NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY ACCREDITATION CONFERENCE (NELAC)

ON-SITE LABORATORY ASSESSMENT

PROTOZOA & VIRUS MICROSCOPY CHECKLIST (8 PAGES TOTAL)

LABORATORY:					
Physical Address:					
Mailing Address: (if different from	n above)				
Telephone Number	er:	Fa	csimile Number: _		
E-mail address: _					
INSPECTED BY	Ň	ame)		(Affiliation)	
INSPECTION DA	ATES:				-
LABORATORY	ΓΕCHNICAL DIREC (Να	CTORS AND MA ame)	NAGEMENT:	(Title)	_
					-
					-
					-

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS: Before each item is a blank line and a NELAC Standard citation in **Bold Numerals**.

Place a check mark (__----) in the blank if the laboratory meets the NELAC Standard referenced.

- Place an X-mark (X) in the blank if the Standard is not met and the laboratory must devise an acceptable Plan of Correction and estimated completion date. The NELAC Standard reference must be cited in in the on-site assessment report.
- Mark "N/A" in the blank if the NELAC Standard is not applicable to this laboratory, either because of the nature of its business mission, because of the analytical tests it performs, or because of the situation never ever happening.

Notes:

nones.					
	The use of EPA	1622 and 1623 for CWA is mandated at 40 CFR Part 136, Table 1A			
	The use of ASTM D4994-89 is mandated at 40 CFR Part 503.8				
		appears to meet a particular NELAC Standard but does not have the documentation to back up n, use the following:			
	5.0	Does the laboratory have all items identified in NELAC Chapter 5 Quality Systems available for on-site inspection or data audit			
		MICROSCOPY LABORATORY TOUR			
	5.5.5.2.1(d)	Is the following support equipment associated with microbiological testing checked with NIST traceable materials (where possible)			
		pH meter Balance(s) Conductivity meter Refrigerator(s) for sample storage and/or media storage Meter for measuring Residual Chlorine Incubators			
	5.5.5.2.1(d) Viruse	Is the support equipment acceptability for use according to the needs of the analysis or the application for which the equipment is being used s incubation : 36.5 +/- 1.0 C			
	5.5.6.4(d)	Do all containers of prepared reagents & standards have a unique identifier & expiration date that links these specific containers of reagents & media to their preparation records			
	5.5.8.3.1(a)(2)	Has the laboratory checked samples for proper preservation			
COMM	ENTS:				

5.1.1

Does the laboratory fulfill the requirements of the following test methods **that it performs**

EPA 1622 (Cryptosporidium Oocysts) and 1623 (Giardia Cysts & Cryptosporidium Oocysts)

Polyethersulfone capsule filter media, to collect cysts & oocysts

Eluting solution (Laureth-12, 1 M pH 7.4 Tris buffer, 0.5 M pH 8.0 EDTA, Antifoam-A)

- OPTIONAL COLLECTION MEDIA: Polycarbonate capsule filter or Foam filter media
 - Eluting solution (pH 7.4 phosphate buffered saline (w/ KCl, NaCl), Tween 20 or 80, Antifoam A)

Centrifuge, to concentrate cysts & oocysts into a pellet at 1100-g

Immunomagnetic Separation of cysts & oocysts from centrifuge pellet with Dynabeads GC-Combo

(attachment of magnetic beads conjugated to anti-Cryptosporidium & anti-Giardia antibodies) Magnetic Particle Concentrators

HCl, to dissociate magnetic beads from the cysts & oocysts

Positive Control: 100-500 Giardia Lamblia Cysts and/or Cryptosporidium Parvum Oocysts

(analyzed each week of method use)

- Negative Control: Reagent water (analyzed each week of method use)
- **Diluted Primary Antibody & Labeling Reagent**, to stain cysts & oocysts (1-minute contact period in well slides) (Indirect Fluorescence Assay: fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-labeled specimens) (DAPI stain: 4',6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole)
- **2% DABCO** (1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane) **Glycerol mounting medium**
- **Microscope** with **epifluorescence** and **differential interference contrast** (or oil immersion Hoffman modulation) optics with Kohler illumination; with stage & ocular micrometers; 20X-100X objectives
- **Excitation/band-pass filters** (Immunofluorescent assay: 450-490 nm exciter filter, 510 nm dichroic beam-splitting mirror, 515-520 nm barrier or suppression filter; DAPI: 340-380 nm exciter filter, 400 nm dichroic beam splitting mirror, 420 nm barrier or suppression filter)

Cysts (8-18 um long by 5-15 um wide) & Oocysts (4-6 um diameter) are apple-green fluorescent round-oval shapes

Cysts & Oocysts have **sky-blue nuclei & intense blue internal staining, or light blue internal staining** under DAPI

Giardia cyst internal morphological characteristics (D.I.C.): 1-4 nuclei, axonemes, & median bodies **Cryptosporidium oocyst internal morphological characteristics**: 1-4 sporozoites

Microscope **Epifluorescent Hg Bulb adjustments** - when microscope is first used & when replacing bulbs **Transmitted Bulb adjustments** – when the bulb is changed

Adjustment of **interpupillary distance & oculars** for each eye – done **each time** the analyst uses the microscope **Calibration of Ocular Micrometer** – when microscope is first used & **each time** the objective is changed Establishing **Kohler illumination (DIC)** – for **each use** of the microscope & **each time** the objective is changed

Acceptance criteria for the **4-replicate Initial Demonstration of Capability**: ~25-100%, <50% RSD each organism Acceptance criteria for the **week-of-use Demonstration of On-going Capability**: 11-100% oocysts, 14-100% cysts Acceptance criteria for **Matrix Spikes/Matrix Spike Duplicates**, analyzed **initially & every 20 samples per utility**: 13-111% recovery, <61% RSD Cryptosporidium oocysts; 15-118% recovery, <30% RSD Giardia cysts

Each analyst counts **DAPI-positive & DAPI-negative** cysts & oocysts from the **same prepared slide monthly**, with counts agreeing within 10%

- Holding Times: 0-8 C, sample filtration & elution within 96 hours of collection; elution, pelletization, & immunomagnetic purification done the same work day; staining within 72 hours of purification; microscope examination & verification within 7 days of staining; cyst & oocyst suspensions spiked within 24 hours of enumeration.
- True count of oocysts & cysts in spiking suspensions determined by either **hemacytometer or by direct analysis** on well slides or membrane filters

EPA 1601 (Male-Specific & Somatic Coliphage by 2-Step Enrichment) EPA 1602 (Male-Specific & Somatic Coliphage by Single Agar Layer Procedure)

Log-phase host bacteria: E. coli Famp for male-specific coliphage, & E. coli CN-13 for somatic coliphage; Store at 1-5 C after preparation up to 48 hours until ready to use; Freeze host bacteria at -20 C to keep for 2 months, or at -70 C to keep for one year Coliphage stock: MS2 (ATCC #15597-B1, male-specific), & Phi-X 174 (ATCC #13706-B1, somatic); Store at 2-8 C for up to 5 years Raw sewage must be analyzed within 24 hours of collection: at least 10 mL filtered sewage must be obtained If filtrate stored over 24 hours, it must be re-titered before use (can hold 72 hours if titer not decreased >50%) Media & Antibiotic stocks: must always add antibiotic to medium after autoclaving, store frozen at -20 C for 1 yr, Thaw at 37 C & mix well prior to use 10X Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB): store at 1-5 C until used 1.5% Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA) with antibiotic: store with plates inverted at 1-5 C for 2 weeks 0.7% TSA with antibiotic: must use on day of preparation, keep at 45-48 C until used 2X TSA with antibiotic (EPA 1602): must use on day of preparation, keep at 45-48 C until used Spot Plates: store at 1-5 C for up to 4 days Holding Times: 48 hours from collection to incubation EPA 1601: Enrich water sample with MgCl2, log-phase host bacteria, & TSB; incubate overnight at xx C; Spot samples onto lawn of host bacteria specific for each type of coliphage; incubate at xx C; Examine for circular lysis zones, to indicate the presence of coliphage Acceptance criteria for the 10-replicate Initial Demonstration of Capability: spiked with enumerated sludge to 1-2 PFU per sample each coliphage type; at least 5 samples must produce positive results

Acceptance criteria for the **On-going Demonstration of Capability**: 3 LCS's at 1-2 PFU each coliphage type, every 20 samples; at least 1 out of 3 samples must produce positive results for each coliphage

Acceptance criteria for **Matrix Spikes/Matrix Spike Duplicates**, analyzed **initially & every 20 samples per utility**: 3 samples spiked at 1-2 PFU each coliphage type, at least 1 must produce positive results each type Positive Control each spot plate: spiked with 20 PFU each coliphage type from sewage fitrate, or 60 PFU from pure coliphage stock culture

Method Blank each spot plate used

EPA 1602: Add in the following order: sample, MgCL2, host bacteria, & double-strength TSA with antibiotic; Pour into 5-10 plates; incubate overnight at xx C;

Examine for circular lysis zones (plaques), to indicate the presence of coliphage

Acceptance criteria for the **4-replicate Initial Demonstration of Capability**: spike to ~80 PFU per sample 9-130%, <46%RSD for male-specific coliphage; 86-177%, <23% RSD for somatic coliphage

Acceptance criteria for the **On-going Precision & Recovery**: LCS at 80 PFU each batch of 20 or fewer samples; 4-135% recovery for male-specific coliphage; 79-183% recovery for somatic coliphage

Acceptance criteria for Matrix Spikes/Matrix Spike Duplicates, analyzed initially & every 20 samples per utility: 0-120%, <57% RSD for male-specific coliphage; 48-291%, <28% RSD for somatic coliphage

Method blank each batch

ASTM D4994-89 / SM9510G (Viruses)

Positively-charged **1MDS filter cartridge**, to collect viruses from water (pH of sample < 8.0)

Negative QC Sample: Sterile 1MDS filter (analyzed with each batch of samples)

- **Positive QC Sample**: 40 L water spiked with 200 PFU attenuated poliovirus, process through 1MDS filter (analyzed with each batch of samples)
- pH 9.5 **1.5% Beef Extract / 3.75% Glycine**, to elute viruses from the filter cartridges (autoclave at 121 C, 15 min) (stable for 1 week if refrigerated)

Note: Screen each new lot of Beef Extract with 200 PFU poliovirus. Use a single passage with undiluted, 1:5 diluted, & 1:25 diluted samples. Mean recovery > 50% for the 3 trials.

- **0.05 M Aluminum Chloride**, to salt sludge samples
- HCl, to **adjust eluate or sludge sample pH to 3.5** +/- **0.1** (precipitate forms in this **Organic Flocculation** step) (pH<3.4 may inactivate viruses)
- Centrifuge suspension at 4 C, 2500-g, 15 min (viruses remain in the precipitate)

pH 9.0-9.5 **0.15 M Phosphate Buffer**, to dissolve precipitate (or add buffered Beef Extract to precipitated sludge)

Centrifuge at 4 C, 4000-10000 g, 30 min (viruses now in supernatent), then adjust pH to 7.0-7.5

0.22-um porosity membrane filter, to remove microbial interferences from supernatent

Flocculated Beef Extract, to concentrate viruses from sludge samples further

2 subsamples prepared, refrigerated at 4 C if assayed within 24 hr, frozen at -70 C otherwise

ICR MICROBIAL MANUAL, SECTION VIII; ASTM D4994-89 / SM9510G (continued)

Buffalo Green Monkey (BGM) cell line, from African green monkey kidney cells

Note: For ICR (SDWA) only passages between 117-250 may be used

Negative Assay Control: BGM cell monolayer inoculated with pH 7.0-7.5 phosphate buffer Analyzed with each group of subsamples inoculated onto cell cultures

Recommended criteria for batch acceptance: No cytopathic effects (CPE) observed

Positive Assay Control: BGM cell monolayer inoculated with pH 7.0-7.5 phosphate buffer containing 20 PFU (Plaque Forming Units) attenuated poliovirus type 3

Analyzed with each group of subsamples inoculated into cell cultures

Recommended criteria for batch acceptance: CPE developed

- For each sample, subsample #1 inoculated onto 10 BGM cell cultures, incubated for 80-120 min to permit viruses to infect cells (warm subsamples to room temperature before inoculation)
- Add **maintenance medium** (MEM/L-15 medium with 2% or 5% calf serum, 0.1% penicillin-streptomycin, 0.05% tetracycline, 0.02% fungizone), **incubate at 36.5 +/- 1 C**
- If cytotoxicity not evident & > 3 cultures negative for CPE, inoculate subsample 2 into 10 additional BGM cell monolayers

If cytotoxicity not evident & > 7 cultures positive for CPE, inoculate 10 BGM cell monolayers each with undiluted, 1:5, & 1:25 dilutions of subsample 2 (same for Positive QC Samples & PT samples)

- If all (30 total) inoculated cultures turn out positive (for CPE), inoculate 10 BGM cell monolayers each with 1:125, 1:625, & 1:3125 dilutions
- Assay higher dilutions until at least 1 test vessel at the highest dilution is negative for CPE
- If cytotoxicity is evident in subsample 1, omit the 80-120 min infection period when inoculating subsample 2 but rinse BGM cell monolayer with **washing solution** (2% calf serum in 0.85% salt solution)

Examine cultures microscopically for CPE daily for first 3 days, then every couple days for 14 days (CPE identified as **cell disintegration or changes in cell morphology**)

Freeze positive cultures at -70 C when > 75% of monolayer shows signs of CPE Freeze negative cultures after 14 days incubation

Confirmation of all results from previous cell passage:

Thaw culture, filter 10% of medium through 0.22-um filters, & inoculate another BGM cell monolayer Add maintenance medium, incubate, examine microscopically as above Score cultures with **CPE in both first & second passages as confirmed positives** Viruses quantitated as **Most Probable Number**

BGM Cell Culture Maintenance:

Test each lot of calf serum for cell growth & toxicity

Pass stock BGM cell cultures every 7 days with growth medium (MEM/L-15 with 10% calf serum,

0.1% penicillin-streptomycin, 0.05% tetracycline, 0.02% fungizone)

Discard maintenance medium, dislodge monolayer with **EDTA-trypsin** reagent (<5 min) Centrifuge at <1000-g for 10 min to pellet cells

Suspend cells in growth medium, incubate at 36.5 +/- 1 C in air-tight culture vessels

Replace growth medium with maintenance medium when cell monolayers are 95-100% confluent BGM cell line splits at 1:2 ratio for passages 117-150, at 1:3 ratio for passages 151-250

Determine viable cell counts each time BGM cells are passed or prepared for storage

Add 0.5% trypan blue to cell suspension

Count cells in hemocytometer (in 4 large corner sections & center section, count clear cells , (not blue cells), count cells on the top & left lines of each section but not on the bottom & right lines)

Cell concentration must be greater than 200000 cells per mL

Prepare cells for storage by dislodging cell monolayer with EDTA-trypsin, centrifuging, & suspending in

storage medium (10% Dimethyl Sulfoxide in growth medium)

(still must do viable cell count test)

Refrigerate cells at 4 C for 30 min, then -20 C for 30 min, then frozen at -70 C

Thaw frozen cells rapidly at 36.5 +/- 1.0 C, sterilize outside vial surface with 0.5% iodine / 70% ethanol Add growth medium & incubate for 18-24 hr, replace growth medium & incubate 5 more days Pass and maintain new cultures as described above

Sterilization requirements for virus monitoring:

Autoclave at 121 C:

> 15 min: Solutions, buffers, disinfectants, media

> 30 min: Glassware/plasticware/equipment/steel vessels that come into contact with test waters, Contaminated test materials, & 1MDS filter cartridges

0.1% Chlorine in pH 6-7: pumps, filter housings, tubing

95% Ethanol lamp: scissors, forceps, other instruments

Verify sterility of liquids by adding Thioglycollate broth, incubate at 36.5 +/- 1 C for 7 days, discard liquid if growth is observed

Verify sterility of media by incubating 5% of volume to be used at 36.5 +/- 1 C for 7 days before use, discard any media that loses clarity as cloudiness indicates contamination

EPA 600/1-87-014 (Helminth Ova)

Suspend compost sample in pH 6.7-7.7 phosphate-buffered water with 0.1% Tween 80

Filter sample through 48-mesh Tyler sieve, to remove large particles

Let sample settle overnight, then siphon out supernatent

Centrifuge at 400-g (1200 RPM) for 3 min

Discard supernatent & resuspend pellet in Zinc Sulfate (specific gravity 1.20); centrifuge at 400-g for 3 min

(density gradient centrifugation)

Dilute ZnSO4 supernatent, allow settling for 3 hr

Aspirate supernatent, then resuspend sediment with water

Centrifuge at 480-g (1400 RPM) for 3 min

Resuspend pellet in 0.1 N Sulfuric Acid / 35% Ethanol, to remove proteinaceous material

Centrifuge at 660-g (1800 RPM) for 3 min

Resuspend pellet in 0.1 N Sulfuric Acid

Incubate at 26 C for 3-4 weeks

Positive Control (incubated with each sample batch): Control ova dissected from adult <u>Ascaris lumbricoides</u> Examine samples after majority of control ova are embryonated, with **Sedgwick-Rafter cell** to count ova Note **viability** based on embryonated ova whose larval forms can be induced to move when light intensity increases Report as # Ova per gram dry weight

Analyze every tenth sample in duplicate, precision criteria < 0.5702 ova/g

MICROSCOPY TEST METHODS

 5.5.4.1.2(a)	Does the laboratory have an in-house methods manual for each accredited analyte or method Note: This manual may consist of copies of published or referenced test methods
 5.5.4.1.2(b)	Does the laboratory clearly indicate in its methods manual any modifications made to the referenced test method and describe any changes or clarifications where the referenced test method is ambiguous or provides insufficient detail
Does ea	ch test method in the in-house methods manual include or reference, where applicable:
 5.5.4.1.2(b)(1)	Identification of the test method
 5.5.4.1.2(b)(2)	Applicable matrix or matrices
5.5.4.1.2(b)(3)	Method Detection Limit
 5.5.4.1.2(b)(4)	Scope & application, including components to be analyzed
 5.5.4.1.2(b)(5)	Summary of the test method
 5.5.4.1.2(b)(6)	Definitions
 5.5.4.1.2(b)(7)	Interferences
 5.5.4.1.2(b)(8)	Safety
 5.5.4.1.2(b)(9)	Equipment & supplies
 5.5.4.1.2(b)(10)	Reagents & standards
 5.5.4.1.2(b)(11)	Sample collection, preservation, shipment, & storage
 5.5.4.1.2(b)(12)	Quality control
 5.5.4.1.2(b)(13)	Calibration & standardization
 5.5.4.1.2(b)(14)	Procedure
 5.5.4.1.2(b)(15)	Calculations
 5.5.4.1.2(b)(16)	Method performance
 5.5.4.1.2(b)(17)	Pollution prevention
	Data assessment & acceptance criteria for quality control measures
 5.5.4.1.2(b)(19)	Corrective actions for out-of-control data
 5.5.4.1.2(b)(20)	Contingencies for handling out-of-control or unacceptable data
5.5.4.1.2(b)(21)	Waste management
5.5.4.1.2(b)(22)	
 5.5.4.1.2(b)(23)	Tables, diagrams, flowcharts, validation data
D	Does the leberatory around that the acceptical standands outlined in Annualiz Dars incomposited

____ D

Does the laboratory ensure that the **essential standards** outlined in Appendix D are incorporated into the method manuals and/or Quality Manual

COMMENTS:

MICROSCOPY TEST METHODS ASSESSED: _____

 5.5.4.2.2(a) C.1	Has the laboratory performed a satisfactory demonstration of method capability prior to the acceptance & institution of this test method
 C.1	Does the laboratory document in its Quality Manual other adequate approaches to Demonstration of Capability if the procedure below is not required by the mandated test method or regulation and if the laboratory elects not to perform this procedure
 5.5.4.2.2(d) C.2	Does the laboratory use the NELAC-specified certification statement to document the completion of each Demonstration of Capability (initial & continuing)
 C.2	Are copies of these certification statements retained in the personnel records of each employee performing the test method
 5.5.2.6(c)(3)	Does each Analyst have documentation of continued proficiency by at least one of the following once per year :
	- Acceptable performance of a blind sample (single blind to the analyst)
	 Another demonstration of capability Successful performance of a blind performance sample on a similar test method using the same
	technology
	 At least 4 consecutive laboratory control samples with acceptable levels of precision & accuracy
	- Analysis of authentic samples that have been analyzed by another trained analyst with statistically identical results
 5.5.4.2.2(d)	Does the laboratory retain all associated supporting data necessary to reproduce the analytical results summarized in the appropriate certification statement
 5.5.4.2.2(e) C.1	Does the laboratory complete a demonstration of capability each time there is a change in instrument type, personnel, or test method
 5.5.4.2.2(f)	Does the laboratory fully document the achievement of demonstration of capability requirements for each specialized work cell
	Note: A work cell is defined as a group of analysts with specifically defined tasks that together perform the test method
 5.5.4.2.2(g)	Does the laboratory demonstrate & document acceptable performance through acceptable continuing performance checks (e.g laboratory control samples) each time that membership in a work cell changes
 5.5.4.2.2(g)	Do the new members of the work cell work with experienced analysts in the specialty area
 5.5.4.2.2(g)	Does the laboratory repeat a Demonstration of Capability with the new work cell if the first 4 continuing performance checks following the change in personnel produce a failure in any sample batch acceptance criteria
 5.5.4.2.2(g)	Does the Demonstration of Capability repeated if the entire work cell is changed or replaced
 5.5.4.2.2(h)	Is the performance of the work cell as a group linked to the training records of the individual members of the work cell

COMMENTS: If applicable, list all test species & test methods where the above Standards are not being met.