

## COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT (CHD) PREPAREDNESS EXPECTATIONS

### 2010 REPORT


Prepared by the Bureau of Preparedness & Response (BPR)

March 24 2011

Public Health and Healthcare Preparedness (PHHP) is essential to achieving the Florida Department of Health's mission to promote, protect and improve the health of all people in Florida. Facilitating collaboration among the state's health care partners, including the public health system, pre-hospital, hospital, and medical practitioners, is critical in order to respond as a system of care. Florida's *Public Health and Health Care Strategic Plan 2011-2013* goals, objectives, and strategies provide direction for preparing the state's health and medical system for all hazard events.

The Department of Health has dual responsibilities in preparedness and response. The first is to maintain the ability to provide core public health services through the development of local and state emergency operations plans. The second is to coordinate the public health and healthcare system preparedness and response activities through the development of county comprehensive emergency management plans and the state comprehensive emergency management plan.

The CHD Preparedness Expectations were developed in 2008 and are assessed annually to provide each CHD with a mean score reflecting progress in achieving minimum expectations. Many measures are self-assessed by the county health department, using a 5 point Likert scale (1=no progress in meeting expectation, 2=limited progress in meeting expectation, 3=moderate progress in meeting expectation, 4=significant progress in meeting expectation, 5=completely meets expectation). Data for other measures are provided by the Bureau of Preparedness and response and the Bureau of Epidemiology (see Summary of 2010 Data by Performance Measure).



The **2010 CHD Preparedness Expectations statewide mean score of 4.42** did not meet the **2010 target of 4.75 (out of a potential 5 points)** and shows an unfavorable trend from the **2009 statewide mean score of 4.5**. This slight decrease is attributed to five measures changing from a self-assessment by the county health department in previous years to performance data in 2010.

## 2010 CHD PREPAREDNESS EXPECTATIONS DATA

*Black font = Data provided by CHD Self-Assessment*

*Blue font = Performance Data*

### Summary of 2010 Data by Performance Measure:

Performance Measure	Summary of Performance
<p>CHD has Developed Emergency Operations Plan (EOP)</p> <p>EOP Addresses:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Hazard Vulnerability Assessment</li> <li>Continuity of Operations</li> <li>Alert/Notification</li> <li>Communications</li> <li>Public Information</li> <li>Isolation/Quarantine</li> <li>Pandemic Influenza</li> <li>Special Needs Sheltering</li> </ul> <p>CHD EOP has been exercised during the past 12 months</p> <p>Self-assessed by CHD.</p>	<p>CHD has EOP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>79% of counties reported EOP completely meets expectation</li> <li>16.5% reported significant progress</li> <li>4.5% reported moderate progress.</li> </ul> <p>EOP Addresses HVA:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>70% of counties reported completely meet expectation</li> <li>23% reported significant progress</li> <li>7% of counties reported moderate progress.</li> </ul> <p>EOP Addresses Continuity of Operations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>67% of counties reported completely meet expectation</li> <li>24% reported significant progress</li> <li>8% reported moderate progress</li> <li>1% reported limited progress.</li> </ul> <p>EOP Addresses Alert/Notification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>75% of counties reported completely meet expectation;</li> <li>12% reported significant progress</li> <li>13% reported moderate progress.</li> </ul> <p>EOP Addresses Communications:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>66% of counties reported completely meet expectation</li> <li>24% reported significant progress;</li> <li>9% reported moderate progress</li> <li>1% reported limited progress.</li> </ul> <p>EOP Addresses Public Information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>67% of counties reported completely meets expectation</li> <li>22% reported significant progress</li> <li>8% reported moderate progress</li> <li>3% reported limited progress.</li> </ul> <p>EOP Addresses Isolation/Quarantine</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>57 % of counties reported completely meet expectation</li> <li>24% reported significant progress</li> <li>16% reported moderate progress</li> <li>3% reported limited progress.</li> </ul>

	<p>EOP Addresses Pandemic Influenza:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 64%% of counties reported completely meets expectation</li> <li>• 28% reported significant progress</li> <li>• 3% reported moderate progress</li> <li>• 3% reported limited progress</li> <li>• 2% reported no progress.</li> </ul> <p>EOP Addresses Special Needs Sheltering</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 78% of counties reported completely meets expectation</li> <li>• 15% reported significant progress</li> <li>• 7% reported moderate progress.</li> </ul> <p>EOP Exercised Within Past 12 Months:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 64% of counties reported completely meets expectation</li> <li>• 14% reported significant progress</li> <li>• 15% reported moderate progress</li> <li>• 4% reported limited progress</li> <li>• 3% reported no progress.</li> </ul>
<p>Annual SNS Score or CRI Score</p> <p>90-100% - completely meets</p> <p>60-89% - significant progress</p> <p>40-59% - moderate progress</p> <p>19-39% - limited progress</p> <p>0-19% - no progress</p> <p>Performance data provided by Bureau of Preparedness and Response:</p>	<p>No SNS assessments were conducted for 2010.</p> <p>Nine of the 13 CRI counties were exempted from assessment in 2010 due to a 2009 score of 90 or higher.</p> <p>The four counties assessed in 2010 received &gt;90% score and completely meet expectation.</p>
<p>100% of employees with response requirement documented in position description:</p> <p>Self assessed by CHD</p>	<p>88% of counties reported completely meet expectation</p> <p>12% reported significant progress</p>
<p>CHD employees serving on response teams.</p> <p>Self-assessed by CHD</p>	<p>67% of counties reported completely meet expectation</p> <p>13% reported significant progress</p> <p>10% reported moderate progress</p> <p>8% reported limited progress</p> <p>2% reported no progress.</p>
<p>Percentage of users alerted who confirm alert during test and real events</p> <p>Target= 90%</p> <p>90-100% - completely meets</p> <p>60-89% - significant progress</p> <p>40-59% - moderate progress</p> <p>29-39% - limited progress</p> <p>0-19% - no progress</p> <p>Data provided via FDENS</p> <p>All key health and medical stakeholders registered on FDENS</p> <p>Self-assessed by CHD</p>	<p>% Users Confirming Alert:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 7% of counties met target</li> <li>• 27% made significant progress against target</li> <li>• 13% made moderate progress against target</li> <li>• 7% made limited progress against target</li> <li>• 46% made no progress against target.</li> </ul> <p>Health/Medical Stakeholders registered on FDENS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 40% of counties reported completely meet expectation</li> <li>• 31% reported significant progress</li> <li>• 18% reported moderate progress;</li> <li>• 9% reported limited progress</li> <li>• 2% reported no progress.</li> </ul>

CHD training/exercise programs meet HSEEP standards Self-assessed by CHD	58% of counties reported completely meet expectation 25% reported significant progress 13% reported moderate progress 2% reported limited progress 2% reported no progress.
Process exists to ensure 24/7/365 reporting of cases and suspected cases: Data provided via Bureau of Epidemiology Accessibility Report: Score: 5=Pass, 1=Fail	93% of counties passed 7% of counties failed
75% or higher rate of disease reporting within 14 days: Data provided via Bureau of Epidemiology Accessibility Report Score: 5=Pass, 1=Fail	87% of counties passed 13% of counties failed
30% or less annual error rate or unknown values for selected diseases. Data provided via Bureau of Epidemiology Data Quality Report Score: 5=Pass, 1=Fail	82% of counties passed 18% of counties failed
Participation in Epidemiologist Calls & Grand Round Presentations Data provided via Bureau of Epidemiology Education/Training Report Score: 5=Pass, 1=Fail	93% of counties passed 7% of counties failed
For Biowatch areas, the CHD is actively engaged in local Biowatch Advisory Committee. Self-assessed by CHD	Of 11 counties in Biowatch areas: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 55% of counties reported completely meets expectation</li> <li>• 9% reported significant progress</li> <li>• 9% reported moderate progress</li> <li>• 18% reported limited progress</li> <li>• 9% reported no progress</li> </ul>
A surveillance network for biological event detection system is in place (scored based on percentage of venues participating) Self-assessed by CHD	57% of counties reported completely meets expectation 24% reported significant progress 6% reported moderate progress 6% reported limited progress 7% reported no progress.
System exists to disseminate timely public information Self-assessed by CHD	78% of counties reported completely meet expectation 20% reported significant progress 2% reported moderate progress
Employee Health and Safety Program established: Self-assessed by CHD	57% of counties reported completely meet expectation 28% reported significant progress 13% reported moderate progress, 2% reported limited progress
County CEMP addresses mass care and medical support services and is NIMS compliant: Self-assessed by CHD.	67% of counties reported completely meet expectation 20% reported significant progress 13% reported moderate progress
Mass care and medical support services exercised within past 12 months: Self-assessed by CHD	51% of counties reported completely meets expectation 19% reported significant progress 14% reported moderate progress 3% reported limited progress 14% reported no progress

CHD senior leaders participate in regional health and medical meetings Self-assessed by CHD	85% of counties reported completely meets expectation 12% reported significant progress 3% reported moderate progress
County health and medical profile updated within past 12 months Self-assessed by CHD	83% of counties reported completely meets expectation 12% reported significant progress 2% reported moderate progress 2% reported limited progress 2% reported no progress.

**2008 - 2010 Mean Scores  
By County Size**

Year	Target	Statewide	Metro Counties	Large Counties	Medium Counties	Small Counties
2008	Baseline	4.2	4.43	4.18	4.29	4.1
2009	4.5	4.5	4.66	4.64	4.59	4.34
2010	4.75	4.42	4.65	4.5	4.55	4.26

### 2010 Scores by Performance Measure / County Size

Performance Measure	State View	Metro View	Large View	Medium View	Small View
CHD has Emergency Operations Plan (EOP)	4.75	5	4.67	4.81	4.69
EOP Addresses HVA	4.63	4.43	4.67	4.81	4.55
EOP Addresses Continuity of Operations	4.57	4.86	4.6	4.69	4.41
EOP Addresses Alert/Notification	4.61	4.71	4.53	4.81	4.52
EOP Addresses Communication	4.54	4.71	4.6	4.62	4.41
EOP Addresses Public Information	4.54	4.71	4.53	4.69	4.41
EOP Addresses Isolation/Quarantine	4.39	4.43	4.2	4.5	4.41
EOP Addresses Pandemic Influenza	4.51	4.86	4.67	4.63	4.28
EOP Addresses Special Needs Shelters	4.7	4.71	4.67	4.81	4.66
EOP Exercised Within Past 12 Months	4.31	4.86	4.4	4.5	4.03
<b>SNS / CRI Score</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>N/A</b>
100% Employee Response Roles Documented in PD	4.88	4.86	4.93	4.88	4.86
CHD Employees Serving on Response Teams	4.37	4.43	4.33	4.56	4.28
<b>% of Users Alerted Who Confirmed Alert</b>	<b>2.39</b>	<b>1.86</b>	<b>2.67</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.31</b>
Key Health/Medical Stakeholders Registered on FDENS	4	4	3.87	3.94	4.1
CHD Training/Exercise Program Meets HSEEP	4.37	4.43	4.67	4.5	4.14
<b>Process for 24/7/365 Reporting of Suspected Cases</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.47</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.59</b>
<b>&gt;75% Disease Reporting within 14 Days</b>	<b>4.46</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.75</b>	<b>3.9</b>
<b>&lt;30% Annual Error Rate or Unknown values for Selected Diseases</b>	<b>4.28</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.73</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>3.76</b>
<b>Participation in Epi Calls/Grand Rounds</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.31</b>
Biowatch Areas-CHD Engages in local Biowatch Advisory Committee	3.82	5	2	1	3.5
Surveillance Network for Biological Event Detection	4.16	5	4.27	4.31	3.83
System to Deliver Timely Public Information	4.74	4.86	4.87	4.88	4.59
Employee Health & Safety Program Established	4.4	4.43	4.13	4.5	4.48
County CEMP Addresses Mass Care/Medical Support and is NIMS Compliant	4.53	4.86	4.67	4.56	4.38
Mass Care/Medical Support Exercised Within Past 12 Months	3.93	4.57	4	4.31	3.52
CHD Senior Leaders Participate in Regional Health/Medical Activities	4.82	4.86	4.93	4.81	4.76
County Health/Medical Profile Updated Within Past 12 Months	4.75	4.86	4.93	4.62	4.69



2010 CHD Preparedness Expectations – Mean Scores

County:	The CHD has developed an Emergency Operations Plan (EOP)	CHD EOP addresses Hazard/ Vulnerability Assessment	CHD EOP addresses Continuity of Operations	CHD EOP addresses Alert/ Notification process	CHD EOP addresses Communications	CHD EOP addresses Public Information	CHD EOP addresses Isolation/ Quarantine	CHD EOP addresses Pandemic Influenza	CHD EOP addresses Special Needs Sheltering	CHD EOP has been exercised during the past 12 months	Annual SIS or CRI Score	100% of employees with response requirement documented in position description	CHD employees serving on response teams	% of Users Alerted Who Confirm Alert during Test/Real Events (FDENS)	All key health/ medical stakeholders are registered on FDENS	CHD training/ exercise programs meet HSEEP standards	Process Exists to Ensure 24/7/365 Reporting of Cases/Suspected Cases	75% or Higher Rate of Disease Reporting Within 14 Days	30% or Less Annual Error Rate or Unknown Values for Selected Diseases	Participation in Epi Conference Calls & Grand Rounds	For Biowatch areas, the CHD is actively engaged in local Biowatch Advisory Committee	A surveillance network for biological event detection system is in place	System exists to disseminate timely public information	Employee Health and Safety Program established	County CEMP addresses mass care and medical support services and is NIMS compliant	Mass care and medical support services exercised within past 12 months	CHD senior leaders participate in regional health and medical meetings	County health and medical profile updated within past 12 months	2008 Mean Score	2009 Mean Score	2010 Mean Score	
Alachua	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	1	5	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4.61	4.76	4.84	
Baker	4	4	3		4	4	3	4	4	1	N/A	5	2	1	4	3	1	5	5	5	N/A	4	4	3	3	1	5	5	4.23	4.12	3.46	
Bay	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	N/A	5	4	1	4	3	5	5	5	5	N/A	4	5	5	3	5	4	3	4.34	4.73	3.65	
Bradford	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	N/A	5	5	1	5	3	5	5	5	5	N/A	4	4	4	3	1	5	5	3.38	4.4	4.04	
Brevard	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	1	5	N/A	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3.26	4.71	4.81	
Broward	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	1	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	3.53	4.51	4.57	
Calhoun	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	N/A	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	1	3	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	4.23	4.19	4.48
Charlotte	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	1	4	5	5	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	4	5	5	2	4.76	4.76	4.52	
Citrus	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	N/A	5	4	1	4	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	2	4	5	5	5	4	5	4.69	4.88	4.42	
Clay	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	N/A	5	5	4	3	4	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	5	4	3	5	5	4.5	4.42	4.62	
Collier	4	5	4	3	5	5	4	4	5	5	N/A	5	5	3	2	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	3	5	4	4	5	5	5	4.53	4.5	4.42	
Columbia	4	4	3	3	3	2	3	2	3	3	N/A	4	4	1	5	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	4	3	4	3	4	5	5	4.73	3.84	3.73	
DeSoto	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	3	5	5	5	1	5	5	N/A	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	4.03	4.84	4.69	
Dixie	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	3	1	4	5	5	1	5	5	N/A	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3.61	3.64	4.58	
Duval	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	1	2	4	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	4	5	5	4.26	4.71	4.39	
Escambia	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	N/A	5	5	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	3	5	3	5	5	4.3	4.65	4.65	
Flagler	5	4	4	4	3	3	4	4	5	1	N/A	5	3	3	4	1	5	1	1	5	5	4	4	4	4	3	5	5	3.96	4.56	3.67	
Franklin	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	N/A	5	5	1	3	4	5	5	1	1	N/A	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4.3	4.4	4.31	
Gadsden	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	N/A	5	5	1	4	4	5	5	5	5	N/A	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	4.61	4.19	4.62	
Gilchrist	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	3	1	4	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3.69	3.64	4.73	
Glades	4	4	4	5	4	4	3	4	5	4	N/A	4	4	1	3	3	5	5	5	5	N/A	2	4	4	3	3	4	4	3.5	4	3.85	
Gulf	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	N/A	5	5	1	3	5	5	5	5	5	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4.53	4.64	4.59	
Hamilton	4	4	3	3	3	2	3	2	3	4	N/A	4	4	1	5	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	4	3	3	3	4	5	5	4.73	3.8	3.73	
Hardee	5	5	5	3	4	5	5	5	5	2	N/A	5	2	2	3	3	5	1	5	5	N/A	5	5	5	5	3	3	5	4.61	4.8	4.08	
Hendry	4	4	4	5	4	4	3	4	5	4	N/A	4	4	1	3	3	5	5	5	5	N/A	2	4	4	3	3	4	4	3.46	3.88	3.85	
Hernando	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	3	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	5	3	4	5	5	4.15	4.55	4.78	
Highlands	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	3	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4.76	4.84	4.88	
Hillsborough	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	1	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	3	5	5	5	5	4.5	4.85	4.43	
Holmes	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	4	5	3	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3.8	4.16	4.85	
Indian River	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	5	4	3	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	3.96	4.84	4.81	
Jackson	5	5	5	5	4	4	3	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	2	5	5	5	1	5	5	N/A	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4.42	4.68	4.58	
Jefferson	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	N/A	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	1	5	N/A	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4.61	4.88	4.65	
Lafayette	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	1	5	5	5	1	5	5	3.84	4.64	4.69	
Lake	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	4.26	4.92	4.89	
Lee	5	5	5	5	4	3	2	4	5	5	N/A	5	5	4	5	2	1	5	5	5	N/A	1	5	3	5	1	5	5	4.03	4.19	4.04	
Leon	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	N/A	5	4	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4.53	4.07	4.85	
Levy	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	3	2	4	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4.73	
Liberty	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	N/A	5	5	1	4	5	5	1	1	1	N/A	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	4.11	4	4.15	
Madison	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	N/A	5	5	1	5	4	5	5	1	5	N/A	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4.69	4.92	4.58	
Manatee	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	N/A	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	2	5	3	5	5	4.73	4.61	4.65	
Marion	5	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	5	N/A	5	5	4	2	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	4.3	4.88	4.27	
Martin	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	N/A	4	5	1	2	4	5	5	1	5	N/A	3	5	4	5	1	5	5	4.23	4.44	4.19	
Miami-Dade	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4.8	4.85	4.96	
Monroe	5	5	2	5	5	4	5	5	5	3	N/A	5	5	1	5	4	1	5	1	5	N/A	3	5	3	5	5	1	4	4.3	4.48	3.96	
Nassau	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	4	5	1	4	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	2.96	4	4.65	
Okaloosa	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	1	4	4	5	5	5	5	N/A	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4.3	4.68	4.65	
Okeechobee	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	1	5	5	1	5	1	1	N/A	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	4.11	4.76	4.31	
Orange	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4.84	4.66	4.78	
Osceola	4	4	5	4	4	4	3	5	5	5	5	4	4	1	4	4	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	3.46	4.23	4.37	
Palm Beach	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	1	3	4	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	4.57	4.46	4.56	
Pasco	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	1	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	4.42	4.62	4.4	
Pinellas	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4.53	4.55	4.86	
Polk	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	3	3	N/A	5	2	1	2	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	4	5	4	3	1	4	5	3.42	4.92	4.08	
Putnam	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	N/A	5	2	4	4	4	5	5	1	5	N/A	3	4	4	5	5	4	4	3.11	4.16	4.04	
Santa Rosa	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	3	4	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	3	5	4	5	5	4.69	4.76	4.77	
Sarasota	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4.73	4.76	4.88	
Seminole	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	1	4	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4.88	4.88	4.7	
St. Johns	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	N/A	5	5	5	4	5	5															