ADVISORY COUNCIL ON RADIATION PROTECTION

Bureau of Radiation Control

Hilton Garden Inn Tampa Airport Westshore Tampa, Florida

09/26/2017



321.285.2324 www.AllGoodReporters.com

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON RADIATION PROTECTION 09/26/2017

| 1 | | |
|----|--|------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | ADVICODY | |
| 4 | ADVISORY | |
| 5 | COUNCIL ON | |
| 6 | RADIATION | |
| 7 | PROTECTION | |
| 8 | | CERTIFIED |
| 9 | | TRANSCRIPT |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | Bureau of Radiation Control | |
| 14 | Hilton Garden Inn | |
| 15 | Tampa Airport Westshore | |
| 16 | Tampa, Florida | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | Tuesday, September 26, 2017 | |
| 21 | 10 a.m 2:19 p.m | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | Reported by | |
| 24 | Rita G. Meyer, RDR, CRR, CRC | |
| 25 | Realtime Reporter and Notary Public State of Florida at Large | |
| | | |

```
1
     ADVISORY COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
2
     Randy Schenkman, M.D., Retired (Chairman)
 3
     Mark S. Seddon, M.P., DABR, DABMP (Vice-Chairman)
4
     Alberto Tineo, CNMT
 5
     Adam Weaver
     Chantel Corbett, AS, CNMT, RT(N), RSO
6
7
     Kathleen Drotar, Ph.D., M.Ed., R.T. (R)(N)(T)
8
     Christine Crane-Amores, RRA, RTCR
9
     Brian Kent Birky, Ph.D.
10
     William (Bill) W. Atherton, DC, DACBR, CCSP
11
     Mark Wroblewski
12
     Matthew Walser, PA-C, ATC
13
     Efstratios D. Lagoutaris, DPM
14
     DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH STAFF
15
     Cynthia L. Becker, Bureau of Radiation Control
16
     James Futch, Bureau of Radiation Control
17
     Brenda Andrews, Bureau of Radiation Control
18
     Douglass Cooke, Bureau of Radiation Control
19
     Lynne Andresen, Bureau of Radiation Control
20
     Lisa Gavathas, Bureau of Radiation Control
21
     Sophie Aromoso, Bureau of Radiation Control
22
     Also Present: Keith Nadaskay (Mayor of Wachula)
23
24
25
```

| 1 | AGENDA |
|----|-----------------------------------|
| 2 | MORNING SESSION |
| 3 | Welcome and Introductions4 |
| 4 | Approval of 5/23/17 Minutes10 |
| 5 | Rad Tech Certification Unit10 |
| 6 | USF Radiation Research & Safety24 |
| 7 | Radiation Machine Update |
| 8 | MQSA Contract47 |
| 9 | Medical Events50 |
| 10 | Definition of Treatment Site57 |
| 11 | AFTERNOON SESSION |
| 12 | Radiologic Technology Update84 |
| 13 | Radioactive Materials Update117 |
| 14 | Council Position Vacancies133 |
| 15 | Old Business142 |
| 16 | Next Meeting142 |
| 17 | Adjourn149 |
| 18 | Certificate of Reporter150 |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

1 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Good morning, 2 everybody. I hope everybody -- everyone and their 3 families did well during this last storm. 4 It's a little after ten. I was going to see if we should wait for Kathy, but we decided we're going 5 6 to get started. So as we usually do, we always introduce 7 ourselves. I'll start by introducing myself. 8 9 My name is Dr. Randy Schenkman. I am a retired radiologist. And the hospital I worked at, 10 11 I started the women's imaging and breast imaging. 12 And even though I'm retired, I still try to stay up 13 with most things. And now I'm going to turn it over to Brenda. 14 BRENDA ANDREWS: Good morning, everybody. 15 16 you for getting here on time and safely. And the first person I'm going to introduce is 17 18 one of our new members of the Bureau, and it is Douglass Cooke. Some of you met him last night. 19 20 Douglass was hired with the Bureau as the business 21 consultant, which is the position that I was in 22 before. He has over seven years of experience 23 utilizing accounting, analytical problem solving 24 techniques, systems development and data analysis

25

skills.

He also has a background in staff training, developing operating policies and procedures and quality customer service. And Douglass will also serve as my back up for the council so you will get to know him a whole lot better during our meetings and in the planning process.

So that's Douglass. And then Cindy is also going to introduce our other new members.

CYNTHIA BECKER: Lisa.

LISA GAVATHAS: Lisa Gavathas.

CYNTHIA BECKER: She has many, many years of experience, but interestingly enough, I first met her in the Mississippi Department of Radiation. So she started there and she came with us and was working in the Fort Lauderdale area. So those of you from that area might remember her doing inspections down there. And we're lucky enough a few months ago she transferred up to Tallahassee and is now in the mammography coordinator position.

So if you remember Dan Okey who was in there for years and years. Lynn was in there for a time until she transferred. And now we have Lisa Gavathas in there and so she brings many years of experience in inspection and also licensing from old days in Mississippi.

LISA GAVATHAS: I'm doing shielding as well. 1 2 CYNTHIA BECKER: Oh, shielding as well. 3 JAMES FUTCH: You left something out. 4 CYNTHIA BECKER: I did. JAMES FUTCH: We'll fix that. Would you like 5 more? We can give you more. There's no more money. 6 Interim medical advance as 7 LISA GAVATHAS: well, but we're hoping to pass that one. 8 CYNTHIA BECKER: Yes. 10 LYNN ANDRESEN: Oh, Lynn Andresen. I work for 11 James Futch in the radiologic technology program. 12 specifically deal with enforcement issues of 13 technologists. BRIAN BIRKY: I'm Brian Birky. I'm Executive 14 Director for the Florida Industrial Phosphate 15 16 Research Institute. And we are an institute within 17 Florida Polytechnic University, Florida's newest 18 public university. Twelfth university. And been there since 2000 and executive director the last six 19 20 years. 21 CHANTEL CORBETT: Chantel Corbett. Nuclear medicine technologist, Fusion Physics, a medical 22 23 physic consulting company. I'm also the TAG 24 representative for the Society of Nuclear Medicine 25 for the State of Florida and part of the FMT Council

for the State of Florida. 1 2 MATTHEW WALSER: Matt Walser, Gainesville, 3 Florida. UF Health PA in orthopedics. 4 currently on this board as a person that doesn't know anything about anything. Radiology. 5 6 teach radiology for PT school up at UF and have been 7 up there for about 11 years. STRATIS LAGOUTARIS: Stratis Lagoutaris from 8 Jacksonville, Florida. I'm a private practice 9 podiatrist. 10 11 ALBERT TINEO: Albert Tineo, Halifax Health, Davtona Beach. I'm one of the administrators at 12 Halifax. 13 KEITH NADASKAY: I'm Keith Nadaskay. 14 I work 15 for Mosaic. I, too, don't know anything. I iust 16 came to kind of listen and you guys probably already 17 know this, but Brenda has a fantastic memory. 18 BRENDA ANDREWS: I'm so glad you said that 19 today. BILL ATHERTON: Bill Atherton. 20 T'm a 21 chiropractic radiologist in private practice in Miami, Florida. 22 23 CHRISTINE CRANE-AMORES: My name is Christine 24 Crane-Amores. I'm a radiologist assistant up in 25 Tallahassee. I work for Radiology Associates of

Tallahassee and I've been in that position for the last four years.

MARK WROBLEWSKI: Mark Wroblewski. I'm an basic machine operator and also office manager of a couple offices Tampa, Florida.

KATHY DROTAR: Hi, I'm Kathy Drotar. I'm the radiologist technologist therapy member and I am a university department chair for radiologic technology at Keiser University.

ADAM WEAVER: Adam Weaver, I'm the radiation safety laser safety officer University of South Florida. Primarily in Tampa.

SOPHIE AROMOSO: I'm Sophie Aromoso. I'm the regulatory supervisor for this profession as well as EMTs and paramedics. I've been with the department about three years. I've worked with massage therapy in investigations and consumer services and acupuncture council, so I've been around for a while. I'm excited to join you guys and be here today. I didn't get to come last time.

MARK SEDDON: Mark Seddon. I'm the chief physicist and emergency safety officer for Florida Hospital System, which is pretty big. I've been there about twenty years. I represent the medical physicists on this council.

```
RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: I've introduced
 1
 2
     mvself. It's up to you.
 3
          JAMES FUTCH: I wasn't ready. It was the person
     in front of me.
 4
 5
          James Futch, health physicist for the Florida
     of Bureau of Radiation Control responsible for RAD
 6
     tech certification. Sophie, preventive
 7
     radiological, nuclear power, all sorts of stuff.
 8
 9
     But that's me, so --
          CYNTHIA BECKER: Truly is everything.
10
11
          JAMES FUTCH: Yeah.
          CYNTHIA BECKER: I'm Cynthia Becker, Bureau
12
13
     Chief, Bureau of Radiation Control. We kind of
     skipped around. Been there for a number of years
14
15
     working with James and with others. So welcome
16
     everybody. All right.
17
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Brenda, you've
18
     got to do it, too.
          BRENDA ANDREWS: I am Brenda Andrews and I've
19
     been with the Bureau since 2012. And I had the
20
21
     fortunate opportunity to move up into the management
     review specialist position. I report to Cindy and
22
23
     I'm loving that. And so, I mainly do problematic
     operations in overall kinds of things for the
24
25
     Bureau. HR, budget, and those kinds of things.
```

```
1
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Well, welcome
2
     everybody. I guess the next thing in line is we
 3
     need to approve the minutes from the last meeting.
4
     Is there a motion to approve?
          KATHY DROTAR: I make a motion to approve the
 5
6
     minutes.
          RANDY SCHENKMAN: Anybody second?
7
8
          ALBERT TINEO: Second.
9
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Everybody in
10
     favor say aye.
11
          ALL MEMBERS:
                        Aye.
12
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Anybody opposed?
13
           (No Response)
14
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So we have
15
     done that.
          And now Sophie, your turn.
16
17
          SOPHIE AMOROSO:
                            I know Bianca had previously
18
     and given an update on the amount of active licenses
19
     we had, our processing timelines and we had some
20
     issues we were working on with IT. So we have some
21
     things that have been completed for IT. We have
     some outstanding items, though, but I have an update
22
23
     from them that we received this morning on the
24
     outstanding items.
25
          So our open applications. The previous number
```

Bianca had given the past presentation was 809. We currently only have 592 open applications.

The previous number for the assistants was seven. We currently have three.

Now these, I only did the data from the past meeting where she stopped collecting data to September 1st.

The number of clear and active licenses, previously we had 27,191. We have increased that.

Our radiologic assistants actually stayed the same since last May.

Average processing times 1.49, radiologic technology. So this is the number of business days it took to issue a license and that's after we deem them qualified. So once they send in all their documents, once their application is complete, they may not have tested yet, but their application is complete. That's when they're deemed qualified to take their exam.

If they've already taken their exam, then it gets done a lot more -- a lot quicker, but at this time, it's from -- that time frame is just when we approve them to take their test. It's not from the time frame where they've taken it and they're waiting to be licensed from that.

Okay. So these are the issues that we have experienced. All the licenses that have expiration dates over 24 months, those have all been corrected. The temporary licenses for nuclear med tech applicants, those have been corrected as well. So the transaction on the online system wasn't allowing them to go through. It's now corrected.

The expiration dates, where they had all been given the August 2016 date, when they were given the incorrect date to begin with, those have all been corrected as well.

The initial licensure date of 1801, so we have quite a few that show up that way. And I have an e-mail from IT that we've got an update from that this morning, so they have approved the change that we requested to change all these license statuses back, but they would also like to look through all of our data to make sure we don't have any false data.

So he says that the next, the realistic date this would be completed is October 5th. And I'm sure James and I will check back with them consistently to see if that's been done or not.

JAMES FUTCH: Kathy just doesn't want anybody to think she got her license in 1801.

1 No, I don't. KATHY DROTAR: 2 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Come on. 3 KATHY DROTAR: I've been around for a long time, but that's a little further than, little further 4 5 back. JAMES FUTCH: Steven's e-mail said there were a 6 number of other false dates that were showing up in 7 various peoples' data that was online. So 1801, 8 9 1901. CHANTEL CORBETT: Mine's been 1901 since I 10 11 started. I'm right there with you. 12 JAMES FUTCH: Just in case anybody worries about 13 it, we have this online display that says, we're primary source verified, so you can really trust 14 15 that anything you see online really is true. 16 they hopped right on fixing this one. 17 So any time any of the computer databases that 18 we use has a null date or no date at all, between 19 the in-house system and the system that displays on the website, when it saw the empty field, it just 20 21 made up what a computer thinks of is like the oldest 22 possible date for that system. So it will go to 1-1-1801, 1-1-1901. Probably even go to 1-1-2001 at 23 some point. Everybody realizes that's only 16 24

25

years ago.

That only showed up when you 1 KATHY DROTAR: 2 went online to look for the licenses. It wasn't on 3 the one that was, that everybody hangs on the wall. 4 JAMES FUTCH: That's good. 5 KATHY DROTAR: That's a good thing. 6 SOPHIE AROMOSO: These are things we're just working on right now. I have submitted a ticket, 7 because our ticketing system, that's how IT works 8 with us and fixes our issues. There was a lot of not getting responses from us for applicants for a 10 11 lot of people. We currently have three RS1s who are 12 processors. So we have one RS2, she works with all our exam modules and does all of our criminal 13 history; all the reviews with James. So I've spoken 14 15 with them about making sure they send out a 16 notification. No matter what, you get a document, 17 you say thank you, I've received this document, and 18 they send out the e-mail. Now, for a licensure letter, they had no way of 19 20 knowing until the next day or unless we called them 21 because there's no letter that generated. A few 22 years ago, there used to be. So I put in this 23 ticket to create a global licensure letter. It's 24 actually going to be used for all professions. It's

going to have the profession name, the date you were

25

issued, your license type, all that information and 1 2 they're going to see if they can make it 3 automatically run so we don't have to go through the 4 steps. That's quite a few steps in an application to go through all these things just to print a 5 letter to approve you. It's going to automatically 6 do that. 7 So we're currently working on those and 8 everybody has been getting licensure letters since 9 May. They should be getting an e-mail. If an 10 11 e-mail is on file, they get an e-mail sent to them 12 saying, congratulations, this is your license 13 number, and they can use that to verify with whomever they need to. 14 15 And I also very well need to send an e-mail 16 from myself if you have any students or anybody 17 that's having issues with that. 18 VO uploads that is on --19 JAMES FUTCH: What's VO? 20 SOPHIE AROMOSO: The online system. 21 JAMES FUTCH: Okay. SOPHIE AROMOSO: So that is our online system 22 23 where you apply online. After you apply, you can 24 also upload your documents. And I talked with IT 25 about this because they don't receive a notification

that anything has been uploaded. So after quite 1 2 some time with this, they're still working on it and 3 there are still some roadblocks. They're going to 4 enhance the system, the entire online portal so they will get responses back from those. Unfortunately, 5 the only way they can know if we received it is if 6 they see it in an attachment on their profile or if 7 they call us to ask. 8 CHANTEL CORBETT: So on that, if somebody is either applying for renewal or something and they're 10 uploading a document, do they, at this point, need 11 to call in? 12 SOPHIE AROMOSO: I wouldn't request them to call 13 14 in. we have a --15 CHANTEL CORBETT: I know that's a lot to you 16 guys, too. 17 SOPHIE AROMOSO: We have a report that we run 18 that's a VO uploads report. We run that daily. We 19 can -- we see all the items that they've uploaded 20 and we --21 CHANTEL CORBETT: Okay. SOPHIE AROMOSO: -- we issue the deficiency 22 23 letter if they needed any other document. 24 they're aware it has been uploaded. I think that 25 that has been in -- that was something that we

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm going to be able to 1 increased our time on. 2 check that every single person daily because right 3 now, I have one person checking all of those 4 documents. Helpful tips. Make sure students provide 5 6 Social Security number in their applications. the online system, it does not require you. There's 7 a little star there, but it's not a requirement. 8 That's false. But when they apply and they don't 9 have their Social, we can't approve them to take the 10 11 test because ARRT won't allow us. And also, I get a 12 lot of calls from people stating that they can't 13 schedule with ARRT and everything has actually been completed on our side, but they just haven't paid 14 15 ARRT.

So there's a little bit of confusion. I plan on updating our website just to make it a lot easier for you to follow the steps.

KATHY DROTAR: I just have a question on that, too, since we encountered that. Hi. If their number is -- because our students don't take -- they don't schedule their tests through ARRT -- or through DOH. They already have done it through ARRT. But that same, same Social Security number block was there.

SOPHIE AROMOSO: We have to have it on their application.

KATHY DROTAR: Otherwise, we need to send you the copy of the card.

they provide on the application -- this is a big deal that we've had. Someone will include a middle name. They will include a married name. But it's not the same as what's on their license. And then when they go to test or to register, they won't allow them to. So we have to make those necessary changes. We have to get those documents showing why your name is changed, all that information, before we can send them back to ARRT. So that's a delay in the exam process for them.

This is just the reminder of the renewal functions. They have been sent down to licensure services. And you saw this in the previous PowerPoint that you saw. Any calls, they're sent downstairs. If we have anything in our, our ZZ box, that's our main box that all of these go to if you go to the Rad Tech e-mail. All of those, if they're referring to renewals, we just automatically send them a response and send them down to licensure so they're aware we do not handle this, but they are in

1 the correct place. 2 Also, continuing education for their renewal. And there is the information where they can reach 3 4 licensure services at. 5 Now, we have a new program operations administrator. Her name is Irene Lake. She's been 6 7 with the Department about fifteen years. working in prosecution services. She is wonderful. 8 Erica White is our executive director, which is our unit manager. She's wonderful as well. 10 11 And that is it. Can I answer any questions? 12 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Anybody have 13 questions? KATHY DROTAR: I'm sorry. I just wanted to 14 15 thank Sophie for all the support and help she's 16 given to our various programs because she's helped 17 us iron out a lot of difficulties and helping our 18 graduates get their licenses, so thank you. 19 SOPHIE AROMOSO: Thank you. 20 JAMES FUTCH: I wanted to follow up on a couple 21 of things that Sophie had mentioned. Sophie, by the way, is, for those who have been 22 23 on the council for a number of years, remember Gail

Curry? Sophie is in the job that Gail was, Gail was

in many years ago. Gail is working in a different

24

25

part of MqA and we talk frequently; compare notes. Especially on common problems on some of the things that happened to the different professions.

But I also wanted to thank Sophie very much for kind of shepherding all this and bringing it to us and giving us a nice update.

Miss Bianca, who was here last time, Irene Lake that Sophie mentioned, is, is taking Bianca's position. Bianca has left the Department. Happy doing something else, I hear.

SOPHIE AROMOSO: Yes.

JAMES FUTCH: And I also wanted to continue everybody to tell us when you see things that are wrong, especially with the computer systems -- that seems to be the nexus of a lot of potential good and a lot of things that have need to be fixed over the years.

The 1801, basically, was something that Kathy had noticed. The VO upload and not receiving notice afterward is something Alberto had brought up, I think at the last meeting. So thank you very much and continuing sending that, sending that in to us.

Along the lines of the problems we had talked about last time that Sophie very nicely followed up on, I think covered just about everything I could

think of. We're also working on a complete examination of the Versa online system to make sure it comports with what the paper application requires and what the regulation requires. And that's taken a lot longer, because there are lots of nooks and crannies and all of those online applications where if you had yes this time instead of no, you skip an entire screen that you don't see. And then you have to go try and find what would happen if you answered it this way. Is it doing the right thing.

SOPHIE AROMOSO: There's one gentleman in charge of doing every single profession. Every single one.

JAMES FUTCH: Yes, we need to clone him. But -so the, so the things like the Social Security
number required, that was one of the things that
we've got in the mix to, to actually make it do what
this -- what the rule and the statute and everything
else says, which is, yes, require it on the online
and don't allow people to, to not put it in. And in
the circular feedback report, Sophie's folks have to
go back out and get it from them.

And also, the way the system orders the whole file attachment and the conviction history documents and the background history, excuse me, the disciplinary history documents, we're trying to

1 reorder that and, and apply some language changes so 2 it flows better -- and a bunch of other stuff that I 3 won't bring up -- but all of that is in the works. 4 And hopefully by the next council meeting, it will be implemented and online. We'll see. 5 6 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Well, it looks like it's a dramatic change from the last meeting as 7 far as time it takes to process the applications and 8 9 everything else, so congratulations. 10 SOPHIE AROMOSO: Thank you. 11 MARK WROBLEWSKI: Quick question. We noticed 12 that a lot of these smaller schools, latest being 13 Ultimate Medical Academy, have dropped their BMO Is there a list of schools in the area 14 programs. 15 that still have a BMO program? We've done a lot 16 with them. That's why I --17 JAMES FUTCH: We actually, for the BMOs, it's 18 different from all the other professions. 19 MARK WROBLEWSKI: Sure. 20 JAMES FUTCH: Because the way the BMO statute is 21 structured, it doesn't require someone to attend the 22 formal education program as we would think of it. MARK WROBLEWSKI: I understand. 23 24 JAMES FUTCH: Over the years, since I've been 25 with the Department, this function of the Department

since '98, because -- this is my theory -- because 1 2 of that fact, the number of BMO programs has, has 3 been shrinking. There used to be a lot more of them 4 at, at, you know, places like community college; things of that nature. 5 So what we do now for the, for the best list is 6 7 we go to the Department of Education and there are still several, I don't know the exact number, but 8 it's, you know, probably less than ten, almost all of which, if I recall, are private, private 10 11 entities. 12 And then there are a number of medical 13 assisting programs that include a pretty hefty BMO component. Kathy may know more about some of those. 14 15 And that's what, that's what comprises the best list 16 that we know about, which is basically the 17 Department of Education asked for anybody in the, in the basic category. 18 19 MARK WROBLEWSKI: Thank you. JAMES FUTCH: Sure. That's by statute. 20 That's 21 not our, you know, the Department implements what's 22 in the statute. It's not necessarily what we would, 23 you know --MARK WROBLEWSKI: No, I understand. I thought 24

maybe we had -- if you guys had a list.

25

SOPHIE AROMOSO: I'm going to look them up right 1 2 If I can find a list, I'll send it to you. 3 MARK WROBLEWSKI: Sure. Thank vou. 4 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Any other 5 questions? Adam? 6 ADAM WEAVER: Will this work for me, too? 7 JAMES FUTCH: Let me pull it up, Adam. Hold on. ADAM WEAVER: Okay. I just was asked to give a 8 9 quick overview, what we do at University of South 10 Florida in regard to --11 JAMES FUTCH: When Adam says he was asked, he 12 was very gracious in providing this talk this 13 morning. And thank you very much. ADAM WEAVER: Sure. Well, we have radioactive 14 15 material, which I'll discuss; x-ray machines and we 16 have some lasers. 17 You know, we have a radiation safety program to 18 comply with the Florida Statute; administrative 19 codes. We basically have two main radioactive 20 material licenses, 806-1 is our broad scope academic 21 license. I don't actually know how many are within 22 the state, but Cindy may cover that later on how 23 many broad scope academic. 24 But basically, what that allows us to do, we 25 have a radiation safety committee that meets and

when someone wants to use radioactive material in his or her research, non-human research, they have an application they fill out, the committee reviews it, either approve it or we go back. And if they have questions for what radioactive material they need to use for their research.

The committee is made up of other faculty members that are experienced using radioactive material in their research, so it's a peer review. And we also have an administrative, typical on most radiation safety committees.

We also have another license for gamma research radiators, which are used for cell, mostly cell studies. And we also -- I'm also associated, which Chantel knows the Byrd, PET CT license, because they do a lot of research there.

I didn't know it did the fading. Cool.

So basically, I already summarized that. So whenever a new researcher comes to University of South Florida, he or she may be coming from up north or another university, so we run things a little bit different. So we just go over -- the application's only three pages long. We help them fill it out. So we're very user friendly to try to, you know, if they need to use it and it's an appropriate use,

then we work with them to get them to use the 1 2 radioactive material safely. 3 And I'm not going to read through all this, but 4 basically, the office is, myself, a health physicist, and one radiation safety technician. 5 We have about 50 approved principal investigators. 6 Maybe half of them use it during the year. So some 7 of them get a permit because some of the grants that 8

they go after require them to have radioactive material approvals to use it. They may never plan to use it, but some grants, like NIH grants or

something like that, may require them to have the

capability of using radioactive material. So a lot

of people have it for those reasons. Not

necessarily they are planning to use it in their

16 research.

10

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

But we do all this -- the operations for the researchers is centralized. We order the material. We receive it; we bring it to them; set it up. We give them all the materials to use it safely.

we do a lot of surveys. We have a couple -quite a number of x-ray machines. That's why the
state likes to come to our x-ray inspections. I
think right now we have 25. All non-human use.

25 | Most of them are analytical.

1 Does this have a little laser? I see it.

This is a typical analytical machine. The actual beam size is, is like microns. But it's a very intense beam. This, this is a typical one for cell radiation. This one could be used for small animals or for doing localized x-rays.

And this is actually a small, a small animal CT that also has a PET component to it and a SPECT component to it. So we can do the full set up for doing imaging.

So we still have some fraction units, cavity units; things like that.

If you have any questions or anything, feel free to ask.

These are the common radioactive materials, just based on their toxicity, of what we're using at the university. We use, you know, the cesium is in the gamma radiators. We don't use it as an unsealed source. We use very small amounts of iodine.

when I first got to the university about 17 years ago, a lot of people were doing their ionizations, which used maybe five to ten millicurie amounts to get a product of maybe 200 to 300 microcuries out of it. But now all that's done by the great -- the big manufacturers, the Perk and

Elmers, American Radio Chemical, big companies like that. No one does ionizations anymore at university level that I'm aware of.

we do a lot of the medium to low Phosphorus-32 is very common. Carbon-14. Chromium-51. Some of the other ones, exotics, some people use them. We have a lot of researchers using Tritium in the biomedical research, various studies.

One of the new ones that we're using, I'll talk more about later, is Actinium-225. And actually, there's someone -- there's a component out of, I think Sloan Kettering up in New York with a company called Actinium Radio Pharmacy or Pharmaceuticals. I don't know if you guys have got it, but there's a phase three of a drug that's out there now being used. It gives quite good results for a -- I think theirs is for leukemia. We're looking at another end of it. These are based -- the rankings are just based on relative toxicity.

I'm not going to spend a lot of this, but if you've ever worked with Carbon-14, you know it has a very long half-life. It's going to be around for a long time. We do use a lot of tritium because that's very common and acts just like the regular hydrogen, so you can use it in various things. Very

low energies.

S-35, it's kind of expensive to use, but some people do use it.

Again, the P-32, these are the shorter half-life materials. We're allowed to hold anything per regulation for decay and storage of less than 120 days half-life.

Iodine-125, usually when people use that, they use it in what's called RIA kits now. Very small quantities, five to ten microcuries. Very, very small amounts. Usually we don't need a lot of shielding working with that material now.

Chromium, we have a couple researchers that use this pretty regularly. It's used for a couple different assays and it does require a little bit of shielding. It does have primarily a gamma emitter, but again, not, not high energy.

These are kind of the things that Moffitt, we help out with Moffitt's non-human research and one of their big groups is the sale imaging for small animal imaging.

Positron, I'm sure most everybody knows what that is. Here, we do a little SPECT isotope again. We had that one machine that can do, usually, you know, you run the CT on the, on the animal and then

you can do either do a SPECT imaging or PET imaging depending on what isotope you're checking into.

This is a relatively new. We've been doing it for about two years. Actinium-225. It's another alpha emitter. We haven't started using it yet, but it's used in some hospitals for pain management. You've probably heard of that.

Radium-223. So that's pretty much established. But we have a couple researchers looking at it from another end, maybe for some other possible therapy.

But Actinium is used as a therapy, an alpha emitter, therapy drug. It's very -- it works great. I can't show any pictures, but we have -- we've taken pictures where the animal has a tumor, and after the treatment, the tumor is essentially gone. So right now, they're working on a type of skin cancer that's very -- that spreads very rapidly.

So we get the, we get the, actually the material comes from Oakridge. It's from the -- it's kind of a waste product of Uranium-233, which is produced in the reactor in Pittsburgh a long time ago, in some of the DOE reactors. It comes out as a nitrate, but we, we work with another university who converts it into a liquid. They basically add a chelator to it and they add a peptide to it, various

peptides, to try to get the drug go where we want it to go in the body or in the animal. So that's where it's, it's made up in Oakridge.

This is how we get it as the Actinium drug and you can see there's, there's, I believe, five alphas for decay and they're very high energy, alphas.

After the ten days, it's very rapid. Very short half lives. So if you get an alpha to the tumor, in the area of the tumor, that's a lot of energy that can potentially kill the tumor. And so far, we're seeing it -- we're still, you know, there's still a lot of work, very early work, but Actinium seems to be -- and other universities are using it. And again, I believe there's one, one or two drugs in phase three trial.

MARK SEDDON: I got a proposal last week.

ADAM WEAVER: Yeah, they are trying to get into Florida.

So we started using this again in an area that we initially set up to do PET and SPECT imaging and we didn't need all that shielding for Actinium.

There's not a lot of -- there's some gammas associated with it, but they're very low yields and

no where near the PET isotope energies or the SPECT

25 with like Tech or Valium.

But the big concern when you're working with Actinium is annual limit of intake. You don't want to get it into your body. I've worked with alpha emitters before, so we're very careful with it. We use it in very small quantities. We usually get it in maybe, maybe we get 500 microcuries from our other university that we're working with.

As you can tell, it's much lower, much more controlled, just comparing the annual limit of intakes, for injection, oral inhalation, you can see Actinium is a tiny amount to get into you, to get to your annual limit of intake.

So it's just a common comparison. These are what we typically work with, very high, you know, very easy to work with, but we had to put a lot more controls when we're working with Actinium. Because we're -- we basically get it as a, in little tiny vials, and we have to put it in syringes, so it's a lot of work. You've got to be careful when you're handling syringes with this material.

So some of the control measures that we put in place. Specific training. We have a crew of maybe five, six people that work with us. We do a lot, helping out a lot of work. We do use L shields; some engineering controls, not necessarily for

shielding purposes, but primarily to make sure if there's everything -- but you're injecting it into live animals. We can get a splash or mishap with a syringe. So everyone's, you know, we go through the training, we review the training every time we use it. We do it about quarterly. That's typical what we're working with.

We do a lot of bench coating. We cover the whole area. It's kind of like the old, if you do iodine therapy, you remember all the covering all the surfaces in the bathrooms and whatnot. We do the same thing when we're working with Actinium because it, like most alpha emitters, it will travel around. So you want to make sure it's on absorbent material quickly and at the source when you're working or near the source.

We always cover all our carts. And we dedicate pipets, tweezers and syringes for this work. We don't -- they're segregated. We hold them for decay most of the time. We don't use them for other projects.

We always wear double gloves. We find that a very thick glove and then a thinner nitro gloves on the outside. And we, and we replace those frequently.

We can detect Actinium very easily with a meter, level three or 14C with a pancake probe works very well. We cover the probes with, usually a wax material to keep -- prevent them from getting contaminated.

We also have a pressurized ion chamber to measure dose rates if we need to; things like that. And we, we wear Tyvek rather than the regular lab coats to prevent the -- because those are, you know, resist the absorption of water; moisture.

One of the big concerns we have, we have dose calibrators down in these areas. It's the same, essentially the same one that you would have in a nuclear medicine department. But we're using it for, for research, so we had to figure out -- we talked to the manufacturers about settings. We talked to other users of Actinium, but they actually -- no one was using a biodex. We basically had to come up with our own.

We came up with one and so far, it seems to be working pretty well. Again, we're comparing it to where we're getting it from, our other university that we work with on this project.

So it's all over the place. But we -- and that's where we're doing more work on now is to get

more information on the detection on our -- on this operation.

But the three main things as the health physicist, radiation safety officer, I want to make sure we can detect the contamination, and can we detect -- do we know what it is from. If there's any other contamination. So because we can always do liquid scintillation counters. We have a liquid scintillation counter that can give you a spectrum on it. So that's what the Actinium-225 spectrum looks like. That's primarily from the alpha, the various alphas. Again, it's five alphas per decay through the daughters.

This is a -- you're probably familiar with the white counters most people have in their nuclear medicine labs. It's the sodium iodine thallium well counter. Typically, I think it's a half-inch well. So that's the spectrum we get with Actinium. So we use that also for information where we run it with a dose calibrator.

And we also have access to a high purity triammonium gamma system, which can definitely show the peaks. This one is, I believe, 140, 140 or 230 and 440. Something like that.

So they're very distinct peaks, but you can

still see them with that. We can definitely tell that it's Actinium because we've run other samples. We've printed out other spectrums. So we can -- it's a way we can determine is our contamination Actinium or something else.

So one of the lessons learned working with Actinium, and you've probably read some stories recently about it, probably acts very similar to the Actinium -- no, excuse me, Americium-241 that's in the news right now. It sticks to everything and it will want to travel. It's basically because of the recoil atom of the -- when it emits the alpha, the atom has so much energy, it moves. But liquid scintillation counting works very well. It's very sensitive.

So that's our preferred method, but we don't have liquid scintillation down in the scan room, so we have to run the scans over to the med school, which is only about a two-minute walk, so it's not a big deal.

We found that alcohol works really well to clean up for decontamination, especially if you get to it early. So if we know we have a problem, we clean it up fairly quickly.

That's also pointed out, we do have lasers at

1 the university as James is aware. 2 JAMES FUTCH: I like your warning. 3 ADAM WEAVER: Yeah. You know, we basically, 4 there's four major classes. Lasers are very cool, very useful, but they can be very dangerous. 5 this is -- when I first got to the university, this 6 is one of our most powerful lasers was an old CO2 7 TEA laser in the physics department. 8 actually made there. And they actually pumped the 9 gas into it. It leaked all the time CO2, but it 10 11 produced a pretty strong beam. But now you can get 12 a stronger laser down to this size (indicating). 13 JAMES FUTCH: Is that an actual cathode ray tube on the device, bottom right corner over there on the 14 15 floor, a monitor? Computer monitor? 16 ADAM WEAVER: Yes, an old picture. 17 JAMES FUTCH: Is that a dot matrix printer on 18 top of it? 19 ADAM WEAVER: It is an old picture. But we --20 our physics department was very proud of these 21 lasers and they worked when you get the seals all 22 right and -- but they took up pretty much a whole 23 lab. And now you can get the same energies, even a 24 better quality beam, which is what they are very 25 interested in, down in a size, probably even smaller

than this now. 1 2 And then they're even getting smaller. Lasers 3 are getting smaller. JAMES FUTCH: Thanks to diodes. 4 ADAM WEAVER: These diodes can be very powerful 5 6 now. Depending on what filters they put into them 7 or don't put into them can present a hazard because, you know, these diodes, they probably cost probably 8 fifty cents to make in a mass manufacturing plant. And you put them together, they can produce a Class 10 11 3B or 4 laser, which can be pretty much, you could 12 easily fit this in your hand. 13 JAMES FUTCH: And the colors, too. ADAM WEAVER: Yeah, and the various colors. 14 15 That's one of the big concerns. So I just threw 16 that in there, just to -- And then, of course, you 17 did have sound.

I worked at the Department of Energy a long time ago. I always like these old signs, so. And this is one of my favorites surveys. We're there to help everybody do the research.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So thank you for your time. I hope I wasn't too fast. If anyone has any questions or anything.

RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: When you use this, the Actinium in --

1 ADAM WEAVER: Yes. 2 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: -- animals. 3 ADAM WEAVER: Yes. 4 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: If it's so 5 potent, what happens to the animals, themselves? ADAM WEAVER: We use it in mice. Primarily 6 mice. The animals are sacrificed at the end and we 7 analyze their tissues and --8 RANDY SCHENKMAN. CHAIRPERSON: You have to -are you giving it systemically? 10 11 ADAM WEAVER: Do a tail vein injection. 12 animals are stored in a controlled area, and we 13 have -- and there's various studies, because we put -- we inject, like, different amounts in each, 14 15 in to various groups of animals. 16 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: But what happens 17 to them before they're sacrificed? Does this kill 18 them or does this only go to the site because of 19 whatever --20 ADAM WEAVER: It mostly goes to the site, but 21 some of it does go to the liver and the kidneys, you know, because it is -- but we haven't seen any -- we 22 23 have a level right now that doesn't kill the 24 animals. So we keep it -- if we keep it below eight 25 microcuries per the animals, because the animals are

maybe, maybe 100 to 150 grams, they are very small 1 2 animals. So -- and it's a very small amount that we 3 inject that in volume wise and the flush afterwards 4 is very small. Because we're working with the vets, you know. All this is approved by our IACUC 5 6 Committee, which the university has. And the vets are always, you know, keeping on us to make sure 7 we're doing it right and we're very careful with the 8 9 iniections. But we have a space that's separate from the 10 11 rest of the animal population where we store these 12 animals. We change their beddings, what their 13 requirements are; things like that. And the bedding unfortunately is, we hold it for decay, so our waste 14 15 site really gets smelly. But, you know, that's --16 but it is -- that's a good question. 17 MARK SEDDON: Is there a reason why you guys are 18 working with Actinium versus Uranium for your PI? 19 ADAM WEAVER: This Actinium, it seems to be so effective. 20 MARK SEDDON: More effective than Radium. 21 22 ADAM WEAVER: Radium has, I think, one or two 23 alphas with its decay. And I think the Actinium 24 right now, it can be manipulated easier.

MARK SEDDON: For binding.

25

ADAM WEAVER: It works well with a, you know. 1 2 typical chelating agent, like DOTA, something like 3 that. So chelators work really well with it and 4 they get into a peptide or protein, whatever they 5 are trying to work with, and it seems to go -- they 6 get enough to the tumor that it kills -- seems to 7 really work. Again, it's very early phases. For us, what 8 9 the Sloan Kettering does is more of a whole body. MARK SEDDON: With leukemia. 10 11 ADAM WEAVER: Yeah. They had a couple different forms. Different chemical groups. 12 MARK SEDDON: Their binding is antibody. 13 So it's pretty effective. 14 15 ADAM WEAVER: Yeah. 16 MARK SEDDON: Okay. 17 CYNTHIA BECKER: Adam, it sounds like you share 18 with other universities your experiences. 19 what method do you, do you guys do that as --20 ADAM WEAVER: I mean, you know, everybody wants 21 to publish papers. 22 CYNTHIA BECKER: Right. 23 ADAM WEAVER: There's a couple papers in the 24 works. And in Sloan, I mean, they -- because 25 there's is now in Phase 3, when they were doing the

initial research, they shared a little bit, but not, 1 you know, because everybody wants to have their 2 3 magic drugs. So usually these are tied to some kind 4 of drug manufacturer or potential patent. So there is some sharing. But we do share the 5 experience and I don't know if you can put it up 6 there, but we've been working on a -- there's 7 another PDF, an Actinium -- in radiation safety, we 8 like to have, you know, it all in one page. we've been working on a radiation safety data sheet 10 11 that we're trying to get out there. 12 Brian probably knows, there's a lot of them out there for it and I think some are in your -- I don't 13 think they're in your book, but some --14 15 BRIAN BIRKY: Not specifically. ADAM WEAVER: We couldn't find a lot of 16 information on Actinium, so we're trying to put one 17 18 together. I don't know if you could find it. 19 JAMES FUTCH: There it is. 20 ADAM WEAVER: We've been trying to put something 21 like this together so it's all in one place for 22 other researchers that are potentially going to be 23 using it, because I know there's an actual company 24 out there that's pushing the Phase 3 drug.

MARK SEDDON: Actinium Pharmaceuticals.

25

ADAM WEAVER: Yeah, that's right. And they are working with it. I think the medical physicist who's going to be calling potential hospitals in Florida, getting it on this Phase 3 trial. So this would be helpful, we think, to, you know -- it's a very common format, so we're trying to put it together. As soon as we get it further along, we'll share it out.

CYNTHIA BECKER: Great.

JAMES FUTCH: So, Adam, when you're working something like this, the eventual intended use is, is people, hopefully.

ADAM WEAVER: Right.

JAMES FUTCH: So method of administration versus extreme toxicity, how does that balance?

ADAM WEAVER: That's why there's more research that needs to be done. I mean, because typically, when you're doing alpha emitters, you know, most of your imaging you're putting millicurie amounts in. When you're doing alpha emitters, you're talking microcurie amounts. Even with the Radium, I think the dose is maybe 50 to 100 microcuries, but it's a, it's a much smaller amount of radioactive material because of the potential radio toxicity. Because these are alpha emitters, that means they are higher

atomic numbers so they can be a problem with the 1 2 kidneys, problem with the liver. But if you get 3 that right balance, then it potentially, you know, 4 right now it's the bone, it's mostly for palliative care, but it works really well, from what I 5 6 understand. MARK SEDDON: Yeah. They're finding it to be 7 pretty effective. Most of the administrations are 8 9 palliative, so your patients aren't -- are less fibrous. 10 11 CHANTEL CORBETT: What are your badge ratings 12 on the people? You said you had five users using 13 this. ADAM WEAVER: Minimal. I don't think we had a 14 positive reading. We have finger rings, too, we're 15 16 working with. 17 CHANTEL CORBETT: I saw that. 18 ADAM WEAVER: Yeah. It's very low. 19 MARK SEDDON: Do they even measure? 20 ADAM WEAVER: I don't think we had a positive 21 measure. It's tough because at the same time, we do a little F18 research and C11 research. 22 23 CHANTEL CORBETT: You've got enough of it. 24 ADAM WEAVER: Right. We actually have a -- we 25 do actually C11 research, too, which is a very short

```
1
     half-life material. Still again, non-human
2
     research. Very quick.
 3
          JAMES FUTCH: You mentioned papers in process
4
     for publication. Which journals are you typically
 5
     seeing this kind of research?
6
          ADAM WEAVER: What are the various cancer -- I
     don't know. There's so many different cancer --
7
          JAMES FUTCH: Mainstream. Specialized for --
8
9
          ADAM WEAVER: Yeah. But I know a lot of people
10
     are working on it and we have one, a couple guys
11
     that are working just on the detection end of it to
12
     try to, you know, because that's one of the
13
    questions when you put it into a dose calibrator and
     you have so many different alpha emitters, how do
14
15
    vou know the amount of Actinium versus the
     daughters? And that's going to be hard to -- so
16
17
     they're working on that now.
18
          MARK SEDDON: You guys are doing imaging studies
19
    with the --
20
          ADAM WEAVER: Yes. We can image it.
21
         MARK SEDDON:
                        okay.
22
          ADAM WEAVER: The SPECT image on that equipment
23
     that we have isn't the greatest so we've actually
24
     been bringing them to nuclear medicine where we, you
25
     know, package the animal up. It can actually be
```

1 seen there on a, on a good SPECT system. So that's 2 an interesting point that they're looking at, too. 3 Again, this is all early phase. We've been working with it probably, I think about two years. 4 I think, I'm sure Sloan Kettering and those folks 5 6 have been working with it even longer because I think that Actinium Pharmaceuticals was a spin off 7 But Oakridge has been producing or has 8 of Sloan. the capability of producing 150 millicuries a year. 9 So if we go exceed that amount, then they're going 10 11 to have to -- and some of the national labs are 12 looking at producing Actinium in maybe some 13 accelerators. There are ways to do it in some of the higher energy accelerators. 14 15 CYNTHIA BECKER: So, Adam, this brings to mind, 16 as you know from being in our group before, at what 17 point can we introduce our inspections staff to 18 figure out what changes they would be encountering when they inspect? And I don't know, you know, I 19 20 know it's the early phases, but you, you are working 21 with it now. 22 ADAM WEAVER: Right. 23 CYNTHIA BECKER: Just trying to figure out --24 ADAM WEAVER: We've invited -- they can come

down and watch us.

25

1 CYNTHIA BECKER: Okay. That was going to be my 2 next question. 3 ADAM WEAVER: Any time. 4 JAMES FUTCH: She didn't want to really ask. CYNTHIA BECKER: I was trying to beat around 5 6 that bush. ADAM WEAVER: The only thing they may not want 7 you to do is take pictures because they don't like 8 pictures unless, or something -- but beyond that, 9 you guys don't take pictures during your inspections 10 11 most of the time anyway. 12 CYNTHIA BECKER: No, but we are trying to get our technical staff together at some point, maybe 13 January, February, March time frame, probably in 14 15 Orlando area, but maybe that's something we can talk 16 about. 17 ADAM WEAVER: Sure. 18 CYNTHIA BECKER: Okay. Thank you. 19 ADAM WEAVER: All right. Well, thanks. 20 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Anybody have any 21 other questions? Comments? Okay. So now we're 22 going to move on to Lisa. 23 LISA GAVATHAS: Okay. As Cindy said, I just 24 moved to Tallahassee, I think in July, and started 25 with the program there. I was an inspector in the

field before that, so I'm learning.

Forewarning, when I was invited to come to, to the advisory council meeting, I thought it was just a free trip to come and see what was going on. And last week, I learned I actually had to say something. So I didn't have a lot of time to prepare, but I'm going to basically give you a rundown of the program.

As of right now, we have seven employees full time. Six of those employees are in Tallahassee. One is teleworking from the Jacksonville area, Willie Burgess, and I think he's been -- he was as of the last meeting, he was also teleworking then.

We do have one advertised position who will be handling medical events and I will be really happy when that person is hired. And they will also be doing violation correction, so if anybody knows of anyone who is interested in coming to work for the state, we have that position. It's open right now.

I did add a hand out in our pamphlet here. It kind of gives us a breakdown of how many facilities based on what kind of facility we have. Right now, we have over 19 -- around 19,000 active facilities and that amounts to over 54,000 active tubes in the state.

As you can see, if you look at the handout, most of our facilities are dental. We have over 7800 dental facilities in the state, which amounts to 32,000 of our tubes. A lot of inspections.

We -- the rest, we have hospitals, you can kind of -- there's a legend on the second page that tells you what kind of facility there is.

we're also currently in the renewal phase, which is when we send out all of the renewal notices. Those have not, as of yesterday, gone out.

Everything -- I note that during the last council meeting, it was discussed about staggering the renewal times, but that hasn't gone into effect. We haven't come up with any plan to do that and I don't know that there would be anything happening in the near future with that.

So all of our registrations expire as of October 28th. It's a really busy time for the x-ray program, so as soon as these go out, we'll be -- I think everybody will be working with renewals trying to get those out.

The other thing we do is mammo, mammography, which I was hired to be the coordinator for the state, but I have not gone through all of my training yet. So I'm working with someone who's

doing the interim, the interim coordinator, Nick Patkashel (ph), who was excellent. But if you have any questions, you can always call us.

As you know, the FDA regulates mammography. We have a contract with the FDA. As of the last council meeting, that had not been signed. However, all the items have been worked out. Everything -- the mammos contract has been signed and went into effect on September 1st and the inspectors are now back out doing inspections.

The other, something else. Also, registered vendors. I think it's a free service, if I'm not mistaken, but anybody that comes in to the state to work on x-ray machines to do any kind of service, they have to be registered in the State of Florida. And we handle those registrations as well.

The other big issue we have is medical events. Any medical event that is reported to us has to be investigated. And we have Lynn here that's going to give us a run down on medical events that have happened in the past and give us some -- and what we're trying to do in trying to improve the program.

LYNN ANDRESEN: Okay. So we at the Bureau of Radiation Control, we're in the process of creating a more collaborative investigative team approach to

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

medical event investigations and report 1 2 So when a medical event occurs, we preparations. 3 typically receive a call from a medical physicist at 4 the facility. And that call goes to the radiation machine program section, where Lisa is the interim 5 coordinator for that position. And she will 6 schedule or that person will schedule an 7 investigative site visit at the facility. We try to 8 do that within a two-week time frame.

And then an investigative team, a representative of the x-ray program, right now Lisa, myself for technology, and a representative from the inspections program will go out and will conduct a site visit and will gather information. fact-finding mission. We'll conduct interviews of the therapists or the technologists, medical physicists, whoever was involved with the event. we'll take that information back; compile a report; submit the report to the program administrators and the Bureau chief for their approval. And at that time, it will be determined whether a facility violation has occurred. If a fine will be imposed, we'll notify the facility of that determination and let them know about an appeal process if that's warranted.

Also, with technology, we will determine if a technology violation has occurred. And if so, then we will file a complaint with MgA for action.

So, also, I want to share that all the BRC investigative team members have been through advanced investigative training with MqA. That's the division of Medical Quality Assurance. They have a huge investigative section in that division. And we've learned a lot as far as interviewing, evidence collection, report writing -- can you add anything else that -- very informative. About a two-week training period. A basic course and an advanced course. And we appreciate them allowing us to participate with that process.

I also wanted to just share a couple of examples of medical events that have been investigated by the Bureau. One was a patient who received treatment to the wrong site. Second round of treatment was given to the first treatment site incorrectly. Six treatments were delivered before the error was discovered. So that was one example.

Another example, patient who received more than thirty percent of the weekly prescribed dose.

Patient was prescribed qd or once daily treatment, but was treated bid, twice daily treatment for

nineteen treatments.

And another example. Patient received a dose delivered to the wrong site. Patient received treatment to incorrect area of the spine. And the error was discovered after one treatment and corrected.

So any questions on medical events?

RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Who has to report them and how do you -- how do we know that they're actually being reported? All the events?

LISA GAVATHAS: We don't. And most events probably are not reported. I think there's been some studies with, I'm not sure what governing body, but they say that less than eight percent of medical events are actually reported. And I think -- that was told second hand to me, so I'm not exactly sure if that's true or not, but I do think that there's a low number.

The problem comes is that we don't have a specific definition of a medical event. And I know that was discussed during the last advisory council meeting as well. We've been working on that. There is a handout with some proposed language changes in, in the handout. However, there are some other, other outlying factors that I think need to be

addressed as well because of technology changes and what have you.

But what we're doing is just trying to put something out there saying we are looking for input. We are requesting any information that would help us try to define what a medical event is. Because if you have -- because, like, a medical physicist has called before and said, I'm reporting a medical event. However, I don't really know if it is one or not. So it's -- then we have to determine is it a medical event? Because they say, okay, what if it was a geometric miss? What if it was this, what if it was that? So these are things that we also have to take into consideration.

So, Cindy, do you have something to add with this?

CYNTHIA BECKER: Just that it's the very early phases of us trying to collect information from different sources. You know, we have the AAPM, we have Astro, we have our contacts that we have throughout you all, plus all the other associations that are out there. And other states, you know, have been involved with this for a very long time. And as soon as you start to get close to it, then, of course, technology changes. So any help you can

provide, any places you can direct us to go search for more, more answers, we'd be very appreciative of that.

pamphlet on -- it looks like, the pages look like this if you haven't found it already. I think what Lisa meant was there's actually a couple, a couple different kinds of definitions of what constitutes a medical event. And from my experience dealing with the technologist, the operator side of it, it's kind of like which one of these holes do I fit into or does it fit into any of these holes -- particular categories.

The first couple I think are not, not as difficult to understand. You know, if you look at 85(c)(1), something that results or will result in permanent functional damage to individual's organ or physiological as determined by a physician. Well, we've been involved in scads of these over the decades and I can't think of one where a physician actually said that, had that level of damage. I don't know if that didn't happen, but they certainly haven't really, you know, gone overboard in reporting those.

The next two are the most, I think, common and

the easier to understand. You got the wrong person.
You know, pulled up completely wrong treatment chart
for Mr. Smith and you gave it to Mr. Jones. Maybe
it was the same part of the body. Probably was.
Something along those lines.

But the next one, three there, wrong treatment, wrong mode of treatment, wrong treatment, wrong treatment site. That treatment site business I think is what gives rise to much of what the proposed language -- or not the proposed language -- much of the focus is on treatment site. And the rest of them get very specific, you know. Is it treatment that consisted of different qualifications, three fewer refractions and the total administered dose was different than the total prescribed more than ten percent. Now we're into percentages.

why ten percent? The governing standard body, whoever it was that was the original architect of all this, probably put in some sort of, well, ten percent is, you know, we're not always exactly on target, so ten percent maybe more than that is the, is the way to go about it.

And then you start getting into the administrative weekly dose, is thirty percent

greater or it differs from the prescribed by more than twenty percent overall.

And then in Part 16, which I had something to do with a number of years ago, for this particular kind of therapy, which is the electronic brachytherapy, we kind of winnowed it down to kind of a, a more, I think, understandable, at least at that point in time for that particular kind of device, set of categories.

And then the rest of it, you see from there to the next page is, I believe Clark, who is Lisa's supervisor who is the architect of all this, I think what he was going for was to try and tackle, you see there's no changes to one through three for medical event. Is trying to tackle some of the other stuff about and provide a definition of what treatment site is.

I don't know if it does it and we're not saying we're going forward with any rule making. We're really just throwing something out, or Clark is throwing something out there for discussion and for anyone to, you know, say, well, yes, we don't believe him, for example, is working on something new or we find this to be useful at our facility.

But I think it would -- the idea was trying to

figure out how close is close enough if you're talking about you're off by a little bit on your treatment site.

Now, treatment site, oh, treated the left leg instead of the right leg. That's pretty understandable, right? You know. Okay. I'm in the spine and I treated a thoracic vertebrae instead of lumbar. Okay. That's pretty much understandable. Wrong treatment site.

what if it's the exact target volume but you're slightly, you're slightly off? You know, you got ninety-five percent of what you were trying to do and you hit five percent or fifteen percent of more healthy tissue than you intended. Is that a medical event? That's my sense of where this is coming from.

LISA GAVATHAS: Is there a critical structure right there in that area or is it a re-treat. Like if that area's been treated previously, then that becomes a concern. So that's where we are on that.

JAMES FUTCH: So unfortunately, Doctor Williams is not here, but Kathy and Mark are here. And anybody else who wants to weigh in.

MARK SEDDON: I think for, for event reporting, I think you may see more of the -- I'm not sure

about medical event or not, I don't want to be first 1 2 to say safe to let you know so we can do a full 3 investigation. If it was not as punitive and more 4 of an informative, corrective action, let's make sure the patient is safe, let's make sure we've 5 6 corrected it any processes at the facility, that would be more effective in improving your reportable 7 Because people would be more willing --8 LISA GAVATHAS: I agree. 10 LYNN ANDRESEN: I agree. 11 MARK SEDDON: -- to go ahead and contact the 12 state to have, to have the process looked at. 13 One of the -- at a national level, one of the things that was created was the ROILS, which is the 14 15 Radiation Oncology Information Learning System, 16 because as you say, errors in radiation oncology 17 were frequently underreported because people were 18 afraid of, you know, to types of actions and/or they 19 didn't quite understand the results of the no harm/no foul kind of, you know, thinking as well. 20 21 It was like, well, I mean, the patient has got 22 cancer. We just gave it treatment to an area that 23 now they say, I'm going to treat that other area. 24 lot of it is based on their judgment, how they're 25 going to treat.

Technology changes where, you know, twenty years ago, we were treating very broad sections of tissue. Now we're treating very fine, tiny little structures. So we're really defining our PTV to a very small area, which in the past, we would include the whole section of tissue. I think that also makes a, makes a different -- difference as well.

But the, like the ROILS was created to allow a process for studies to report to a patient safety organization, errors in radiation oncology they can frequently report anonymously and in effect, everyone else can learn from it, other facilities around the country can learn from it, to learn what's really happening out there. What are the errors that are occurring that may not qualify as medical events under some definitions or maybe are not being interpreted as medical events as the current regulation's applied in that specific state.

So that would probably help. I think in Florida, if you think our reporting is too low, because I know I've heard anecdotally there's some concerns from folks that it was a, you know, a minor error what they thought and then they thought there was a very rather heavy-handed approach to the inspections.

So I've never experienced that, but I've heard that. That sometimes can be, especially when you have the three, like you have the MqA folks come out and look at the potential effect on licenses or the individuals as well, so that's a concern for individuals not to report, and that they may feel, I don't want to, you know -- because the medical physicist reports it to the state, the therapists are the ones actually reported to the medical physicists.

LISA GAVATHAS: Right.

MARK SEDDON: So if they know that when they have an error, they reported it to their boss, to report to the state, they're not going to want to report that because they're going to lose their license or be fined.

KATHY DROTAR: Or lose their job.

MARK SEDDON: Or lose their job. Hospitals already have that in place. If there's a patient error, you're not, you're not going to lose your job over a patient error. Patient error, you have to report it, and they want to encourage reporting. So risk management system, that's one of the things that the -- they are not to have any disciplinary action against you for errors like that.

Now, repeat errors and not following policies and procedures, that's one thing. But if you, if you come across an error that occurs and, you know, the expectation is you report it. That's more important. The first thing is patient care.

LISA GAVATHAS: Right.

that you can call and say, most radiation physicists and radiation oncologists are -- want to hear what, what you've seen that day or if there's something that occurred that maybe you have a question about, but not everybody is, and so I think, you know, if you've got a question, can you -- is there a place that you can go to to say, I think this needs to be checked. Or if you're seeing things that maybe aren't quite what you think they should be so that you can, you know, and as you said, define that, no, this is acceptable limits. Because I don't think we really have anything except for broad based, you know, this is, this is going to impact on the patient.

But, you know, if you're -- the one you talked about with, if you're not centered where you're supposed to be centered on the spine but maybe you're a little bit to the right but there aren't

any critical organs there, but if you -- if you're higher in the thoracic spine and go to a little bit to the left, then you've got lung and heart in there. And then that becomes that, that reportable, you know.

And making, making people not afraid to report, I think is, and having maybe some kind of a database that might give you numbers that you could talk to somebody about that would be anonymous, that you would get more of that information. Because I think as therapists and -- that if you see something that's not right, your training is to say something to somebody. And the only thing that really holds you back is, what are they going to say to me? And, you know, the response should be, well, if there's anything wrong, thank you for telling us so that we can report it and we can, we can take care of the patient and do what's right by the patient.

MARK SEDDON: Because I think the majority, in 85, the majority of the, of the items listed are, you know, the bigger items and they are definitely -- if you have wrong patient, wrong site, I mean, the wrong dose, you know, substantial, then those are reported because people know it's a big mistake.

It's like if a painter paints the room a wrong color, well, everyone notices it. If you, like, maybe along the edges, they're going outside the lines a little bit along the ceiling, well, you know, that becomes is it acceptable or not acceptable by the individuals.

That's, I think, where we have, you know, some confusion. The wrong site is what you're talking about is -- if you're painting a whole wall, it's not a big deal. If you're painting up there, it's tight, it becomes important.

So the majority of those types of errors are caught by the therapists.

LISA GAVATHAS: Right.

MARK SEDDON: Wrong site, wrong location, that's the therapist. That's why if we have a less-punitive reporting process or an investigation process for those, we'd probably see improved reporting. And then if they're reporting them, we can see where -- what is the cause of those errors? What's causing the shifts that are not being caught? What's causing the variations in, in set up every single time, every infraction, and then that could be worked on.

Because that's really what has been happening.

People turning a blind eye to it so no one is
looking at fixing that specific part of the
treatment process.

Lots of checks and balances then for dosimetry
and for prescriptions. Not a lot of checks and
balances in for -RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Location.

CHANTEL CORBETT: I don't know. It may be an option, too, like, three people from a higher agency walking in as a group is probably very intimidating to most people. So I don't know if there's maybe, like, an option for a staged investigation, where one individual would come in and gather the majority of the data, and then, if necessary, elevate it and bring in additional people.

Because I know in some of our accrediting bodies, if one person walks in for an inspection or something, it doesn't cause much ruckus, let's say. If you have three people walk in, then everybody kind of goes on high alert. Everybody is a little more panicked. Everybody is a little more, you know, uptight about everything and it makes everybody real nervous. So I don't know if that's an option, too.

LISA GAVATHAS: Right. And MgA kind of

addresses that in the investigator training as well. 1 2 It's like, if you're interviewing one on one, you should be one on one. You should have -- if there's 3 4 more than one person in there, you should be face to face with that person. The other people are -- if 5 there's someone else in the room, they should just 6 be taking notes and that sort of thing. 7 CHANTEL CORBETT: And I don't know if that 8 9 would make me less nervous with two other people 10 taking notes and one person talking to me. 11 MARK SEDDON: I think you do an affidavit. 12 think the people involved, they have to do something 13 like a signed affidavit. It seems very formal. I think that's what scares a lot of the -- usually 14 15 most of our therapists have, you know, they are 16 crying afterwards and they are not happy. 17 LISA GAVATHAS: For MgA, they do have to do the 18 affidavit. For the state, as far as we're 19 concerned, it's not an affidavit. It's just a 20 statement of what happened. 21 MARK SEDDON: Right. 22 LISA GAVATHAS: But they do have to sign it. 23 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: But I think that 24 most of the techs are very concerned about the 25 repercussions of --

LISA GAVATHAS: Yes.

RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: -- of doing that.

And there are repercussions usually within the department, within all kinds of things. So --

LISA GAVATHAS: And we have been asked by physicists, please make this nonpunitive, specifically.

JAMES FUTCH: Yeah. Speaking as the guy who determines probable cause against the operators, and it being recorded, there are a set of guidelines in the statute and the regulations that are mitigating or aggravating circumstances. It's obvious things. Repetition is an aggravating circumstance; you know, things of this nature.

From my perspective, the don't be so punitive, I think, is an outgrowth of, at least in part, the number of events that kind of mushroomed on us all in one particular fiscal year. Traditionally, you guys have been, and for a little while, may remember Don Steiner or Tom Tomcheck, two of the folks in the program, x-ray program before Lisa, would go to the annual AAPM meetings and give an update on all the medical events that had happened in past years. Sort of like what Lynn did, perhaps with a paragraph. You do that often enough, you see the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

same things over and over. It kind of looks like, ten years, we're still doing the same thing. Same things are happening.

But the number was not huge. It was per year, on the order of a couple, two, three. And then in one particular fiscal year, not too long ago, it was seventeen or eighteen. Just happened all in one vear. And I think there was some discussions about is the state becoming, you know, overly regulatory or in particular, the Bureau of Radiation Control. And it really had absolutely nothing to do with us becoming overly regulatory or punitive or anything like that. It was just they all happened. physicists at some facility called us about every single one and prompted and said, we had this But then we, you know, we had to deal with that. Because it kind of like, look, these guys are going out twice a month now, different parts of Florida, and all these different things are happening.

Really, I don't think changing, at least from my perspective on the operator's side, didn't really change anything in terms of what we were doing.

And so I wanted to throw that out as historical background. I think we're at, for whatever reason,

somebody turned off the medical event machine and 1 2 we're back to, like, a couple, two, three, a year 3 now. 4 BILL ATHERTON: That was my question. Those three or four events you said were all events you 5 6 had this year or what time frame? 7 LYNN ANDRESEN: No. They are just past, examples of past. I just wanted to share. 8 9 JAMES FUTCH: But the numbers are back to 10 historical averages. 11 BILL ATHERTON: Which are two or three? 12 LYNN ANDRESEN: Two or three a year. 13 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: But that's the 14 ones that are reported. 15 JAMES FUTCH: Yeah. Comparing apples to apples. CHANTEL CORBETT: If you get people to start 16 reporting them all in one year, then you're going to 17 18 see that kind of, like, high alert level, everybody 19 nervous kind of thing. 20 MARK SEDDON: About ten years ago, I was on the 21 National Board at that time, and people were coming 22 out and testifying in front of Congress and there 23 was a national initiative for patient safety in radiation oncology. A number of different things 24

25

came out.

So that may have correlated to your increase in 1 reporting, because it raised awareness, too, across 2 3 the industry. JAMES FUTCH: I would love to know what caused 4 5 it. Something in the water that year. I don't 6 know. CHANTEL CORBETT: I mean, if your average is two 7 or three, and you go to fifteen, obviously, it's a 8 9 huge growth percentage. But it's, you know, it's all relative. 10 11 JAMES FUTCH: Yeah. It's really small --12 CHANTEL CORBETT: I mean. vou know. It's one 13 of those things, you're penalizing and the end is probably no different. But individually --14 15 JAMES FUTCH: To the industry, though, there's not a huge number of facilities. There's even a 16 17 smaller number of medical physicists dealing with 18 all of it, going to meetings and talking about it. 19 CHANTEL CORBETT: Right. 20 JAMES FUTCH: So fifteen, seventeen, eighteen looks like a bunch --21 22 CHANTEL CORBETT: Right. 23 JAMES FUTCH: -- at that particular meeting. 24 CYNTHIA BECKER: How about the number of 25 therapies being done, treatments also increased. So

could it be just that especially in Florida, being 1 2 who we are here with the retirees and such, that 3 there is more treatments being done and then also, 4 the technology advances, you're going to have more, more errors, or are they really, like we said, 5 medical events or not. Because now we're just fine 6 tuning, like Mark had said, we're getting really 7 close to just pinpointing and targeting the actual 8 tumor whereas in the past, everything that would've 9 been done would've been considered, in today's 10 11 terms, as medical events. 12 MARK SEDDON: Right. And we --CYNTHIA BECKER: Just like before we had the 13 old, we didn't have dedicated mammography x-ray 14 15 units. We had just a cone that was attached. And 16 that was part of the BENT program back then. So I 17 think a lot of it is technology. 18 MARK SEDDON: So it may require some redefining of the definitions, like you said. That's what you 19 20 guys are doing. 21 CYNTHIA BECKER: Right.

involved. So we really don't like to recreate, you

have looked to national standards or standards of

relevant organizations, professional societies

JAMES FUTCH: In doing that, we historically

22

23

24

25

know, the wheel for new things. It's also much 1 2 harder to defend it when it gets into a hearing if 3 it's something we just thought of in Florida and 4 nobody else is doing it. MARK SEDDON: Didn't the NRC, the ACMUI, didn't 5 6 they just have this discussion just two months ago at the National, at the --7 JAMES FUTCH: I wish I could tell you. 8 being the author of that particular piece, that 9 could be something that caused Clark to --10 11 MARK SEDDON: They had a likely discussion and 12 some presentations, whoever was the advisory person 13 at that task group that worked on revising medical events, I think they basically came up with, they --14 15 his recommendation was not to more closely define it 16 because they want to keep it opened ended for 17 changing technology and for just to --18 JAMES FUTCH: Open. I can see that. 19 MARK SEDDON: -- to allow for the cause, I'm not 20 sure this is the event or not. So what do you guys think? 21 22 CYNTHIA BECKER: That was NRC, ACMUI, were they 23 focused just on materials? 24 MARK SEDDON: Yeah. They were looking at 25 materials. And so, they were looking at materials.

aspect of this that maybe not everyone is aware of is that a lot of this, we as an agreement state in regulating through the transferred authority of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, have to follow fairly closely what they put forth as a recommended regulation. We -- that's not true when it comes to machine-based therapies. We've, I believe, always had the opinion that it's radiation. We really should be agnostic in terms of the source of the radiation and not apply one set of standards because it came from materials and another because it came from a device you plug into the wall.

But that also means we don't have a, I guess an

But that also means we don't have a, I guess an FDA or another group out there on the machine side that's saying, this is what a medical event is, we need to comply with it.

MARK SEDDON: NRCPD has --

JAMES FUTCH: Has some state regulations.

MARK SEDDON: You were at the last meeting.

CYNTHIA BECKER: Yes, and there were several discussions involved in that. And I'm going to the CRCPD board meeting in October and AAPM will be represented there as well as FDA, EPA and DOE and all the others and I know that that is something

that's probably going to come up again. So I intend to kind of make use of the contacts there to say, you know, help us with this.

MARK SEDDON: Within AAPM nationally, it's like Lynn Farvin and those folks are working hard on trying to respond to requests for information.

CYNTHIA BECKER: Melissa Martin and Kate Hitlang (ph), I usually see them. I hope to see them in October. So, but, yeah, CRCPD is a great organization as far as getting involved with the state programs and seeing what other states are doing and kind of trying to make it consistent throughout the United States and really throughout the world, but that gets even harder.

MARK SEDDON: Yeah.

CYNTHIA BECKER: So --

JAMES FUTCH: And so, one more aspect of this that I wanted to bring out. When the team goes out, it's -- one of the reasons it's not just one person is because it's a very complex subject from the standpoint of oncology in general and then the machine and the physics side of it. And then the operator, all the different, you know, there's the dosimetrist, there's the microphysicist, there's the oncologist, there's the therapist side of it. So

it's very hard to find one person to kind of cover all that, so we ended up with a team approach.

But what, what they generate is basically an investigative report. And then it, and then it goes to the, the x-raying section for workup into, what are you going to do with that? Does this meet the definition for a violation of any of the different types of violations you've got established? That's a decision for my counterpart, Clark Eldridge and Bureau chief.

After all that's done, the output of all of that, that report especially, and then we typically wait until the machine program has determined what they're going to do, because we don't want to get in the middle of it on the, the operator side. We'll take all the material and then just look at it from just the operator perspective. When I say operator perspective, I don't necessarily mean just the radiation therapists. There are several licensed professions that are involved in this. There's the physician as well as the medical physicist, as well as the operators.

The one profession that's not, of course, is the medical dosimetrist, which is not licensed in the State of Florida. So if the therapist didn't

follow procedures or was guilty of negligence or it appears was guilty of negligence, there's really no recourse at the state level for us to do anything with that.

However, all the other total package of folks who were involved in this particular range of events, could be multiple therapists, usually at least two, sometimes if it's multiple infractions, a lot more than two, we'll look at that. And then there are parts of, at least the area we're responsible for, the technologist's side of it, we'll look at it and look against those mitigating and aggravating circumstances and at least, actually before you even get there, just determine whether or not there is a rule or a regulation that appears to have been violated. And then we'll package that up and send it over to the prosecutors to look at.

They don't always do anything with it. They may think that it is not, in fact, something that rises to the level of really violating that, that rule and that statute. If they do, they will bring it back and they will present it and say, is this, is this evidence or not. We'll say yes or no and then leave. It's out of our hands at that point. It goes back to the prosecutors and they, they

1 determine penalty. 2 It could be a letter of guidance, which is a 3 non-disciplinary type of event. We're basically 4 saying, just maybe messed up one time, they didn't really harm the patient, and they will write a 5 letter that says, hey, this is a violation. Don't 6 do this again. Here's the statute. Here's the 7 regulation. Or it could be a whole range of things 8 everybody thinks about, a reprimand, a fine, 9 suspension or revocation. Rarely, if ever, is this 10 ever going to end up being a suspension or an 11 12 revocation. It's usually a letter of guidance and 13 reprimand or fine. And they will take the aggravating and 14 15 mitigating circumstances into account. 16 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: But --17 JAMES FUTCH: Not just --18 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: -- but the point 19 that's been brought up is because of that potential, 20 people don't want to put themselves --21 JAMES FUTCH: I understand. 22 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: -- or other 23 people that they are associated with in that 24 position.

I've

JAMES FUTCH: Agreed. I understand that.

1 found it quite difficult to -- making a 2 determination one way or another, is hard enough. Developing a policy or an inclination that says. 3 4 well, that's just a mistake, you know. That's not something that we need to follow through on the 5 process with that. That kind of puts you in a very 6 dangerous spot. The folks on the front end just 7 think, does the inspector do that? Does the Bureau 8 chief do that? Do I do that? I would prefer 9 people, you know, report what they want to report 10 and we'll deal with it. And we'll make an honest 11 12 determination, just like we do everything else. 13 don't think their reputation will bear out that we're, you know, like certain other, perhaps 14 15 regulatory agencies that people can think of. 16 won't name them. 17 CYNTHIA BECKER: You won't name them? 18 JAMES FUTCH: Yeah. That you trying to screw 19 you to the wall, so to speak. 20 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Well, maybe 21 there's a way to find a happy medium. You know? 22 LISA GAVATHAS: That would be good. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: I think that's 23 24 what it looks like it's boiling down to. So that 25 you get the reports and can see what's going on or

at least the questions, but, you know, people won't do that if they think they're going to be punished or they're going to be fired.

CHANTEL CORBETT: Unfortunately, I don't think the firing part of it, I don't think the state's going to have any control over. Obviously, that's going to be an institution thing.

JAMES FUTCH: It probably happened already if they thought it was. And I would like to say the flip side of this is, there are places that do have repeat violations. Facilities have repeat violations. Kind of more so probably than the individual operators.

MARK SEDDON: And that's where you want to report those because that means it's a process problem at that facility and you want that process to be addressed.

JAMES FUTCH: Yeah. And then there are instances in the past that one can think of that are, you really would like to find some regulatory mechanism to, quote, "make things better", and there isn't one. And the place just goes on with bad management and frequent changes of personnel. And the new person on the block is astounded they are doing these things. So you get another report; so

forth. 1 2 CYNTHIA BECKER: That fine line of trying to be 3 a regulatory body, at the same time an educational 4 one. It would be much nicer just to be an educational one. 5 6 JAMES FUTCH: You know what our attorneys tell 7 us, right? CYNTHIA BECKER: I know what they tell us. 8 9 JAMES FUTCH: We're not consultants and 10 educators. 11 CYNTHIA BECKER: We're not consultants. We 12 can't give opinions. 13 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: But that may be a way to get around this, is that if there are 14 15 reports, particularly repetitive ones, then in order 16 for those people to keep their license, they need to 17 have extra education and they need to prove to the 18 state that they've had it. 19 CYNTHIA BECKER: That would be --20 JAMES FUTCH: That's good. 21 CYNTHIA BECKER: That would be a nice thing to put in there, wouldn't it? 22 23 CHANTEL CORBETT: Like a probationary period. 24 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. Something 25 along those lines. In order to correct the problem.

1 But still have people want to report it. 2 KATHY DROTAR: I think it's not a generally 3 known -- it's not generally known that, that each, 4 each incident would get looked at independently and stand on its own merit or not. So that it's not 5 necessarily something that is punitive or considered 6 punitive. Because like you keep saying, it may or 7 may not be and you have to look at the situation to 8 determine what you're going to do and it's not just 9 therapy. We just have bigger numbers in therapy, so 10 11 it's more evident there's going to be some impact on 12 the patient. But it happens with CT, with nuke med, 13 with any of those, any of -- and technologies that we haven't used yet. So --14 15 KATHY DROTAR: Back to square one. 16 CYNTHIA BECKER: Back to square one. 17 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Anybody 18 have anything else on this topic or --19 BILL ATHERTON: One quick, on just these numbers. I noticed that the, in the top, the number 20 21 third most numerous radiation facility is 22 veterinary. Is that one of the groups to have a 23 representative of them on this council or not or is 24 that not -- is that not necessary? 25 JAMES FUTCH: Yes. So the.

BILL ATHERTON: I mean, obviously, there's no 1 2 people involved really, except for the people taking 3 the x-rays are just a few. 4 CHANTEL CORBETT: Or giving iodine in the case Which is like the number one user, I 5 of cats. 6 believe, in Florida. 7 JAMES FUTCH: Right. So, yeah. If you look at the breakdown of facility types, either by tubes or 8 by machines, the dentists are way out in front. 9 They won the war, I guess, on that one. 10 11 (Laughter) 12 JAMES FUTCH: 32,000 tubes. 13 ADAM WEAVER: Little less expensive. JAMES FUTCH: In terms of the make up of the 14 15 council, that's one of the things that's hard coded 16 into the law. It's in the statute. Actually, it's 17 in -- not Chapter 404, where the authority for the 18 registration, inspection of machines and materials 19 is, but it's in the Rad Tech certification statute, which is why we have a lot of medical folks on this 20 21 particular group. Point taken. Out of our control. 22 BILL ATHERTON: That's fine. It's just a 23 24 question. 25 JAMES FUTCH: Legislator kind of matter. But,

```
yeah, we've heard, heard that. Also with the
1
2
     cardiologists, I think we talked about once before,
 3
     right?
4
          CYNTHIA BECKER: Yeah.
          JAMES FUTCH: The best way for that to happen
 5
     actually, come to think of it, is we have two. two
6
7
     positions on the council.
8
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Lay person
9
     positions.
10
          JAMES FUTCH: Lay persons. And Dr. Cognetta
11
     actually is in one of them right now.
                                            So when
12
     either of those become available next time, that's a
13
     possible. It just has to be somebody who's not in
     one of the licensed radiation professions or
14
15
     something closely related to that. So a
16
     cardiologist or veterinarian, I think would work.
17
     So that's a nonstatutory change way of trying to do
     it.
18
19
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Right.
20
          JAMES FUTCH: Statutory changes are few and far
21
     between.
22
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Anybody
     else with any comments?
23
24
          I guess it's lunchtime.
25
          JAMES FUTCH: And we're going to come back
```

```
1
     at --
2
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: 1:30.
 3
          JAMES FUTCH: I think we have lunch ready out
4
     there?
 5
          BRENDA ANDREWS: Yes.
6
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Is that good for
7
     everybody, 1:30?
           (Proceedings recessed at 11:38 a.m.)
8
9
           (Proceedings resumed at 1:00 p.m.)
           (Mark Wroblewski and Keith Nadaskay
10
11
            are not in attendance)
12
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: So welcome back,
13
     everybody. We are now going to turn it over to
     James, as soon as he's ready.
14
15
          JAMES FUTCH: As soon as he's ready, yes.
16
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: This is the
17
     Radiologic Technology Update.
18
          CYNTHIA BECKER: Is that what it is?
19
          JAMES FUTCH: Very specific.
20
          CHANTEL CORBETT: Nothing new. Always a
     troublemaker.
21
22
          JAMES FUTCH: Okay. So we have an issue that
23
     we talked about, we have an issue and Chantel's
24
     related to it. No, Chantel, of course, is the
25
     nuclear medicine technology position, so she's been
```

the focus of -- and her predecessor, of several communications from the Society of Nuclear Medicine and NMTCB starting back in 2015.

So you may recall, right now in Florida, we have what we call specialty technologists and these are new categories of certification that were added after the law was changed in 2012. Prior to that, we had three primary types of certification:

Nuclear medicine, general radiography and radiation therapy. And then we had the limited scope or the basic as we called it in Florida. That was in the statute from '78 to '84, '84 onward.

So in 2012, we were dealing with the inability to add any other kinds of certification without having to go back and change the statute and we had actually been trying, through assistance with different organizations, to get the law changed back in -- Cindy, you can tell me.

CYNTHIA BECKER: No, it's like, how long ago.

JAMES FUTCH: Just hit me in the back of the head if I say something wrong.

So we had been trying to add some new kinds of certification in Florida, and one of the kinds of certification that we were trying to add was the CT technologist. And that was partly because of fusion

imaging. Starting in '97, '98, '99, they started in the industry fusing x-ray images in various forms and ways with nuclear medicine images. And when the societies finally decided to open up the CT technologist certification and the PET certification, ARRT for the CT exam, NMTCB with the new PET exam, two folks from different primary areas, that was supposed to kind of solve the problem. A lot of nuclear medicine technologists went to ARRT and got CT certified.

And that worked for a number of years. We had the scope of practice change from nuclear medicine to allow fusion imaging to work, wherein the nuclear medicine tech could do a limited form of CT.

But after they had gone to ARRT and got fully certified in, shall call it, freestanding diagnostic radiology kind of CT, they wanted to be able to use that in Florida and we had no way to allow that to happen. So the 2012 law was passed. And it gave us the ability to add other kinds of certification through rule making, without having to go back to the Legislature. And we did. We added CT, we added mammo, we added PET and added MRI and then pulled that back a few years later.

And in doing that, the only CT registry that

was in existence, at that point in time, was ARRT.

So right now, just to give you some, let's see, approximate numbers, there's, I think, about 175 CT techs licensed in Florida. To give you a comparison, 400 something mammo techs; six PET technologists. Now, these are Florida certified, Florida licensed folks.

At the national registry levels, there are a lot more, of course, than that. CT and mammo are very popular with ARRT. There's thousands and thousands of CT techs.

But what happened in 2015 which gave rise to this discussion, many of you were part of the council back then. This discussion, you'll see in the minutes from the May 2015 meeting, is when NMTCB came back and created their own CT registry.

So at that point, I think they had had a few, a few people go through, like, 100 or 200 CT techs, that had gone through this brand new certification and become certified by NMTCB to do CT. Any kind of CT, not fusion imaging CT.

And so, now we have two different registries, but our Florida regulations are written so that only the people from the, pardon me, only the technologists nationally certified by ARRT, NCT,

could become licensed in Florida to do CT.

Okay. So this is, this is Cybil Nielsen, who was with us at that point, representing NMTCB -- she was a board member -- in describing all of the things that go into what it takes to become certified through NMTCB to do CT. There's 40 pages of this. We talked about this for a long time.

Alberto had some comments in here; Tim Williams, who is not with us, Becky McFadden, who's not here, is in his position; Kathy had a bunch of comments. Lots and lots of discussion back and forth. I'll save you the trouble because I read all this last night again.

NMTCB has, at this point in time, 2015, according to Cybil, Arizona and Oregon were in the process of accepting NMTCB certified techs into practice in those states. At this point in time, the Joint Commission was recalibrating to recognize this particular kind of alternative CT. So Cybil spent pages and pages and pages explaining the difference between and the reasons behind why they had a registry in addition to ARRT that goes something like this:

ARRT's mechanisms for becoming certified in CT -- both of these, by the way, are what you would

call post primary; so therefore, these folks are not going back to a traditional classroom educational program necessarily to become qualified to sit for either one of the exams.

The biggest difference is ARRT -- and this is still -- I reread the qualification requirements also -- this is still pretty much the same, as far as I can tell. To become able to sit for the CT exam for ARRT, you have to do a certain number of, call them didactic hours, in different -- the appropriate subjects that you would think of for CT, as well as perform a certain number of exams from a certain number of different kinds of CT imaging.

And the number, I think, is five areas out of seven.

KATHY DROTAR: 125.

JAMES FUTCH: Five of each, for a total of 125 exams. But the bottom line is you have to do a certain number of procedures; has to be signed off on; has to be proven. All the rest of this kind of stuff. And then they sit and take a very comprehensive CT examination.

So all of the nuclear med techs who wanted to become CT's prior to the NMTCB certification for CT starting, did this. And there was some issues with that, which we talked about extensively, which is

this, this kind of hard-and-fast number of exams in different areas.

And NMTCB's philosophy, as described in these minutes, please go read them yourself in your leisure time, was different. They don't want to specify a certain fixed number of exams in different kind of areas. They have a certain number of hours of experience in doing all forms of CT that they require. And it's 500 hours is what they require. And their didactic course work is, I think, 35 contact hours on top of that.

These days, since 2011, a lot of this can be accomplished actually in the nuclear medicine educational program, because the curriculum standards have been recalibrated for the JRC and MT accredited schools, which is all, as of 2016, NMTCB accepts anymore. To incorporate CT into the base curriculum.

So a lot of that experience that they need, those 500 hours, if it's accomplished within three years of applying for the CT and sitting for the CT exam, you can count some of that. You can count some of your school work if your school work happened to cover CT.

There was a lot of back and forth; Alberto,

 \bigcirc 9

you had a whole bunch of questions; Kathy, you had a whole bunch of questions; other folks, really, we picked this thing apart. We didn't mean to be. We were trying to understand all the different aspects of what it would take before we accepted another national registry into Florida certification for CT.

And what it came down to was mostly that there wasn't a practice standard specific to CT for the MNTCB-CT persons.

I don't know if you remember, when we did PET, which also, of course, comes from NMTCB, we accepted that registry, there also was not a practice standard. This was, back in 2012, rolling over into 2013. There was the General Nuclear Medicine Society, Society of Nuclear Medicine had, which is the professional association that produces these things for the registry, NMTCB. They had kind of incorporated into the base document for all nuclear medicine technologists. That wouldn't work because in order for us to pass, to go through the rule making to create a category in Florida, we have to have a practice standard that's specific to this.

When we did PET, Society Nuclear Medicine, I think we were actually the reason that they went back and created a PET specific practice standard.

do you remember that? So when it came time to do this for CT, the same kind of problem happened. Which is that, oh, the base document, the nuclear medicine practice standards -- which are in the corner over there if you want to see a copy or up on the web later on -- because they recalibrated the profession, basically, to incorporate CT, much more so than just about any other profession, they, they didn't have a specific document for that.

So at this point in time, in 2015, Cybil basically said, well, ASRT, which is the practice standards that we've had in rule at that time for the folks who we were issuing CT licenses for, because it came from ARRT and ASRT had the practice standard for it, Cybil said they're in negotiations, they are in discussions with ASRT and rather than come up with one for ourselves, we're just going to pursue this and see if ASRT will incorporate our registry into their practice standard -- which they've done many years in the past. If you look at the ASRT practice standard for nuclear medicine, it covers both registries. So this is something that's been done in the past.

Okay. That's a long way. So fast forward to now. And the Society of Nuclear Medicine has

written letters to us; written letters to Chantel, 1 and NMTCB has written letters, I didn't know until 2 3 Chantel came in here and said, oh, look, there's another letter from NMTCB. 4 So they have convinced ASRT as of June 2017, 5 6 in their new -- in ASRT's new practice standards, which look like this -- I do have a couple copies of 7 this. 8 LYNN ANDRESEN: I have two copies if anybody wants to look at them. 10 11 JAMES FUTCH: There's one there. т']] 12 circulate one this way. There's one on this side of the room if anybody wants to look at. 13 In their practice standards for June 2017, 14 15 they do now include NMTCB-CT. And let me go back 16 here for just a second and I'll just throw it up on 17 the board here for a second -- on the screen here 18 for a second. So this is the CT practice standard. CHANTEL CORBETT: Page five. 19 20 JAMES FUTCH: This is the one date some place, 21 effective June 25th, 2017. I think I have it 22 highlighted in here. It will hit me in the face. 23 There it is. That's the relevant section, right? 24 CHANTEL CORBETT: Mm-hmm.

JAMES FUTCH: Okay. So education

certification, you all can read it for yourself. 1 2 Eligibility to take the post primary exam computed 3 requires the appropriate primary certification. 4 You've got to be nuclear med or radiography or something. Documentation, structure, education, 5 clinical experience, all that stuff that I talked 6 7 about. Those passing ARRT use the credential this. 8 9 Those passing NMTCB. So this is the first time this is now reflective of the fact that, yes, there is an 10 11 NMTCB pathway into use of these practice standards. 12 The paragraph right above CHANTEL CORBETT: 13 your highlight is the one that says by obtaining appropriate primary certification from either of the 14 15 So that gives you the primary has to come from one of those before they can take this. 16 17 JAMES FUTCH: This right here. Now, here's the 18 interesting thing. There's almost -- Lynn went 19 through and compared this practice standard to the 20 one we currently have adopted in our regulation for use by Florida CT techs. I think this is like the 21 22 only two paragraphs. 23 That was 2011. LYNN ANDRESEN: 24 JAMES FUTCH: Yeah.

So --

25

LYNN ANDRESEN:

```
JAMES FUTCH: There may have been -- I doubt
1
2
     this one was in between.
 3
          LYNN ANDRESEN: I'm not sure.
4
          JAMES FUTCH: They don't do it that often.
     there's very little difference in the actual
 5
6
     standard in what you can do and, you know, the
     general requirements, the specific duty; things of
7
     this nature. It was basically this -- made it a
8
     little more generic in some places and specifically
9
     referenced NMTCB-CT. That was a long way to go,
10
11
     but --
12
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Are the programs
13
     similar or are they different?
          JAMES FUTCH: Well, you mean --
14
15
          CHANTEL CORBETT: What do you mean by program?
16
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: I mean the -- to
17
     become certified, is it more similar now or is it
18
     still very different?
19
          CHANTEL CORBETT: It's the same thing.
                                                  I mean,
     than it was. It is 500 clinical hours in a CT
20
21
     environment.
22
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: But not
     specified as to --
23
24
          CHANTEL CORBETT: Not specific exams. The
25
     problem, for the most part, with the exam's
```

specification, is that if you're employed at an oncology center, let's say, you may do five, at the most, of the 125 options. And that means you would have to go outside of your current employer to be able to get those other tests. And there's really no point, honestly. And the whole point of this, really, is not nuclear medicine to go take over a CT job in a hospital. This is mostly for the oncology centers who have a PET CT and they are putting diagnostic CTs in between their PETS, right now they are having to employ two different technologists, for the most part. So it's going to prevent that requirement.

I'm not saying that everyone is going to switch to the dual, but we've already got hospitals in Florida who have said, as soon as this goes across, you know, we'll -- we have no problem. We can use that nuclear med tech that has a CT license as a back up for CT in our CT department. But at that point, they're going to drop down to the CT pay grade. They're not going to get their nuclear med pay to go into CT.

And so that's the other thing that I think a lot of people really want to push from a nuclear med perspective is, we honestly get paid better doing

nuclear med. So this is not something we're really trying to push into the CT, you know, field to just go do CT. This is really to incorporate it into their jobs where they're in an oncology center and they have that option.

KATHY DROTAR: And that was a good part of it

KATHY DROTAR: And that was a good part of it because the, the CT person couldn't do the nuclear med portion of it. They need that nuclear med person there, as you well know.

CHANTEL CORBETT: Correct.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

KATHY DROTAR: But the other thing was that nuke med people who were nuke med couldn't train in CT because they weren't covered by the --

CHANTEL CORBETT: Schools.

JAMES FUTCH: The student exemption.

CHANTEL CORBETT: Right.

JAMES FUTCH: The Florida law is still written basically, unless you're in that particular area, you can't do those kinds of images unless you're a student. Student meaning in the, in the tradition of, hey, there's an accredited school, you're an enrolled person attending it and you can do it.

Now, there are some programs that do that in Florida. They kind of, I think, recognize the -- I'll give you some numbers. But 2100, 2200 total

nuclear medicine technologists in Florida, ballpark. 1 And when we started this in '98, I think there was, 2 3 like, 1800. And I don't know, but a fairly high -you work in the field. Alberto, you were nuclear 4 I think a fairly high percentage of nuclear 5 medicine departments and nuclear medicine techs. I 6 mean, CT, PET CT has kind of taken over a fair 7 amount of what's going on. 8 So this is a big deal. This is why they put it in the curriculum in 2011 for the core nuclear 10 11 medicine technologists. 12 CHANTEL CORBETT: Truthfully, from my research, 13 and I may be wrong, outside of Florida, but in Florida, the majority of the nuclear med programs 14 15 offer more CT education in their program than x-ray 16 does. 17 KATHY DROTAR: Yeah. 18 CHANTEL CORBETT: So, you know, as of this 19 point, you can come out of an x-ray classroom and do 20 on-the-job training and get CT certified. 21 JAMES FUTCH: Which brings us to --CHANTEL CORBETT: -- and be done with no 22 additional anything. 23 24 JAMES FUTCH: Now, Tim Williams made -- went 25 back and forth, a lot of questions to Cybil in here

from Tim. And one of the points that he brought out 1 2 was, you can be certified in the primary area of 3 radiography in Florida and because that primary area 4 licenses you and covers any form of x-ray, you can do CT without getting a CT certification from either 5 the State of Florida or from either one of the 6 national registries. 7 8 CHANTEL CORBETT: Riaht. 9 JAMES FUTCH: That's perfectly legal. That's allowed. 10 11 CHANTEL CORBETT: Right. 12 JAMES FUTCH: Tim ended up, as I read the 13 minutes, Tim ended up coming down on the side of, well, what they're doing is better than that. You 14 15 know, it's much better. I'm not saying it's bad in 16 any way, shape or form, but he ended up, I think, 17 saving --18 CHANTEL CORBETT: More education. 19 JAMES FUTCH: There's a lot more education 20 involved in this, and you've still got to pass that 21 test. 22 And let me show you, because you had asked 23 something about --24 The exam covers a lot more. CHANTEL CORBETT: 25 JAMES FUTCH: You had it in hard copy I think,

1 right? 2 CHANTEL CORBETT: I did. I gave you what I 3 had. 4 JAMES FUTCH: Content specifications. There it So this is off the website last night. CT 5 content specifications. Standard 200-question exam. 6 And the person who has done this has completed the 7 500 hours and the 35 of the, of the contact. 8 9 You can see, we're talking about general areas here, fifteen percent of the questions, patient 10 11 handling. Fifteen percent medications; contrast 12 agents. Nuclear medicine always gets heavily 13 involved in those areas, for obvious reasons. Procedures and anatomy. Here's where it 14 15 starts to get out into the nitty gritty. Exams of 16 the head. You see exams of the neck, spine, 17 musculoskeletal: chest. I mean, you know, a lot of detail about -- I'm 18 19 sorry, Alberto, did you. 20 ALBERTO TINEO: So this is going to become more 21 of an issue going forward, so it was going to start 22 January of 2018, but I think it was delayed, but CMS 23 now is going to require anybody doing CT's to be --24 to have some kind of certification. You cannot be a

tech without certification in CT.

So they're either going to have the ARRT-CT or 1 2 the NMTCB-CT. So I think we're just at the end of a 3 wave that we're going to have to allow this to 4 happen as long as they have good education. Because it's just, it's just a matter of time that nobody 5 can perform CT without certification in that field. 6 7 JAMES FUTCH: Let me show you one more thing, also. And you and -- you had some thoughts, too, 8 on -- because you were here before and I think a lot 9 of folks had questions. But it seems like, in 10 talking to everybody, we've kind of adjusted to this 11 and it's --12 13 ALBERTO TINEO: Yeah, I think at the end, it was just -- we were just waiting for them to take 14 15 the -- to convince the ASRT to allow them to accept 16 NMTCB as part of the scope of practice. So as soon 17 as, I think where we ended was, as soon as that 18 happened, the council at that time was okay to 19 proceed with this. 20 It was the timing was in KATHY DROTAR: 21 allowing the curriculum to go before the ASRT as the 22 delegates to get voted on and accepted and it is. 23 ALBERTO TINEO: Correct. 24 CHANTEL CORBETT: Yeah, I mean, honestly, if

the Florida regulations would allow, outside of the

student exemption, I think we would have tons more 1 2 already through ARRT. It's just the fact that most 3 full-time technologists, the ones that are out in 4 the field, they don't have the time or money to go back, outside of their normal business hours, you 5 6 know, into a school program at this point. I've had people travel outside the state even 7 to get those clinical hours done. So where it's 8 legal to do it. And then, you know, get their ARRT. 9 Because that -- their job really kind of depended on 10 11 it. JAMES FUTCH: So some of the discussion went 12 13 back and forth. It was like we had the 125 exams camp and the 500 hours camp, which is kind of 14 15 reflective of the way, you know, NMTCB, up until 16 December 2015, used to have, what did they call it, 17 alternative --18 CHANTEL CORBETT: Pathwavs. 19 KATHY DROTAR: Yeah. 20 Pathway. it was for the base NMT JAMES FUTCH: 21 exam, they would allow, it was 4,000 hours you had to have and not a certain number of exams in a 22 certain number of -- because historically, that's 23 24 the way they are verse the other registry. So it's

like there's good and bad in both.

The commentary before talks about, well, you can go and take 125 exams and, you know, if you're doing rotations through emergency or something like that in a big hospital, you can do 125 in, like, two weeks or three weeks.

CHANTEL CORBETT: You can do each exam five times, so really divide that by five and that's how many you have to have.

JAMES FUTCH: Or you could say, well, you could take the 500 hours and you could do it all in, you know, just this particular area that's only available and the rest of it. And you can see that both of those, it would kind of be nice if we had like a certain minimum number of exams and certain number of hours for both of these groups, but that's not under our control.

CATHY DROTAR: I almost think they're comparable in what they look at. All of the ARRT examinations are competency based, where when you do it, you have somebody who is registered in that discipline to oversee you and make sure that you are doing it and that you're competent. They sign off and verify it so that you've done practice on it and now you're doing it.

with the -- and part of that is because the,

in the core curriculum, in x-ray, you're learning about x-ray and safety and, and, and most of us have included more CT or axial pathology, et cetera, in the curriculum. And what, what -- NMTCB is doing, you would need this many hours and so, it comes down to probably doing similar things, just the apple and oranges way of looking at it. The curriculums are the same.

CHANTEL CORBETT: Yeah, I mean, nuclear medicine, we've had cross sectional anatomy in our curriculum for, basically, since SPECT has been invented, you know, whereas, you know, you don't get that in a lot of the other programs. So it comes down to more specific CT; anatomy, obviously, being able to read that and the didactic requirements.

JAMES FUTCH: So this is the form that's required as part of the application process. This is the, basically, the validation of the 500 hours. It's got some instructions.

I really kind of expect, as time goes on, most people are going to get most of these done as part of their base nuclear medicine program. And the people who aren't doing that probably have already done, a large number of them have done the ARRT method. And I haven't seen the numbers. It would be

interesting to see --1 2 CHANTEL CORBETT: The ARRT method really has 3 not been done because they're not allowed to touch 4 the go button. I mean, that's what this comes down 5 to. 6 JAMES FUTCH: I'm really not supposed to, 7 but --CHANTEL CORBETT: Well, I mean -- and we kind 8 of tried to, even at some point, we've asked, like, 9 can we get something in writing saying that if we do 10 11 everything else in the exam that we're allowed to do 12 and not touch the start button, can we count that? 13 But nobody would ever give the written yes. really, that's the hold up on the ARRT version. 14 15 But this is the form and then you JAMES FUTCH: can see the expectation of the 500 hours right there 16 17 in the middle. It talks about what kind of standard 18 you use and all that. But the expectation is that 19 the hours include at least, you know, these kind of 20 generic categories. 21 And they talk about not requiring a specific number of CT's because I think the hours is more 22 23 important, because it takes a while to do 500 hours. 24 Anyway, that's, that's the form for that.

We

So here's where we're at as the Bureau.

had quite a bit of information, minimum MNTCB 1 2 provided before. We read the rules and statute back 3 Really, the only thing that seemed to be 4 holding us back, based on the sense of the council was, waiting for ASRT to put folks from NMTCB-CT 5 pathway into their document. That's no small thing. 6 This is the American Society of Radiologic 7 Technologists. This is largely thought of being 8 9 associated with the other registry. So they could've said, pound sand, but they didn't. 10 11 So at this point, I think we have what we need 12 to proceed and make the regulation changes to start 13 accepting someone from NMTCB-CT registry into the Florida -- and we have to do this anyway. 14 15 to update the ASRT practice standards regardless 16 because these are the current ones. The ones we 17 have are old ones from few years back. 18 Once we do that, we've, just for the other 19 side of the coin for the existing CT techs, we've 20 already got NMTCB in the practice standards. It 21 would be kind of silly not to allow them to be certified at that point. 22

So we have a couple different areas that we have to go modify and put some language together and start that whole process, which as I've mentioned to

23

24

```
a few people, is not quick anymore.
                                          I mean, you're
1
2
     talking minimum ninety days, and we had one that
 3
     took a year, right?
4
          BRENDA ANDREWS: Yes, we did.
          JAMES FUTCH: That was really without any --
 5
6
                           Actually was.
          BRENDA ANDREWS:
          JAMES FUTCH: -- objecting to a lot of stuff at
7
     all.
8
9
           So that's the issue, I guess, is does the
                     Should we do this? Should we start
10
     council agree.
11
     the ball to accepting CT from NMTCB into the Florida
12
     certification so they can become certified as CT
13
     techs in Florida.
          ALBERTO TINEO: I make that motion.
14
15
          KATHY DROTAR:
                         I second.
16
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Are we ready for
17
     a vote? All in favor? Say aye.
18
          ALL: Aye.
19
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Opposed?
20
           (No Response)
21
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
                                                That
22
     passes.
          JAMES FUTCH: They should all be like that.
23
24
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Yeah.
                                                Actually,
25
     your next paragraph says medical imaging and
```

```
radiation therapy professionals performing multiple
1
2
    modality hybrid imaging should be registered by
 3
     certification agencies recognized by the ASRT.
4
          JAMES FUTCH: That's good.
 5
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: So we can use
6
     that as a part of --
7
          JAMES FUTCH: I see what you mean.
8
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: -- your rule.
9
          JAMES FUTCH: So with your permission, moving
    on to another part of the --
10
11
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Absolutely.
12
          JAMES FUTCH: If you were to go and look at the
13
     regs. that we've adopted in the past, there are
     practice standards for CT; there's practice
14
15
     standards for PET; there's practice standards for
16
    mammography. All of those are the ASRT practice
17
     standards.
18
           We have three areas of primary certification
19
     for which no actual practice standard is adopted in
     Florida regulation or law. You have, instead, what
20
21
    we've been riding on for many years is in the
22
     statute, it talks about the practice of radiologic
23
     technology. And that term has been defined -- and
24
     let me find it for a second -- in a fairly simple
25
    way.
```

The practice of radiologic technology means the performance of activities requiring special knowledge and skills, including positioning and technique, safe operation of radiation equipment and radiation protection.

It's kind of minimalistic. For example, it doesn't even mention contrast or any of the other things. To show you by comparison, standards, here's radiography at the national level. This document -- whoops. It's thirty-two pages long. You know, practice standards are not super specific, but they are not that minimalistic. So this is radiography.

LYNN ANDRESEN: James, I have copies of radiography, nuclear medicine, and radiation therapy if someone would like to look at them.

JAMES FUTCH: Okay. Thank you.

So we were thinking, hey, we're adopting these practice standards for all of the specialty areas that have low numbers, relatively low numbers of technologists actually certified. We have 19,000 general radiographers, give or take; 2200 nuclear medicine technologists and just under 2000 radiation therapy technologists and all they have for a practice standards is what I just read to you, which

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is not even two sentences long as displayed in this particular regulatory document.

On the other hand, here's a nice national association that has many, and the one for nuclear medicine is even written to cover both of the major nuclear medicine registries.

would it not be better, with the Council's agreement, to go and adopt the document, for example, this radiography one, for our general radiographers that says, it's not overly restrictive, but it does have more meat on the bones. And a lot of these areas we get asked about over time. We've had to come back to the council in this particular case of nuclear medicine a number of years ago, and ask because the issue of medication kind of comes up every once in a while if we can do this, right? And we brought reams of documents to the council. We brought the curriculum standards for nuclear medicine. We brought the content specifications for the -- especially the NMTs for the exam, it's a little more specific, and explained, hey, these are what nuclear medicine techs are being educated to do. This is the kind of stuff they're being tested on. And throughout the whole thing, just to use the example, medication

administration, NMTCB'S were so specific, it had lists of pharmacological agents that they are tested on.

So it seemed, at least from our perspective, that this might be something that would be a good idea. But we wanted to hear from you. And when I say good idea, I mean to actually go and let's adopt a practice standard for one of the other areas, from the national registries, and use that.

ALBERTO TINEO: I think would be a great idea, because this comes up a lot in hospitals, of techs that are allowed to do everything according to the scope of practice, but the scope of practice is really this one. Nobody's in their license. So I think it would be concurrent with what the practice really is.

KATHY DROTAR: Well, there's also one for limited licensure.

JAMES FUTCH: Yeah.

KATHY DROTAR: So if there's any certification exam or discipline, there's a practice standard for each of them. But one of the things that happens is, on an annual basis, all of these practice standards and scope are all reviewed by committees. And then any modifications -- so that they are

maintaining the current practice standard. And any changes that are -- any changes are voted on by the house of delegates so that it's representative of practice throughout the United States. Not just any one area.

RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: So if that's the case, then would it be possible to put it in writing that we keep the most updated of the practice standards for each of the groups?

JAMES FUTCH: You can at least start with a motion that says you think these are good documents to adopt by the Bureau.

I just wanted to show you before anybody starts making motions. This is the way the practice standards are organized. Here's a general description of the scope in the beginning, which is kind of like common sense, right?

Evaluating images, performing quality assurance, et cetera, et cetera. Also includes these other things. And then you go into different areas, like, for example, the first area is assessment; clinical performance standards.

There would be a rationale. There would be general criteria. Sometimes the general criteria is fairly specific. Sometimes it's actually, as the

name implies, fairly general.

And then afterwards, there would be specific criteria. So this is kind of like if you really want to go and see what it is your profession does, you start looking through all of these specific criteria and general criteria, each of the different subject areas.

So here's analysis determination, standard number two, the general criteria, selects the most appropriate action plan, determines course of action, et cetera.

Some of these make my eyes glaze over.

MARK SEDDON: Are there any standards which conflict with what are in the current regulations?

JAMES FUTCH: We have to go through, like we did, if you remember, the radiologic assistant way back in '08, '06, something like that, we'd have to read it and make sure there isn't anything, as Mark implies, that would directly contradict, like, the law for the --

MARK SEDDON: Fluoroscopy.

JAMES FUTCH: -- the law for radiologic assistant excluded nuclear medicine and therapy.

And the radiologist assistant's practice standard, at least back then, had a few areas that spoke to

1 that issue. And so when we adopted it in the 2 regulation, we had to say, you know, we adopt this, 3 and this is the scope, with the exception of number 4 whatever, which contradicted the statute. So we'd have to go through and do that. 5 KATHY DROTAR: We're all -- it's within the 6 scope of practice for a radiologic technologist to 7 perform. 8 MARK SEDDON: And monitor. KATHY DROTAR: But not in order to -- but it 10 11 can't be used in order to position a patient. 12 JAMES FUTCH: Yeah. 13 KATHY DROTAR: So that there's that 14 delineation. You can do, you can do a procedure, but you can't use it just to go in and center L4, 15 16 L5. 17 JAMES FUTCH: There's actually a different regulation in Florida Administrative Code that 18 19 speaks to that issue you're talking about. 20 KATHY DROTAR: Yeah. 21 JAMES FUTCH: Mark is probably thinking about 22 that, too. Obviously, things have to be adapted, too, to what would be -- if there's statutory 23 24 authority for it, we can change the regulation if 25 it's conflicting with something that makes sense at

1 the national level. 2 Anybody want to see therapy or nuclear 3 medicine? It's more of the same. 4 CHANTEL CORBETT: No. JAMES FUTCH: Different words. 5 KATHY DROTAR: I've seen them. 6 JAMES FUTCH: A few different words. Same 7 8 general idea. I don't see specifically is CHANTEL CORBETT: contrast in the nuclear med practice. I think the 10 11 SNM actually specifies --12 JAMES FUTCH: Really? CHANTEL CORBETT: It does say medication, so 13 I'm sure it's under the definition. 14 15 JAMES FUTCH: At the end of the practice standards, I didn't pull up all the little 16 17 associated documents, but there's, like, the last 18 page or two, there's a whole bunch of specific documents about different, I call it -- I like to 19 20 think of it as problem areas. You know, there are 21 some areas we've had some difficulty in the past, so we need to say some specific stuff. It could be in 22 one of those because there's a lot of medication and 23 24 related stuff on there. 25 KATHY DROTAR: Chantel, that also might be

in -- under the practice standards. There's another 1 2 document that has some of those other things in it. 3 CHANTEL CORBETT: Okay. JAMES FUTCH: I know it's more specific than 4 5 the means of performance of activity requiring special knowledge and skills including position 6 techniques, safe operation radiation, radiation 7 protection. It's a lot more specific than that, but 8 still not, not being completely constricting. 9 still had some fairly, you know, general areas to 10 it. 11 12 CHANTEL CORBETT: That would be my only 13 concern, just to make sure that that's included. So that if a hospital, for instance, is going to say, 14 15 you've got to go by this, and that excludes 16 contrast, then that would be an issue. 17 JAMES FUTCH: And we'd definitely take that 18 into account. 19 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So I'll 20 move to accept these practice standards, depending 21 on the laws of the State of Florida, and updating them as needed as if the practice standards are 22 23 updated by the national registries. Does that make 24 sense? 25 MATTHEW WALSER: I second.

| 1 | RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Anybody want to |
|----|--|
| 2 | comment on it? Change it in any way? Okay. Can we |
| 3 | take a vote? All in favor, say aye. |
| 4 | ALL: Aye. |
| 5 | RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Opposed? |
| 6 | (No Response) |
| 7 | RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: None. Okay. So |
| 8 | we passed it unanimously. |
| 9 | JAMES FUTCH: All right. Thank you for your |
| 10 | guidance. |
| 11 | LYNN ANDRESEN: Two for two. |
| 12 | JAMES FUTCH: Do you want to do your stuff? |
| 13 | CYNTHIA BECKER: Sure. |
| 14 | JAMES FUTCH: Lynn, is there anything else that |
| 15 | we |
| 16 | LYNN ANDRESEN: No, those were the two main |
| 17 | items. |
| 18 | JAMES FUTCH: Okay. So well, that's it for |
| 19 | me. |
| 20 | RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So now we |
| 21 | have the Radioactive Materials Update. |
| 22 | CYNTHIA BECKER: Yes. And the update, for one |
| 23 | thing, talking a little bit about this earlier at |
| 24 | lunch, but one of the things that we do during an |
| 25 | impending storm is we call the IC licensees or try |

1 to contact them through e-mail. The ICs are 2 increased controls licensees. We have about 60 of 3 them that have the higher risk sources. 4 And we put out a message to them before the 5 storm saying, basically, secure your sources; let us know if you need any help. Make sure we have the 6 7 proper contact for you. And then, please let us know after the storm passes if your sources are 8 9 still secure. 10 So we did that message pre-storm and we also 11 did that message post-storm. And in past years, we 12 knew more of an area that the storm was headed to. This year, of course, we just sent that out to all 13 of them. 14 15 JAMES FUTCH: Pensacola, too? 16 Yeah. Yeah. CYNTHIA BECKER: 17 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Be on the safe side. 18 CYNTHIA BECKER: And we did really well here in 19 20 the State of Florida. Texas, I know they did have 21 some issues with flooding and with problems with 22 sources. 23 The other thing that we do that you may not be 24 aware of is that the nuclear power plants, they are

required by NRC to start powering down when tropical

force winds are expected to be within 48 hours of hitting their plant. And so St. Lucie and Turkey Point both started shutting down, which they did. I think they power about twenty percent of the electricity in the southeast area of the state.

So shutting down means, of course, now, you know, having more issues with the electricity in the area. So they did power down.

One of the requirements, then, that NRC has is before they can start back up, they have to have us, as our contracting person with them -- our contract stipulates that for the power plants, we will go in and we will survey their monitoring and surveillance equipment to make sure that there was no damage during the storm so that when they start back up, their surveillance and monitoring equipment are working properly.

And our staff do that. The staff that work out of our Orlando environmental radiation for John Williamson, that's what they do right after the storm passes and it's safe enough for them to travel to the plant. So that those, those surveillance and monitoring equipment were inspected as well and found to be operating. So the power plants came back up fairly quickly.

So that's kind of the storm-related 1 2 activities. 3 The other update is that I think Chantel 4 brought this up at one point. Was it the last 5 meeting or was it the meeting -- because --It was in between. 6 JAMES FUTCH: I wasn't here last time. 7 CHANTEL CORBETT: CYNTHIA BECKER: Yeah, it was the one I wasn't 8 9 here and you were here. JAMES FUTCH: I think it came in since the last 10 11 meeting if I remember right. You asked about this. 12 Decay and storage. 13 CHANTEL CORBETT: Yes. 14 CYNTHIA BECKER: Decay and storage issue. NRC, 15 as you know, and I think James mentioned this also, 16 is that we're an agreement state. There's 38, 39 17 agreement states I think now, which means that we 18 signed an agreement that we would regulate all 19 radioactive materials within the state with the exception of federal facilities and nuclear power 20 21 plants. So we do our regulations. But, of course, 22 we have to be compatible and adequate health and 23 safety regulations with them. 24 A lot of things are compatible, ABC, which 25 basically means they're either going to be verbatim

what the NRC requires or we have a little bit of lax in certain areas, as long as we're still health and safety related.

We can be more restrictive in a lot of cases, and the decay and storage may be one of those issues. It came up in, interestingly, you brought it up, but then also Mike Stevens is kind of our rule guru. You know, he's been with us since 1985. He went to the Office of Agreement state meeting, which is sort of a subset of the radiation program, program director/NRC, it's, as it says, Office of Agreement States. What they do it's a formation of the Agreement States, 38, 39 of them, and the staff from them get together and discuss NRC rules, compatibility requirements, and discussions come up. And that came up in a meeting which was just in August.

Were you there?

CHANTEL CORBETT: No, but I figured it might come up.

CYNTHIA BECKER: Okay. It came up. And the issue, I guess, first to explain the issue, is that NRC does not require for medical sealed sources to decay and storage. They allow them to be disposed of if surveys -- proper, adequate surveys, show that

the sources are now at background radiation, then 1 2 they can call a company and dispose of them. 3 CHANTEL CORBETT: Prior to the ten half lives. 4 CYNTHIA BECKER: Right. Prior to the ten half 5 In our rules, we still say you have to wait ten half lives in order to -- you're decaying and 6 storage is what we're doing. You're holding that 7 for ten half lives until you can safely dispose. 8 So when that came up, they asked some of the states that had gone with the NRC and changed their 10 11 rules to allow that now, to allow disposal. 12 What they were finding and Mike had said that 13 they were finding that there were a few more compliance issues. They were finding violations 14 15 from licensees who were not doing proper surveys. 16 They are not using -- taking away the shielding and 17 doing a survey, which is important. Some of the 18 licensees were keeping shielding in place and doing the survey; and therefore, thinking they can dispose 19 now. And then some of it was ending up actually in 20 21 the waste stream. Now, that's what he said a few states had 22 23 said. 24 JAMES FUTCH: The waste stream, by the way, is 25 monitoring itself now.

| 1 | CHANTEL CORBETT: Not like water. |
|----|--|
| 2 | CYNTHIA BECKER: Yeah, not like water. Waste |
| 3 | stream, in like the land fills. |
| 4 | CHANTEL CORBETT: My only response to that |
| 5 | would be if they're going to do that for that |
| 6 | method, I don't see them doing anything different if |
| 7 | they waited ten half lives. If they think that |
| 8 | they're going to be able to do the monitoring with |
| 9 | the shielding still present, then, if that's their |
| 10 | train of thought, I don't see their train of thought |
| 11 | changing after ten half lives. They still would go |
| 12 | that route. Maybe I'm wrong. |
| 13 | ADAM WEAVER: That's a key, too. It's also a |
| 14 | minimum of ten half lives. Some things you have to |
| 15 | keep longer. |
| 16 | CHANTEL CORBETT: Correct. You still have to |
| 17 | monitor some things are not. |
| 18 | ADAM WEAVER: Right. |
| 19 | CYNTHIA BECKER: So it's the minimum, right. |
| 20 | And one of the things, too, that came up is |
| 21 | that, you know, are we having any questions from |
| 22 | licensees. We haven't had any. I don't know |
| 23 | JAMES FUTCH: Chantel has been answering all of |
| 24 | them. |
| 25 | CYNTHIA BECKER: Okay. See. |

```
That's actually why I called,
1
          CHANTEL CORBETT:
2
     because I had quite a few of my clients asking.
 3
          CYNTHIA BECKER:
                           Really?
4
          CHANTEL CORBETT: Yeah.
          CYNTHIA BECKER: So we haven't, beside you
 5
     bringing it up, we haven't heard that part of it
6
     yet. But the other thing that some of the states
7
     brought up that their licensees brought up when they
8
     changed it is that it was costing them more because
9
     they were having to contact disposal companies, like
10
     it was costing more to dispose than just for them to
11
12
     decay and storage and then discard.
13
          CHANTEL CORBETT: That doesn't make sense,
     because the only thing we have to pay to dispose of
14
    now, is things that we cannot decay in storage.
15
16
     Like --
17
          CYNTHIA BECKER:
                           Okay.
18
          CHANTEL CORBETT: There would be no reason to
19
     pay anybody.
20
          ADAM WEAVER: Unless you're paying them to take
21
     it off your site for decay and storage.
22
          CYNTHIA BECKER:
                           Right.
23
          CHANTEL CORBETT: Right. That doesn't make
24
     sense.
25
          ADAM WEAVER: Or returning the source to the
```

1 vendor.

CYNTHIA BECKER: Right, then you have to pay for that.

CHANTEL CORBETT: We've had actual state inspectors tell clients in other states that they've come from previously, that this is why all this started, that once it was dead, you could just pull the labels off and throw it away. And so, we actually had somebody do that and luckily, I found out within 12 hours and it was still in Sharpes container. So that's why I started investigating and I'm like, I've never heard of such a thing. Like, that sounds absurd.

But then there was the NRC rule that actually said, like you could do it if it was background and you could throw it away. So I guess it depends on how it's interpreted as throw it away, you know, if it's dead.

CYNTHIA BECKER: Right.

CHANTEL CORBETT: So if it's a sealed source, if you have a cookie sheet, you know, sheet source for a camera and it's dead, you know, is throwing it away taking the labels off and throwing it into a normal trash can. Because there's -- there is no labeling at that point and it's dead. Or are you

still going to require them to pay to ship it out.

In that case, to me it doesn't change anything because right now, I've got people with twenty sources that are dead sitting under their cabinet because they don't want to pay to ship them out and go back to the manufacturer.

CYNTHIA BECKER: So that wouldn't change.

CHANTEL CORBETT: So if they would throw them away in the normal trash, that would be free and we would have that many fewer sources in inventory. But right now, they're not shipping them back because with the cesium vial, you know, it's \$600 plus shipping. With a germanium source, for a PET source, it never goes under \$600, no matter how dead it is, plus shipping. You know, a Cobalt sheet source goes down to \$200 plus shipping. So -- and they only will do a one-for-one exchange.

So when a client buys a new source, typically, it's including to shipped one dead; one back. That only is good for six months.

They do have a, depending on the person and how nice you are to them, they may give you a couple more months on that. But a lot of people will sit on that for whatever reason, they don't send them back and so they start accumulating all these dead

sources and so they have these cabinets full of dead 1 sources that, you know, we're still having to 2 3 inventory to make sure that they are still there. CYNTHIA BECKER: And that came up because, that 4 5 came up as far as now we have to do inventory, we're 6 storing those sources. 7 CHANTEL CORBETT: Right. CYNTHIA BECKER: So it was almost like this, do 8 9 you change it, do you not change it, you know. 10 CHANTEL CORBETT: Right. 11 It takes, as we talked about CYNTHIA BECKER: 12 before, a long, long time to change something. 13 CHANTEL CORBETT: Right. But the thought was that if 14 CYNTHIA BECKER: 15 they started hearing from people, and you said you 16 are hearing from licensees to change it, but then 17 the only thing is we'd have to be really careful 18 about the other part of that is to make sure that 19 they're not doing that and just removing the labels 20 and going, oh, heck with it. 21 CHANTEL CORBETT: But why not? Why would we 22 want to not do that? 23 Right. If it's truly, if it's CYNTHIA BECKER: 24 truly background and there's no radiation and you do

the surveys properly, yeah.

```
1
          CHANTEL CORBETT:
                            Yeah.
                                   I mean, I quess that's
2
     the caveat in the whole thing is how you prevent
 3
     that from being an issue. But it's the same kind of
4
     thing with outpatient iodine therapies. You know,
     you have to at some point you're going to trust your
 5
6
     licensee and you're going to trust whoever you're
     giving a signed consent form, they're actually going
7
     to do what they say they're going to do?
8
          MARK SEDDON: Isn't there a half-life
10
     requirement?
11
          CHANTEL CORBETT: For decay and storage, that's
12
     currently 120 days. That prevents you from doing
13
     it.
          ADAM WEAVER: One hundred twenty days.
14
15
          CYNTHIA BECKER: Anything that's -- yeah, one
16
     hundred twenty days.
17
          MARK SEDDON: For Cobalt, you can't do that.
18
     that's why you store Cobalt.
19
          ADAM WEAVER:
                        Some things are too long.
20
          CYNTHIA BECKER: Yeah, there are some
21
     things you can't.
          CHANTEL CORBETT: The Germanium rod sources.
22
23
          ADAM WEAVER: And also, those have lead in
24
     them, too.
25
          CHANTEL CORBETT: It depends on the source, you
```

know what I mean? We've got the flexible sheet that 1 2 are completely different than the glass, plexiglass. 3 ADAM WEAVER: Yeah. Depending on the 4 detectors. CHANTEL CORBETT: Yeah, there's pros and cons, 5 obviously. I think most of this comes from 6 everybody -- social media these days. Like 7 everybody has a alumni Facebook groups and, you 8 know, things like that where they've got texts that 9 they went to school with that are working in other 10 11 states and they will say, hey, have you guys changed this yet, whatever. And they go, oh, no. You can 12 13 do that? Oh, wait, wait. So then, of course, then that hits me because 14 15 everybody has me as their contact. So I get people who are not my clients, you know, because I'm also 16 17 the Society of Nuclear Medicine advocacy contact for the State of Florida. And I'm also the NTC contact 18 for the State of Florida and I'm also here. 19 20 Basically, my name and number are the primary go to, 21 I quess. 22 CYNTHIA BECKER: And you're hearing that. 23 well, that's exactly what came up at the OAS meeting 24 and they didn't resolve anything except for saying

that if different states, as soon as they heard from

enough people saying this is an issue, this is an 1 2 issue, then they, then they made changes. And then 3 when they did, they saw some things, but it's not 4 going to be, you know, like that every time. CHANTEL CORBETT: Yeah. The other thought I 5 6 had was, you know, and it would probably prevent that issue, is you could have multiple locations --7 I don't know who, you know, if it would be like an 8 inspector hub kind of thing, where the client says, 9 okay, I've got these five sources. They're 10 11 completely dead. They meet the criteria. And I 12 have to bring them to you or have you come to me and 13 verify before we can dispose of them. You know, like during your state inspection, you can get, you 14 15 know, that would maybe work, you know, during their 16 normal state inspection. 17 MARK SEDDON: Didn't we do the amnesty day with 18 Debbie Gillie a number --19 CYNTHIA BECKER: The scatter program? 20 MARK SEDDON: Yeah, scatter program. 21 CHANTEL CORBETT: Similar to that. Even if you did it --22 ADAM WEAVER: Those are still radioactive. 23 24 CHANTEL CORBETT: Where they did on their 25 normal state inspection cycle, that's not going to

1 prevent -- I mean, that's not going to have extra, 2 per se, trips for you guys. But I mean, as they go 3 on site to the client, if the client says, I've got 4 these five, can you please, you know, sign off saying that they really are dead and I can get rid 5 6 I mean, that might be a way to do it. that you don't have that problem with them just, you 7 know, chucking them and you find them in a landfill. 8 I mean, if they don't have labels, you're not going 9 to be able to track back to the clients. 10 11 CYNTHIA BECKER: You're not going to track it back. 12 CHANTEL CORBETT: Maybe that's the best way, 13 just have them sign off on it during the inspection. 14 15 ADAM WEAVER: Some of these labels are hard to remove, too. They are permanent. 16 17 CHANTEL CORBETT: Some of them have the thermal labels on the Cesiums and that stuff and after a 18 19 couple years, they disappear. Like, there is no 20 labeling on those vials anymore. So if you don't 21 write. like we've got masking tape and we've got all kinds of other things that we've handwritten them 22 23 on. 24 Honestly, at that point, it's, you know, your 25 word against whoever wrote it down like, I guess

that's really the source that matches. So -- it's 1 2 an interesting game. 3 Okay. Well, so, the thought CYNTHIA BECKER: 4 I'm supposed to bring from the materials licensing 5 program is if you're collecting -- because they are 6 calling you. If you're collecting those comments, if you could express those up to Charlie --7 8 CHANTEL CORBETT: Okav. 9 CYNTHIA BECKER: -- Hamilton, then we'll need to start looking at those and figure out if that's 10 11 something we need to pursue or put in place 12 something like you're saying where we change our 13 inspection approach. Something that we could do to keep from having issues if it's changed. 14 15 CHANTEL CORBETT: Right. We've had inspectors 16 mention to clients, you know, you guys really have a 17 lot of, you know, old sources here. You really should get rid of them. And it's an ALARA issue. 18 19 It's not an ALARA issue because they are dead. It's 20 not that part, but it is a lot of sources. 21 And hurricanes, I mean, if you have twenty 22 dead sources but a hurricane comes through and 23 floods your department and they are all gone now, 24 you have to go track them down because they were on

your inventory, but they've been dead for years.

| 1 | You know, that's an issue, too. |
|----|---|
| 2 | LISA GAVATHAS: Some of them are pages and |
| 3 | pages long. What are these things? You start |
| 4 | digging them out from under the cabinets. |
| 5 | CHANTEL CORBETT: Pulling them out of the |
| 6 | cabinet. |
| 7 | LISA GAVATHAS: We have some up here and we |
| 8 | have some down here. |
| 9 | CYNTHIA BECKER: Does it end up costing? |
| 10 | CHANTEL CORBETT: I think that might be the |
| 11 | most streamlined way to do it, during an inspection |
| 12 | and have them sign off, because it is already in |
| 13 | their, their, you know, schedule cycle. And they're |
| 14 | already checking inventory while they are there |
| 15 | anyway. |
| 16 | CYNTHIA BECKER: Right. Okay. That's a good |
| 17 | idea. Thank you. |
| 18 | CHANTEL CORBETT: Yeah. No problem. |
| 19 | CYNTHIA BECKER: All right. |
| 20 | RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Anymore comments |
| 21 | for this? Questions? Okay. |
| 22 | Next we go on to Brenda. |
| 23 | BRENDA ANDREWS: Okay. We have currently, two |
| 24 | vacancies on the council. I know some of you |
| 25 | remember Mary Bridget-Hart, who was in the Board |

Certified Nuclear Medicine Physician position. And she submitted her resignation in February of this year due to her overload. She could not -- she could no longer continue on the council, so that position is still open.

And a little recently, Dr. Cognetta, his position expired in May. So he was unable to be here today, but he would've also not been a member at this point.

So I have sent an e-mail out to Dr. Cognetta and I'm waiting to hear back to see if he's interested in reapplying for another three-year term and I'm waiting to hear back from him. I'll probably give his office a call to see.

Also, shortly after Dr. Hart resigned, we did submit a letter to the society, but in the meantime of everything else going on, they have not responded. So we're definitely going to have to go back and do more due diligence in getting these positions filled. But in the meantime, if anyone on the council knows anyone that may be interested in the two vacancies.

I also put in your package a list of the current members and it shows those two vacancies. So if you know anyone that --

| 1 | CHANTEL CORBETT: I'll contact the society. |
|----|--|
| 2 | BRENDA ANDREWS: would qualify. |
| 3 | CHANTEL CORBETT: See if I can get them to give |
| 4 | us some |
| 5 | BRENDA ANDREWS: Okay. That would be great. |
| 6 | CHANTEL CORBETT: They are having their annual |
| 7 | southeast chapter meeting the 6th through the 8th of |
| 8 | October they're actually meeting. That would be a |
| 9 | good time. I'll reach out to them. |
| 10 | BRENDA ANDREWS: Great. Of course, Dr. |
| 11 | Cognetta was in the lay position. |
| 12 | So we're looking to get those filled because |
| 13 | the Department is always concerned about any |
| 14 | vacancies we have on any of the councils or boards. |
| 15 | So I've really got to step it up and get these |
| 16 | filled pretty soon. Hopefully by the time we have |
| 17 | the next meeting we'll have those filled. |
| 18 | ADAM WEAVER: What's the requirements for the |
| 19 | open position? |
| 20 | BRENDA ANDREWS: James, you can probably speak |
| 21 | more to that than I can. |
| 22 | ADAM WEAVER: Is that the one you were trying |
| 23 | to look to fill with the vet? |
| 24 | BRENDA ANDREWS: One of the lay positions. |
| 25 | JAMES FUTCH: No, Dr. Cognetta's position we |

```
kind of call it the lay position. It's just -- it
1
2
     can't be one that is a member of any of the
 3
     certified radiation positions or closely related
4
     positions. The attorneys -- Dr. Cognetta, actually,
     is a dermatologist and he performs radiation
 5
     therapy, superficial. We actually had to run that
6
     one by the general counsel's office last time and
7
     they said that's not close enough to worry about.
8
9
           So if that's still what they say this time,
    you can actually be a cardiologist using fluoro or a
10
11
    vet using x-ray and that would be fine, judging by
12
     the previous guidance. Really, anybody.
    very wide-open spot. I think -- who's the other
13
14
    one?
15
                           I'm sorry?
          BRENDA ANDREWS:
          JAMES FUTCH: Who's the other one?
16
17
          BRENDA ANDREWS: Matt Walser.
18
          JAMES FUTCH: So give us ideas.
19
          ALBERTO TINEO: I have a question. I know the
20
     last time I was up for renewal, which is going to be
21
     next year, there was a lot of push to change members
     or to -- I don't know if that was from the
22
23
     Department or if that was from, what was the impetus
24
     for that?
25
          BRENDA ANDREWS: That was more so from the
```

1 Department at that time. 2 ALBERTO TINEO: At that time. 3 BRENDA ANDREWS: Of course, each person in that 4 position may take a -- someone else may take a different stance on that. But I think the main 5 6 thing, the main focus during that time was to renew the council so that the same members were not on 7 there for years on end, but give other people 8 9 opportunity --10 ALBERTO TINEO: Okay. 11 BRENDA ANDREWS: -- to apply for it. 12 course, this is a unique council, so it's not like 13 you don't have an abundance of people that would even qualify or be interested, everything lining up 14 15 for them to be a part of this council. So I think 16 they began to recognize that. And I'm not sure if 17 that's still going to be the case now. We are under 18 a new Surgeon General as well. 19 ALBERTO TINEO: I see that as a lot of --20 JAMES FUTCH: By my count, ten next year. 21 ALBERTO TINEO: Yeah, next year. 22 JAMES FUTCH: Not counting the two we were just 23 talking about. CHANTEL CORBETT: How far ahead do the 24 25 societies nominate for these renewal positions?

Well, we're the one that 1 BRENDA ANDREWS: 2 initiates that. So when there's a vacancy, we 3 submit the letters to them, letting them know that 4 we have a vacancy and that they nominated someone. We give them that information before. 5 6 we also let them know if that person is interested in renewing, we let them know that. 7 Or if the person is actually resigning from the 8 position, we let them know that as well. So they 9 can decide if they want to reappoint that person or 10 renominate that person or if they want to give us a 11 12 new nominee. 13 CHANTEL CORBETT: okay. BRENDA ANDREWS: But it starts with us. 14 CHANTEL CORBETT: How far ahead does it happen, 15 16 do you know? 17 Well, in the case with Mary, BRENDA ANDREWS: 18 Mary Hart's position, we did it short -- about a 19 month after she resigned. 20 CHANTEL CORBETT: I mean for, like, the 21 renewals. That we know the date. 22 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: We had ten. Ten 23 for next year. 24 BRENDA ANDREWS: Yes. 25 CHANTEL CORBETT: If your term is ending in

July, does the notice go out in July or does it go 1 2 out in May? 3 BRENDA ANDREWS: The notice to the person --4 they like to give them at least thirty days once we have chosen someone. So I would say about two 5 months out -- three months, three months out would 6 be a nice range for us to do a solicitation --7 8 CHANTEL CORBETT: Okay. 9 BRENDA ANDREWS: -- and get a nominee back in, do all the vetting that has to be done with the 10 11 person and then submit our paperwork through, giving 12 them the thirty-day window. 13 CHANTEL CORBETT: Yeah. The reason I ask, some of the societies only meet so many times a year. I 14 15 wasn't sure how that would fall into their meetings 16 cycle. 17 JAMES FUTCH: Brenda, we would probably prefer 18 wouldn't we, if they're having their society 19 meetings in May, April, March, spring meeting, 20 right? If, if they were to go ahead and talk to the 21 society that nominated them and maybe get the ball 22 rolling so we don't have to go after the fact and 23 send something out. We can get something from them. 24 CHANTEL CORBETT: That's why I --25 BRENDA ANDREWS: Right now we're pretty much

| 1 | after the fact. |
|----|--|
| 2 | JAMES FUTCH: We don't want to be. |
| 3 | BRENDA ANDREWS: We don't want to be. |
| 4 | CHANTEL CORBETT: It's not easy. I know. |
| 5 | BRENDA ANDREWS: We really need to go ahead and |
| 6 | get some nominees in at this point. |
| 7 | CHANTEL CORBETT: Like FNMT, we have our |
| 8 | meeting the 30th of this month. Council meeting. |
| 9 | Our annual meeting is in May. We have another |
| 10 | council meeting at the beginning of the meeting in |
| 11 | May, so at that point, we can make sure we have a |
| 12 | decision or whatever. |
| 13 | BRENDA ANDREWS: I'm pretty sure the Department |
| 14 | is going to want us to have something before the end |
| 15 | of this year. Some decision made. |
| 16 | CHANTEL CORBETT: We'll talk about it. |
| 17 | BRENDA ANDREWS: Because we have one that will |
| 18 | be open, well, from February and May. |
| 19 | CHANTEL CORBETT: FNMT will be a good guide |
| 20 | BRENDA ANDREWS: I appreciate that, too. |
| 21 | CHANTEL CORBETT: Society both and see if we |
| 22 | can come up with a nuclear med position for you |
| 23 | BRENDA ANDREWS: I would really appreciate |
| 24 | that. |
| 25 | CHANTEL CORBETT: Because they are harder. |

Right. It's the keeping up 1 BRENDA ANDREWS: 2 with letters going out --3 CHANTEL CORBETT: Right. 4 BRENDA ANDREWS: -- responses coming back and 5 e-mails and sometimes, you know, you don't know 6 whether someone has responded back to you or not for a while because you've got other things going on. 7 8 CHANTEL CORBETT: Riaht. 9 BRENDA ANDREWS: Before they start asking me a whole lot of questions, I have already been 10 11 contacted that we have positions vacant. So I know 12 they want them to be filled pretty soon. So I'm 13 thinking at least by the end of this year those positions will need to be filled. At least by 14 15 January. 16 CHANTEL CORBETT: Okay. I'll see what we can 17 do in the next couple weeks. 18 BRENDA ANDREWS: Okay. That would be great. 19 Thank you so much. 20 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: What I was just 21 saying is because we have so many positions that are 22 going to be opening up in July, that maybe letters 23 to all the members of the board to see if they want 24 to return maybe should go out in April or beginning

of May, so that that gives everybody time to -- that

| BRENDA ANDREWS: And probably before that, I'm thinking. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Or before that. BRENDA ANDREWS: It will have to be. Because if I if they are in if their terms are going to end, well, July, a lot them will end let's see here. Yeah. Those that are ending in July. Let's see. Yeah, April will probably be a good time. April, May, June. Yeah, April would be a good time to put those notices out. Okay. That would be great. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: So most of us be looking for your notices in April. BRENDA ANDREWS: I will definitely put that in my Outlook. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Is there anymore discussion on this? Should we go on? Okay. The next thing we have is old business. Is there anything anybody wants to bring up about old business? (No Response) RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Nope? Okay. So now we're going to move on. Our next meeting | 1 | would give time for the spots to be re-filled. |
|---|----|--|
| RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Or before that. BRENDA ANDREWS: It will have to be. Because if I if they are in if their terms are going to end, well, July, a lot them will end let's see here. Yeah. Those that are ending in July. Let's see. Yeah, April will probably be a good time. April, May, June. Yeah, April would be a good time to put those notices out. Okay. That would be great. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: So most of us be looking for your notices in April. BRENDA ANDREWS: I will definitely put that in my Outlook. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Is there anymore discussion on this? Should we go on? Okay. The next thing we have is old business. Is there anything anybody wants to bring up about old business? (No Response) RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Nope? Okay. So now we're going to move on. | 2 | BRENDA ANDREWS: And probably before that, I'm |
| BRENDA ANDREWS: It will have to be. Because if I if they are in if their terms are going to end, well, July, a lot them will end let's see here. Yeah. Those that are ending in July. Let's see. Yeah, April will probably be a good time. April, May, June. Yeah, April would be a good time to put those notices out. Okay. That would be great. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: So most of us be looking for your notices in April. BRENDA ANDREWS: I will definitely put that in my Outlook. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Is there anymore discussion on this? Should we go on? Okay. The next thing we have is old business. Is there anything anybody wants to bring up about old business? (No Response) RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Nope? Okay. So now we're going to move on. | 3 | thinking. |
| if I if they are in if their terms are going to end, well, July, a lot them will end let's see here. Yeah. Those that are ending in July. Let's see. Yeah, April will probably be a good time. April, May, June. Yeah, April would be a good time to put those notices out. Okay. That would be great. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: So most of us be looking for your notices in April. BRENDA ANDREWS: I will definitely put that in my Outlook. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Is there anymore discussion on this? Should we go on? Okay. The next thing we have is old business. Is there anything anybody wants to bring up about old business? (No Response) RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Nope? Okay. So now we're going to move on. | 4 | RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Or before that. |
| to end, well, July, a lot them will end let's see here. Yeah. Those that are ending in July. Let's see. Yeah, April will probably be a good time. April, May, June. Yeah, April would be a good time to put those notices out. Okay. That would be great. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: So most of us be looking for your notices in April. BRENDA ANDREWS: I will definitely put that in my Outlook. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Is there anymore discussion on this? Should we go on? Okay. The next thing we have is old business. Is there anything anybody wants to bring up about old business? (No Response) RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Nope? Okay. So now we're going to move on. | 5 | BRENDA ANDREWS: It will have to be. Because |
| here. Yeah. Those that are ending in July. Let's see. Yeah, April will probably be a good time. April, May, June. Yeah, April would be a good time to put those notices out. Okay. That would be great. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: So most of us be looking for your notices in April. BRENDA ANDREWS: I will definitely put that in my Outlook. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Is there anymore discussion on this? Should we go on? Okay. The next thing we have is old business. Is there anything anybody wants to bring up about old business? (No Response) RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Nope? Okay. So now we're going to move on. | 6 | if I if they are in if their terms are going |
| see. Yeah, April will probably be a good time. April, May, June. Yeah, April would be a good time to put those notices out. Okay. That would be great. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: So most of us be looking for your notices in April. BRENDA ANDREWS: I will definitely put that in my Outlook. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Is there anymore discussion on this? Should we go on? Okay. The next thing we have is old business. Is there anything anybody wants to bring up about old business? (No Response) RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Nope? Okay. So now we're going to move on. | 7 | to end, well, July, a lot them will end let's see |
| April, May, June. Yeah, April would be a good time to put those notices out. Okay. That would be great. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: So most of us be looking for your notices in April. BRENDA ANDREWS: I will definitely put that in my Outlook. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Is there anymore discussion on this? Should we go on? Okay. The next thing we have is old business. Is there anything anybody wants to bring up about old business? (No Response) RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Nope? Okay. So now we're going to move on. | 8 | here. Yeah. Those that are ending in July. Let's |
| to put those notices out. Okay. That would be great. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: So most of us be looking for your notices in April. BRENDA ANDREWS: I will definitely put that in my Outlook. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Is there anymore discussion on this? Should we go on? Okay. The next thing we have is old business. Is there anything anybody wants to bring up about old business? (No Response) RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Nope? Okay. So now we're going to move on. | 9 | see. Yeah, April will probably be a good time. |
| great. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: So most of us be looking for your notices in April. BRENDA ANDREWS: I will definitely put that in my Outlook. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Is there anymore discussion on this? Should we go on? Okay. The next thing we have is old business. Is there anything anybody wants to bring up about old business? (No Response) RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Nope? Okay. So now we're going to move on. | 10 | April, May, June. Yeah, April would be a good time |
| RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: So most of us be looking for your notices in April. BRENDA ANDREWS: I will definitely put that in my Outlook. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Is there anymore discussion on this? Should we go on? Okay. The next thing we have is old business. Is there anything anybody wants to bring up about old business? (No Response) RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Nope? Okay. So now we're going to move on. | 11 | to put those notices out. Okay. That would be |
| looking for your notices in April. BRENDA ANDREWS: I will definitely put that in my Outlook. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Is there anymore discussion on this? Should we go on? Okay. The next thing we have is old business. Is there anything anybody wants to bring up about old business? (No Response) RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Nope? Okay. So now we're going to move on. | 12 | great. |
| BRENDA ANDREWS: I will definitely put that in my Outlook. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Is there anymore discussion on this? Should we go on? Okay. The next thing we have is old business. Is there anything anybody wants to bring up about old business? (No Response) RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Nope? Okay. So now we're going to move on. | 13 | RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: So most of us be |
| my Outlook. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Is there anymore discussion on this? Should we go on? Okay. The next thing we have is old business. Is there anything anybody wants to bring up about old business? (No Response) RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Nope? Okay. So now we're going to move on. | 14 | looking for your notices in April. |
| 17 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Is there 18 anymore discussion on this? Should we go on? 19 Okay. The next thing we have is old business. 20 Is there anything anybody wants to bring up about 21 old business? 22 (No Response) 23 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Nope? Okay. So 24 now we're going to move on. | 15 | BRENDA ANDREWS: I will definitely put that in |
| anymore discussion on this? Should we go on? Okay. The next thing we have is old business. Is there anything anybody wants to bring up about old business? (No Response) RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Nope? Okay. So now we're going to move on. | 16 | my Outlook. |
| Okay. The next thing we have is old business. Is there anything anybody wants to bring up about old business? (No Response) RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Nope? Okay. So now we're going to move on. | 17 | RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Is there |
| Is there anything anybody wants to bring up about old business? (No Response) RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Nope? Okay. So now we're going to move on. | 18 | anymore discussion on this? Should we go on? |
| old business? (No Response) RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Nope? Okay. So now we're going to move on. | 19 | Okay. The next thing we have is old business. |
| 22 (No Response) 23 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Nope? Okay. So 24 now we're going to move on. | 20 | Is there anything anybody wants to bring up about |
| 23 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Nope? Okay. So 24 now we're going to move on. | 21 | old business? |
| now we're going to move on. | 22 | (No Response) |
| | 23 | RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Nope? Okay. So |
| Our next meeting | 24 | now we're going to move on. |
| | 25 | Our next meeting |

| 1 | BRENDA ANDREWS: There's calendars. |
|----|---|
| 2 | RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: There's a |
| 3 | calendar in the back. |
| 4 | BRENDA ANDREWS: Yeah, in the back. |
| 5 | RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: So give us an |
| 6 | idea what we're thinking when the next meeting |
| 7 | should be. |
| 8 | BRENDA ANDREWS: I printed three of them, |
| 9 | March, April and May. That was just to have |
| 10 | something to look at because we generally go around |
| 11 | the May time frame. If you all want to continue to |
| 12 | stick with that with May, that will narrow it down |
| 13 | to that month. |
| 14 | JAMES FUTCH: When is CRCPD, do you know? I |
| 15 | hear you. |
| 16 | KATHY DROTAR: May would put us after the |
| 17 | session ends. The legislative. |
| 18 | JAMES FUTCH: Oh, it's January, February this |
| 19 | year, right? It's the early year. |
| 20 | RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: So is May okay |
| 21 | with everybody? |
| 22 | JAMES FUTCH: So we need to avoid the week of |
| 23 | May 20th, 21st. |
| 24 | BRENDA ANDREWS: Okay. What's during that |
| 25 | week? |

JAMES FUTCH: CRCPD. Any other societies we 1 2 know of now meeting? 3 CHANTEL CORBETT: ARRT is the first weekend. 4 That won't affect the Tuesday. RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: So the -- we 5 6 have to avoid the 21st -- the 22nd you're saying? 7 JAMES FUTCH: Yep. 8 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Okay. 9 STRATIS LAGOUTARIS: One second. This calendar 10 is incorrect. May -- this is May 18th, I'm sorry, 11 like May 16th is actually a Wednesday, not a 12 Tuesday. BILL ATHERTON: Well, there's two 16's. 13 BRENDA ANDREWS: I think it's the way it looks. 14 15 I picked one day with two STRATIS LAGOUTARIS: 16 16s. Got it. Never mind everybody. 17 CHANTEL CORBETT: Those numbers are really 18 blurred. BRENDA ANDREWS: The fives look like sixes. 19 The fives look like sixes. 20 21 KATHY DROTAR: The 15th? 22 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: So our choices 23 are the 1st, the 8th, the 15th and probably the 29th 24 is not good. It's right after Memorial Day. 25 So anybody have a preference for any of the

```
1
     first three weeks? First three Tuesdays?
 2
          KATHY DROTAR: The 15th.
 3
          CHRISTINE CRANE-AMORES: The 15th.
 4
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Is the
 5
     15th good for everybody so far?
 6
          JAMES FUTCH: Any complications with schools,
 7
     colleges, children's schools?
          KATHY DROTAR: Not as of today at 3 o'clock.
 8
 9
          CHANTEL CORBETT: Usually May is Memorial Day.
10
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: So May 15th it
11
     is.
          BRENDA ANDREWS: Okay. And the location?
12
13
          KATHY DROTAR: Here.
14
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Does everybody
     like it here? We have here and we've done Orlando.
15
16
          BRENDA ANDREWS:
                          Yes.
17
          MARK SEDDON: This is fine.
          JAMES FUTCH: All the east coast folks would
18
19
     like to go back to Orlando. No. We're agnostic in
     Tallahassee. It's the same time frame either way.
20
21
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: So if it's okay
22
     with everybody, why don't we just do it here? This
23
     was very easy. Is that okay? Does somebody have a
24
     preference for Orlando?
25
          STRATIS LAGOUTARIS: It doesn't matter.
```

```
RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Is this good for
1
2
    you?
 3
          ADAM WEAVER: May 15th?
4
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: May 15th, here.
 5
          BRENDA ANDREWS: So that's that.
6
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: So does anybody
7
     else have anything else before we adjourn?
          BRENDA ANDREWS:
8
                           I want to say one more thing
9
     about the travel.
10
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Ah, yes.
11
                           The dirty words.
          BRENDA ANDREWS:
12
          JAMES FUTCH: We'll start with "we apologize".
13
          BRENDA ANDREWS: Yes, we apologize all around.
     Some of you got in very easily this time, but we
14
15
     still have glitches in that system.
                                          Mv plan is to.
     since we now know the date of the next meeting, to
16
17
     go ahead and do the Go Travel electronic system and
18
     try and get your travel through. That gives us
19
     about six months or more to get everybody approved
20
     through their preferred system.
21
           So you can look for an e-mail that's going to
22
     say, do not reply from this -- DOH, very shortly.
23
     Probably within a month because I'm going to go
24
     ahead and try and get these done this time and not
25
     be at the last minute.
```

No matter how soon I started, it seemed to 1 2 wind up at the last day trying to get travel done. 3 And we don't want to keep doing that. So we're 4 going to give this another try. We'll give it more time. 5 6 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Are there any receipts or anything you need from us for that might 7 not have gone through or --8 9 If you -- well. everybody's BRENDA ANDREWS: went through. I actually -- this is my fault. I 10 11 messed up and didn't know that Alberto was coming, 12 so I've got to go back and actually do travel for 13 So if you have receipts, like tolls or your him. hotel receipts and anything, you can all send those 14 15 back to me or e-mail me copies. Make it easy for 16 yourselves. Okay. 17 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: But if we've 18 already had the approval, do we still need to do 19 that? 20 If you've already had the BRENDA ANDREWS: 21 approval, you still need to e-mail me receipts 22 because I need to attach those to your 23 reimbursement. 24 RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: 25 BRENDA ANDREWS: And you don't have to mail

```
them back. You can scan those in and e-mail them to
1
2
         That will be fine.
    me.
          BILL ATHERTON: And then fill in the exact
 3
     times and stuff on -- is that online now or --
4
          BRENDA ANDREWS: If your times are different
 5
6
     from what was on the authorization, just in your
     e-mail, tell me what your times were.
7
          BILL ATHERTON:
8
                          Gotcha.
9
          BRENDA ANDREWS: Your exact times. And if your
    mileage was different, tell me what your exact
10
11
    mileage was and I'll adjust it. Of course, you know
12
     that the way the state works is I have to do the
13
     rental car based on their criteria as opposed to the
     actual mileage at the 0.445 cents per mile. And
14
15
     they give what is the most economical for the state,
     of course.
16
17
          CHANTEL CORBETT: What?
18
          BRENDA ANDREWS: Yes, I'm sorry.
19
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON: Gee, what a
20
     surprise.
21
          CHANTEL CORBETT: Shocking.
22
          BRENDA ANDREWS: Yeah. It makes my heart break
23
     to even say some of these things. That's the way
24
     they do it. That's the way it is. So anyway,
25
     that's how it's figured. So any receipts, you can
```

```
just e-mail those back to me and that will be fine.
 1
 2
          RANDY SCHENKMAN, CHAIRPERSON:
                                          Any other
 3
     questions? Comments? Okay.
                                    I think we're
     adjourned. And we'll see each other again in May.
 4
           (Proceedings recessed at 2:19 p.m.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

| 1 | CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER |
|----|--|
| 2 | STATE OF FLORIDA: |
| 3 | COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH: |
| 4 | |
| 5 | I, RITA G. MEYER, RDR, CRR, CRC, do hereby certify |
| 6 | that I was authorized to and did stenographically report |
| 7 | the foregoing proceedings and that the foregoing |
| 8 | transcript is a true and correct record of my |
| 9 | stenographic notes. |
| 10 | I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, |
| 11 | employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor |
| 12 | am I a relative or employee of any of the parties, |
| 13 | attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I |
| 14 | financially interested in the outcome of the action. |
| 15 | DATED this 10th day of October, 2017. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | To A New W |
| 18 | |
| 19 | RITA G. MEYER, RDR, CRR, CRC |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| | 16th 144:11 | 230 35:23 | 54,000 48:24 | absurd 125:13 |
|---|---|--|-------------------------------|---|
| | 17 27:20 | 24 3:6 12:3 | 57 3:10 | abundance |
| \$200 126:16 | 175 87:4 | 25 26:24 | 592 11:2 | 137:13 |
| \$600 126:12,14 | 1800 98:3 | 25th 93:21 | 5th 12:21 | academic 24:20, 23 |
| | 1801 12:12,25 13:8 20:18 | 27,191 11:9 | 6 | Academy 22:13 |
| | 18th 144:10 | 28th 49:18 | | accelerators |
| 0.445 148:14 | 19 48:23 | 29th 144:23 | 60 118:2 | 46:13,14 |
| 06 113:17 | 19 48.23 | 2:19 149:5 | 6th 135:7 | accept 101:15 116:20 |
| 08 113:17 | 109:21 | 3 | | acceptable |
| | 1901 13:9,10 | | 7 | 62:18 64:5,6 |
| 1 | 1985 121:8 | 3 41:25 42:24 43:4 | 78 85:12 | accepted 91:5,11 |
| 1-1-1801 13:23 | 1:00 84:9 | 145:8 300 27:23 | 7800 49:3 | 101:22 |
| 1-1-1901 13:23 | 1:30 84:2,7 | | | accepting 88:16 106:13 107:11 |
| 1-1-2001 13:23 | 1st 11:7 50:9 | 30th 140:8 32,000 49:4 82:12 | 8 | accepts 90:17 |
| 1.49 11:12 | 144:23 | ' | 806-1 24:20 | access 35:21 |
| 10 3:4,5 | 2 | 35 90:10 100:8 38 120:16 121:13 | 809 11:1 | accomplished |
| 100 40:1 43:22 | | | 84 3:12 85:12 | 90:13,20 |
| 87:18 | 200 27:23 87:18 | 39 120:16 121:13 | 85 63:20 | account 77:15 |
| 11 7:7 | 200-question 100:6 | 3B 38:11 | 85(c)(1) 55:16 | 116:18 |
| 117 3:13 | | 4 | 8th 135:7 144:23 | accounting 4:23 |
| 11:38 84:8 | 2000 6:19 109:23 2011 90:12 94:23 | | | accredited 90:16 97:21 |
| 12 125:10 | 98:10 | 4 3:3 38:11 | 9 | accrediting |
| 120 29:7 128:12 | 2012 9:20 85:7,13 | 4,000 102:21 | 97 86:1 | 65:16 |
| 125 89:15,16 96:3 102:13 103:2,4 | 86:19 91:13 | 40 88:6 | 98 23:1 86:1 98:2 | accumulating |
| 133 3:14 | 2013 91:14 | 400 87:5 | 99 86:1 | 126:25 |
| 140 35:23 | 2015 85:3 87:12, 15 88:14 92:10 | 404 82:17 | | ACMUI 72:5,22 |
| 142 3:15,16 | 102:16 | 440 35:24 | A | Actinium 28:13 30:11 31:4,12,21 |
| 149 3:17 | 2016 12:9 90:16 | 47 3:8 | a.m. 84:8 | 32:2,11,16 33:12 |
| 14C 34:2 | 2017 93:5,14,21 | 48 119:1 | AAPM 54:19 | 34:1,17 35:18 36:2,5,7,9 38:25 |
| 150 3:18 40:1 | 2018 100:22 | 5 | 67:22 73:23 74:4 | 40:18,19,23 42:8, |
| 46:9 | 20th 143:23 | | ABC 120:24 | 17,25 45:15 46:7, 12 |
| 15th 144:21,23 | 2100 97:25 | 5/23/17 3:4 | ability 86:20 | Actinium-225 |
| 145:2,3,5,10 146:3,4 | 21st 143:23 144:6 | 50 3:9 26:6 43:22 | absolutely 68:11 | 28:10 30:4 35:10 |
| 16 13:24 57:3 | 2200 97:25 | 500 32:6 90:9,20 95:20 100:8 | 108:11 absorbent 33:14 | action 52:3 59:4 61:25 113:10,11 |
| 16's 144:13 | 109:22 | 102:14 103:10 | absorption | actions 59:18 |
| | 22nd 144:6 | 104:18 105:16,23 | 34:10 | active 10:18 11:8 |

Index: \$200..active

48:23,24 administered amount 10:18 77:14 60:11 56:15 32:11 40:2 43:23 activities 109:2 agnostic 73:10 answering 45:15 46:10 98:8 administration 120:2 145:19 123:23 43:14 111:1 amounts 27:19, activity 116:5 agree 59:9,10 answers 55:2 23 29:11 39:14 administrations 107:10 acts 28:24 36:8 43:19,21 48:24 antibody 41:13 44:8 49:3 Agreed 77:25 actual 27:3 37:13 anymore 28:2 administrative analysis 4:24 42:23 71:8 95:5 agreement 73:3 90:17 107:1 24:18 25:10 56:25 108:19 125:4 110:8 120:16,17, 113:8 131:20 133:20 114:18 148:14 18 121:9,12,13 142:18 analytical 4:23 administrator 26:25 27:2 acupuncture **ahead** 59:11 apologize 19:6 146:12,13 8:18 137:24 138:15 analyze 39:8 administrators 139:20 140:5 Adam 8:10 24:5, **appeal** 51:24 **anatomy** 100:14 7:12 51:19 146:17,24 6,7,8,11,14 31:17 104:10,14 **appears** 76:2,15 adopt 110:8 **ALARA** 132:18, 37:3,16,19 38:5, and/or 59:18 14 39:1,3,6,11,20 111:7 112:12 19 apple 104:6 40:19,22 41:1,11, 114:2 Albert 7:11 10:8 Andresen 6:10 **apples** 69:15 15,17,20,23 adopted 94:20 50:23 59:10 69:7, applicants 12:5 42:16,20 43:1,10, Alberto 20:20 108:13,19 114:1 12 93:9 94:23,25 13,16 44:14,18, 88:8 90:25 98:4 14:10 95:3 109:14 20,24 45:6,9,20, adopting 109:18 100:19,20 101:13, 117:11,16 application 22 46:15,22,24 23 107:14 111:10 advance 6:7 11:16,17 15:4 47:3,7,17,19 136:19 137:2,10, Andrews 4:15 18:2,6 21:3 25:3 19,21 147:11 82:13 123:13,18 advanced 52:6, 7:18 9:19 84:5 104:17 124:20,25 128:14, 13 107:4,6 133:23 alcohol 36:21 19,23 129:3 135:2,5,10,20,24 application's advances 71:4 130:23 131:15 alert 65:20 69:18 136:15,17,25 25:22 135:18,22 146:3 137:3,11 138:1, advertised 48:14 allowed 29:5 applications 14,17,24 139:3,9, adapted 114:22 advisory 48:3 99:10 105:3,11 10:25 11:2 17:6 25 140:3,5,13,17, 53:21 72:12 111:12 21:6 22:8 add 30:24.25 20,23 141:1,4,9, 48:20 52:10 54:15 18 142:2,5,15 allowing 12:7 advocacy 129:17 applied 60:18 85:14,22,24 86:20 143:1,4,8,24 52:13 101:21 **affect** 144:4 **apply** 15:23 17:9 144:14,19 145:12, added 85:6 **alpha** 30:5,11 22:1 73:11 137:11 16 146:5,8,11,13 affidavit 66:11. 86:22,23 31:8 32:3 33:13 147:9,20,25 13,18,19 applying 16:10 35:11 36:12 148:5,9,18,22 addition 88:22 90:21 43:18,20,25 45:14 afraid 59:18 63:6 additional 65:15 anecdotally appreciative **AFTERNOON** alphas 31:5,6 60:21 98:23 55:2 35:12 40:23 3:11 animal 27:7 addressed 54:1 approach 50:25 alternative 88:19 afterward 20:20 79:17 29:21,25 30:14 60:24 75:2 132:13 102:17 31:2 40:11 45:25 agencies 78:15 addresses 66:1 approval 3:4 **alumni** 129:8 108:3 animals 27:6 51:20 147:18,21 adequate 120:22 33:3 39:2,5,7,12, American 28:1 agency 65:9 121:25 approvals 26:10 15,24,25 40:2,12 106:7 AGENDA 3:1 adjourn 3:17 **approve** 10:3,4,5 **annual** 32:2,9,12 Americium-241 146:7 agent 41:2 11:23 15:6 17:10 67:22 111:23 36:9 25:4 adjourned 149:4 135:6 140:9 agents 100:12 **amnesty** 130:17 approved 12:15 111:2 anonymous 63:9 adjust 148:11 **AMOROSO** 26:6 40:5 146:19 aggravating adjusted 101:11 anonymously 10:17 67:12,13 76:13

Index: activities..approved

approximate **ball** 107:11 **assays** 29:15 148:6 132:3,9 133:9,16, 87:3 139:21 19 assessment automatically **Becky** 88:9 **April** 139:19 112.22 15:3,6 18:23 ballpark 98:1 141:24 142:9,10, assistance 85:16 average 11:12 base 90:17 91:18 bedding 40:13 14 143:9 92:3 102:20 70:7 assistant 7:24 beddings 40:12 architect 56:19 104:22 113:16.23 averages 69:10 57:12 began 137:16 **based** 27:16 avoid 143:22 assistant's **area** 5:15,16 begin 12:10 28:18,19 48:22 113:24 144:6 59:24 62:19 22:14 31:9,19 beginning 33:9 39:12 47:15 assistants 11:3, aware 16:24 103:19 106:4 112:16 140:10 148:13 48:11 53:4 58:18 10 18:25 28:3 37:1 141:24 59:22,23 60:5 73:2 118:24 assisting 23:13 basic 8:4 23:18 76:10 97:18 99:2, **bench** 33:8 awareness 70:2 52:12 85:11 3 103:11 112:5,21 Associates 7:25 **BENT** 71:16 118:12 119:5,8 axial 104:3 basically 20:18 association 23:16 24:19,24 **Bianca** 10:17 area's 58:19 91:16 110:4 ave 10:10.11 25:18 26:4 30:24 11:1 20:7,9 107:17,18 117:3,4 areas 34:12 86:8 32:17 34:18 36:11 associations Bianca's 20:8 89:14 90:2,7 37:3 48:7 72:14 54:21 100:9,13 106:23 В 75:3 77:3 92:7,11 bid 52:25 assurance 52:7 108:18 109:19 95:8 97:18 112:19 big 8:23 18:6 110:12 111:8 104:11,18 118:5 back 5:4 12:17.22 27:25 28:1 29:20 112:21 113:7,25 120:25 129:20 astounded 79:24 13:5 16:5 18:14 32:1 34:11 36:20 115:20,21 116:10 21:21 25:4 50:10 basis 111:23 Astro 54:20 38:15 50:17 63:24 121:2 51:18 63:14 69:2. 64:10 98:9 103:4 bathrooms Atherton 7:20 9 71:16 76:22,25 Arizona 88:15 33:11 **bigger** 63:21 69:4.11 81:19 81:15,16 83:25 Aromoso 8:13 82:1,23 144:13 81:10 84:12 85:3,15,17, **Beach** 7:12 14:6 15:20.22 148:3,8 20 86:21,24 biggest 89:5 16:13,17,22 18:1, beam 27:3,4 87:14,16 88:11 atom 36:12,13 5 19:19 20:11 37:11,24 **Bill** 7:20 69:4,11 89:2 90:25 91:13, 21:11 22:10 24:1 atomic 44:1 81:19 82:1,23 25 93:15 96:19 **bear** 78:13 144:13 148:3,8 98:25 102:5,13 **ARRT** 17:11,13, attach 147:22 beat 47:5 106:2,4,17 110:13 15,22,24 18:14 binding 40:25 attached 71:15 113:17,25 119:10, 86:6,10,15 87:1, **Becker** 5:9,11 41:13 15,25 126:6,11, 10,25 88:22 89:5, 6:2,4,9 9:10,12 attachment 16:7 19.25 131:10.12 **biodex** 34:18 9 92:14 94:8 41:17,22 43:9 21:23 134:11,13,19 102:2,9 103:18 46:15,23 47:1,5, biomedical 28:8 attend 22:21 139:9 141:4,6 104:24 105:2,14 12,18 54:17 70:24 Birky 6:14 42:15 143:3,4 145:19 144:3 71:13,21 72:22 attendance 147:12,15 148:1 73:21 74:7,16 84:11 bit 17:16 25:21 **ARRT's** 88:24 149:1 78:17 80:2,8,11, 29:15 42:1 58:2 attending 97:22 **ARRT-CT** 101:1 19,21 81:16 83:4 62:25 63:2 64:4 background 5:1 84:18 85:19 21:24 68:25 122:1 106:1 117:23 attorneys 80:6 aspect 73:2 117:13,22 118:16, 125:15 127:24 121:1 136:4 74:17 19 120:8,14 August 12:9 **bad** 79:22 99:15 **blind** 65:1 aspects 91:4 121:21 122:4 121:17 102:25 123:2,19,25 block 17:25 79:24 **ASRT** 92:11,14, 124:3,5,17,22 **badge** 44:11 author 72:9 16,18,21 93:5 **blurred** 144:18 125:2,19 126:7 101:15,21 106:5, authority 73:4 balance 43:15 127:4,8,11,14,23 **BMO** 22:13,15,20 15 108:3,16 82:17 114:24 44:3 128:15,20 129:22 23:2,13 **ASRT's** 93:6 130:19 131:11 balances 65:4,6 authorization

Index: approximate..BMO

09/26/2017 **BMOS** 22:17 board 7:4 69:21 130:12 132:4 73:23 88:4 93:17 142:20 133:25 141:23 bringing 20:5 **boards** 135:14 45:24 124:6 **bodies** 65:17 **body** 31:2 32:3 98:21 41:9 53:13 56:4, **broad** 24:20,23 18 80:3 60:2 62:19 boiling 78:24 brought 20:20 bone 44:4 77:19 99:1 110:17,18,19 **bones** 110:12 book 42:14 budget 9:25 **boss** 61:13 **bottom** 37:14 88:10 91:1,2 89:17 115:18 **box** 18:20,21 brachytherapy 57:6 105:25 112:12 **brand** 87:19 Burgess 48:12 **BRC** 52:4 **bush** 47:6 break 148:22 breakdown business 3:15 48:21 82:8 breast 4:11 **busy** 49:18 **Brenda** 4:14.15 **button** 105:4,12 7:17,18 9:17,19 84:5 107:4,6 **buys** 126:18 133:22,23 135:2, Byrd 25:15 5,10,20,24 136:15,17,25 137:3,11 138:1, C 14,17,24 139:3,9, 17,25 140:3,5,13, C11 44:22,25 17,20,23 141:1,4, 9,18 142:2,5,15 cabinet 126:4 143:1,4,8,24 133:6 144:14,19 145:12, cabinets 127:1 16 146:5,8,11,13 133:4 147:9,20,25 148:5,9,18,22 calendar 143:3 144:9 **Brian** 6:14 42:12,

15 **Bridget-hart** 133:25

bring 22:3 26:19 65:15 74:18 76:21

brings 5:23 46:15

120:4 121:6 124:8

bunch 22:2 70:21

Bureau 4:18,20 9:6,12,13,20,25 50:23 51:20 52:17 68:10 75:10 78:8

4:20 11:13 56:8 102:5 142:19,21

calendars 143:1 calibrator 35:20

45:13

calibrators 34:12

call 16:8.12.13 50:3 51:3,4 62:8 85:5 86:16 89:1, 10 102:16 115:19 117:25 122:2 134:14 136:1

called 14:20 28:13 29:9 54:8 68:14 85:11 124:1

calling 43:3 132:6

calls 17:12 18:19

camera 125:22

camp 102:14

cancer 30:17 45:6,7 59:22

capability 26:13 46:9

car 148:13

Carbon-14 28:5, 21

card 18:4

cardiologist 83:16 136:10

cardiologists 83:2

care 44:5 62:5 63:17

careful 32:4,19 40:8 127:17

carts 33:17

case 13:12 82:4 110:14 112:7 126:2 137:17 138:17

cases 121:4

categories 55:13 57:9 85:6 105:20

category 23:18 91:21

cathode 37:13

cats 82:5

caught 64:13,21

caused 70:4 72:10

causing 64:21,22

caveat 128:2

cavity 27:11

ceiling 64:4 cell 25:13 27:5

center 96:2 97:4

centered 62:23, 24

centers 96:9

114:15

centralized 26:18

cents 38:9 148:14

Certificate 3:18

certification 3:5 9:7 82:19 85:6,8, 14,23,24 86:5,6, 20 87:19 89:23 91:6 94:1,3,14 99:5 100:24,25 101:6 107:12 108:3,18 111:20

certified 86:10, 16 87:6,20,25 88:6,16,24 95:17 98:20 99:2 106:22 107:12 109:21 134:1 136:3

cesium 27:17 126:12

Cesiums 131:18

cetera 104:3 112:19 113:11

chair 8:8

CHAIRPERSON

4:1 9:1,17 10:1,9, 12,14 13:2 19:12 22:6 24:4 38:24 39:2,4,9,16 47:20 53:8 65:7 66:23 67:2 69:13 77:16, 18,22 78:20,23 80:13,24 81:17

83:8,19,22 84:2,6, 12,16 95:12,16,22 107:16,19,21,24 108:5,8,11 112:6 116:19 117:1,5,7, 20 118:17 133:20 138:22 141:20 142:4,13,17,23 143:2,5,20 144:5, 8,22 145:4,10,14, 21 146:1,4,6,10 147:6,17,24 148:19 149:2

Index: BMOS..Chantel

chamber 34:6

change 12:15,16 22:7 40:12 68:23 83:17 85:15 86:12 114:24 117:2 126:2,7 127:9,12, 16 132:12 136:21

changed 18:13 85:7,17 122:10 124:9 129:11 132:14

changing 68:21 72:17 123:11

Chantel 6:21 13:10 16:9.15.21 25:15 44:11,17,23 65:8 66:8 69:16 70:7,12,19,22 79:4 80:23 82:4 84:20,24 93:1,3, 19,24 94:12 95:15,19,24 97:10,14,16 98:12,18,22 99:8, 11,18,24 100:2 101:24 102:18 103:6 104:9 105:2,8 115:4,9, 13,25 116:3,12 120:3,7,13 121:19 122:3 123:1,4,16, 23 124:1,4,13,18, 23 125:4,20 126:8 127:7,10,13,21 128:1,11,22,25 129:5 130:5,21,24 131:13,17 132:8, 15 133:5,10,18

135:1,3,6 137:24

138:13,15,20,25

| 139:8,13,24 140:4,7,16,19,21, | circumstances 67:12 76:13 77:15 | collecting 11:6 132:5,6 | competency 103:19 | 114:25 |
|--|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 25 141:3,8,16 | Clark 57:11,20 | collection 52:10 | competent | confusion 17:16 64:8 |
| 144:3,17 145:9 148:17,21 | 72:10 75:9 | college 23:4 | 103:22 | congratulations |
| Chantel's 84:23 | Class 38:10 | colleges 145:7 | compile 51:18 | 15:12 22:9 |
| chapter 82:17 | classes 37:4 | color 64:2 | complaint 52:3 | Congress 69:22 |
| 135:7 | classroom 89:2 98:19 | colors 38:13,14 | complete 11:16, 18 21:1 | cons 129:5 |
| charge 21:11 | clean 36:22,24 | comment 117:2 | completed 10:21 | consent 128:7 |
| Charlie 132:7 | clear 11:8 | commentary | 12:21 17:14 100:7 | consideration 54:14 |
| chart 56:2 | clear 11.8 | 103:1 | completely 56:2 | considered |
| check 12:22 17:2 | 130:9 131:3 | comments 47:21 83:23 88:8,11 | 116:9 129:2 130:11 | 71:10 81:6 |
| checked 62:15 | clients 124:2 | 132:6 133:20 | complex 74:20 | consisted 56:13 |
| checking 17:3 30:2 133:14 | 125:5 129:16 131:10 132:16 | 149:3 | compliance | consistent 74:12 |
| checks 65:4,5 | clinical 94:6 | Commission 73:5 88:18 | 122:14 | consistently |
| chelating 41:2 | 95:20 102:8 | committee 24:25 | complications | 12:23 |
| chelator 30:25 | 112:22 | 25:3,7 40:6 | 145:6 | constitutes 55:8 |
| chelators 41:3 | clone 21:13 | committees | comply 24:18 73:17 | constricting 116:9 |
| chemical 28:1 | close 54:24 58:1 71:8 136:8 | 25:11 111:24 | component | consultant 4:21 |
| 41:12 | closely 72:15 | common 20:2 27:15 28:5,24 | 23:14 27:8,9 | consultants |
| chest 100:17 | 73:6 83:15 136:3 | 32:13 43:6 55:25 | 28:11 | 80:9,11 |
| chief 8:21 9:13 | CMS 100:22 | 112:17 | comports 21:3 | consulting 6:23 |
| 51:20 75:10 78:9 | CO2 37:7,10 | communication s 85:2 | comprehensive 89:21 | consumer 8:17 |
| children's 145:7 | coast 145:18 | community 23:4 | comprises 23:15 | contact 59:11 |
| chiropractic 7:21 | coating 33:8 | companies 28:1 | computed 94:2 | 90:11 100:8 118:1,7 124:10 |
| choices 144:22 | coats 34:9 | 124:10 | computer 13:17, | 129:15,17,18 |
| chosen 139:5 | Cobalt 126:15 | company 6:23 | 21 20:14 37:15 | 135:1 |
| Christine 7:23 | 128:17,18 | 28:12 42:23 122:2 | concern 32:1 | contacted 141:11 |
| 145:3 | Code 114:18 | comparable 103:18 | 58:20 61:5 116:13 | contacts 54:20 |
| Chromium 29:13 | coded 82:15 | compare 20:1 | 66:19,24 135:13 | 74:2 |
| Chromium-51 | codes 24:19 | compared 94:19 | concerns 34:11 | container 125:11 |
| 28:5 | Cognetta 83:10 134:6,10 135:11 | comparing 32:9 | 38:15 60:22 | contaminated |
| chucking 131:8 | 136:4 | 34:21 69:15 | concurrent | 34:5 |
| Cindy 5:7 9:22 24:22 47:23 54:15 85:18 | Cognetta's 135:25 | comparison 32:13 87:5 109:8 | 111:15 conduct 51:13, | contamination 35:5,7 36:4 |
| circular 21:20 | coin 106:19 | compatibility | 15 | content 100:4,6 110:19 |
| circulate 93:12 | collaborative | 121:15 | cone 71:15 | continue 20:12 |
| circumstance 67:13 | 50:25 collect 54:18 | compatible 120:22,24 | conflict 113:14 conflicting | 134:4 143:11 |

Index: Chantel's..continue

| 09/20/2017 | | | macz | c. continuingdecay |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| continuing 19:2 | 105:2,8 115:4,9, | counter 35:9,17 | 22,25 87:4,9,11, | 132:3,9 133:9,16, |
| 20:22 | 13 116:3,12 | | 16,18,20,21 88:1, | 19 |
| contract 0.0 | 120:7,13 121:19 | counterpart 75:9 | 6,19,25 89:8,11, | |
| contract 3:8 | 122:3 123:1,4,16 | counters 35:8,15 | 13,21,23 90:8,17, | |
| 50:5,8 119:11 | 124:1,4,13,18,23 | counting 26:14 | 21,24 91:6,8 92:2, | |
| contracting | 125:4,20 126:8 | counting 36:14 137:22 | 7,13 93:18 94:21 | 1-11-10-10-17-0 |
| 119:11 | 127:7,10,13,21 | 137.22 | 95:20 96:7,9,18, | daily 16:18 17:2 |
| contradict | 128:1,11,22,25 | country 60:13 | 19,20,22 97:2,3,7, | 52:24,25 |
| 113:19 | 129:5 130:5,21,24 | couple 8:5 19:20 | 13 98:7,15,20 | damage 55:17,21 |
| | 131:13,17 132:8, | 26:21 29:13,14 | 99:5 100:5,25 | 119:14 |
| contradicted | 15 133:5,10,18 | 30:9 41:11,23 | 101:6 104:3,14 | Dan 5:20 |
| 114:4 | 135:1,3,6 137:24 | 45:10 52:15 55:7, | 106:19 107:11,12 | Dail 5.20 |
| contrast 100:11 | 138:13,15,20,25 139:8,13,24 | 14 68:5 69:2 93:7 | 108:14 | dangerous 37:5 |
| 109:7 115:10 | 140:4,7,16,19,21, | 106:23 126:22 | CT's 89:23 | 78:7 |
| 116:16 | 25 141:3,8,16 | 131:19 141:17 | 100:23 105:22 | data 4:24 11:5,6 |
| control 9:6,13 | 144:3,17 145:9 | cover 24:22 33:8, | CTS 96:10 | 12:18,19 13:8 |
| 32:21 50:24 68:10 | 148:17,21 | 17 34:3 75:1 | | 42:10 65:14 |
| 79:6 82:22 103:16 | | 90:24 110:5 | current 60:18 | database 63:7 |
| | core 98:10 104:1 | | 96:4 106:16 112:1 | ualabase 65.7 |
| controlled 32:9 | corner 37:14 92:5 | covered 20:25 | 113:14 134:24 | databases 13:17 |
| 39:12 | correct 19:1 | 97:13 | curriculum | date 12:9,10,12, |
| controls 32:16, | 80:25 97:10 | covering 33:10 | 90:14,18 98:10 | 20 13:18,22 14:25 |
| 25 118:2 | 101:23 123:16 | covers 92:22 | 101:21 104:1,4,11 | 93:20 138:21 |
| converts 30:24 | | 99:4,24 | 110:18 | 146:16 |
| | corrected 12:4,5, | 99.4,24 | curriculums | |
| conviction 21:23 | 7,11 53:6 59:6 | Crane-amores | 104:7 | dates 12:3,8 13:7 |
| convince 101:15 | correction 48:17 | 7:23,24 145:3 | C | daughters 35:13 |
| | corrective 59:4 | crannies 21:6 | Curry 19:24 | 45:16 |
| convinced 93:5 | | CRCPD 73:23 | customer 5:3 | day 14:20 62:10 |
| Cooke 4:19 | correlated 70:1 | 74:9 143:14 144:1 | Cybil 88:2,15,19 | 130:17 144:15,24 |
| cookie 125:21 | cost 38:8 | 74.9 140.14 144.1 | 92:10,15 98:25 | 145:9 147:2 |
| | | create 14:23 | | dove 5.05 44.40 |
| cool 25:17 37:4 | costing 124:9,11 | 91:21 | cycle 130:25 | days 5:25 11:13 29:7 31:7 90:12 |
| coordinator 5:19 | 133:9 | created 59:14 | 133:13 139:16 | 107:2 128:12,14, |
| 49:23 50:1 51:6 | could've 106:10 | 60:8 87:16 91:25 | Cynthia 5:9,11 | 16 129:7 139:4 |
| | council 3:14 5:4 | orosting 50:04 | 6:2,4,9 9:10,12 | |
| copies 93:7,9 109:14 147:15 | 6:25 8:18,25 | creating 50:24 | 41:17,22 43:9 | Daytona 7:12 |
| 109.14 147.15 | 19:23 22:4 48:3 | credential 94:8 | 46:15,23 47:1,5, | dead 125:7,18,22, |
| copy 18:4 92:5 | 49:12 50:6 53:21 | crew 32:22 | 12,18 54:17 70:24 | 25 126:4,14,19,25 |
| 99:25 | 81:23 82:15 83:7 | | 71:13,21 72:22 | 127:1 130:11 |
| Corbett 6:21 | 87:14 101:18 | criminal 14:13 | 73:21 74:7,16 | 131:5 132:19,22, |
| 13:10 16:9,15,21 | 106:4 107:10 | criteria 112:24 | 78:17 80:2,8,11, 19,21 81:16 83:4 | 25 |
| 44:11,17,23 65:8 | 110:13,18 133:24 | 113:3,6,9 130:11 | 84:18 85:19 | deal 6:12 18:7 |
| 66:8 69:16 70:7, | 134:4,21 137:7, | 148:13 | 117:13,22 118:16, | 36:20 64:10 68:16 |
| 12,19,22 79:4 | 12,15 140:8,10 | critical 58:17 | 19 120:8,14 | 78:11 98:9 |
| 80:23 82:4 84:20 | Council's 110:7 | 63:1 | 121:21 122:4 | doaling FE-0 |
| 93:19,24 94:12 | | | 123:2,19,25 | dealing 55:9 70:17 85:13 |
| 95:15,19,24 | councils 135:14 | cross 104:10 | 124:3,5,17,22 | |
| 97:10,14,16 | counsel's 136:7 | crying 66:16 | 125:2,19 126:7 | Debbie 130:18 |
| 98:12,18,22 99:8, | count 00:22 | | 127:4,8,11,14,23 | decades 55:20 |
| 11,18,24 100:2 | count 90:22 105:12 137:20 | CT 25:15 27:7 | 128:15,20 129:22 | |
| 101:24 102:18 103:6 104:9 | 100.12 101.20 | 29:25 81:12 85:24 | 130:19 131:11 | decay 29:6 31:6 |
| 100.0 104.3 | | 86:4,6,10,14,17, | | |
| | i . | I . | 1 | I . |

Index: continuing..decay

33:19 35:12 34:14 37:8,20 difference 60:7 dispose 122:2,8, 13:1,3 14:1,5 40:14,23 120:12, 38:18 67:4 96:19 88:21 89:5 95:5 19 124:11,14 17:19 18:3 19:14 14 121:5.24 132:23 135:13 130:13 61:17 62:7 81:2. differs 57:1 136:23 137:1 124:12,15,21 15 89:15 97:6,11 disposed 121:24 128:11 140:13 difficult 55:15 98:17 101:20 78:1 distinct 35:25 102:19 103:17 decaying 122:6 departments 107:15 111:17,20 98:6 divide 103:7 difficulties 19:17 December 114:6,10,13,20 102:16 depended difficulty 115:21 115:6,25 143:16 division 52:7.8 144:21 145:2,8,13 102:10 decide 138:10 digging 133:4 **Doctor** 58:21 drug 28:15 30:12 depending 30:2 decided 4:5 86:4 diligence 134:19 document 14:16, 38:6 116:20 31:1,4 42:4,24 17 16:11.23 91:18 decision 75:9 126:21 129:3 diodes 38:4,5,8 drugs 31:14 42:3 92:3,9 106:6 140:12,15 depends 125:16 direct 55:1 109:10 110:2,8 dual 96:15 decontaminatio 128:25 116:2 **directly** 113:19 due 134:3,19 **n** 36:22 dermatologist **Documentation director** 6:15.19 136:5 94:5 **duty** 95:7 dedicate 33:17 19:9 describing 88:4 dedicated 71:14 documents director/nrc Ε 11:16 15:24 17:4 description 121:11 deem 11:14 18:12 21:23,25 112:16 dirty 146:11 110:17 112:11 **deemed** 11:18 **e-mail** 12:14 13:6 detail 100:18 115:17,19 14:18 15:10,11,15 defend 72:2 disappear 18:22 118:1 **DOE** 30:22 73:24 detect 34:1 35:5, 131:19 deficiency 16:22 134:10 146:21 **DOH** 17:23 **discard** 124:12 147:15,21 148:1,7 **define** 54:6 62:17 detection 35:1 146:22 149:1 72:15 disciplinary 45:11 Don 67:20 21:25 61:24 e-mails 141:5 **defined** 108:23 detectors 129:4 dose 34:7.11 discipline 103:21 **earlier** 117:23 defining 60:4 determination 35:20 43:22 45:13 111:21 early 31:12 36:23 51:23 78:2,12 52:23 53:2 56:15, definition 3:10 discovered 41:8 46:3,20 113:8 25 63:23 53:20 57:16 75:7 52:21 53:5 54:17 143:19 115:14 determine 36:4 dosimetrist **discuss** 24:15 easier 17:17 52:1 54:10 76:14 74:24 75:24 definitions 55:8 121:14 40:24 56:1 77:1 81:9 60:16 71:19 dosimetry 65:4 discussed 49:12 easily 34:1 38:12 determined delay 18:14 53:21 **dot** 37:17 146:14 51:21 55:18 75:13 delayed 100:22 **DOTA** 41:2 discussion east 145:18 determines 67:9 57:21 72:6,11 delegates 101:22 113:10 double 33:22 easy 32:15 140:4 112:3 87:13,14 88:11 145:23 147:15 developing 5:2 doubt 95:1 102:12 142:18 delineation 78:3 economical Douglass 4:19, discussions 114:14 148:15 development 20 5:3.7 68:8 73:22 92:16 delivered 52:20 4:24 121:15 **edges** 64:3 downstairs 53:3 device 37:14 57:9 18:20 **display** 13:13 educated 110:23 dental 49:2,3 73:13 displayed 110:1 dramatic 22:7 education 19:2 dentists 82:9 diagnostic 86:16 22:22 23:7,17 displays 13:19 drop 96:20 96:10 80:17 93:25 94:5 department 5:13 disposal 122:11 dropped 22:13 98:15 99:18.19 8:8,15 19:7 20:9 didactic 89:10 124:10 101:4 22:25 23:7,17,21 90:10 104:15 **Drotar** 8:6 10:5

Index: decaying..education

| educational 80:3,5 89:2 90:14 | 141:13 142:7 | 11 55:9 57:15 58:15,24 59:1 | excuse 21:24 36:9 | facilities 48:21, 23 49:2,3 60:12 |
|---|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| educators 80:10 | ended 72:16 75:2 99:12,13,16 | 69:1 72:20 73:16 77:3 | executive 6:14, | 70:16 79:11 120:20 |
| effect 49:13 50:9 60:11 61:4 | 101:17 ending 122:20 | events 3:9 48:15 | 19 19:9 exemption 97:15 | facility 48:22 |
| effective 40:20, | 138:25 142:8 | 50:17,20 52:16 53:7,10,11,15 | 102:1 | 49:7 51:4,8,21,23 57:24 59:6 68:14 |
| 21 41:14 44:8 59:7 93:21 | ends 143:17 | 60:16,17 67:17,23 69:5 71:6,11 | existence 87:1 | 79:16 81:21 82:8 |
| eighteen 68:7 | energies 29:1 31:24 37:23 | 72:14 76:7 | existing 106:19 exotics 28:6 | fact 23:2 76:19 94:10 102:2 |
| 70:20 Eldridge 75:9 | energy 29:17 31:6,9 36:13 | eventual 43:11 everybody's | expect 104:20 | 139:22 140:1 fact-finding |
| electricity 119:5, | 38:18 46:14 | 147:9 | expectation 62:4 | 51:15 |
| 7 | enforcement 6:12 | everyone's 33:4 | 105:16,18 | factors 53:25 |
| electronic 57:5 146:17 | engineering | evidence 52:10 76:23 | expected 119:1 expensive 29:2 | faculty 25:7 |
| elevate 65:14 | 32:25 | evident 81:11 | 82:13 | fading 25:17 |
| Eligibility 94:2 | enhance 16:4 | exact 23:8 58:10 | experience 4:22 5:12,24 42:6 55:9 | fair 98:7 fairly 36:24 73:5 |
| Elmers 28:1 | enrolled 97:22 | 148:3,9,10 | 90:8,19 94:6 | 98:3,5 108:24 |
| emergency 8:22 103:3 | entire 16:4 21:8 entities 23:11 | exam 11:19,20 14:13 18:15 86:6, | experienced 12:2 25:8 61:1 | 112:25 113:1 116:10 119:25 |
| emits 36:12 | environment | 7 89:9 90:22 94:2 99:24 100:6 | experiences | fall 139:15 |
| emitter 29:16 | 95:21 | 102:21 103:6 105:11 110:21 | 41:18 | false 12:18 13:7 17:9 |
| 30:5,12 | environmental 119:19 | 111:21 | expiration 12:2,8 | familiar 35:14 |
| emitters 32:4 33:13 43:18,20,25 | EPA 73:24 | exam's 95:25 | expire 49:17 expired 134:7 | families 4:3 |
| 45:14 employ 96:11 | equipment 45:22 109:4 119:14,16, | examination 21:2 89:21 | explied 134.7 | fantastic 7:17 |
| employed 96:1 | 23 | examinations | explained 110:22 | Farvin 74:5 |
| employees 48:9, | Erica 19:9 | 103:19 examples 52:16 | explaining 88:20 | fast 38:23 92:24 |
| 10 | error 52:21 53:5 60:23 61:13,20,21 | 69:8 | express 132:7 | fault 147:10 favor 10:10 |
| employer 96:4 | 62:3 | exams 89:4,12,17 90:1,6 95:24 | extensively 89:25 | 107:17 117:3 |
| empty 13:20 EMTS 8:15 | errors 59:16 60:10,15 61:25 | 100:15,16 102:13, | extra 80:17 131:1 | favorites 38:20 |
| encountered | 62:1 64:12,20 | 22 103:2,14 | extreme 43:15 | FDA 50:4,5 73:15, |
| 17:20 | 71:5 essentially 30:15 | exceed 46:10 excellent 50:2 | eye 65:1 | February 47:14 |
| encountering 46:18 | 34:13 | exception 114:3 | eyes 113:12 | 134:2 140:18 143:18 |
| encourage 61:22 | established 30:8 75:8 | 120:20 | F | federal 120:20 |
| end 28:18 30:10 | Evaluating | exchange 126:17 excited 8:19 | F18 44:22 | feedback 21:20 |
| 39:7 45:11 70:13 77:11 78:7 101:2, | 112:18 | excluded 113:23 | face 66:4,5 93:22 | feel 27:13 61:6 |
| 13 115:15 133:9 137:8 140:14 | event 50:18 51:1, 2,17 53:20 54:6,9, | excludes 116:15 | Facebook 129:8 | fewer 56:14 126:10 |
| | İ | İ | İ | İ |

Index: educational..fewer

| fibrous 44:10 | fixes 14:9 | Forewarning | Futch 6:3,5,11 | gas 37:10 |
|--------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| | | 48:2 | 9:3,5,11 12:24 | |
| field 13:20 48:1 | fixing 13:16 65:2 | form 86:14 99:4, | 13:6,12 14:4 | gather 51:14 65:13 |
| 97:2 98:4 101:6 102:4 | flexible 129:1 | 16 104:16 105:15, | 15:19,21 19:20 | 00.13 |
| fifteen 19:7 58:13 | flip 79:10 | 24 128:7 | 20:12 21:13 22:17,20,24 23:20 | Gavathas 5:10, 23 6:1,7 47:23 |
| 70:8,20 100:10,11 | flooding 118:21 | formal 22:22 | 24:7,11 37:2,13, | 53:11 58:17 59:9 |
| | | 66:13 | 17 38:4,13 42:19 | 61:11 62:6 64:14 |
| fifty 38:9 | floods 132:23 | format 43:6 | 43:10,14 45:3,8 | 65:25 66:17,22 |
| figure 34:15 | floor 37:15 | IOIIIIat 43:6 | 47:4 55:4 58:21 | 67:1,5 78:22 |
| 46:18,23 58:1 | Florida 6:15,17, | formation 121:12 | 67:8 69:9,15 70:4, | 133:2,7 |
| 132:10 | 25 7:1,3,9,22 8:5, | forms 41:12 86:2 | 11,15,20,23 71:22 | gave 56:3 59:22 |
| figured 121:19 | 12,22 9:5 24:10, | 90:8 | 72:8,18 73:1,19 | 86:19 87:12 100:2 |
| 148:25 | 18 25:20 31:18 | Fant 5:45 | 74:17 77:17,21,25 78:18 79:8,18 | Gee 148:19 |
| file 15:11 21:23 | 43:4 50:15 60:20 | Fort 5:15 | 80:6,9,20 81:25 | Gee 140.19 |
| 52:3 | 68:19 71:1 72:3 | fortunate 9:21 | 82:7,12,14,25 | general 74:21 |
| | 75:25 82:6 85:4, | forward 57:19 | 83:5,10,20,25 | 85:9 91:14 95:7 |
| fill 25:3,23 135:23 | 11,23 86:18 87:4, | 92:24 100:21 | 84:3,15,19,22 | 100:9 109:22 |
| 148:3 | 6,7,23 88:1 91:6, | | 85:20 89:16 | 110:9 112:15,24 113:1,6,9 115:8 |
| filled 134:20 | 21 94:21 96:16 97:17,24 98:1,13, | foul 59:20 | 93:11,20,25 | 116:10 136:7 |
| 135:12,16,17 | 14 99:3,6 101:25 | found 36:21 55:6 | 94:17,24 95:1,4, | 137:18 |
| 141:12,14 | 106:14 107:11,13 | 78:1 119:24 125:9 | 14 97:15,17 | |
| fills 123:3 | 108:20 114:18 | fraction 27:11 | 98:21,24 99:9,12, 19,25 100:4 101:7 | generally 81:2,3 143:10 |
| filters 38:6 | 116:21 118:20 | frame 44,00.04 | 102:12,20 103:9 | |
| | 129:18,19 | frame 11:22,24 47:14 51:9 69:6 | 104:16 105:6,15 | generate 75:3 |
| finally 86:4 | Florida's 6:17 | 143:11 145:20 | 107:5,7,23 108:4, | generated 14:21 |
| find 21:9 24:2 | flows 22:2 | | 7,9,12 109:17 | |
| 33:22 42:16,18 | | free 27:14 48:4 50:12 126:9 | 111:19 112:10 | generic 95:9 105:20 |
| 57:24 75:1 78:21 | fluoro 136:10 | 50.12 126.9 | 113:15,22 114:12, | |
| 79:20 108:24 | Fluoroscopy | freestanding | 17,21 115:5,7,12, 15 116:4,17 | gentleman 21:11 |
| 131:8 | 113:21 | 86:16 | 117:9,12,14,18 | geometric 54:12 |
| finding 44:7 | flush 40:3 | frequent 79:23 | 118:15 120:6,10 | germanium |
| 122:12,13,14 | | frequently 20:1 | 122:24 123:23 | 126:13 128:22 |
| fine 51:22 60:3 | FMT 6:25 | 33:25 59:17 60:11 | 135:25 136:16,18 | |
| 71:6 77:9,13 80:2 | FNMT 140:7,19 | | 137:20,22 139:17 | Gillie 130:18 |
| 82:23 136:11 | | friendly 25:24 | 140:2 143:14,18, | give 6:6 24:8 |
| 145:17 148:2 | focus 56:11 85:1 137:6 | front 9:4 69:22 | 22 144:1,7 145:6, | 26:20 35:9 48:7 |
| 149:1 | | 78:7 82:9 | 18 146:12 | 50:20,21 63:8 |
| fined 61:16 | focused 72:23 | full 27:9 48:9 59:2 | future 49:16 | 67:22 80:12 87:2, |
| finger 44:15 | folks 21:20 46:5 | 127:1 | | 4 97:25 105:13 109:22 126:22 |
| | 60:22 61:3 67:20 | full-time 102:3 | G | 134:14 135:3 |
| fired 79:3 | 74:5 76:5 78:7 | | | 136:18 137:8 |
| firing 79:5 | 82:20 86:7 87:7 89:1 91:2 92:13 | fully 86:15 | Gail 19:23,24,25 | 138:5,11 139:4 |
| fiscal 67:18 68:6 | 101:10 106:5 | function 22:25 | Gainesville 7:2 | 142:1 143:5 147:4 148:15 |
| fit 38:12 55:11,12 | 145:18 | functional 55:17 | game 132:2 | giving 20:6 39:10 |
| fives 144:19,20 | follow 17:18 19:20 73:5 76:1 | functions 18:17 | gamma 25:12 | 82:4 128:7 139:11 |
| fix 6:5 | 78:5 | fusing 86:2 | 27:18 29:16 35:22 | glad 7:18 |
| fixed 20:16 90:6 | force 119:1 | fusion 6:22 85:25 86:13 87:21 | gammas 31:22 | glass 129:2 |
| | | | | |

Index: fibrous..glass

glaze 113:12 guidance 77:2, 101:18 **hey** 77:6 97:21 96:15 111:11 12 117:10 136:12 109:18 110:22 **glitches** 146:15 happening 49:15 hotel 147:14 129:11 **guide** 140:19 60:14 64:25 68:3, **global** 14:23 **hours** 89:10 90:7, high 29:17 31:6 guidelines 67:10 9,11,20 95:20 glove 33:23 32:14 35:21 65:20 happy 20:9 48:15 100:8 102:5,8,14, 69:18 98:3,5 **guilty** 76:1,2 66:16 78:21 **gloves** 33:22,23 21 103:10,15 **higher** 43:25 104:5.18 105:16. guru 121:8 hard 45:16 74:5 **good** 4:1,15 14:4, 46:14 63:2 65:9 19,22,23 119:1 75:1 78:2 82:15 5 20:15 28:16 guy 67:8 118:3 125:10 99:25 131:15 40:16 46:1 78:22 guys 7:16 8:19 highlight 94:13 house 112:3 80:20 84:6 97:6 hard-and-fast 16:16 23:25 28:14 101:4 102:25 90:1 highlighted **HR** 9:25 40:17 41:19 108:4 111:5,7 93:22 45:10,18 47:10 harder 72:2 74:14 hub 130:9 112:11 126:20 67:19 68:17 71:20 hired 4:20 48:16 133:16 135:9 140:25 huge 52:8 68:4 72:20 129:11 140:19 142:9,10 49:23 **harm** 77:5 131:2 132:16 70:9,16 144:24 145:5 historical 68:24 146:1 harm/no 59:20 **hundred** 128:14. 69:10 Н 16 **Gotcha** 148:8 Hart 134:15 historically hurricane 132:22 governing 53:13 Hart's 138:18 71:22 102:23 half 26:7 31:8 56:18 hurricanes 122:3,4,6,8 123:7, hazard 38:7 history 14:14 132:21 11.14 gracious 24:12 21:23,24,25 head 85:21 **hybrid** 108:2 half-inch 35:17 hit 58:13 85:20 grade 96:21 100:16 93:22 hydrogen 28:25 half-life 28:22 graduates 19:18 headed 118:12 29:5,7 45:1 128:9 Hitlang 74:7 **grams** 40:1 health 7:3.11 9:5 ı **Halifax** 7:11.13 26:4 35:3 120:22 hits 129:14 grants 26:8,11 121:2 Hamilton 132:9 **hitting** 119:2 **IACUC** 40:5 great 27:25 30:12 healthy 58:14 hand 38:12 48:20 43:9 74:9 111:10 **hold** 24:7 29:5 IC 117:25 53:16 110:3 33:19 40:14 135:5,10 141:18 hear 20:10 62:9 **ICS** 118:1 142:12 111:6 134:11,13 105:14 handle 18:25 143:15 50:16 idea 57:25 111:6, greater 57:1 holding 106:4 7,10 115:8 133:17 122:7 heard 30:7 60:21 handling 32:20 greatest 45:23 143:6 61:1 83:1 124:6 48:15 100:11 **holds** 63:13 gritty 100:15 125:12 129:25 ideas 136:18 handout 49:1 **holes** 55:11,12 **group** 46:16 hearing 72:2 53:23,24 **image** 45:20,22 65:10 72:13 73:15 127:15,16 129:22 honest 78:11 hands 76:24 **images** 86:2,3 82:21 heart 63:3 148:22 honestly 96:6,25 97:19 112:18 handwritten groups 29:20 101:24 131:24 **heavily** 100:12 131:22 imaging 4:11 39:15 41:12 81:22 hope 4:2 38:22 27:10 29:20,21 103:15 112:9 heavy-handed **hangs** 14:3 74:8 30:1 31:20 43:19 129:8 60:24 happen 21:9 45:18 86:1.13 hoping 6:8 growth 70:9 **heck** 127:20 55:22 68:16 83:5 87:21 89:13 **hopped** 13:16 86:19 101:4 107:25 108:2 guess 10:2 73:14 **hefty** 23:13 138:15 82:10 83:24 107:9 hospital 4:10 **impact** 62:20 **helped** 19:16 121:22 125:16 8:23 96:8 103:4 happened 20:3 81:11 128:1 129:21 helpful 17:5 43:5 116:14 50:21 66:20 67:23 impending 131:25 68:7,13 79:8 hospitals 30:6 helping 19:17 117:25 87:12 90:24 92:2 43:3 49:5 61:18 32:24

Index: glaze..impending

| impetus 136:23 | 55:17 | inspectors 50:9 125:5 132:15 | investigating | items 10:22,24 16:19 50:7 63:20, |
|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| implemented 22:5 | individually 70:14 | | investigation | 21 117:17 |
| | | instance 116:14 | 59:3 64:17 65:12 | |
| implements 23:21 | individuals 61:5, 6 64:6 | instances 79:19 | investigations | J |
| implies 113:1,19 | Industrial 6:15 | institute 6:16 | 8:17 51:1 | Jacksonville 7:9 |
| important 62:5 | industry 70:3,15 | institution 79:7 | investigative | 48:11 |
| 64:11 105:23 122:17 | 86:2 | instructions 104:19 | 50:25 51:8,10 52:5,6,8 75:4 | James 6:3,5,11 9:3,5,11,15 12:22, |
| imposed 51:22 | information 15:1 18:13 19:3 35:1, | intake 32:2,12 | investigator | 24 13:6,12 14:4, |
| improve 50:22 | 19 42:17 51:14,18 | intakes 32:10 | 66:1 | 14 15:19,21 19:20 20:12 21:13 |
| improved 64:18 | 54:5,18 59:15 63:10 74:6 106:1 | intend 74:1 | investigators 26:6 | 22:17,20,24 23:20 |
| improving 59:7 | 138:5 | intended 43:11 | invited 46:24 | 24:7,11 37:1,2,13, |
| in-house 13:19 | informative | 58:14 | 48:2 | 17 38:4,13 42:19 43:10,14 45:3,8 |
| | 52:11 59:4 | intense 27:4 | involved 51:17 | 47:4 55:4 58:21 |
| inability 85:13 | infraction 64:23 | interested 37:25 | 54:23 55:19 66:12 | 67:8 69:9,15 70:4, 11,15,20,23 71:22 |
| incident 81:4 | infractions 76:8 | 48:18 134:12,21 137:14 138:7 | 71:25 73:22 74:10 75:20 76:6 82:2 | 72:8,18 73:1,19 |
| inclination 78:3 | inhalation 32:10 | interesting 46:2 | 99:20 100:13 | 74:17 77:17,21,25 78:18 79:8,18 |
| include 18:7,8 23:13 60:5 93:15 | initial 12:12 42:1 | 94:18 105:1 132:2 | iodine 27:19 | 80:6,9,20 81:25 |
| 105:19 | initially 31:20 | interestingly | 33:10 35:16 82:4 128:4 | 82:7,12,14,25 83:5,10,20,25 |
| included 104:3 | initiates 138:2 | 5:12 121:6 | lodine-125 29:8 | 84:3,14,15,19,22 |
| 116:13 | initiative 69:23 | interim 6:7 50:1 | | 85:20 89:16 |
| includes 112:19 | inject 39:14 40:3 | 51:5 | ion 34:6 | 93:11,20,25 94:17,24 95:1,4, |
| including 109:3 | injecting 33:2 | interpreted 60:17 125:17 | ionizations 27:22 28:2 | 14 97:15,17 |
| 116:6 126:19 | injection 32:10 | interviewing | Irene 19:6 20:7 | 98:21,24 99:9,12, 19,25 100:4 101:7 |
| incorporate 90:17 92:7,18 | 39:11 | 52:9 66:2 | iron 19:17 | 102:12,20 103:9 |
| 97:3 | injections 40:9 | interviews 51:15 | isotope 29:23 | 104:16 105:6,15 107:5,7,23 108:4, |
| incorporated | input 54:4 | intimidating | 30:2 31:24 | 7,9,12 109:14,17 |
| 91:18 | inspect 46:19 | 65:10 | issue 11:14 16:22 | 111:19 112:10 |
| incorrect 12:10 53:4 144:10 | inspected | introduce 4:7,17 5:8 46:17 | 50:17 84:22,23 100:21 107:9 | 113:15,22 114:12, 17,21 115:5,7,12, 15 116:4,17 |
| incorrectly 52:20 | 119:23 inspection 5:24 | introduced 9:1 | 110:15 114:1,19 116:16 120:14 | 117:9,12,14,18 |
| increase 70:1 | 65:17 82:18 | introducing 4:8 | 121:22 128:3 | 118:15 120:6,10, 15 122:24 123:23 |
| increased 11:9 17:1 70:25 118:2 | 130:14,16,25 131:14 132:13 133:11 | Introductions 3:3 | 130:1,2,7 132:18, 19 133:1 | 135:20,25 136:16, 18 137:20,22 |
| independently | inspections 5:17 | invented 104:12 | issued 15:1 | 139:17 140:2 143:14,18,22 |
| 81:4 | 26:23 46:17 47:10 | inventory 126:10 | issues 6:12 10:20 12:1 14:9 15:17 | 144:1,7 145:6,18 |
| indicating 37:12 | 49:4 50:10 51:13 | 127:3,5 132:25 | 89:24 118:21 | 146:12 |
| individual 65:13 | 60:25 | 133:14 | 119:7 121:6 | January 47:14 100:22 141:15 |
| 79:13 | inspector 47:25 78:8 130:9 | investigated 50:19 52:17 | 122:14 132:14 | 143:18 |
| individual's | 70.0 100.9 | JU. 13 UZ. 17 | issuing 92:13 | |
| | | | | |

Index: impetus..January

job 19:24 61:17, liquid 30:24 35:8 **kind** 7:16 9:13 language 22:1 lessons 36:6 18,20 96:8 102:10 20:5 29:2,18 53:23 56:10 36:13,17 letter 14:19.21.23 30:20 33:9 42:3 106:24 15:6 16:23 77:2,6, jobs 97:4 **Lisa** 5:9,10,22 45:5 48:21,22 large 104:24 12 93:4 134:16 6:1,7 47:22,23 **John** 119:19 49:5,7 50:14 51:5,11 53:11 55:10 57:5,6,8 largely 106:8 letters 15:9 93:1, ioin 8:19 55:7 58:17 59:9 59:20 63:7 65:20, 2 138:3 141:2,22 laser 8:11 27:1 61:11 62:6 64:14 Joint 88:18 25 67:17 68:1,17 37:8,12 38:11 **letting** 138:3 65:25 66:17,22 69:18,19 74:2,12 67:1,5,21 78:22 **Jones** 56:3 75:1 78:6 79:12 **lasers** 24:16 leukemia 28:17 133:2,7 journals 45:4 82:25 86:8,17 36:25 37:4,7,21 41:10 87:20 88:19 89:19 38:2 **Lisa's** 57:11 **JRC** 90:15 level 28:3 34:2 90:1,7 91:17 92:2 latest 22:12 list 22:14 23:6,15, 39:23 55:21 59:13 97:24 98:7 100:24 judging 136:11 25 24:2 134:23 69:18 76:3,20 101:11 102:10,14 Lauderdale 5:15 judgment 59:24 109:9 115:1 listed 63:20 103:13 104:20 Laughter 82:11 105:8,17,19 levels 87:8 July 47:24 139:1 listen 7:16 106:21 109:6 law 82:16 85:7.17 141:22 142:7,8 license 11:14 110:16,23 112:17 lists 111:2 86:19 97:17 June 93:5,14,21 12:16,25 15:1,12 113:3 120:1 121:7 108:20 113:20,22 live 33:3 142:10 18:9 24:21 25:12, 128:3 130:9 136:1 laws 116:21 15 61:16 80:16 liver 39:21 44:2 **kinds** 9:24,25 96:18 111:14 Κ lax 121:1 55:8 67:4 85:14, lives 31:8 122:3, licensed 11:25 22,23 86:20 89:13 5,6,8 123:7,11,14 **lay** 83:8,10 75:19,24 83:14 **Kate** 74:7 97:19 131:22 135:11,24 136:1 87:4,7 88:1 localized 27:6 **Kathy** 4:5 8:6 kits 29:9 **lead** 128:23 licensee 128:6 location 64:15 10:5 12:24 13:1,3 knew 118:12 leaked 37:10 65:7 145:12 14:1,5 17:19 18:3 licensees 117:25 19:14 20:18 23:14 knowing 14:20 118:2 122:15.18 locations 130:7 learn 60:12,13 58:22 61:17 62:7 123:22 124:8 knowledge learned 36:6 48:5 long 13:3 25:23 81:2,15 88:10 127:16 109:3 116:6 52:9 28:22,23 30:21 89:15 91:1 97:6, licenses 10:18 38:18 54:23 68:6 11 98:17 101:20 learning 48:1 11:8 12:2,4 14:2 85:19 88:7 92:24 102:19 103:17 L 59:15 104:1 19:18 24:20 61:4 95:10 101:4 107:15 111:17,20 92:13 99:4 leave 76:24 109:10 110:1 114:6,10,13,20 **L4** 114:15 121:2 127:12 115:6,25 143:16 licensing 5:24 **left** 6:3 20:9 58:4 **L5** 114:16 128:19 133:3 144:21 145:2,8,13 132:4 63:3 longer 21:5 46:6 lab 34:8 37:23 keeping 40:7 licensure 12:12 **leg** 58:4,5 123:15 134:4 122:18 141:1 labeling 125:25 14:19,23 15:9 legal 99:9 102:9 18:17,24 19:4 looked 59:12 131:20 Keiser 8:9 111:18 71:23 81:4 legend 49:6 labels 125:8,23 Keith 7:14 84:10 likes 26:23 lose 61:15,17,18, 127:19 131:9,15, legislative Kettering 28:12 18 20 143:17 limit 32:2,9,12 41:9 46:5 **lot** 5:5 11:21 14:9, labs 35:16 46:11 Legislator 82:25 limited 85:10 key 123:13 11 16:15 17:12,17 86:14 111:18 Lagoutaris 7:8 Legislature 19:17 20:15,16 **kidneys** 39:21 144:9,15 145:25 86:22 **limits** 62:18 21:5 22:12,15 44:2 23:3 25:16 26:13, Lake 19:6 20:7 leisure 90:5 lines 20:23 56:5 kill 31:10 39:17. 21 27:21 28:4,7, 64:4 80:25 land 123:3 less-punitive 23 20,23 29:11 31:9, 64:17 lining 137:14 12,22 32:15,19, landfill 131:8 kills 41:6 23,24 33:8 42:12,

Index: job..lot

16 45:9 48:6 49:4 52:9 59:24 65:5 66:14 71:17 73:3 76:9 82:20 86:9 87:9 90:12,19,25 96:24 98:25 99:19,24 100:18 101:9 104:13 107:7 110:12 111:11 115:23 116:8 120:24 121:4 126:23 132:17,20 136:21 137:19 141:10 142:7 lots 21:5 65:4 88:11 love 70:4 loving 9:23 low 28:4 29:1 31:23 44:18 53:18 60:20 109:20 lower 32:8 **Lucie** 119:2

luckily 125:9

lucky 5:17

lumbar 58:8

lunch 84:3 117:24

lunchtime 83:24

lung 63:3

Lynn 5:21 6:10 50:19,23 59:10 67:24 69:7,12 74:5 93:9 94:18, 23,25 95:3 109:14 117:11,14,16

M

machine 3:7 8:4 27:2 29:24 51:5 69:1 73:15 74:22 75:13

machine-based 73:8

machines 24:15

26:22 50:14 82:9, 18

made 13:21 25:7 31:3 37:9 95:8 98:24 130:2 140:15

magic 42:3

mail 147:25

main 18:21 24:19 35:3 117:16 137:5,6

Mainstream 45:8 maintaining

112:1

major 37:4 110:5

majority 63:19, 20 64:12 65:13 98:14

make 10:5 12:18 15:2 17:5,17 18:11 21:2,16 33:1,14 35:4 38:9 40:7 59:4,5 66:9 67:6 74:2,12 78:11 79:21 82:14 103:21 106:12 107:14 113:12,18 116:13,23 118:6 119:14 124:13,23 127:3,18 140:11

makes 60:7 65:22 114:25 148:22

making 14:15 57:19 63:6 78:1 86:21 91:21 112:14

mammo 49:22 86:23 87:5,9

mammography

5:19 49:22 50:4 71:14 108:16

mammos 50:8

management 9:21 30:6 61:23

79:23

manager 8:4

19:10

manipulated 40:24

manufacturer 42:4 126:6

manufacturers 27:25 34:16

manufacturing 38:9

March 47:14 139:19 143:9

mark 8:3,21

22:11,19,23 23:19,24 24:3 31:16 40:17,21,25 41:10,13,16 42:25 44:7,19 45:18,21 58:22,24 59:11 61:12,18 63:19 64:15 66:11,21 69:20 71:7,12,18 72:5,11,19,24 73:18,20 74:4,15 79:14 84:10 113:13,18,21 114:9,21 128:9,17 130:17,20 145:17

married 18:8

Martin 74:7

Mary 133:25 138:17,18

masking 131:21

mass 38:9

massage 8:16

matches 132:1

material 24:15,20 25:1,5,9 26:2,10, 13,18 29:12 30:19 32:20 33:15 34:4 43:23 45:1 75:16

materials 3:13 26:20 27:15 29:5 72:23,25 73:12 82:18 117:21 120:19 132:4

matrix 37:17

Matt 7:2 136:17

matter 14:16 82:25 101:5 126:14 145:25 147:1

MATTHEW 7:2 116:25

Mcfadden 88:9

meaning 97:20

means 43:25 73:14 79:15 96:3 109:1 116:5 119:6 120:17,25

meant 55:7

meantime 134:16,20

measure 34:7 44:19,21

measures 32:21

meat 110:11

mechanism 79:21

mechanisms 88:24

med 12:4 36:18 81:12 89:22 94:4 96:18,21,24 97:1, 8,12 98:5,14 115:10 140:22

media 129:7

medical 3:9 6:7, 22 8:24 22:13 23:12 43:2 48:15 50:17,18,20 51:1, 2,3,16 52:7,16 53:7,14,20 54:6,7, 8,11 55:9 57:14 58:14 59:1 60:16, 17 61:7,9 67:23 69:1 70:17 71:6, 11 72:13 73:16 75:21,24 82:20 107:25 121:23

medication 110:15,25 115:13, 23 medications 100:11

Index: lots..mentioned

medicine 6:22,24
34:14 35:16 45:24
84:25 85:2,9 86:3,
9,12,14 90:13
91:14,15,19,23
92:4,21,25 96:7
98:1,6,11 100:12
104:10,22 109:15,
23 110:5,6,14,19,
22 113:23 115:3
129:17 134:1

medium 28:4 78:21

meet 75:6 130:11 139:14

meeting 3:16 10:3 11:6 20:21 22:4,7 48:3,13 49:12 50:6 53:22 70:23 73:20,23 87:15 120:5,11 121:9,16 129:23 135:7,8,17 139:19 140:8,9,10 142:25 143:6 144:2 146:16

meetings 5:5 67:22 70:18 139:15,19

meets 24:25

Melissa 74:7

member 8:7 88:4 134:8 136:2

members 4:18 5:8 10:11 25:8 52:5 134:24 136:21 137:7 141:23

Memorial 144:24 145:9

memory 7:17

mention 109:7 132:16

mentioned 19:21 20:8 45:3 106:25 120:15

merit 81:5 non-disciplinary 99:13 **motions** 112:14 nervous 65:23 66:9 69:19 77:3 message 118:4, mishap 33:3 move 9:21 47:22 116:20 142:24 newest 6:17 non-human 25:2 10,11 mission 51:15 26:24 29:19 45:1 messed 77:4 moved 47:24 **news** 36:10 Mississippi 147:11 nonpunitive 5:13,25 moves 36:13 nexus 20:15 67:6 met 4:19 5:12 mistake 63:25 moving 108:9 nice 20:6 80:21 nonstatutory meter 34:2 78:4 103:13 110:3 **Mga** 20:1 52:3,6 83:17 126:22 139:7 method 36:16 mistaken 50:13 61:3 65:25 66:17 **nooks** 21:5 41:19 43:14 nicely 20:24 mitigating 67:11 **MQSA** 3:8 104:25 105:2 **normal** 102:5 76:12 77:15 nicer 80:4 123:6 MRI 86:23 125:24 126:9 Nick 50:1 130:16,25 mix 21:16 **Miami** 7:22 MT 90:15 north 25:20 Mm-hmm 93:24 Nielsen 88:2 mice 39:6,7 **multiple** 76:7,8 **MNTCB** 106:1 night 4:19 88:13 **note** 49:11 108:1 130:7 microcurie 43:21 100:5 MNTCB-CT 91:9 musculoskeletal notes 20:1 66:7, microcuries 100:17 **NIH** 26:11 10 27:24 29:10 32:6 modality 108:2 39:25 43:22 **notice** 20:19 mushroomed nineteen 53:1 mode 56:7 139:1,3 67:17 microns 27:3 **ninety** 107:2 modifications noticed 20:19 microphysicist 111:25 ninety-five 58:12 Ν 22:11 81:20 74:24 modify 106:24 nitrate 30:23 **notices** 49:10 middle 18:7 Nadaskay 7:14 64:2 142:11,14 **modules** 14:13 **nitro** 33:23 75:15 105:17 84:10 notification **Moffitt** 29:18 **nitty** 100:15 Mike 121:7 narrow 143:12 14:16 15:25 122:12 **Moffitt's** 29:19 NMT 102:20 national 46:11 **notify** 51:23 mile 148:14 moisture 34:10 NMTCB 85:3 86:6 59:13 69:21,23 **NRC** 72:5,22 87:15,20 88:3,6, 71:23 72:7 87:8 mileage 148:10, money 6:6 102:4 118:25 119:9 14,16 89:23 90:16 91:6 99:7 109:9 11,14 120:14 121:1,14, monitor 37:15 91:11,17 93:2,4 110:3 111:9 115:1 millicurie 27:22 23 122:10 125:14 114:9 123:17 94:9.11 101:16 116:23 43:19 102:15 104:4 **NRCPD** 73:18 monitoring nationally 74:4 106:20 107:11 millicuries 46:9 119:13,16,23 87:25 **NTC** 129:18 122:25 123:8 **NMTCB's** 90:3 mind 46:15 nature 23:5 67:14 **nuclear** 6:21,24 111:1 144:16 month 68:18 95:8 9:8 12:4 34:14 NMTCB-CT 138:19 140:8 Mine's 13:10 35:15 45:24 73:5 **NCT** 87:25 143:13 146:23 93:15 95:10 101:2 84:25 85:2,9 86:3, Minimal 44:14 106:5,13 necessarily 9,12,13 89:22 months 5:18 12:3 23:22 26:15 32:25 90:13 91:14,15, minimalistic **NMTS** 110:20 72:6 126:20,23 75:18 81:6 89:3 18,23 92:3,21,25 109:6.12 139:6 146:19 **Nobody's** 111:14 94:4 96:7,18,21, neck 100:16 **minimum** 103:14 morning 3:2 4:1, 24 97:1,7,8 98:1, nominate 137:25 106:1 107:2 15 10:23 12:15 **needed** 16:23 4,5,6,10,14 123:14,19 24:13 nominated 138:4 116:22 100:12 104:9,22 139:21 109:15,22 110:4, minor 60:22 Mosaic 7:15 negligence 76:1, **nominee** 138:12 6,14,19,22 113:23 minute 146:25 motion 10:4,5 139:9 115:2,10 118:24 107:14 112:11 negotiations 120:20 129:17 minutes 3:4 10:3, nominees 140:6 92:15 134:1 140:22 6 87:15 90:4

Index: merit..nuclear

nuke 81:12 97:12 offices 8:5 options 96:3 package 45:25 118:8 119:21 76:5,16 134:23 **null** 13:18 Okey 5:20 oral 32:10 **passing** 94:8,9 pages 25:23 55:5 **oldest** 13:21 oranges 104:7 number 9:14 **past** 11:1,5 50:21 88:6,20 109:10 10:25 11:3,8,13 60:5 67:23 69:7,8 on-the-job 98:20 order 26:18 68:5 133:2,3 13:7 15:13 17:6, 71:9 79:19 92:20, 80:15,25 91:20 oncologist 74:25 paid 17:14 96:25 21,24 19:23 21:15 23 108:13 115:21 114:10.11 122:6 118:11 23:2.8.12 26:22 pain 30:6 oncologists 62:9 53:18 57:4 67:17 **orders** 21:22 patent 42:4 68:4 69:24 70:16, oncology 59:15, painter 64:1 **Oregon** 88:15 17.24 81:20 82:5 16 60:10 69:24 pathology 104:3 **painting** 64:9,10 86:11 89:9,12,13, 74:21 96:2,8 97:4 organ 55:17 pathway 94:11 14,18 90:1,6,7 paints 64:1 organization 102:20 106:6 one-for-one 102:22,23 103:14, 126:17 60:10 74:10 palliative 44:4,9 15 104:24 105:22 **Pathways** 102:18 110:14 113:9 online 12:6 13:8, organizations pamphlet 48:20 patient 52:17,22, 114:3 129:20 71:24 85:17 55:5 13,15 14:2 15:20, 24 53:2,3 59:5,21 130:18 22.23 16:4 17:7 organized pancake 34:2 60:9 61:19.21 21:2,6,18 22:5 numbers 44:1 112:15 62:5,21 63:18,22 148:4 panicked 65:21 63:8 69:9 81:10, 69:23 77:5 81:12 organs 63:1 20 87:3 97:25 onward 85:12 100:10 114:11 paper 21:3 104:25 109:20 original 56:19 open 10:25 11:2 patients 44:9 papers 41:21,23 144:17 48:19 72:18 86:4 Orlando 47:15 45:3 Patkashel 50:2 numerous 81:21 134:5 135:19 119:19 145:15,19, paperwork 140:18 **pay** 96:20,22 24 139:11 124:14.19 125:2 0 **opened** 72:16 orthopedics 7:3 126:1,5 paragraph 67:25 **opening** 141:22 outgrowth 67:16 94:12 107:25 Oakridge 30:19 **paying** 124:20 31:3 46:8 operating 5:2 Outlook 142:16 paragraphs **PDF** 42:8 119:24 94:22 **OAS** 129:23 outlying 53:25 peaks 35:23,25 operation 35:2 paramedics 8:15 objecting 107:7 outpatient 128:4 109:4 116:7 peer 25:9 pardon 87:24 obtaining 94:13 output 75:11 operations 9:24 penalizing 70:13 part 6:25 20:1 19:5 26:17 **obvious** 67:12 outstanding penalty 77:1 56:4 57:3 65:2 10:22,24 100:13 operator 8:4 67:16 71:16 79:5 Pensacola 55:10 74:23 occurred 51:22 overboard 55:23 87:13 95:25 96:12 118:15 75:15,17 97:6 101:16 52:2 62:11 overload 134:3 103:25 104:17,21 **people** 14:11 operator's 68:22 occurring 60:15 **overly** 68:9,12 108:6,10 124:6 17:12 21:19 26:14 operators 67:9 occurs 51:2 62:3 110:10 127:18 132:20 27:21 28:6 29:3,8 75:22 79:13 137:15 32:23 35:15 43:12 October 12:21 oversee 103:21 44:12 45:9 59:8, opinion 73:9 participate 52:14 49:18 73:23 74:9 17 63:6,24 65:1,9, overview 24:9 135:8 opinions 80:12 **partly** 85:25 11,15,19 66:5,9, 12 69:16,21 offer 98:15 opportunity 9:21 Ρ parts 68:18 76:10 77:20,23 78:10,15 office 8:4 26:4 137:9 pass 6:8 91:20 79:1 80:16 81:1 121:9,11 134:14 **P-32** 29:4 82:2 87:18,24 opposed 10:12 99:20 136:7 96:24 97:12 102:7 107:19 117:5 p.m. 84:9 149:5 **passed** 86:19 104:21,23 107:1 148:13 officer 8:11,22 117:8 **PA** 7:3 126:3,23 127:15 35:4 option 65:9,12,24 129:15 130:1 passes 107:22 97:5

Index: nuke..people

Index: peoples'..primarily

137:8,13 peoples' 13:8

peptide 30:25 41:4

peptides 31:1

percent 52:23 53:14 56:16,18, 21,22,25 57:2 58:12,13 100:10, 11 119:4

percentage 70:9 98:5

percentages 56:17

perfectly 99:9

perform 89:12 101:6 114:8

performance 109:2 112:22 116:5

performing 108:1 112:18

performs 136:5

period 52:12 80:23

Perk 27:25

permanent 55:17 131:16

permission 108:9

permit 26:8

person 4:17 7:4 9:3 17:2,3 48:16 51:7 56:1 65:17 66:4,5,10 72:12 74:19 75:1 79:24 83:8 97:7,9,22 100:7 119:11 126:21 137:3 138:6.8.10.11 139:3,11

personnel 79:23

persons 83:10 91:9

perspective

67:15 68:22 75:17,18 96:25 111:4

PET 25:15 27:8 30:1 31:20,24 86:5,7,23 87:5 91:10,23,25 96:9 98:7 108:15 126:13

PETS 96:10

ph 50:2 74:8

Pharmaceutical s 28:13 42:25 46:7

pharmacologica **I** 111:2

Pharmacy 28:13

phase 28:15 31:15 41:25 42:24 43:4 46:3 49:8

phases 41:8 46:20 54:18

philosophy 90:3

Phosphate 6:15

Phosphorus-32 28:4

physic 6:23

physician 55:18, 20 75:21 134:1

physicist 8:22 9:5 26:5 35:4 43:2 51:3 54:7 61:8 75:21

physicists 8:25 51:17 61:10 62:8 67:6 68:14 70:17

physics 6:22 37:8,20 74:22

physiological 55:18

PI 40:18

picked 91:3 144:15

picture 37:16,19

pictures 30:13,

14 47:8,9,10

piece 72:9

pinpointing 71:8

pipets 33:18

Pittsburgh 30:21

place 19:1 32:22 34:24 42:21 61:19 62:7,13 79:22 93:20 122:18 132:11

places 23:4 55:1 79:10 95:9

plan 17:16 26:10 49:14 113:10 146:15

planning 5:6 26:15

plant 38:9 119:2, 22

plants 118:24 119:12,24 120:21

plexiglass 129:2

plug 73:13

podiatrist 7:10

point 13:24 16:11 46:2,17 47:13 57:8 76:24 77:18 82:22 87:1,17 88:3,14,17 92:10 96:6,20 98:19 102:6 105:9 106:11,22 119:3 120:4 125:25 128:5 131:24 134:9 140:6,11

pointed 36:25

points 99:1

policies 5:2 62:1

policy 78:3

Polytechnic 6:17

popular 87:10

population 40:11

portal 16:4

portion 97:8

position 3:14 4:21 5:19 8:1 9:22 20:9 48:14,19 51:6 77:24 84:25 88:10 114:11 116:6 134:1,5,7 135:11,19,25 136:1 137:4 138:9,18 140:22

positioning 109:3

positions 83:7,9 134:20 135:24 136:3,4 137:25 141:11,14,21

positive 44:15.20

Positron 29:22

post 89:1 94:2

post-storm 118:11

potent 39:5

potential 20:15 42:4 43:3,24 61:4 77:19

potentially 31:10 42:22 44:3

pound 106:10

power 9:8 118:24 119:4,8,12,24 120:20

powerful 37:7 38:5

powering 118:25

Powerpoint 18:19

practice 7:9,21 86:12 88:17 91:8, 12,22,25 92:4,11, 14.19.21 93:6.14. 18 94:11,19

101:16 103:23 106:15,20 108:14, 15,16,19,22 109:1,11,19,25 111:8,13,15,21,23

112:1,4,8,14

113:24 114:7 115:10,15 116:1, 20.22

pre-storm 118:10

predecessor 85:1

prefer 78:9 139:17

preference 144:25 145:24

preferred 36:16 146:20

preparations 51:2

prepare 48:7

prescribed 52:23,24 56:16 57:1

prescriptions 65:5

present 38:7 76:22 123:9

presentation

11:1

presentations 72:12

pressurized 34:6

pretty 8:23 23:13 29:14 30:8 34:21 37:11,22 38:11 41:14 44:8 58:5,8 89:7 135:16 139:25 140:13 141:12

prevent 34:4,9 96:12 128:2 130:6 131:1

preventive 9:7

prevents 128:12

previous 10:25 11:3 18:18 136:12

previously 10:17 11:9 58:19 125:6

primarily 8:12

29:16 33:1 35:11 processes 59:6 proposal 31:16 32:15,18,21 38:6, 39:6 7,10 39:14 42:6, R proposed 53:23 processing 17,20 43:6 45:13 primary 13:14 10:19 11:12 56:10 54:3 56:20 73:6 Rad 3:5 9:6 18:22 85:8 86:7 89:1 processors **pros** 129:5 77:20 80:22 98:9 82:19 94:2,3,14,15 99:2, 14:12 106:5,24 112:7 3 108:18 129:20 prosecution radiation 3:6,7 118:4 132:11 produce 38:10 19:8 5:13 8:10 9:6,13 principal 26:6 134:23 142:11,15 24:17,25 25:11 143:16 produced 30:21 prosecutors **print** 15:5 26:5 27:5 35:4 37:11 76:17,25 puts 78:6 42:8,10 50:24 printed 36:3 protection 109:5 produces 91:16 51:4 59:15,16 143:8 **putting** 43:19 116:8 60:10 62:8,9 producing 46:8, 96:9 printer 37:17 68:10 69:24 73:9. protein 41:4 9,12 11 75:19 81:21 prior 85:7 89:23 Q product 27:23 **proud** 37:20 83:14 85:9 108:1 122:3,4 30:20 109:4,5,15,23 **prove** 80:17 **private** 7:9,21 qd 52:24 116:7 119:19 profession 8:14 23:10 **proven** 89:19 121:10 122:1 14:25 21:12 75:23 qualification 127:24 136:3,5 probable 67:9 92:7,8 113:4 provide 17:5 18:6 89:6 55:1 57:16 radiators 25:13 probationary professional qualifications 27:18 80:23 71:24 91:16 provided 106:2 56:14 radio 28:1,13 **probe** 34:2 professionals providing 24:12 qualified 11:15, 43:24 108:1 18 89:3 probes 34:3 **PT** 7:6 radioactive 3:13 professions qualify 60:15 problem 4:23 **PTV** 60:4 24:14,19 25:1,5,8 14:24 20:3 22:18 135:2 137:14 36:23 44:1,2 26:2.9.13 27:15 75:20 83:14 **public** 6:18 53:19 79:16 80:25 43:23 117:21 quality 5:3 37:24 profile 16:7 86:9 92:2 95:25 publication 45:4 52:7 112:18 120:19 130:23 96:17 115:20 program 6:11 radiographers publish 41:21 quantities 29:10 131:7 133:18 19:5 22:15,22 109:22 110:10 32:5 pull 24:7 115:16 problematic 24:17 47:25 48:8 radiography 125:7 quarterly 33:6 9:23 49:19 50:22 51:5, 85:9 94:4 99:3 11,13,19 67:21 pulled 56:2 86:23 question 17:19 problems 20:2, 109:9,13,15 110:9 71:16 75:13 89:3 22:11 40:16 47:2 23 118:21 **Pulling** 133:5 90:14 95:15 98:15 radiologic 3:12 62:11,13 69:4 102:6 104:22 procedure pumped 37:9 82:24 136:19 6:11 8:8 11:10,12 114:14 121:10,11 130:19, 84:17 106:7 punished 79:2 questions 19:11, 20 132:5 108:22 109:1 procedures 5:2 13 24:5 25:5 113:16,22 114:7 punitive 59:3 62:2 76:1 89:18 programs 19:16 27:13 38:23 45:13 67:15 68:12 81:6, 100:14 22:14 23:2.13 47:21 50:3 53:7 radiological 9:8 74:11 95:12 97:23 79:1 91:1,2 98:25 **proceed** 101:19 radiologist 4:10 98:14 104:13 **purity** 35:21 100:10 101:10 106:12 7:21,24 8:7 123:21 133:21 project 34:23 113:24 purposes 33:1 proceedings 141:10 149:3 84:8,9 149:5 projects 33:21 radiology 7:5,6, **pursue** 92:18 quick 22:11 24:9 25 86:17 132:11 prompted 68:15 process 5:6 45:2 81:19 107:1 18:15 22:8 45:3 push 96:24 97:2 **Radium** 40:21,22 **proper** 118:7 quicker 11:21 50:24 51:24 52:14 43:21 136:21 121:25 122:15 59:12 60:9 64:17, quickly 33:15 Radium-223 pushing 42:24 properly 119:17 18 65:3 78:6 36:24 119:25 30:8 79:15,16 88:16 127:25 put 14:22 21:19

Index: primary..Radium-223

104:17 106:25

quote 79:21

| | | | | • |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| raised 70:2 | ready 9:3 84:3, | recourse 76:3 | 78:15 79:20 80:3 | 81:1 |
| Randy 4:1,9 9:1, | 14,15 107:16 | recreate 71:25 | 110:2 | reportable 59:7 |
| 17 10:1,7,9,12,14 | real 65:23 | redefining 74.40 | reimbursement | 63:4 |
| 13:2 19:12 22:6 | realistic 12:20 | redefining 71:18 | 147:23 | reported 50:18 |
| 24:4 38:24 39:2,4, | | referenced 95:10 | related 83:15 | 53:10,12,15 61:9, |
| 9,16 47:20 53:8 | realizes 13:24 | referring 18:23 | 84:24 115:24 | 13 63:24 69:14 |
| 65:7 66:23 67:2 | reams 110:17 | | 121:3 136:3 | |
| 69:13 77:16,18,22 | | reflective 94:10 | | Reporter 3:18 |
| 78:20,23 80:13,24 81:17 83:8,19,22 | reapplying 134:12 | 102:15 | relative 28:19 70:10 | reporting 54:8 |
| 84:2,6,12,16 | | refractions | | 55:24 58:24 60:20 |
| 95:12,16,22 | reappoint 138:10 | 56:14 | relevant 71:24 | 61:22 64:17,19 |
| 107:16,19,21,24 | reason 40:17 | regard 24:10 | 93:23 | 69:17 70:2 |
| 108:5,8,11 112:6 | 68:25 91:24 | | remember 5:16, | reports 61:8 |
| 116:19 117:1,5,7, | 124:18 126:24 | register 18:10 | 20 19:23 33:10 | 78:25 80:15 |
| 20 118:17 133:20 | 139:13 | registered 50:11, | 67:19 91:10 92:1 | represent 8:24 |
| 138:22 141:20 | reasons 26:14 | 15 103:20 108:2 | 113:16 120:11 | _ |
| 142:4,13,17,23 143:2,5,20 144:5, | 74:19 88:21 | registration | 133:25 | representative |
| 8,22 145:4,10,14, | 100:13 | 82:18 | reminder 18:16 | 6:24 51:11,12 81:23 112:3 |
| 21 146:1,4,6,10 | recalibrated | registrations | remove 131:16 | |
| 147:6,17,24 | 90:15 92:6 | 49:17 50:16 | | represented |
| 148:19 149:2 | | | removing 127:19 | 73:24 |
| range 76:6 77:8 | recalibrating 88:18 | registries 87:22 92:22 99:7 110:6 | renew 137:6 | representing |
| 139:7 | | 111:9 116:23 | renewal 16:10 | 88:3 |
| rankings 20:40 | recall 23:10 85:4 | | 18:16 19:2 49:8,9, | reprimand 77:9, |
| rankings 28:18 | receipts 147:7, | registry 86:25 | 13 136:20 137:25 | 13 |
| rapid 31:7 | 13,14,21 148:25 | 87:8,16 88:22 91:6,12,17 92:19 | renewals 18:23 | reputation 78:13 |
| rapidly 30:17 | receive 15:25 | 102:24 106:9,13 | 49:20 138:21 | _ |
| Rarely 77:10 | 26:19 51:3 | | ropowing 120.7 | request 16:13 |
| • | received 10:23 | regs 108:13 | renewing 138:7 | requested 12:16 |
| rate 59:8 | 14:17 16:6 52:18, | regular 28:24 | renominate | requesting 54:5 |
| rates 34:7 | 22 53:2,3 | 34:8 | 138:11 | - |
| ratings 44:11 | receiving 20:19 | regularly 29:14 | rental 148:13 | requests 74:6 |
| rationale 112:23 | | regulate 120:18 | reorder 22:1 | require 17:7 |
| | recently 36:8 134:6 | regulates 50:4 | repeat 62:1 79:11 | 21:18 22:21 26:9, 12 29:15 71:18 |
| ray 37:13 | | | _ | 90:9 100:23 |
| re-filled 142:1 | recessed 84:8 149:5 | regulating 73:4 | repercussions 66:25 67:3 | 121:23 126:1 |
| re-treat 58:18 | | regulation 21:4 | | required 21:15 |
| reach 19:3 135:9 | recognize 88:18 97:24 137:16 | 29:6 73:7 76:15 | Repetition 67:13 | 104:17 118:25 |
| | | 77:8 94:20 106:12 108:20 114:2,18, | repetitive 80:15 | requirement |
| reactor 30:21 | recognized | 24 | replace 33:24 | 17:8 96:13 128:10 |
| reactors 30:22 | 108:3 | | - | |
| read 26:3 36:7 | recoil 36:12 | regulation's 60:18 | reply 146:22 | requirements 40:13 89:6 95:7 |
| 88:12 90:4 94:1 | recommendatio | | report 9:22 | 104:15 119:9 |
| 99:12 104:15 | n 72:15 | regulations | 16:17,18 21:20 | 121:15 135:18 |
| 106:2 109:25 | | 67:11 73:19 87:23 | 51:1,18,19 52:10 | |
| 113:18 | recommended | 101:25 113:14 | 53:8 60:9,11 61:6, | requires 21:3,4 94:3 121:1 |
| reading 44:15 | 73:6 | 120:21,23 | 14,15,22 62:4 63:6,17 75:4,12 | |
| | recorded 67:10 | regulatory 8:14 | 78:10 79:15,25 | requiring 105:21 |
| | | 68:9,12 73:5 | | 109:2 116:5 |
| | I | I | I | I |

Index: raised..requiring

| reread 89:6 | reviewed 111:24 | sacrificed 39:7, | schools 22:12,14 | 106:4 112:17 |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| research 3:6 | reviews 14:14 | 17 | 90:16 97:14 145:6,7 | 114:25 116:24 124:13,24 |
| 6:16 25:2,6,9,12, 16 26:16 28:8 | 25:3 | safe 59:2,5 109:4 116:7 118:17 | scintillation | sensitive 36:15 |
| 29:19 34:15 38:21 | revising 72:13 | 119:21 | 35:8,9 36:14,17 | sentences 110:1 |
| 42:1 43:16 44:22, 25 45:2,5 98:12 | revocation | safely 4:16 26:2, | scope 24:20,23 | |
| researcher 25:19 | 77:10,12 RIA 29:9 | 20 122:8 | 85:10 86:12 | separate 40:10 |
| | | safety 3:6 8:11, | 101:16 111:13,24 112:16 114:3,7 | September 11:7 50:9 |
| researchers 26:18 28:7 29:13 | rid 131:5 132:18 | 22 24:17,25 25:11 26:5 35:4 42:8,10 | screen 21:8 | serve 5:4 |
| 30:9 42:22 | riding 108:21 | 60:9 69:23 104:2 | 93:17 | service 5:3 |
| resignation | rings 44:15 | 120:23 121:3 | screw 78:18 | 50:12,14 |
| 134:2 | rise 56:9 87:12 | sale 29:20 | sealed 121:23 | services 8:17 |
| resigned 134:15 | rises 76:20 | samples 36:2 | 125:20 | 18:18 19:4,8 |
| 138:19 | risk 61:23 118:3 | sand 106:10 | seals 37:21 | session 3:2,11 |
| resigning 138:8 | roadblocks 16:3 | save 88:12 | search 55:1 | 143:17 |
| resist 34:10 | rod 128:22 | scads 55:19 | section 51:5 52:8 | set 26:19 27:9 31:20 57:9 64:22 |
| resolve 129:24 | ROILS 59:14 60:8 | scan 36:17 148:1 | 60:6 75:5 93:23 | 67:10 73:11 |
| respond 74:6 | rolling 91:13 | scans 36:18 | sectional 104:10 | settings 34:16 |
| responded | 139:22 | scares 66:14 | sections 60:2 | seventeen 68:7 |
| 134:18 141:6 | room 36:17 64:1 | scatter 130:19,20 | secure 118:5,9 | 70:20 |
| response 10:13 18:24 63:15 | 66:6 93:13 | schedule 17:13, | Security 17:6,24 | shape 99:16 |
| 107:20 117:6 | rotations 103:3 | 22 51:7 133:13 | 21:14 | share 41:17 42:5 |
| 123:4 142:22 | round 52:18 | Schenkman 4:1, | Seddon 8:21 31:16 40:17,21,25 | 43:8 52:4,15 69:8 |
| responses 14:10 16:5 141:4 | route 123:12 | 9 9:1,17 10:1,7,9, | 41:10,13,16 42:25 | shared 42:1 |
| | RS1S 14:11 | 12,14 13:2 19:12 22:6 24:4 38:24 | 44:7,19 45:18,21 | sharing 42:5 |
| responsible 9:6 76:11 | RS2 14:12 | 39:2,4,9,16 47:20 | 58:24 59:11 61:12,18 63:19 | Sharpes 125:10 |
| rest 40:11 49:5 | ruckus 65:18 | 53:8 65:7 66:23 67:2 69:13 77:16, | 64:15 66:11,21 | sheet 42:10 |
| 56:12 57:10 89:19 | rule 21:17 57:19 | 18,22 78:20,23 | 69:20 71:12,18 72:5,11,19,24 | 125:21 126:15 129:1 |
| 103:12 | 76:15,21 86:21 | 80:13,24 81:17 83:8,19,22 84:2,6, | 73:18,20 74:4,15 | shepherding |
| restrictive 110:11 121:4 | 91:20 92:12 108:8 121:8 125:14 | 12,16 95:12,16,22 | 79:14 113:13,21 114:9 128:9,17 | 20:5 |
| result 55:16 | rules 106:2 | 107:16,19,21,24 108:5,8,11 112:6 | 130:17,20 145:17 | shielding 6:1,2 |
| | 121:14 122:5,11 | 116:19 117:1,5,7, | segregated | 29:12,16 31:21 |
| results 28:16 55:16 59:19 | run 15:3 16:17,18 | 20 118:17 133:20 | 33:19 | 33:1 122:16,18 123:9 |
| resumed 84:9 | 25:21 29:25 35:19 36:2,18 50:20 | 138:22 141:20 142:4,13,17,23 | selects 113:9 | shields 32:24 |
| retired 4:10,12 | 136:6 | 143:2,5,20 144:5, | send 11:15 14:15, | shifts 64:21 |
| retirees 71:2 | rundown 48:8 | 8,22 145:4,10,14, 21 146:1,4,6,10 | 18 15:15 18:3,14, 23,24 24:2 49:9 | ship 126:1,5 |
| return 141:24 | | 147:6,17,24 | 76:17 126:24 | • |
| | S | 148:19 149:2 | 139:23 147:14 | shipped 126:19 |
| returning 124:25 | S-35 29:2 | school 7:6 36:18 90:23 97:21 102:6 | sending 20:22 | shipping 126:11, 13,15,16 |
| review 9:22 25:9 33:5 | 3-33 28.2 | 129:10 | sense 58:15 | |
| | | | | |

Index: reread..shipping

| Shocking 148:21 | 126:4 | 21:11 22:10 24:1 | 115:9 | 73:11 90:15 92:4, |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| short 31:7 44:25 | situation 81:8 | Sophie's 21:20 | specification | 12 93:6,14 94:11 106:15,20 108:14, |
| 138:18 | sixes 144:19,20 | sort 56:20 66:7 | 96:1 | 15,17 109:8,11, |
| shorter 29:4 | size 27:3 37:12, | 67:24 121:10 | specifications | 19,25 110:18 |
| shortly 134:15 | 25 | sorts 9:8 | 100:4,6 110:20 | 111:24 112:9,15, |
| 146:22 | skills 4:25 109:3 | sound 38:17 | specifies 115:11 | 22 113:13 115:16 116:1,20,22 |
| show 12:13 30:13 | 116:6 | | SPECT 27:8 | standpoint 74:21 |
| 35:22 99:22 101:7 | skin 30:16 | sounds 41:17 125:13 | 29:23 30:1 31:20, | |
| 109:8 112:13 121:25 | skip 21:7 | source 13:14 | 24 45:22 46:1 104:11 | star 17:8 |
| | - | 27:19 33:15,16 | | start 4:8 54:24 |
| showed 14:1 | skipped 9:14 | 73:10 124:25 | spectrum 35:9, 10,18 | 56:24 69:16 100:21 105:12 |
| showing 13:7 | slightly 58:11 | 125:20,21 126:13, | | 106:12,25 107:10 |
| 18:12 | Sloan 28:12 41:9, | 14,16,18 128:25 132:1 | spectrums 36:3 | 112:10 113:5 |
| shows 134:24 | 24 46:5,8 | | spend 28:20 | 118:25 119:10,15 126:25 132:10 |
| shrinking 23:3 | small 27:5,7,19 | sources 54:19 118:3,5,8,22 | spent 88:20 | 126:25 132:10 |
| shutting 119:3,6 | 29:9,11,20 32:5 40:1,2,4 60:5 | 121:23 122:1 | spin 46:7 | 146:12 |
| side 17:14 55:10 | 70:11 106:6 | 126:4,10 127:1,2, | spine 53:4 58:7 | started 4:6,11 |
| 68:22 73:15 | smaller 22:12 | 6 128:22 130:10 132:17,20,22 | 62:24 63:2 100:16 | 5:14 13:11 30:5 |
| 74:22,25 75:15 | 37:25 38:2,3 | South 8:11 24:9 | splash 33:3 | 31:19 47:24 86:1 98:2 119:3 125:7, |
| 76:11 79:10 93:12 99:13 106:19 | 43:23 70:17 | 25:20 | spoke 113:25 | 11 127:15 147:1 |
| 118:18 | smelly 40:15 | southeast 119:5 | - | starting 85:3 |
| sign 66:22 103:22 | Smith 56:3 | 135:7 | spoken 14:14 | 86:1 89:24 |
| 131:4,14 133:12 | SNM 115:11 | space 40:10 | spot 78:7 136:13 | starts 100:15 |
| signed 50:6,8 | | - | spots 142:1 | 112:14 138:14 |
| 66:13 89:18 | social 17:6,10,24 21:14 129:7 | speak 78:19 135:20 | spreads 30:17 | state 6:25 7:1 |
| 120:18 128:7 | | Speaking 67:8 | spring 139:19 | 24:22 26:23 |
| signs 38:19 | societies 71:24 86:4 137:25 | | square 81:15,16 | 48:19,25 49:3,24 |
| silly 106:21 | 139:14 144:1 | speaks 114:19 | _ | 50:13,15 59:12 60:18 61:8,14 |
| similar 36:8 | society 6:24 85:2 | special 109:2 | St 119:2 | 66:18 68:9 73:3, |
| 95:13,17 104:6 | 91:15,23 92:25 | 116:6 | staff 5:1 46:17 47:13 119:18 | 19 74:11 75:25 |
| 130:21 | 106:7 129:17 | specialist 9:22 | 121:13 | 76:3 80:18 99:6 102:7 116:21 |
| simple 108:24 | 134:16 135:1 139:18,21 140:21 | Specialized 45:8 | staged 65:12 | 118:20 119:5 |
| single 17:2 21:12 | sodium 35:16 | specialty 85:5 | | 120:16,19 121:9 |
| 64:23 68:15 | | 109:19 | staggering 49:12 | 125:4 129:18,19 130:14,16,25 |
| sit 89:3,8,20 | solicitation 139:7 | specific 32:22 | stance 137:5 | 148:12,15 |
| 126:23 | | 53:20 56:12 60:18 | stand 81:5 | state's 79:5 |
| site 3:10 39:18,20 | solve 86:8 | 65:2 84:19 91:8, 22,25 92:9 95:7, | standard 56:18 | |
| 40:15 51:8,14 52:18 10 53:3 | solving 4:23 | 24 104:14 105:21 | 91:8,13,22,25 | statement 66:20 |
| 52:18,19 53:3 56:8,11 57:17 | Sophie 8:13 9:7 | 109:11 110:21 | 92:15,19,21 93:18 94:19 95:6 100:6 | states 54:22 |
| 58:3,4,9 63:22 | 10:16,17 14:6 | 111:1 112:25 113:2,5 115:18,22 | 105:17 108:19 | 74:11,13 88:17 112:4 120:17 |
| 64:8,15 124:21 | 15:20,22 16:13, 17,22 18:1,5 | 116:4,8 | 111:8,21 112:1 | 121:12,13 122:10, |
| 131:3 | 19:15,19,21,22,24 | specifically 6:12 | 113:8,24 | 22 124:7 125:5 |
| sitting 90:21 | 20:4,8,11,24 | 42:15 67:7 95:9 | standards 71:23 | 129:11,25 |
| | 1 | | | 1 |

Index: Shocking..states

| stating 17:12 | strong 37:11 | survey 119:13 | 137:23 | techs 66:24 87:4, |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| statuses 12:16 | stronger 37:12 | 122:17,19 | talks 103:1 | 5,11,18 88:16 89:22 94:21 98:6 |
| statute 21:17 | structure 58:17 | surveys 26:21 38:20 121:25 | 105:17 108:22 | 106:19 107:13 |
| 22:20 23:20,22 | 94:5 | 122:15 127:25 | Tallahassee | 110:23 111:11 |
| 24:18 67:11 76:21 77:7 82:16,19 | structured 22:21 | suspension | 5:18 7:25 8:1 47:24 48:10 | teleworking |
| 85:12,15 106:2 | structures 60:4 | 77:10,11 | 145:20 | 48:11,13 |
| 108:22 114:4 | student 97:15,20 | switch 96:15 | Tampa 8:5,12 | telling 63:16 |
| statutory 83:20 | 102:1 | syringe 33:4 | tape 131:21 | tells 49:6 |
| 114:23 | students 15:16 | syringes 32:18, | target 56:22 | temporary 12:4 |
| stay 4:12 | 17:5,21 | 20 33:18 | 58:10 | ten 4:4 23:9 27:22 |
| stayed 11:10 | studies 25:14 | system 8:23 12:6 | targeting 71:8 | 29:10 31:7 56:16, |
| Steiner 67:20 | 28:8 39:13 45:18 53:13 60:9 | 13:19,22 14:8 15:20,22 16:4 | task 72:13 | 18,20,22 68:2 69:20 122:3,4,6,8 |
| step 135:15 | stuff 9:8 22:2 | 17:7 21:2,22 | TEA 37:8 | 123:7,11,14 137:20 138:22 |
| steps 15:4 17:18 | 57:15 89:20 94:6 107:7 110:24 | 35:22 46:1 59:15 61:23 146:15,17, | teach 7:6 | term 108:23 |
| Steven's 13:6 | 115:22,24 117:12 | 20 | team 50:25 51:10 | 134:12 138:25 |
| Stevens 121:7 | 131:18 148:4 | systemically | 52:5 74:18 75:2 | terms 68:23 |
| stick 143:12 | subject 74:20 | 39:10 | tech 3:5 9:7 12:5 | 71:11 73:10 82:14 |
| sticks 36:10 | 113:7 | systems 4:24 | 18:22 31:25 82:19 86:14 96:18 | 142:6 |
| stipulates | subjects 89:11 | 20:14 | 100:25 | test 11:23 17:11 18:10 99:21 |
| 119:12 | submit 51:19 134:16 138:3 | T | technical 47:13 | tested 11:17 |
| stopped 11:6 | 139:11 | | technician 26:5 | 110:24 111:2 |
| storage 29:6 | submitted 14:7 | tackle 57:13,15 | technique 109:4 | testifying 69:22 |
| 120:12,14 121:5, 24 122:7 124:12, | 134:2 | TAG 6:23 | techniques 4:24 | tests 17:22 96:5 |
| 15,21 128:11 | subset 121:10 | tail 39:11 | 116:7 | Texas 118:20 |
| store 40:11 | substantial | takes 22:8 88:5 | technologies | texts 129:9 |
| 128:18 | 63:23 | 105:23 127:11 | 81:13 | thallium 35:16 |
| stored 39:12 | summarized 25:18 | taking 20:8 66:7, 10 82:2 122:16 | technologist 6:22 8:7 55:10 | theory 23:1 |
| stories 36:7 | super 109:11 | 125:23 | 85:25 86:5 114:7 | therapies 70:25 |
| storing 127:6 | superficial 136:6 | talk 20:1 24:12 | technologist's | 73:8 128:4 |
| storm 4:3 117:25 | - | 28:9 47:15 63:8 | 76:11 | therapist 64:16 |
| 118:5,8,12 119:15,21 | supervisor 8:14 57:12 | 105:21 139:20 140:16 | technologists | 74:25 75:25 |
| storm-related | support 19:15 | talked 15:24 | 6:13 51:16 85:5 86:9 87:6,25 | therapists 51:16 |
| 120:1 | supposed 62:24 | 20:23 34:16,17 | 91:19 96:11 98:1, | 61:8 63:11 64:13 66:15 75:19 76:7 |
| Stratis 7:8 144:9, | 86:8 105:6 132:4 | 62:22 83:2 84:23 | 11 102:3 106:8 | |
| 15 145:25 | surfaces 33:11 | 88:7 89:25 94:6 127:11 | 109:21,23,24 | therapy 8:7,16 30:10,11,12 33:10 |
| stream 122:21,24 | Surgeon 137:18 | talking 43:20 | technology 3:12 6:11 8:9 11:13 | 57:5 81:10 85:10 |
| 123:3 | surprise 148:20 | 58:2 64:8 66:10 | 51:12 52:1,2 54:1, | 108:1 109:15,24 113:23 115:2 |
| streamlined 133:11 | surveillance | 70:18 100:9 101:11 107:2 | 25 60:1 71:4,17 72:17 84:17,25 | 136:6 |
| 133.11 | 119:13,16,22 | 114:19 117:23 | 108:23 109:1 | thermal 131:17 |
| | | | | |

Index: stating..thermal

| 09/26/2017 | | | | index: thickUnited |
|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| thick 33:23 | 127:14 130:5 | 100:20 101:13,23 | 126:9 | turn 4:14 10:16 |
| thing 10:2 14:5 | 132:3 | 107:14 111:10 | travel 33:13 | 84:13 |
| 21:10 33:12 47:7 | thoughts 101:8 | 136:19 137:2,10, | 36:11 102:7 | turned 69:1 |
| 49:22 62:2,5 | thousands | 19,21 | 119:21 146:9,17, | turning 65:1 |
| 63:13 66:7 68:2 | 87:10,11 | tiny 32:11,17 60:3 | 18 147:2,12 | |
| 69:19 79:7 80:21 91:3 94:18 95:19 | three-year | tips 17:5 | treat 59:23,25 | tweezers 33:18 |
| 96:23 97:11 101:7 | 134:12 | tissue 58:14 | treated 52:25 | Twelfth 6:18 |
| 106:3,6 110:25 | 4h-may., 00:45 | 60:3,6 | 58:4,7,19 | twenty 8:24 57:2 |
| 117:23 118:23 | threw 38:15 | tissues 39:8 | treating 60:2,3 | 60:1 119:4 126:3 |
| 124:7,14 125:12 | throw 68:24 | | lieating 00.2,3 | 128:14,16 132:21 |
| 127:17 128:2,4 | 93:16 125:8,16,17 | today 7:19 8:20 | treatment 3:10 | two-minute |
| 130:9 137:6 | 126:8 | 134:8 145:8 | 30:15 52:18,19, | 36:19 |
| 142:19 146:8 | throwing 57:20, | today's 71:10 | 24,25 53:4,5 56:2, | |
| things 4:13 9:24, | 21 125:22,23 | told 53:16 | 6,7,8,11,13 57:16 58:3,4,9 59:22 | two-week 51:9 52:12 |
| 25 10:21 14:6 | ticket 14:7,23 | | 65:3 | |
| 15:5 19:21 20:2, | | tolls 147:13 | | type 15:1 30:16 |
| 13,16 21:14,15 | ticketing 14:8 | Tom 67:20 | treatments 52:20 | 77:3 |
| 23:5 25:21 27:12 28:25 29:18 34:7 | tied 42:3 | | 53:1 70:25 71:3 | types 59:18 64:12 |
| 35:3 40:13 54:13 | tight 64:11 | Tomcheck 67:20 | trial 31:15 43:4 | 75:8 82:8 85:8 |
| 59:14 61:23 62:15 | | tons 102:1 | triammonium | typical 25:10 |
| 67:4,12,14 68:1,3, | Tim 88:8 98:24 | top 37:18 81:20 | 35:22 | 27:2,4 33:6 41:2 |
| 19 69:24 70:13 | 99:1,12,13 | 90:11 | 4mim 40.4 | |
| 72:1 77:8 79:21, | time 4:16 5:21 | tonio 01.10 | trip 48:4 | typically 32:14 35:17 43:17 45:4 |
| 25 82:15 88:5 | 8:20 11:22,24 | topic 81:18 | trips 131:2 | 51:3 75:12 126:18 |
| 91:17 95:7 104:6 | 13:3,17 16:2 17:1 | total 56:15 76:5 | tritium 28:7,23 | |
| 109:8 111:22 112:20 114:22 | 20:7,24 21:7 22:8 | 89:16 97:25 | | Tyvek 34:8 |
| 116:2 117:24 | 28:23 30:21 33:5, 20 37:10 38:19,22 | touch 105:3,12 | tropical 118:25 | |
| 120:24 123:14,17, | 44:21 47:3,11,14 | tough 44:21 | trouble 88:12 | U |
| 20 124:15 128:19, | 48:6,10 49:18 | | troublemaker | |
| 21 129:9 130:3 | 51:9,21 54:23 | toxicity 27:16 | 84:21 | UF 7:3,6 |
| 131:22 133:3 | 57:8 64:23 69:6, | 28:19 43:15,24 | | Ultimate 22:13 |
| 141:7 148:23 | 21 77:4 80:3 | track 131:10,11 | true 13:15 53:17 | |
| thinking 59:20 | 83:12 87:1 88:7, | 132:24 | | unable 134:7 |
| 109:18 114:21 | 14,17 90:5 92:1, | tradition 97:20 | trust 13:14 128:5, | unanimously |
| 122:19 141:13 | 10,12 94:9 101:5, 18 102:4 104:20 | | 6 | 117:8 |
| 142:3 143:6 | 110:13 120:7 | traditional 89:2 | Truthfully 98:12 | underreported |
| thinks 13:21 77:9 | 127:12 130:4 | Traditionally | tube 37:13 | 59:17 |
| thinner 33:23 | 135:9,16 136:7,9, | 67:18 | | understand |
| | 20 137:1,2,6 | train 97:12 | tubes 48:24 49:4 82:8,12 | 22:23 23:24 44:6 |
| thirty 52:23 56:25 139:4 | 141:25 142:1,9,10 | 123:10 | · | 55:15 56:1 59:19 |
| | 143:11 145:20 | training 5:1 | Tuesday 144:4, | 77:21,25 91:4 |
| thirty-day 139:12 | 146:14,24 147:5 | 32:22 33:5 49:25 | 12 | understandable |
| thirty-two 109:10 | timelines 10:19 | 52:6,12 63:12 | Tuesdays 145:1 | 57:7 58:6,8 |
| thoracic 58:7 | times 11:12 49:13 | 66:1 98:20 | tumor 30:14,15 | unique 137:12 |
| 63:2 | 103:7 139:14 | transaction 12:6 | 31:8,9,10 41:6 | - |
| thought 23:24 | 148:4,5,7,9 | transferred 5:18, | 71:9 | unit 3:5 19:10 |
| 48:3 60:23 72:3 | timing 101:20 | 22 73:4 | tuning 71:7 | United 74:13 |
| 79:9 106:8 123:10 | Tineo 7:11 10:8 | | Turkey 119:2 | 112:4 |
| | 7.11 10.0 | trash 125:24 | IUINGY 119:2 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Index: thick..United

units 27:11,12 104:18 124:20,25 128:14, work 6:10 7:14,25 W 71:15 19,23 129:3 24:6 26:1 30:23 **Valium** 31:25 130:23 131:15 31:12 32:14,15, universities variations 64:22 135:18,22 146:3 19,23,24 33:18 wait 4:5 75:13 31:13 41:18 34:23,25 41:3,5,7 122:5 129:13 vein 39:11 web 92:6 university 6:17, 48:18 50:14 83:16 **waited** 123:7 18 8:8,9,11 24:9 **vendor** 125:1 website 13:20 86:13 90:10,23 25:19.21 27:17.20 17:17 100:5 91:19 98:4 119:18 waiting 11:25 vendors 50:12 28:2 30:23 32:7 130:15 101:14 106:5 Wednesday 34:22 37:1,6 40:6 verbatim 120:25 134:11,13 worked 4:10 8:16 144:11 unsealed 27:18 verified 13:14 28:21 32:3 37:21 walk 36:19 65:19 week 31:16 48:5 38:18 50:7 64:24 **update** 3:7,12,13 **verify** 15:13 143:22,25 walking 65:10 72:13 86:11 10:18,22 12:14 103:23 130:13 weekend 144:3 walks 65:17 20:6 67:22 84:17 working 5:15 **Versa** 21:2 106:15 117:21,22 weekly 52:23 9:15 10:20 14:7 wall 14:3 64:9 120:3 15:8 16:2 19:8,25 56:25 verse 102:24 73:13 78:19 21:1 29:12 30:16 updated 112:8 weeks 103:5 version 105:14 Walser 7:2 32:1,7,16 33:7,12, 116:23 141:17 145:1 116:25 136:17 16 34:21 36:6 versus 40:18 40:4,18 42:7,10 updating 17:17 43:14 45:15 weigh 58:23 wanted 19:14,20 43:2,10 44:16 116:21 20:4,12 52:15 whatnot 33:11 vertebrae 58:7 45:10,11,17 46:4, 68:24 69:8 74:18 upload 15:24 6,20 49:20,25 **wheel** 72:1 vet 135:23 136:11 86:17 89:22 111:6 20:19 53:22 57:23 74:5 112:13 white 19:9 35:15 veterinarian uploaded 16:1, 119:17 129:10 83:16 war 82:10 19,24 whomever 15:14 works 14:8,12 veterinary 81:22 warning 37:2 22:3 30:12 34:2 uploading 16:11 whoops 109:10 36:14,21 41:1,24 vets 40:4,6 warranted 51:25 **uploads** 15:18 wide-open 44:5 148:12 16:18 136:13 vetting 139:10 waste 30:20 workup 75:5 40:14 122:21,24 uptight 65:22 Williams 58:21 vial 126:12 123:2 world 74:14 88:9 98:24 Uranium 40:18 vials 32:18 watch 46:25 **worries** 13:12 Williamson 131:20 Uranium-233 119:20 water 34:10 70:5 worry 136:8 30:20 violated 76:16 123:1.2 Willie 48:12 would've 71:9,10 user 25:24 82:5 violating 76:20 wave 101:3 134:8 wind 147:2 users 34:17 violation 48:17 wax 34:3 write 77:5 131:21 44:12 window 139:12 51:22 52:2 75:7 77:6 ways 46:13 86:3 writing 52:10 **USF** 3:6 winds 119:1 105:10 112:7 violations 75:8 wear 33:22 34:8 utilizing 4:23 winnowed 57:6 79:11,12 122:14 written 87:23 Weaver 8:10 wise 40:3 93:1,2 97:17 visit 51:8,14 24:6,8,14 31:17 ٧ 105:13 110:5 women's 4:11 37:3,16,19 38:5, **VO** 15:18,19 14 39:1,3,6,11,20 Wroblewski 8:3 16:18 20:19 won 82:10 vacancies 3:14 40:19,22 41:1,11, 22:11,19,23 133:24 134:22,24 volume 40:3 wonderful 19:8, 15,20,23 42:16,20 23:19,24 24:3 135:14 58:10 10 43:1,13,16 44:14, 84:10 vacancy 138:2,4 18,20,24 45:6,9, word 131:25 vote 107:17 117:3 wrong 20:14 20,22 46:22,24 vacant 141:11 52:18 53:3 56:1,2, voted 101:22 words 115:5.7 47:3,7,17,19 6,7 58:9 63:16,22, 146:11 validation 112:2 82:13 123:13,18 23 64:1,8,15

Index: units..wrong

Index: wrote..ZZ 85:21 98:13 123:12 wrote 131:25 X **x-ray** 24:15 26:22,23 49:18 50:14 51:11 67:21 71:14 86:2 98:15, 19 99:4 104:1,2 136:11 x-raying 75:5 **x-rays** 27:6 82:3 Υ year 26:7 46:9 67:18 68:4,6,8 69:2,6,12,17 70:5 107:3 118:13 134:3 136:21 137:20,21 138:23 139:14 140:15 141:13 143:19 years 4:22 5:11, 21,23 6:20 7:7 8:2,16,24 9:14 13:25 14:22 19:7, 23,25 20:17 22:24 27:21 30:4 46:4 57:4 60:2 67:23 68:2 69:20 86:11, 24 90:21 92:20 106:17 108:21 110:15 118:11 131:19 132:25 137:8 yesterday 49:10 yields 31:23 York 28:12 Ζ **ZZ** 18:20