Call to Order – General Business Meeting

INDIVIDUAL CONSIDERATION

1. Marlene Salerno – Examination Applicant
   Ms. Salerno was present and was not represented by Counsel.

   Ms. Salerno’s examination application was before the Council for review due to a misdemeanor that she received October 19, 2009. Probation was terminated in accordance to documentation contained in her file. Letters of recommendation as well as all documents relating to the case was presented to the Council. Ms. Salerno completed all requirements relating to her case.
MOTION: Ms. Greenhalgh moved to approve Ms. Salerno’s application by examination. Ms. Nilsa Lapeyrouse seconded the motion, which carried 3/0.

2. Kendra Jo Boyer – Examination Applicant

Ms. Boyer was not present and not represented by legal counsel.

Ms. Boyer’s Examination application was before the Council for review and approval of Electrology training she obtained at an other than a Florida approved training school.

MOTION: Ms. Greenhalgh moved to approve Ms. Boyer’s examination application. Ms. Lapeyrouse seconded the motion, which carried 3/0.

3. Zsuzsanna Kocsis- Endorsement Applicant

Ms. Kocsis was not present and not represented by legal counsel.

Ms. Kocsis’ application by endorsement from Hungary was before the Council for review and approval.

MOTION: Ms. Greenhalgh moved to approve Ms. Kocsis’ application by endorsement. Ms. Lapeyrouse seconded the motion, which carried 3/0.

4. Timberly Gambina – Endorsement Applicant

Ms. Gabmina was not present and not represented by legal counsel.

Ms. Gambina’s application for endorsement was before the Council for review and approval. Ms. Gambina was disciplined in the state of Illinois for providing Electrology services to clients from her Electrology practice without being licensed by the Illinois Department as an electrologist. An equitable agreement was made between the state of Illinois and Ms. Gambina was granted a license to practice in that state.

MOTION: Ms. Greenhalgh moved to approve Ms. Gambina’s endorsement application. Ms. Lapeyrouse seconded the motion, which carried 3/0.

30-HOUR CE PROVIDER APPLICANTS

5. J.P.T.R Training Center

Ms. Janet Robbins was present on behalf of J.P.T.R. and was not represented by legal counsel.
The Council reviewed the 30-hr continuing education provider application of J.P.T.R. Training Center at its July 7, 2014 meeting. The application was denied at the meeting, as the post-certification training of the proposed course instructors was not clearly documented in the application packet. After the July 7 meeting, documentation was received from the Society for Clinical & Medical Hair Removal verifying that both instructors, Ms. Janet Robbins and Ms. Tanya Marouf, have more than one year of post-certification experience. Ms. Robbins and Ms. Marouf received their Certified Medical Electrologist (CME) credentials on June 13, 2004 and April 4, 2011, respectively. Given the newly received information, the Council was asked to reconsider denial of the application.

**MOTION:** Ms. Greenhalgh moved to reconsider the newly submitted application of J.P.T.R. Ms. Grillo seconded the motion, which carried 3/0.

**MOTION:** Ms. Greenhalgh moved to approve the 30-hour laser hair removal training course of J.P.T.R. Ms. Lapeyrouse seconded the motion, which carried 3/0.

6. Laser Skin Institute

Ms. Selene Colon was present on behalf of Laser Skin Institute and was not represented by legal counsel.

Laser Skin Institute was before the Council for approval of its 30-hour laser hair removal training course. Council members had a brief discussion regarding a current inspection of the facility.

**MOTION:** Ms. Stern suggested that a motion be made to approve Laser Skin’s 30-hour laser hair removal training course contingent upon a passing inspection of the facility within 30 days from today’s meeting. Ms. Greenhalgh moved as stated by Ms. Stern. Ms. Grillo seconded the motion, which carried 3/0.

**APPLICANT CERTIFICATION LIST**

7. Examination Applicants

The corrected Examination Candidate Ratification List was presented to the Council for approval.

**MOTION:** Ms. Grillo moved to approve the Examination Ratification list Ms. Greenhalgh seconded the motion, which carried 3/0.
REPORT OF ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL – Marlene Stern

8. Rule Status Report

Ms. Stern gave a brief status report of rules considered by the Board of Medicine at its August 1, 2014 meeting.

REPORTS

9. Council Chair – Jolynn Greenhalgh, DNP, ARNP

Ms. Greenhalgh provided an update on her attendance at the Healthy Weight Liaisons Meeting and the annual Medical Quality Assurance Chairs meeting. Council staff will check on Electrologists’ ability to receive credit for a 2-hour continuing education course on Healthy Weight. Mr. Hall will check on posting of the Healthy Weight public service announcements on the Electrology Council’s website.

10. Executive Director – Allen Hall

Mr. Hall provided information on the following reports:

• Cash Balance Report
• Expenditures by Function

NEW BUSINESS


The Council tentatively approved an additional meeting on Monday, December 8, 2014. Ms. Greenhalgh will provide notice soon regarding her availability. The following revised meeting dates for 2015 were approved. All meetings will begin at 9:00 a.m. EST unless otherwise specified.

Monday, March 2, 2015
Monday, May 18, 2015 at 2:30 p.m.
Monday, August 17, 2015
Monday, November 9, 2015

OLD BUSINESS

12. Minutes from the July 7, 2014 Electrolysis Council meeting

The Council approved the minutes from the July 7, 2014 meeting.
OTHER BUSINESS AND INFORMATION

13. August 1, 2014 Board of Medicine Meeting

The Council and other interested parties held an extensive discussion on the Board of Medicine’s determination regarding the Society for Clinical and Medical Hair Removal’s (SCMHR) petition for declaratory statement. The Board of Medicine determined that the rules indicate the Certified Medical Electrologist (CME) should be a one-time certification, but asked the Council to undergo rule development to clarify this requirement, and, also take a broader look at distinguishing licensure pathways for those who primarily want to do laser versus those who want to do both epilation and laser. During the discussion, Ms. Judy Adams and Ms. Sandra Allen provided feedback to the Council.

Ms. Stern reported that SCMHR’s request to withdraw its petition will be considered by the Board of Medicine in October and that she would provide information on the meeting details to Council members interested in attending. Ms. Stern will be looking into planning rules workshops to address the Board of Medicine’s comments as well as the Council’s feedback regarding rule clarification.

Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 10:37 a.m.