/kg/day, inh. are in mg/m³</th>
<th>theoretical increased cancer risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>children’s dose</td>
<td>adults’ dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dioxin TEQ (residences)</td>
<td>Ing 0.000000000004</td>
<td>Ing 0.000000000004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inh 0.000000000002</td>
<td>Inh 0.000000000002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dioxin TEQ (other*)</td>
<td>Ing 0.0000000003</td>
<td>Ing 0.00000000003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inh 0.000000000001</td>
<td>Inh 0.000000000001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Other properties include right-of-ways, city-owned or vacant lot surface soil.

ATSDR 1998a (Update)

Child res. ingestion dose (0.000000000004) is 1/300th the dose (0.00000012, Rier et al., 1993) associated with reproductive effects (moderate endometriosis) and altered social behavior in a dioxin rhesus monkey study. This dose is also 2.5 times less than the MRL of 0.000000001 calculated from the 0.000000012 dose (Schantz et al., 1992) at which rhesus monkeys showed adverse neurobehavioral and developmental effects. ATSDR authors divided this dose by an uncertainty factor of 90, 3 for use of a minimal LOAEL, 3 for extrapolation from animals to humans and 10 for human variability. The results of other oral animal studies suggest that the effects that occur at the lowest levels of dioxin doses are immune and endocrine, in addition to developmental effects. People’s ingestion exposures are mainly known from low levels of food contamination.

Adult res. ingestion dose (0.000000000004) is 10 times less than the residential children’s dose. We would not expect health effects for most adults and children at these residential exposure levels.

Child other ingestion dose (0.0000000003) is 1/40th the dose (0.00000012) associated with reproductive effects (moderate endometriosis) and altered social behavior in a dioxin rhesus monkey study. However, this dose is 3 times greater than the MRL. While this level might indicate an increased risk for children exposed daily, such exposures to the “other” properties might not occur daily.

Adult other ingestion dose (0.0000000003) is 400 times less than the (0.00000012) LOAEL health effects described above for children, it is unlikely adults would experience health effects from exposures at these levels.

Inhalation of dioxins has not been studied in animals. People’s occupational and accidental exposures to dioxin involve primarily inhalation and dermal exposure, but health effects are known primarily from associations with the levels stored in fat. The lowest levels of exposure are associated with hormone changes that can result in changes in sex ratios in children (more females are born). Higher levels are associated with immunosuppression, changes in the liver, abnormal glucose tolerance, and increased risk of diabetes. The highest exposure levels are associated with nervous system effects, chloracne, respiratory effects, and increased risk of cancer.

Cancers Statistically significant increases in risks for all cancers were found in workers highly exposed to dioxins with longer latency periods. Although the estimated Standardized Mortality Ratios (a ratio that is a direct comparison with a standard) are low, they are consistent across studies with the highest dioxin exposures. The evidence linking peoples’ doses with site-specific cancers is weaker, with some data suggesting a possible relationship between soft-tissue sarcoma, non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, or respiratory cancers including lung cancer. Animal studies have also shown associations with liver, thyroid and skin cancer.
Lincoln Park Complex, Durrs Neighborhood Soil
Health Consultation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Soil Doses are in mg/kg/day, inh. are in mg/m³</th>
<th>theoretical increased cancer risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>children’s dose</td>
<td>adults’ dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAHs TEQ (residences)</td>
<td>Ing 0.00007/0.00004</td>
<td>Ing 0.000007/0.0000002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inh 0.0000003/0.0000002</td>
<td>Inh 0.0000003/0.0000002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAHs TEQ (other†)</td>
<td>Ing 0.0000006</td>
<td>Ing 0.0000006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inh 0.000000002</td>
<td>Inh 0.000000002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ATSDR 1995 (Update)

Child res. ingestion dose (0.0007) is 3,714 times less than the dose (2.6, Neal and Rigdon, 1967) associated with stomach cancer in mice exposed to benzo[a]pyrene ad lib in food for 30 to 197 days (non-cancer illnesses are all associated with much higher doses).

Adult res. ingestion dose (0.000007) is 37,142 times less than the (2.6) dose associated with stomach cancer in mice. Inhalation res. exposure level (0.00000002) is 5,000 times less than the dose (0.0001, Gupta et al. 1993) associated with reduced lung function, abnormal chest x-ray, cough, bloody vomit, and throat and chest irritation, in persons exposed from 6 months to 6 years.

Child other ingestion dose (0.000006) is 433,333 times less than the dose (2.6) dose associated with stomach cancer in mice. Adult other ingestion dose (0.0000006) is 4,333,333 times less than the (2.6) dose associated with stomach cancer in mice.

Inhalation other exposure level (0.00000002) is 5,000 times less than the dose (0.0001, Gupta et al. 1993) associated with reduced lung function, abnormal chest x-ray, cough, bloody vomit, and throat and chest irritation, in persons exposed from 6 months to 6 years.

Cancer and occupational studies Worker exposures to high levels of PAHs show cancers (skin, bladder, lung and gastrointestinal) are the most significant endpoints of PAH toxicity. Long-term worker PAH exposures have been linked with skin and eye irritation, photosensitivity, respiratory irritation (with cough and bronchitis), leukoplakia, precancerous skin growths enhanced by exposure to sunlight, erythema, skin burns, acneiform lesions, mild hepatotoxicity, and haematuria. Also several PAH compounds are immunotoxic, and some suppress selective compounds of the immune system. Workers’ dermal exposure studies indicate that although direct contact may be of concern at high exposure levels, they do not suggest that lower levels are likely to cause significant irritation (Goodfellow et al. 2001).

† Other properties include right-of-ways, city-owned or vacant lot surface soil.

Δ Erythema nodosum is an inflammation of subcutaneous fat tissue.
€ Hematuria is passage of blood in the urine.
Ω Leukoplakia is a common, potentially pre-cancerous disease of the mouth that involves the formation of white spots on the mucous membranes of the tongue and inside of the mouth. Despite the increased risk associated with having leukoplakia, many people with this condition never get oral cancer.
Table 9. Comparison of doses calculated from highest measured subsurface soil values to lowest observable adverse effect levels (LOAELs) in animal and human medical studies. Bolded doses are above LOAEL or minimum risk level (MRL).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Soil Doses are in mg/kg/day</th>
<th>theoretical increased cancer risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>children’s dose</td>
<td>adults’ dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic (residences)</td>
<td>Ing 0.0007</td>
<td>Ing 0.00008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inh 0.000003</td>
<td>Inh 0.000003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic (other†)</td>
<td>Ing 0.0005</td>
<td>Ing 0.00006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inh 0.000002</td>
<td>Inh 0.000002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATSDR 2000 (Update)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Child res. ingestion dose (0.0007) is about 1/3rd the LOAEL dose (0.002, Chiou et al. 1997) associated with cerebrovascular disease and cerebral infarctions. Another study showed skin effects at 0.0018 (Haque, et al., 2003). This child residential ingestion dose is also 2.3 times greater than the MRL (0.0003) calculated from the NOAEL (0.0008) for adverse skin effects seen in another long-term study of ingestion of arsenic in drinking water. ATSDR scientists divided this NOAEL dose (0.0008, Tseng et al. 1968) by 3 to account for human diversity in calculating the MRL (0.0003). While sensitive children might experience cerebrovascular or skin effects if they had daily, long-term exposures to this arsenic–contaminated soil, such exposures might not occur daily since this soil is in the subsurface. Adult res. ingestion dose is 8.75 times less than the (0.0007) dose referenced for children and 3.75 times less than the MRL. Most adults would be unlikely to experience skin or gastrointestinal health effects. Inhalation res. exposure level (0.000003) is 1/233rd the amount associated with increased risk of stillbirth in humans (0.0007, Ihrig et al., 1998, As 3+) and 2,333 times less than the dose causing dermatitis (0.0007, Mohamed 1998, As 3+) in humans inhaling arsenic. Dermatitis is skin inflammation that may cause redness, pain, and occasionally itching. Because the soil containing this level of arsenic is not directly at the surface, persons are less likely to have daily exposure to it; therefore, the risk of adverse health effect could be even less likely than these factors indicate. Child other ingestion dose (0.0005) is 1/4th the LOAEL dose (0.002) associated with cerebrovascular disease and cerebral infarctions and skin effects at 0.0018. This dose is 1.5 times the MRL (0.0003). As with the child residential dose, while sensitive children might experience gastrointestinal or skin effects if they had daily, long-term exposures to this arsenic-contaminated soil, such exposures may not occur daily to this subsurface soil. Adult other ingestion dose is 8.75 times less than the children’s other dose (0.0005) dose. We would not expect skin or gastrointestinal health effects for adults at this level of exposure. Inhalation other exposure level (0.000002) is 350 times less than the amount associated with increased risk of still birth in humans (0.0007) and 3,500 times less than the dose causing dermatitis (0.0007) in humans inhaling arsenic. Dermatitis is skin inflammation which might have symptoms that include redness, pain, and occasionally itching. Arsenic Associated cancers: From lowest to highest dose cancer effect levels, chronic arsenic exposures in people have been linked to lung cancer, basal and squamous cell skin cancers, liver cancer (haemangoendothelioma), urinary tract cancers (bladder, kidney, ureter, and all urethral cancers), and intraepidermal cancers. Intraepidermal is the name for the early pre-invasive form of squamous cell skin cancer. Pre-invasive means that the cancer cells are confined to the outermost layer of skin, the epidermis. At this stage, the cancer cells are unlikely to have spread to the lymph nodes, but they can spread along the skin surface. If left untreated, these cells can develop into an invasive cancer and spread into the lymphatic system.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Other properties include right-of-ways, city-owned or vacant lot surface soil.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Soil Doses are in mg/kg/day</th>
<th>theoretical increased cancer risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>children’s dose</td>
<td>adults’ dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium (residences)</td>
<td>Ing 0.007</td>
<td>Ing 0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inh 0.00003</td>
<td>Inh 0.00003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium (other†)</td>
<td>Ing 0.03</td>
<td>Ing 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inh 0.0001</td>
<td>Inh 0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ATSDR 2006 (Update)*

Draft

Child res. ingestion dose (0.007) is 86 times less than the MRL. Rats dosed (115 mg/kg/day, NTP 1994) with barium chloride in their food for 90 days showed increased kidney weight. The NOAEL for this study was 65 mg/kg/day. ATSDR authors used the NOAEL from this study to derive the chronic MRL of 0.6 for barium exposure by dividing the NOAEL by 100 (10 to account for extrapolation from animals to humans and 10 for human variability).

Adult res. ingestion dose (0.0007) is 10 times less than the (0.007) dose referenced for children, we would not expect kidney or other health effects for most adults and children, at these exposure levels.

Child other ingestion dose (0.03) is 20 times less than the MRL of 0.6.

Adult other ingestion dose (0.003) is 200 times less than the MRL of 0.6. We would not expect kidney or other health effects for most adults and children, at these exposure levels, nor would we expect daily exposure to the non-residential subsurface soils.

†Other properties include right-of-ways, city-owned or vacant lot surface soil.

Inhalation exposure level Medical case reports and animal studies are inadequate to establish the health effects of barium by inhalation. The lowest reported exposure level is 0.06 mg/m³/minute. Guinea pigs exposed for an unspecified amount of time to this concentration of aerosolized barium chloride solution experienced bronchoconstriction. The estimated exposure level, (0.0001) is 600 times less.

Barium associated cancers. Barium has not been shown to cause cancer in people or animals.
Lincoln Park Complex, Durrs Neighborhood Soil
Health Consultation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Soil Doses are in mg/kg/day</th>
<th>theoretical increased cancer risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>children’s dose</td>
<td>adults’ dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dioxin TEQ (residences)</td>
<td>Ing 0.0000000001</td>
<td>Ing 0.0000000001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inh 0.000000000004</td>
<td>Inh 0.000000000004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dioxin TEQ (other†)</td>
<td>Ing 0.0000000001</td>
<td>Ing 0.0000000001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inh 0.000000000006</td>
<td>Inh 0.000000000006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATSDR 1998a (Update)</td>
<td>Child res. ingestion dose (0.0000000001) is 1/120th the dose (0.00000012, Rier et al., 1993) associated with reproductive effects (moderate endometriosis) and altered social behavior in a rhesus monkey dioxin ingestion study. This dose is also equal to the MRL of 0.0000000001 calculated from the 0.00000012 dose (Schantz et al., 192) at which rhesus monkeys showed adverse neurobehavioral and developmental effects. ATSDR authors divided this dose by an uncertainty factor of 90, 3 for use of a minimal LOAEL, 3 for extrapolation from animals to humans and 10 for human variability. The results of other oral animal studies suggest that the effects that occur at the lowest levels of dioxin doses are immune and endocrine, in addition to developmental effects. People’s ingestion exposures are mainly known from low levels of food contamination. Adult res. ingestion dose (0.0000000001) is 10 times less than the residential children’s dose. We would not expect health effects for most adults and children at these residential exposure levels. Child other ingestion dose (0.0000000001) is 1/120th the dose (0.00000012) associated with reproductive effects (moderate endometriosis) and altered social behavior in a dioxin rhesus monkey study and it too equals the MRL. The results of oral animal studies suggest that the effects that occur at the lowest levels of dioxin doses are immune, endocrine, and developmental effects. People’s ingestion exposures are mainly known from low levels of food contamination. Adult res. ingestion dose (0.0000000001) is 10 times less than the residential children’s dose. We would not expect health effects for most adults and children at these exposure levels in the areas of other land use. Inhalation of dioxins has not been studied in animals. People’s occupational and accidental exposures to dioxin involve primarily inhalation and dermal exposure, but health effects are known primarily from associations with the levels stored in fat. The lowest levels of exposure are associated with hormone changes that can result in changes in sex ratios in children (more females are born). Higher levels are associated with immunosuppression, changes in the liver, abnormal glucose tolerance, and increased risk of diabetes. The highest exposure levels are associated with nervous system effects, chloracne, respiratory effects, and increased risk of cancer. Cancers Statistically significant increases in risks for all cancers were found in workers highly exposed to dioxins with longer latency periods. Although the estimated Standardized Mortality Ratios† are low†, they are consistent across studies with the highest dioxin exposures. The evidence linking peoples’ doses with site-specific cancers is weaker, with some data suggesting a possible relationship between soft-tissue sarcoma, non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, or respiratory cancers including lung cancer. Animal studies have also shown associations with liver thyroid and skin cancer.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Standardized Mortality / Morbidity Ratio (SMR) is a widely used method of reporting death or disease which adjusts for differences in age and sex across regions. It is a measure of premature mortality. Instead of giving an adjusted rate, the SMR gives a ratio that is a direct comparison with a standard (e.g. the entire state).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Soil Doses are in mg/kg/day</th>
<th>theoretical increased cancer risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>children’s dose</td>
<td>adults’ dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAHs TEQ (residences)</td>
<td>Ing 0.00002/0.00004</td>
<td>Ing 0.00003/0.000002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inh 0.000001/0.00000007</td>
<td>Inh 0.000001/0.00000007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAHs TEQ (other*)</td>
<td>Ing 0.0000002</td>
<td>Ing 0.0000002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inh 0.000000008</td>
<td>Inh 0.000000008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Other properties include right-of-ways, city-owned or vacant lot surface soil.

We would not expect non-cancer health effects for most adults and children at these residential or other exposure levels. Childhood ingestion dose (0.00002) is 13,000 times less than the dose (2.6, Neal and Rigdon, 1967) associated with stomach cancer in mice exposed to benzo[a]pyrene ad lib in food for 30 to 197 days (non-cancer illnesses are all associated with much higher doses).

Adul ingestion dose (0.00003) is 6.6 times less than the residential children’s dose.

Inhalation res. exposure level (0.000001) is 100 times less than the dose (0.0001, Gupta et al. 1993) associated with reduced lung function, abnormal chest x-ray, cough, bloody vomit, and throat and chest irritation, in persons exposed from 6 months to 6 years.

Child other ingestion dose (0.0000002) is 1,300,000 times less than the dose (2.6) dose associated with stomach cancer in mice.

Adul other ingestion dose (0.0000002) is 10 times less than the residential children’s dose.

Inhalation other exposure level (0.000000008) is 12,500 times less than the dose (0.0001) associated with reduced lung function, abnormal chest x-ray, cough, bloody vomit, and throat and chest irritation, in persons exposed from 6 months to 6 years.

**Cancer and occupational studies** Worker exposures to high levels of PAHs show cancers (skin, bladder, lung and gastrointestinal) are the most significant endpoint of PAH toxicity. Long-term worker PAH exposures have been linked with skin and eye irritation, photosensitivity, respiratory irritation (with cough and bronchitis), leukoplakia\(Ω\), precancerous skin growths enhanced by exposure to sunlight, erythema\(Δ\), skin burns, acneiform lesions, mild hepatotoxicity, and hematuria\(€\).

Also several PAH compounds are immunotoxic, and some suppress selective compounds of the immune system. Workers’ dermal exposure studies indicate that although direct contact may be of concern at high exposure levels, they do not suggest that lower levels are likely to cause significant irritation (Goodfellow et al. 2001).

---

\(Ω\) Leukoplakia is a common, potentially pre-cancerous disease of the mouth that involves the formation of white spots on the mucous membranes of the tongue and inside of the mouth. Despite the increased risk associated with having leukoplakia, many people with this condition never get oral cancer.

\(Δ\) Erythema nodosum is an inflammation of subcutaneous fat tissue.

\(€\) Hematuria is passage of blood in the urine.
Appendix C—Safe Gardening Card
Safe Gardening Tips

REMEMBER THESE FEW SIMPLE STEPS, IF YOU WANT TO BE SAFE IN THE GARDEN:

PREPARING YOUR GARDEN

- Add clean compost or soil to your garden.
- Be sure phosphate and pH levels do not fall below recommendations.
- Ask your county agriculture extension office to evaluate your soil.

WORKING IN THE GARDEN

- Be sure to wear gloves.
- Don’t eat, drink or smoke while in the garden.
- Avoid dust. Use mulch and do not garden in dry soil when it is windy.
- Remove shoes before entering the house.
- Wash your hands and dirty clothing after gardening.

PREPARING FRUITS AND VEGETABLES

- Limit the amount of homegrown root crops you eat, especially carrots.
- Use raised beds of clean topsoil to grow root crops.
- Wash leafy vegetables growing close to the ground (like collards). Add a little vinegar to the wash water to help remove dirt.
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