Call to Order – General Business Meeting

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS

APPLICATIONS FOR INDIVIDUAL CONSIDERATION

Tab 1. Cynthia Barnett (Examination)

Ms. Barnett’s application for licensure by examination was before the Council for review. Ms. Barnett was charged with drug-related charges, which were dismissed after fulfillment of all requirements. Adjudication was withheld for a separate count of trespassing.

MOTION: Dr. Jolynn Greenhalgn moved to accept Ms. Barnett’s examination application. Mr. Jim Scott seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

Tab 2. Jennifer Pysz (Examination)

Ms. Pysz’ application for examination was before the Council for review due to a 2008 DUI. As of September 17, 2012, Ms. Pysz satisfied all requirements pertaining to her charges.

MOTION: Dr. Jolynn Greenhalgn moved to accept Ms. Pysz’ examination application. Mr. Jim Scott seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

APPLICANT CERTIFICATION LISTS
Tab 3. Examination Applicants

MOTION: Mr. Jim Scott moved to accept the examination ratification list. Ms. Susan Manwaring seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

320-HOUR TRAINING PROGRAM

Tab 4. IMDS Medical Aesthetics and Support Services Training, LLC

The Council reviewed materials provided by IMDS Medical Aesthetics and Support Services Training, LLC, in support of their 320-hour training school application.

MOTION: Following discussion, Mr. Jim Scott moved to accept the 320-hour training program application. Ms. Susan Manwaring seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

30-HOUR LASER PROVIDER

Tab 5. IMDS Medical Aesthetics and Support Services Training, LLC

The Council reviewed materials provided by IMDS Medical Aesthetics and Support Services Training, LLC, in support of their 30-Hour Laser Continuing Education Provider application.

MOTION: Dr. Jolynn Greenhalgh moved to accept the 30-hour continuing education program application. Mr. Jim Scott seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

RULES REVIEW AND DEVELOPMENT

Tab 6. Rule 64B8-51.001, F.A.C., Manner of Application

During the August 2012 Council meeting, the Council approved revisions to the Electrologist licensure application incorporating the following:

- Addition of questions pursuant to the passage of HB 653 (2012);
- Revisions to streamline the application for development of the online version of the application; and,
- Clarifying instructions regarding the mailing address, as it was discussed that some new licensees listed their training school address and therefore did not receive important notices such as those for licensure renewal.

During the September 2012 Board of Medicine’s Electrolysis Committee conference call, the application was rejected only on the basis of the use of the term “personal” mailing address. Before the Council for review and approval was updated language to address the Board of Medicine’s concern, as well as additional streamlining measures suggested by the online application technical team since the August 2012 meeting.

Noted corrections to be made:
MOTION: Ms. Susan Manwaring moved to accept the proposed rule language with the above mentioned changes. Mr. Jim Scott seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

MOTION: Mr. Jim Scott moved that the proposed new language would not have an adverse impact on small business. Additionally, the proposed rule amendments would not be likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs to any entity (including government) in excess of $200,000 in the aggregate in Florida within one year after the implementation of the rule. Ms. Susan Manwaring seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

Tab 7. Rule 64B8-51.006, F.A.C., Rule Governing Licensure and Inspection of Electrology Facilities

During the August 2012 Council meeting, the Council approved proposed rule language and revisions to the Electrologist facility licensure application.

The proposed rule language sought to clarify questions regarding when an entity providing electrology services would be required to pursue licensure. Revisions to the facility licensure application incorporated the following:

- Addition of questions pursuant to the passage of HB 653 (2012);
- Addition of sections to more clearly identify the applicant’s business entity type, ownership information and previous licensure and criminal history; and,
- Elimination of the Employment Verification Form and related instructions, based on the proposed rule requiring all facilities to be licensed without regard to whether the licensed Electrologist would provide services as the employee of a physician or as an independent contractor.

During the September 2012 Board of Medicine’s (BOM) Electrolysis Committee conference call, the proposed rule language was rejected upon advice that the Electrolysis Council does not likely have the authority to require physicians’ offices to pursue facility licensure as well as additional concerns regarding the small business impact on unlicensed entities to pursue licensure.

The Council reviewed updated language from Ms. Dudley, which provided for an exemption to facility licensure for physicians licensed pursuant to Chapters 458 and 459, Florida Statutes, who employ licensed electrologists. Staff also proposed separating the one facility licensure application into two separate forms to clearly distinguish the new facility/ownership application from the transfer of facility license application.

The Council directed Ms. Allison Dudley to amend the proposed draft as follows:

- Retain proposed paragraph (2)(c);
- Add to the definition of owner, “subcontractor” with reference to licensees who are operating in a doctor’s office, but who are not employees of the facility; and
• Delete the last sentence of proposed paragraph (2)(c).

The Council also requested that the stricken text under bullet 5 on the first page of the application instructions be retained.

MOTION: Ms. Susan Manwaring moved to table the proposed rule language until the next meeting. Mr. Jim Scott seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

Tab 8. Rule 64B8-52.003, F.A.C., Procedures for Approval of Attendance at Continuing Education Courses

During the May 2012 meeting, it was clarified that the genesis of the current rule development was based on an inquiry by an approved 320-Hour training program seeking to be designated as a technical school in order to be able to qualify, by rule, to provide continuing education credit to licensees.

During August 06 meeting, the Council reviewed and approved proposed draft language deleting the term “technical school”. This eliminated any possibility for such schools to offer continuing education credits to licensees.

During the September 2012 Board of Medicine’s (BOM) Electrolysis Committee conference call, the proposed rule language was rejected upon advice that the proposed language would delete entities that provide a significant number of courses. However, it should be noted that the only continuing education provider category that was deleted in the proposed language was “technical schools”. It was suggested by the Committee that the Council consider adding a reference to “Post-Secondary Institutions”¹ to allow the 320-hour training schools to provide continuing education courses. Enclosed for the Council’s review was updated rule language from Ms. Dudley which adds this reference in proposed paragraph (2)(c).

The Council would like to see revised language that requires all providers, namely post-secondary institutions, to go through the same approval process as continuing education providers. The Council directed Ms. Allison Dudley to draft language to bring back before the Council at the next meeting.

MOTION: Mr. Max Wilson moved to table further consideration of the proposed rule language. Mr. Jim Scott seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

Tab 9. Rule 64B8-52.004, F.A.C., Requirements for Approval of Training Courses for Laser & Light-Based Hair Removal or Reduction

The Council is currently working on modifications to several sections of this rule including paragraphs (1)(b) 6., (3) and (4).

Background: Paragraph (1)(b)6. re: Out-of-State 30-Hour Laser CE Provider Applicants

¹ In prior meetings, information was provided to the Council where it was determined that the term “technical school” was obsolete and that the Florida Department of Education designation which appeared to replace this term was “Nonpublic Postsecondary Educational Institutions” as referenced in Chapter 1005, Florida Statutes.
During the May 2012 meeting, the Council approved draft language to allow 30-hour laser CE provider applicants that have facilities located outside of Florida the opportunity to submit other documentation to demonstrate compliance with paragraph (1)(b)6. Paragraph (1)(b)6. requires that all provider applicants submit a copy of an electrology facility license and the most recent Department of Health inspection sheet showing compliance with Rule 64B8-51.006(3)(g), F.A.C.

During the July 2012 Board of Medicine’s Electrology Committee meeting, it was requested that the proposed language be sent back to the Council for review due to concerns about the ability of other states to provide “comparable” documentation for this purpose.

**MOTION:** Mr. Max Wilson moved to accept the draft language for Rule 64B8-52.004(1)(b)6., F.A.C., as proposed. Dr. Jolynn Greenhaign seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

**MOTION:** Mr. Jim Scott moved that the proposed new language would not have an adverse impact on small business. Additionally, the proposed rule amendments would not be likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs to any entity (including government) in excess of $200,000 in the aggregate in Florida within one year after the implementation of the rule. Dr. Max Wilson seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

**Background: Paragraphs (3) and (4) re: National Certification Requirements for 30-Hour Laser CE Instructors and Criteria for Approval of National Certification Organizations by the Council**

During the August 2012 meeting, the Council modified and approved draft language to define the criteria by which it would review national laser hair removal certification organizations for purposes of certifying Florida-licensed electrologists in this modality. Enclosed for the Council’s consideration was an updated draft from Ms. Dudley which includes the modifications proposed at the last meeting.

The Council directed Ms. Allison Dudley to modify paragraph (3) to require that instructors must have one year of “post licensure” experience as well as one year of “post certification” experience.

The Council also requested modification to proposed paragraph (4)(b)1.b. to read as follows: “b. satisfactorily complete a written examination encompassing the topics in Rule 64B8-52.004(2)…."

**MOTION:** Dr. Max Wilson moved to table further consideration of this portion of the draft language until the next meeting. Mr. Jim Scott seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

**REPORT OF ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL, ALLISON DUDLEY**

**Tab 10. Rule Status Report**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RULE NUMBER</th>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>COUNCIL APPROVAL</th>
<th>BOARD APPROVAL</th>
<th>NOTICE OF RULE DEVELOPMENT PUBLISHED</th>
<th>NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULE PUBLISHED</th>
<th>ADOPTION</th>
<th>EFFECTIVE</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RULE NUMBER</td>
<td>TITLE</td>
<td>COUNCIL APPROVAL</td>
<td>BOARD APPROVAL</td>
<td>NOTICE OF RULE DEVELOPMENT PUBLISHED</td>
<td>NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULE PUBLISHED</td>
<td>ADOPTION</td>
<td>EFFECTIVE</td>
<td>COMMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64B-51.002</td>
<td>Licensure by Examination</td>
<td>Language and SERC vote “No” 2/27/12</td>
<td>Next BOM committee meeting Approved 9/10/12</td>
<td>10/12/12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Submitted to staff 7-13-2012 to schedule with BOM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64B-52.004</td>
<td>Requirement s for Approval of Training Courses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64B-54.002(1)</td>
<td>Inactive and Retired Licensure Status</td>
<td>Language 5/11/09; SERC vote No 10032011</td>
<td>BOM Approval 12/1/2011</td>
<td>3/2/2012</td>
<td>7/27/12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sent to OFARR 7-13-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64B-55.002</td>
<td>Citations</td>
<td>Language 2/15/2010 ; SERC vote No 10032011</td>
<td>BOM Approval 12/1/2011</td>
<td>3/2/2012</td>
<td>7/27/12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sent to OFARR 7-13-12;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rules Under Consideration by BOM:**

Updated application August 2012 due to language regarding mailing address.

Data entry drifted out.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RULE NUMBER</th>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>COUNCIL APPROVAL</th>
<th>BOARD APPROVAL</th>
<th>NOTICE OF RULE DEVELOPMENT PUBLISHED</th>
<th>NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULE PUBLISHED</th>
<th>ADOPTION</th>
<th>EFFECTIVE</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>64B8-51.006(1)(2) (c)</td>
<td>Rule Governing Licensure &amp; Inspection of Electrology Facilities</td>
<td>Approved language to change definition of facility 8/2012</td>
<td>Board rejected changes – concerned that no authority to require licensure by physicians and need SERC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64B8-52.003 (2) &amp; (3)</td>
<td>Procedure for Approval of Attendance at Continuing Education Courses</td>
<td>(3) language approved, &amp; SERC vote &quot;No&quot; 2/27/2012 ; (2) language approved and SERC vote &quot;No&quot; 5/21/12</td>
<td>Rejected at September 2012 meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Submitted to staff 7-13-2012 to schedule with BOM.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 REPORTS

2 Tab 11. Jim Scott, Council Chair

3 Mr. Scott updated the Council with information that the Department of Health’s websites were currently being updated.

5 Tab 12. Allen Hall, Executive Director

6 • Expenditures By Function
7 • Cash Balance Report

8 Informational items.

9 NEW BUSINESS

10 Tab 13. Council Member Vacancies

11 Vice Chair: Dr. Jolynn Greenhalgn, by Mr. Jim Scott
12 ULA Liaison: Mr. Jim Scott, by Ms. Judy Adams
13 Budget Liaison: Ms. Susan Manwaring, by Ms. Judy Adams
14 Education Liaison: Dr. Max Wilson, by Ms. Judy Adams
15 Legislative Liaison: Dr. Jolynn Greenhalgn, by Ms. Judy Adams
Tab 14. Financial Reports

- Revenue/Expenditures/Cash Balances 7/1/11-6/30-12
- Projected Cash Balances MQA Trust Fund
- A Review of the Adequacy of Renewal Fees
- Profession by Profession Revenue and Expenditure Projections
- Historical and Projected Cash Balances

OLD BUSINESS

Tab 15. August 06, 2012 General Business Meeting Minutes

Noted corrections to be made: Tab 01, refer to Dr. Greenhalgn as “Dr.” instead of “Ms.”

MOTION: Mr. Jim Scott moved to accept the August 06, 2012 General Business Meeting Minutes with the above mentioned changes. Dr. Jolynn Greenhalgn seconded the motion, which carried 4/0.

OTHER BUSINESS AND INFORMATION

Tab 16. September 10, 2012 Board of Medicine Dietetics/Nutrition and Electrolysis Committee Meeting Minutes

Informational item.

Tab 17. Electrology Staff Recognition

Informational item.

Meeting adjourned at 11:46 a.m.