NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY ACCREDITATION CONFERENCE (NELAC)

ON-SITE LABORATORY ASSESSMENT

QUALITY SYSTEMS CHECKLIST (45 PAGES TOTAL)

LABORATORY:			
Physical Address:	:		
Mailing Address: (if different from			
Telephone Numbe	er:	Facsimile Number:	
E-mail address: _			
INSPECTED BY:	(Name)	(Affiliation)	
NORCEIOND			
INSPECTION DA	ATES:		
LABORATORY	TECHNICAL DIRECTORS AND I	MANAGEMENT	
LADORATORI	(Name)	(Title)	
	ATES:	MANAGEMENT:	

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS: Before each item is a blank line and a NELAC Standard citation in Bold Numerals.

This checklist is based on the 2003 version of the NELAC Standards.

Place a check mark (__----) in the blank if the laboratory meets the NELAC Standard referenced.

- Place an X-mark (X) in the blank if the Standard is not met and the laboratory must devise an acceptable Plan of Correction and estimated completion date. The NELAC Standard reference must be cited in in the on-site assessment report.
- Mark "N/A" in the blank if the NELAC Standard is not applicable to this laboratory, either because of the nature of its business mission, because of the analytical tests it performs, or because of the situation never ever happening.
- If the laboratory appears to meet a particular NELAC Standard but does not have the documentation to back up its claim, use the following:
- _____

5.0

Does the laboratory have **all items** identified in NELAC Chapter 5 Quality Systems **available** for on-site inspection or data audit

LABORATORY CONDUCT DURING PROFICIENCY TESTING

- 2.5 Does the laboratory's records show that **PT samples are handled** (i.e., managed, analyzed, & reported) in the **same manner** as real environmental samples utilizing the same staff, methods as used for routine analysis of that analyte, procedures, equipment, facilities, & frequency of analysis
- _____ 2.5.1(a) Does the laboratory not send any PT sample, or portion of a PT sample, to another laboratory for any analysis for which it seeks accreditation, or is accredited
- _____ 2.5.1(b) Does the laboratory not knowingly receive any PT sample or portion of a PT sample from another laboratory for any analysis for which the sending laboratory seeks accreditation, or is accredited
 - **2.5.1(c)** Does the laboratory management & staff **not communicate with** any indicidual at **another laboratory** (including intralaboratory communication) concerning the PT sample
- _____ 2.5.1(d) Does the laboratory management & staff not attempt to obtain the assigned value of any PT sample from the PT Provider
 - 2.5.2 Does the laboratory maintain **copies of all written**, **printed**, **& electronic records** resulting from the analysis of any PT sample for **5 years** or for as long as is required by the applicable regulatory program, whichever is greater Note: These records include bench sheets, instrument strip charts or printouts, data calculations, data

reports, & PT study report forms used by the laboratory to record PT results

COMMENT: (list all applicable Standards where the accompanying data was not available for review)

LABORATORY MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATION

 5.4.1.1	Is the laboratory, or the organization of which it is part, an entity that can be held legally responsible
 5.4.1.2	Does the laboratory accept responsibility to carry out its environmental testing activities in such a way as to meet the requirements of the NELAC Standards and to satisfy the needs of the client, the regulatory authorities, or organizations providing recognition
 5.4.1.3	Does the laboratory management system cover work carried out in the laboratory's permanent facilities, at sites away from its permanent facilities, or in associated temporary or mobile facilities
 5.4.1.4	If the laboratory is part of an organization performing activities other than environmental testing, are the responsibilities of key personnel in the organization that having an involvement or influence on the environmental testing activities of the laboratory defined in order to identify potential conflicts of interest
 5.4.1.4(a)	Where a laboratory is part of a larger organization, are the organizational arrangements such that departments having conflicting interests (e.g., production, financing, or commercial marketing) do not adversely influence the laboratory's compliance with the requirements of the NELAC Standards
 5.4.1.4(b)	Is the laboratory able to demonstrate that it is impartial & that it has personnel that are free from any undue commercial, financial, or other pressures which might influence their technical judgment
 5.4.1.4(b)	Does the laboratory not engage in any activities that may endanger the trust in its independence of judgment & integrity in relation to its environmental testing activities
 5.4.1.5(a)	Does the laboratory have managerial staff with the authority & resources needed to carry out their duties
 5.4.1.5(a)	Does the managerial staff have the authority & resources needed to identify departures from the quality system , or from the procedures for performing environmental tests
 5.4.1.5(a)	Does the managerial staff have the authority & resources needed to initiate actions to prevent such departures from the quality system
 5.4.1.5(b)	Does the laboratory have processes to ensure that its personnel are free from commercial, financial, or other undue pressures which might adversely affect quality of their work
 5.4.1.5(c)	 Does the laboratory have documented policy & procedures for ensuring the protection of clients' confidential information & proprietary rights, including procedures for protecting the electronic storage & transmission of results (Note: may not be applicable to in-house laboratories)
 5.4.1.5(d)	Does the laboratory have policies & procedures to avoid involvement in any activities that would diminish confidence in its competence, impartiality, judgment, or operational integrity
 5.4.1.5(e)	Does the laboratory define its organization & management structure , its place in any parent organization, & the relationship between quality management, technical operations, & support services

 5.4.1.5(f)	Does the laboratory specify the responsibility, authority, & interrelationship of all personnel who manage, perform, or verify work affecting the quality of tests
 5.4.1.5(f)	Does the documentation include a clear description of the lines of responsibility in the laboratory & proportioned such that adequate supervision is ensured
 5.4.1.5(g)	Does the laboratory have adequate supervision of environmental testing staff, including trainees
 5.4.1.5(g)	Does the laboratory provide supervision by persons familiar with the test methods & procedures, the objective of the test, and the assessment of the results
 5.4.1.5(h)	Does the laboratory have technical management who have overall responsibility for the technical operations & the provision of resources needed to ensure the quality of laboratory operations
 5.4.1.5(h)	Does the laboratory have documented certifications that personnel with appropriate educational and/or technical backgrounds perform all tests for which the laboratory is accredited
 5.4.1.5(h)	Does the technical director(s) meet the personnel qualifications in NELAC Standard 4.1.1.1
ALL C	CASES – full-time member of the laboratory staff who exercises actual day-to-day supervision of laboratory operations & reporting of results, monitors standards of QA/QC performance, and monitors the validity of analyses performed & data generated in the laboratory to assure reliable data
Chemi	ical analysis - Bachelor's degree in chemical, environmental, biological, physical sciences or engineering; at least 24 semester hours college credit in chemistry & at least 2 years experience in environmental analysis of representative inorganic & organic analytes for which the laboratory is accredited (Master's degree or doctorate may substitute for 1 year of experience)
Nonm	etal Inorganic Chemical analysis (only) - associates degree in chemical, physical, or environmental sciences OR 2 years equivalent, successful college education with at least 16 semester
Micro	hours college credit in chemistry; plus 2 years experience performing such analysis biological or Biological analysis - Bachelor's degree in microbiology, biology, chemistry, environmental sciences, physical science or engineering with at least 16 semester hours college credit in general microbiology and biology, plus at least 2 years experience in environmental analysis of representative analytes for which the laboratory is accredited (Master's degree or doctorate may substitute for 1 year of experience)
Fecal	Coliform, Total Coliform, & Standard Plate Count (only) - associates degree in the appropriate sciences or applied science OR 2 years equivalent successful college education, including 4 semester credit hours in general microbiology; plus 1 year experience in environmental analysis
Radio	logical analysis - Bachelor's degree in chemistry, physics, or engineering with at least 24 semester hours college credit in chemictry; plus at least 2 years experience in radiological analysis of environmental samples (Master's degree or doctorate may substitute for 1 year of experience)
Transı	mission Electron Microscope examination of Asbestos - Bachelor's degree, successful completion of course in the use of the instrument, & at least 1 year experience, under supervision, in using the instrument (including the identification of minerals)
Polariz	zed Light Microscope examination of Asbestos - Associates degree or at least 2 years college study, successful formal course completion in polarized light microscopy, & at least 1 year experience, under supervision, in using the instrument (including the identification of minerals)
Phase	Contrast Microscope examination of airborne fibers - associates degree or at least 2 years college study, successful formal course completion in phase contrast microscopy, & at least 1 year experience, under supervision, in using the instrument

Potable	 in air - associates degree or at least 2 years college study, plus at least 1 year experience in radiation measurements of radon and/or radon progeny Water System or Wastewater Treatment Plant (doing only regulatory permit work on its samples) full-time employee with valid treatment plant operator's certificate ial Waste Treatment facility (doing only regulatory permit work on its samples) - full-time employee with at least 1 year experience under supervision in environmental analysis
 5.4.1.5(i)	Does the laboratory appoint a quality manager (however named) who has defined responsibility & authority for ensuring that the quality system is implemented & followed at all times
 5.4.1.5(i) Note:	Does the QA officer have direct access to the technical director(s) and to the highest level of management where decisions are made on laboratory policy & resources Where staffing is limited, the quality manager may also be the technical director or deputy technical director
 5.4.1.5(i)(1)	Does the QA officer serve as the focal point for QA/QC & take responsibility for the oversight and/or review of quality control data
 5.4.1.5(i)(2)	Does the QA officer have functions independent from laboratory operations for which they have quality assurance oversight
 5.4.1.5(i)(3)	Is the QA officer able to evaluate data objectively & perform assessments without outside (e.g. managerial) influence
 5.4.1.5(i)(4)	Does the QA officer have documented training and/or experience in QA/QC procedures & have knowledge in the quality system as defined under NELAC
 5.4.1.5(i)(5)	Does the QA officer have general knowledge of the analytical test methods for which data review is being performed
 5.4.1.5(i)(6)	Does the QA officer arrange for or conduct internal audits on the entire laboratory technical operation annually
 5.4.1.5(i)(7)	Does the QA officer notify laboratory management of deficiencies in the quality system & monitor corrective action
 5.4.1.5(j)	Does the laboratory nominate deputies for key managerial personnel including the technical directors and/or QA manager
 5.4.1.5(k) D.1.3(c) D.3.3(b)	 Does the laboratory participate in available interlaboratory comparisons & proficiency testing programs (Note: Compliance with NELAC Chapter 2 is mandatory for obtaining & maintaining accreditation for Fields of Accreditation in which Fields of Proficiency Testing are also available)

LABORATORY QUALITY SYSTEM

 5.4.2.1	Has the laboratory established, implemented, & maintained a quality system , based on the required elements of NELAC Chapter 5, that is appropriate to the type , range , & volume of environmental testing activities it undertakes
 5.4.2.1	Are the laboratory's policies, systems, programs, procedures, & instructions documented to the extent necessary to assure the quality of environmental test results
 5.4.2.1	Is the quality system documentation communicated to, understood, by, available to, & implemented by the appropriate personnel
 5.4.2.2 Note	Does the laboratory define its quality system policies & objectives in a quality manual : NELAC 5.4.2.2(c) requires the laboratory management to ensure that these policies & objectives are documented in a quality manual)
 5.4.2.2	Are the overall objectives documented in a quality policy statement , issued under the authority of the chief executive
 5.4.2.2(a)	Does the laboratory define & document its policies & objectives for, & its commitment to accepted laboratory practices & quality of testing services
Does	the quality policy statement include at least the following:
 5.4.2.2(a)	the laboratory management's commitment to good professional practice and to the quality of its environmental testing in servicing its clients
 5.4.2.2(b)	the management's statement of the laboratory's standard of service
 5.4.2.2(c)	the objectives of the quality system
 5.4.2.2(d)	requirement that all personnel concerned with environmental testing activities within the laboratory familiarize themselves with the quality documentation & implement the policies & procedures in their work
 5.4.2.2(e)	the laboratory management's commitment to compliance with the NELAC Standards
 5.4.2.3	Does the Quality Manual and related quality documentation state the laboratory's policies and procedures established in order to meet the requirements of the NELAC Standards Note: When the laboratory Quality Manual contains the necessary requirements, a separate SOP or policy is not required
 5.4.2.3	Does the Quality Manual's title page list: a document title laboratory's full name and address name, address, and telephone number of individual(s) responsible for the laboratory name of the quality assurance (QA) officer (however named) all major organizational units covered by this Quality Manual effective date of this Quality Manual version
 5.4.2.3(f)	Does the Title Page have the signed concurrence (with appropriate position titles) of the QA officer, technical director(s), and the agent in charge of all laboratory activities (e.g. laboratory director or laboratory manager)

Does the Quality Manual and related quality documentation also contain:

EFFECTIVE DATE & VERSION NUMBER OF QUALITY MANUAL REVIEWED: _____

 5.4.2.3	reference to the supporting procedures including technical procedures
 5.4.2.3	an outline of the structure of the documentation used in the quality system
 5.4.2.3(v)	a Table of Contents, and applicable lists of references, glossaries, and appendices
 5.4.2.3(a)	a quality policy statement, including objectives and commitments, by top management
 5.4.2.3(b)	the laboratory's organization & management structure , its place in any parent organization, and relevant organizational charts
 5.4.2.3(c)	the relationship between management, technical operations, support services, & quality system
 5.4.2.3(d)	procedures to ensure that all records required under NELAC Chapter 5 are retained
 5.4.2.3(d)	procedures for control & maintenance of documentation through a document control system, which ensures that all standard operating procedures, manuals, & documents clearly indicate the time period during which the procedure or document was in force
 5.4.2.3(e)	job descriptions of key staff and reference to the job descriptions of other staff
 5.4.2.3(f)	identification of the laboratory's approved signatories
 5.4.2.3(g)	the laboratory's procedures for achieving traceability of measurements
 5.4.2.3(h)	a list of all test methods under which the laboratory performs its accredited testing
 5.4.2.3(i)	mechanisms for ensuring that the laboratory reviews all new work to ensure that it has the appropriate facilities & resources before commencing such work
 5.4.2.3(j)	reference to the calibration and/or verification test procedures used
 5.4.2.3(k)	procedures for handling submitted samples
 5.4.2.3(l)	reference to the major equipment & reference measurement standards used, plus the facilities & services used by the laboratory in conducting tests
 5.4.2.3(m)	reference to procedures for calibration, verification, & maintenance of equipment
 5.4.2.3(n) Note:	reference to verification practices Such practices may include interlaboratory comparisons, proficiency testing programs, use of reference materials, & internal quality control schemes
 5.4.2.3(0)	procedures to be followed for feedback & corrective action whenever testing discrepencies are detected, or departures from documented policies & procedures occur
 5.4.2.3(p)	the laboratory management arrangements for exceptionally permitting departures from documented policies & procedures or from standard specifications
 5.4.2.3 (q)	procedures for dealing with complaints

 5.4.2.3(r)	procedures for protecting confidentiality & proprietary rights (including national security)
 5.4.2.3(s)	procedures for audits & data review
 5.4.2.3(t)	processes/procedures for establishing that personnel are adequately experienced in the duties they are expected to carry out and/or receive any needed training
 5.4.2.3(u)	reference to procedures for reporting analytical results
 5.4.2.4	roles & responsibilities of the technical management & the quality manager, including their responsibility for ensuring compliance with the NELAC Standards
 5.4.2.6 Note:	 data integrity procedures, defined in detail The four required elements in a data integrity system are: data integrity training signed data integrity documentation for all laboratory employees in-depth periodic monitoring of data integrity data integrity procedure documentation
 5.4.2.5	Is the Quality Manual maintained current under the responsibility of the QA officer
 5.4.2.6	Are the data integrity procedures signed & dated by senior management
 5.4.2.6	Are the data integrity procedures & the associated implementation records properly maintained & made available for assessor review
 5.4.2.6	Are the data integrity procedures annually reviewed & updated by management
 5.4.2.6.1 Note:	Does the laboratory management provide a mechanism for confidential reporting of data integrity issues in the laboratory A primary element of this mechanism is to assure confidentiality & a receptive environment in which all employees may privately discuss ethical issues or report items of ethical concern
 5.4.2.6.2	In instances of ethical concern, does the mechanism include a process whereby laboratory management are to be informed of the need for any further detailed investigation

DOCUMENT CONTROL

 5.4.3.1	Are procedures established & maintained to control all documents that form part of the laboratory's quality system
	Note: Documents can be internally generated of from external sources & can include policy statements, procedures, tables, charts, textbooks, posters, memoranda, plans, etc. These documents may be on either hardcopy or electronic media & may be digital, analog, photographic, or written
 5.4.3.2.1	Are all documents issued to laboratory personnel as part of the quality system reviewed & approved for use by authorized personnel prior to use
 5.4.3.2.1	Is there an established master list or equivalent document control procedure identifying the current revision status & distribution of documents in the quality system
 5.4.3.2.1	Are the master lists or document control procedures readily available to preclude the use of invalid and/or obsolete documents
Do the	adopted document control procedures ensure that:
 5.4.3.2.2(a)	Authorized editions of appropriate documents are available at all locations where operations essential to the effective functioning of the laboratory are performed
 5.4.3.2.2(b)	Documents are periodically reviewed and, where necessary, revised to ensure continuing suitability & compliance with applicable requirements
 5.4.3.2.2(c)	Invalid or obsolete documents are promptly removed from all points of issue or use, or otherwise assured against unintended use
 5.4.3.2.2(d)	Obsolete documents retained for legal or knowledge preservation are suitably marked
 5.4.3.2.3	Are the quality system documents in the laboratory uniquely identified to include issue date and/or revision identification, page numbering, total number of pages (or mark to signify the end of the document), & the issuing authority(ies)
 5.4.3.3.1	 Are changes to documents reviewed & approved by the same function that performed the original review, unless specifically designated otherwise Note: The designated personnel must have access to pertinent background information upon which to base review & approval
 5.4.3.3.2	Where practicable, is the altered or new text identified in the document or appropriate attachments
 5.4.3.3.3	If allowed in the quality system, does the laboratory define the procedures & authorities for amendment of documents by hand , pending the reissue of the documents
 5.4.3.3.3	Are all amendments to documents clearly marked , initialed , & dated , with a revised document formally re-issued as soon as practicable
 5.4.3.3.4	Are procedures established to describe how changes in documents maintained in computerized systems are made & controlled

REVIEW OF REQUESTS, TENDERS, & CONTRACTS

	5.4.4.1	Are procedures established & maintained for the review of requests, tenders, & contracts
	Do the	policies & procedures for reviews leading to a contract for environmental testing ensure that:
	5.4.4.1(a)	Requirements, including methods to be used, are defined, documented, & understood
	5.4.4.1(b)	The laboratory has the capability & resources to meet the requirements
	5.4.4.1(c)	The appropriate test method is selected & capable of meeting the client's requirements
	Note:	The purpose of this review is to establish that the laboratory possesses the necessary physical, personnel, & information resources, and that the laboratory personnel have the skills & expertise necessary to perform the environmental tests in question. The review may encompass the results of earlier participation in interlaboratory comparisons or proficiency tests and/or the running of trial environmental test programs using samples or items of known values in order to determine uncertainties of measurement, detection limits, or other essential quality control requirements.
	5.4.4.1(b)	Does the laboratory review its current accreditation status in reviews that may lead to a contract
	5.4.4.1(b)	Does the laboratory inform the client of the results of its review if it indicates any potential conflict, deficiency, lack of accreditation status, or inability on the laboratory's part to complete the client's work
	5.4.4.1	Are any differences between the request or tender & the contract resolved before any work commences
	5.4.4.1 Note:	Is each contract acceptable to both the laboratory & the client A contract may be any oral or written agreement to provide the client with env. testing services
	5.4.4.2	Does the laboratory maintain records of such reviews, including any significant changes
	5.4.4.2 Note:	Does the laboratory maintain records of pertinent discussions with a client relating to the client's requirements or the results of the work during the execution period of the contract For reviews of routine & other simple tasks, the date & initials of the person responsible for carrying out the contracted work are considered adequate. For repetitive routine tasks, the review need be made only at the initial inquiry stage & on granting the contract for on-going routine work performed under a general agreement with the client, provided the client's requirements remain unchanged. For new, advanced, or complex environmental testing tasks, a more comprehensive record should be maintained.
	5.4.4.3	Does the review cover any work that is subcontracted by the laboratory
	5.4.4.4	Is the client informed of any deviation from the contract
	5.4.4.5	Does the laboratory repeat the same contract review process if a contract needs to be amended after work has commenced
	5.4.4.5	Are any contract amendments communicated to all affected personnel
	5.4.4.5	Does the laboratory report any suspensions, revocations, or voluntary withdrawals of accreditation to the client
COMM	IENTS:	

10

SUBCONTRACTING OF ENVIRONMENTAL TESTS

Note:	The follow another	wing Standards apply if the laboratory subcontracts any portion of testing of a client's sample to party
	5.4.5.1	 Does the laboratory submit any subcontract work for testing covered under NELAP only to a laboratory accredited under NELAP for the tests to be performed Note: The subcontractor can also be a laboratory that meets applicable statutory & regulatory requirements for performing the tests & submitting the results of tests performed
	5.4.5.1	Does the laboratory indicate in final reports the laboratory performing subcontracted work
	5.4.5.1	Does the laboratory clearly identify in final reports non-NELAP accredited work
	5.4.5.2	Does the laboratory advise its clients in writing of its intentions to subcontract any portion of testing to another party
		Note: When possible, approval of the client needs to be gained, preferably in writing
	5.4.5.3	Does the laboratory accept responsibility to the client for the subcontractor's work, except when the client or the regulatory authority specifies which subcontractor is to be used
	5.4.5.4	Does the laboratory retain a register of all subcontractors used & records demonstrating that its subcontract laboratories are accredited under NELAP or applicable statutory & regulatory requirements
		PURCHASING SERVICES & SUPPLIES
	5.4.6.1	Does the laboratory have policy & procedures for selection & purchasing of services & supplies it uses that affect the quality of the environmental tests
	5.4.6.1	Do procedures exist for the purchase, reception, & storage of reagents & consumable materials relevant for the environmental tests
	5.4.6.2	Does the laboratory ensure that purchased supplies, reagents, & consumable materials are not used until they are inspected or otherwise verified as complying with standard specifications or requirements defined in the methods for the environmental tests concerned
	5.4.6.2	Does the laboratory ensure that supplies & services comply with specified requirements
	5.4.6.2	Does the laboratory maintain records of actions taken to check compliance with these requirements
	5.4.6.3	Do purchasing documents for items affecting the quality of laboratory output contain data describing the services & supplies ordered
	5.4.6.3	Are these purchasing documents reviewed & approved for technical content prior to release

- ____ **5.4.6.4** Does the laboratory **evaluate suppliers** of critical consumables, supplies, & services that affect the quality of environmental testing
- _____ **5.4.6.4** Does the laboratory **maintain records** of these evaluations and **list those (suppliers) approved**

SERVICE TO THE CLIENT

 5.4.7	Does the laboratory afford clients or their representatives cooperation to clarify the client's request & to monitor the laboratory's performance in relation to the work performed (provided that the laboratory ensures confidentiality to other clients)
 5.4.8	Does the laboratory have documented policies & procedures for the resolution of complaints received from clients or other parties
 5.4.8	Does the laboratory maintain records of all such complaints and of the investigations & actions taken by the laboratory

CONTROL OF NON-CONFORMING ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING WORK

 5.4.9.1	Does the laboratory have policies & procedures to be implemented when any aspect of environmental testing work, or results of this work, do not conform to its own procedures or to the agreed requirements of the client
Do the	ese policies & procedures ensure that:
 5.4.9.1(a)	Responsibilities & authorities for the management of non-conforming work are designated & actions are defined & taken when non-conforming work is identified Note: Such actions include the halting of work & withholding of test reports, as necessary
 5.4.9.1(b)	An evaluation of the significance of the non-conforming work is made
 5.4.9.1(c)	Corrective actions are taken immediately , together with any decision about the acceptability of the non-conforming work
 5.4.9.1(d)	Where the data quality is or may be impacted, the client is notified and work may be recalled
 5.4.9.1(e)	The responsibility for authorizing the resumption of work is defined
 5.4.9.2	Does the laboratory implement corrective action procedures (see Section 5.4.10) promptly when the evaluation indicates that non-conforming work could recur or there is doubt about compliance of the laboratory's operations with its own policies & procedures

CORRECTIVE ACTION

 5.4.10.1	Has the laboratory established policies & procedures for implementing corrective actions when non-conforming work or departures from policies & procedures in the quality system or technical operations have been identified
 5.4.10.1	Has the laboratory designated appropriate authorities for implementing corrective actions when non-conforming work or departures from policies & procedures in the quality system or technical operations have been identified
 5.4.10.2	Do the procedures for corrective action start with an investigation to determine the root cause(s) of the problem
 5.4.10.3	Where corrective actions are needed, does the laboratory identify potential corrective actions
 5.4.10.3	Does the laboratory select & implement the corrective action(s) most likely to eliminate the problem & to prevent recurrence
 5.4.10.3	Are the corrective actions to a degree appropriate to the magnitude & risk of the problem
 5.4.10.3	Does the laboratory document & implement any required changes resulting from corrective action investigations
 5.4.10.4	Does the laboratory monitor the results to ensure that corrective actions taken have been effective
 5.4.10.5	Where the identification of non-conformances or departures casts doubts on the laboratory's compliance with its own policies & procedures, or on its compliance with the NELAC Standards, does the laboratory ensure that the appropriate areas of activity undergo internal auditing procedures (see Section 5.4.13)
 5.4.10.6(a)	Does the laboratory implement general procedures to be followed when departures from documented policies, procedures, & quality control have occurred
In impl	lementing these general procedures, does the laboratory:
 5.4.10.6(a)(1)	Identify the individual(s) responsible for assessing each QC data type
 5.4.10.6(a)(2)	Identify the individual(s) responsible for initiating and/or recommending corrective actions
 5.4.10.6(a)(3)	Define how the analyst treats a data set if associated QC measurements are unacceptable
 5.4.10.6(a)(4)	Specify how out-of-control situations & subsequent corrective actions are to be documented
 5.4.10.6(a)(5)	Specify procedures for management & the QA officer to review corrective action reports
 5.4.10.6(b)	To the extent possible, are sample test results reported only if all QC measures are acceptable
 5.4.10.6(b)	Does the laboratory report samples with the appropriate laboratory defined data qualifier (s) when a quality control measure associated with that sample analysis was found to be out of control and the data is to be reported

PREVENTIVE ACTION

5.4.11.1

Does the laboratory identify needed improvements or potential sources of nonconformances,

	either technical or concerning the quality system
 5.4.11.1	If preventive action is required, are action plans developed, implemented, & monitored to reduce the occurrence of nonconformances & to take advantage of opportunities for improvement
 5.4.11.2	Do the procedures for preventive actions include the initiation of such actions & application of controls to ensure their effectiveness
	CONTROL OF RECORDS
 5.4.12	Does the laboratory maintain a record system to suit its particular circumstances & to comply with any applicable regulations
 5.4.12	Does the record system produce unequivocal, accurate records which document all laboratory activities
 5.4.12	Does the laboratory retain on record all original observations, calculations & derived data, calibration records, and a copy of the test report for at least 5 years
 5.4.12	Does the laboratory have a written SOP for carrying out legal chain-of-custody if a client specifies that a sample will be used for evidentiary purposes
 5.4.12.1.1	 Has the laboratory established & maintained procedures for the identification, collection, indexing, access, filing, storage, maintenance, & disposal of quality & technical records Note: Quality records include reports from internal audits & management reviews as well as records of corrective & preventive actions; records may be in any media, such as hardcopy or electronic media
 5.4.12.1.2	Are all records legible
 5.4.12.1.2	Are all records stored & retained in such a way that they are easily retrievable in facilities that provide a suitable environment to prevent damage or deterioration & to prevent loss
 5.4.12.1.2	Has the laboratory established retention times of records
 5.4.12.1.3	Are all records held secure & in confidence
 5.4.12.1.4	Does the laboratory have procedures to protect & back-up records stored electronically & to prevent unauthorized access to or amendment of these records
 5.4.12.1.5	Does the record keeping system allow historical reconstruction of all laboratory activities that produced the resultant sample analytical data
 5.4.12.1.5	Is the history of the sample readily understood through the documentation (including interlaboratory transfers of samples and/or extracts)
 5.4.12.1.5(a)	Do the records include the identity of personnel involved in sampling, sample receipt, preparation, calibration, & testing

 5.4.12.1.5(b)	Has the laboratory documented all information relating to the laboratory facilities equipment, analytical test methods, & related laboratory activities (e.g. sample receipt, sample preparation, & data verification)
 5.4.12.1.5(c)	Does the record keeping system facilitate the retrieval of all working files & archived records for inspection & verification purposes (e.g., set format for naming electronic files)
 5.4.12.1.5(d)	Are all changes to records signed or initialed by responsible staff
 5.4.12.1.5(d)	Is the reason for the signature or initials clearly indicated in the records (e.g. "sampled by," "prepared by," or "reviewed by")
 5.4.12.1.5(e)	Is all generated data recorded directly, promptly, & legibly in permanent ink Note: This does not include data generated by automated data collection systems
 5.4.12.1.5(f)	Are entries in records not obliterated by erasures, overwritten files, or markings
 5.4.12.1.5(f)	Are all corrections to record-keeping errors made by one line marked through the error, with the individual making the correction signing (or initialing) & dating the correctionNote: This also applies to electronically maintained records
 5.4.12.2.1	Does the laboratory retain records of original observations, derived data, & sufficient information to establish an audit trail, calibration records, staff records, & a copy of each test report issued for a defined period
 5.4.12.2.1	Do the records for each environmental test contain sufficient information to facilitate the identification of factors affecting the uncertainty & to enable the environmental test to be repeated under conditions as close as possible to the original
 5.4.12.2.1	Do the records include the identity of personnel responsible for the sampling, performance of the environmental test, & checking the results
 5.4.12.2.2	Are observations, data, & calculations recorded at the time they are made & identifiable to the specific task
 5.4.12.2.3	When mistakes occur in the records, is each mistake crossed out, not erased or made illegible or deleted, with correct value entered alongside
 5.4.12.2.3	Are all such alterations to records signed or initialed by the person making the correction
 5.4.12.2.3	Does the laboratory take equivalent measures to avoid loss or change of original data in records stored electronically
 5.4.12.2.3	When corrections are due to reasons other than transcription errors , does the laboratory document the reason for the correction
 5.4.12.2.4(a)	Are all laboratory records & reports safely stored, held secure, & in confidence to the client
 5.4.12.2.4(a)	Are all NELAP-related records available to the accrediting authority
 5.4.12.2.4(b)	Are all laboratory records retained for a minimum of 5 years from generation of the last entry in the records
 5.4.12.2.4(b)	Does the laboratory maintain all information necessary for the historical reconstruction of data

 5.4.12.2.4(b)	If records are stored only on electronic media, does the laboratory have the supportive hardware & software necessary for data retrieval
 5.4.12.2.4(c)	Do laboratory records stored or generated by computers have hard-copy or write-protected back-up copies
 5.4.12.2.4(d)	Has the laboratory established a record management system for control of laboratory notebooks, instrument logbooks, standards logbooks, & records for data reduction, validation, & reporting
 5.4.12.2.4(e)	Does the laboratory have an access log to document access to archived information
 5.4.12.2.4(e)	Are the archived laboratory records protected against fire, theft, loss, environmental deterioration, & vermin
 5.4.12.2.4(e)	Are archived electronic records protected against electronic or magnetic sources
 5.4.12.2.4(f)	Does the laboratory have a plan to ensure that records are maintained or transferred according to clients' instructions in the event that the laboratory transfers ownership or goes out of business
	Note: In cases of bankruptcy the laboratory must follow appropriate regulatory & state legal requirements concerning laboratory records
Does th	e laboratory maintain records of the following procedures & activities to which a sample is subjected while it is in the laboratory's possession :
 5.4.12.2.5.1(a)	Sample preservation, appropriateness of sample container, & compliance with holding times
 5.4.12.2.5.1(b)	Sample identification, receipt, acceptance or rejection, & log-in
 5.4.12.2.5.1(c)	Sample storage & tracking Note: Records include shipping receipts & transmittal forms (chain-of-custody form)
 5.4.12.2.5.1(d)	Documented procedures for receipt & retention of test items, including all provisions to protect the integrity of samples
 5.4.12.2.5.2(a)	 Does the laboratory retain all original raw data, whether hard copy or electronic, for calibrations, sample analyses, & quality control measures Note: Raw data includes analyst work sheets & data output records (chromatograms, strip charts, & other instrument response readout records)
 5.4.12.2.5.2(b)	Does the laboratory retain a written description or reference to the specific test method used Note: This includes description of the specific computational steps used to translate parametric observations into reportable analytical values
 5.4.12.2.5.2(c)	Does the laboratory retain copies of final reports
 5.4.12.2.5.2(d)	Does the laboratory retain archived standard operating procedures
 5.4.12.2.5.2(e)	Does the laboratory retain correspondence relating to its activities for a specific project
 5.4.12.2.5.2(f)	Does the laboratory retain all corrective action reports, audits, & audit responses

 5.4.12.2.5.2(g)	Does the laboratory retain proficiency test results & raw data
 5.4.12.2.5.2(h)	Does the laboratory retain results of data review, verification, & cross checking procedures
Does th	e laboratory's analytical records on strip charts, tabular printouts, computer data files, analytical notebooks, & run logs include the following essential information :
 5.4.12.2.5.3(a)	Laboratory sample ID code
 5.4.12.2.5.3(b)	Date of analysis, & time of analysis if holding time is 72 hr or less or when time critical steps are included in the analysis (e.g., extractions & incubations)
 5.4.12.2.5.3(c)	Instrumentation identification & instrument operating conditions/parameters (or reference to such data)
 5.4.12.2.5.3(d)	Analysis type
 5.4.12.2.5.3(e)	All manual calculations (e.g., manual integrations)
 5.4.12.2.5.3(f)	Analyst or operator initials/signature
 5.4.12.2.5.3(g)	 Sample preparation Note: These records include cleanups, separation protocols, incubation periods or subculture, ID codes, volumes, weights, instrument printouts, meter readings, calculations, & reagents used
 5.4.12.2.5.3(h)	Sample analysis
 5.4.12.2.5.3(i)	Standard & reagent origin, receipt, preparation, & use
 5.4.12.2.5.3(j)	Calibration criteria, frequency, & acceptance criteria
 5.4.12.2.5.3(k)	Data & statistical calculations , review, confirmation, interpretation, assessment, & reporting conventions
 5.4.12.2.5.3(l)	Quality control protocols & assessment
 5.4.12.2.5.3(m)	Electronic data security
 5.4.12.2.5.3(m)	Software documentation & verification
 5.4.12.2.5.3(m)	Software & hardware audits
 5.4.12.2.5.3(m)	Backups of automated data entries
 5.4.12.2.5.3(m)	Records of any changes to automated data entries
 5.4.12.2.5.3(n)	Method performance criteria including expected quality control requirements

Does the laboratory maintain the following **administrative records**:

 5.4.12.2.5.4(a)
 Personnel qualifications, experience, & training records

 5.4.12.2.5.4(b)
 Records of demonstration of capability for each analyst

 5.4.12.2.5.4(c)
 Log of names, initials, & signatures for all individuals responsible for signing or initialing any laboratory record

INTERNAL AUDITS

 5.4.13.1	Does the laboratory arrange for annual internal audits to verify that its operations continue to comply with the requirements for the laboratory's quality system
 5.4.13.1	Does the internal audit program address all elements of the quality system, including the environmental testing activities
 5.4.13.1	Does the QA Manager take responsibility to plan & organize internal audits as required by schedule & as required by management
 5.4.13.1	Is the QA officer or designee conducting the internal audits trained & qualified as an auditor and, where possible, independent of the activity being audited
Note: I	Personnel can audit their own activities ONLY when it can be demonstrated that an effective audit can be carried out
 5.4.13.2	Does the laboratory take timely corrective action when the internal audit findings cast doubt on the correctness or validity of the laboratory's test results
 5.4.13.2	Does the laboratory immediately notify , in writing, any client whose work was involved in the the internal audit findings
 5.4.13.2	Does the laboratory notify clients promptly, in writing , of any event that casts doubt on the validity of results given in any test report or amendment to a test report (e.g. identification of defective measuring or test equipment)
 5.4.13.2	Does the laboratory specify in its Quality Manual the time frame for notifying a client of events that cast doubt on the validity of the test results
 5.4.13.3	Does the laboratory document all internal audit findings plus any corrective actions that arise from them
 5.4.13.3	Does the laboratory management ensure that corrective actions are discharged within the appropriate & agreed time frame as indicated in the quality manual and/or SOP's
 5.4.13.4	Are follow-up audit activities conducted that verify & record the implementation & effectiveness of the corrective action taken

MANAGEMENT REVIEWS

 5.4.14.1	Does the laboratory management annually conduct a review of its quality system & its testing activities, in order to ensure its continuing suitability & effectiveness and to introduce any necessary changes or improvements in the quality system & laboratory operations
 5.4.14.1	 Does the annual management review take into account: suitability of policies & procedures reports from managerial & supervisory personnel outcomes from recent internal audits corrective & preventive actions assessments by external bodies results from interlaboratory comparisons or proficiency tests changes in the volume & type of work undertaken feedback from clients complaints other relevant factors, such as quality control activities, resources, & staff training
 5.4.14.2	Does the laboratory have a procedure for the annual management review of the quality system
 5.4.14.2	Does the laboratory maintain records of management review findings & actions
 5.4.14.2	Does the laboratory management ensure that corrective actions are discharged within the appropriate & agreed time frame
 5.4.15	Does the laboratory ensure that a review is conducted with respect to any evidence of inappropriate actions or vulnerabilities related to data integrity
 5.4.15	Does the laboratory handle the discovery of potential issues in a confidential manner until such time that a follow-up investigation, full investigation, or other appropriate actions have been completed & the issues clarified
 5.4.15	Are all investigations resulting in a finding of inappropriate activity documented and include any disciplinary actions involved, corrective actions taken, and all appropriate notifications of clients
 5.4.15	Does the laboratory maintain all documentation of these investigations & actions taken for at least 5 years

LABORATORY TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS

 5.5.1.2	Does the laboratory take account of the following factors in developing environmental test methods & procedures :
	- human factors
	- environmental test methods & method validation
	- equipment
	- measurement traceability
	- sampling
	- handling of samples
	handning of sumples
 5.5.1.2	Are the above factors taken into account in the training & qualification of personnel
 5.5.1.2	Are the above factors taken into account in the selection & calibration of the equipment it uses
	PERSONNEL
 5.5.2.1	Does the laboratory management ensure the competence of all who operate specific equipment, perform environmental tests, evaluate procedures, & sign test reports
 5.5.2.1	When using staff who are undergoing training, is adequate supervision provided
 5.5.2.1	Are the personnel performing specific tasks qualified on the basis of appropriate education, training, experience, and/or demonstrated skills, as required
 5.5.2.1	Does the laboratory have sufficient personnel, having the necessary education, training, technical knowledge, & experience, for their assigned functions
 5.5.2.1	Are all laboratory personnel responsible for complying with all quality assurance / quality control (QA/QC) requirements pertaining to their organizational/technical functions
 5.5.2.1	Does each technical staff member have adequate experience & education to demonstrate a specific knowledge of his/her particular function & general knowledge of laboratory operations, test methods, QA/QC procedures, & records management
 5.5.2.2	Has the laboratory management formulated goals with respect to the education, training, & skills of the laboratory personnel
 5.5.2.2	Does the laboratory have policies & procedures for identifying the training needs & providing training of personnel
 5.5.2.2	Is the training program relevant to the present & anticipated tasks of the laboratory
 5.5.2.3	Does the laboratory use personnel employed by or under contract to the laboratory
 5.5.2.3	Where contracted & additional technical & key support personnel are used, does the laboratory ensure that personnel are supervised & competent
 5.5.2.3	Does the laboratory ensure that the contracted & additional personnel work in accordance with the laboratory's quality system

 5.5.2.4	Does the laboratory maintain current job descriptions for all personnel who manage, perform, or verify work affecting the quality of the environmental tests
 5.5.2.5	Does the laboratory management authorize specific personnel to perform particular types of sampling & environmental testing, to issue test reports, to give opinions & interpretations, and to operate particular types of equipment
 5.5.2.5	Does the laboratory maintain records of the relevant authorizations, competence, educational & professional qualifications, training, skills, & experience of all technical personnel, including contracted personnel
 5.5.2.5	Are these records readily available
 5.5.2.5	Do these records include the date on which authorization and/or competence is confirmed
 5.5.2.5	Does the laboratory maintain records on the relevant qualifications, skills, & experience of the technical personnel & records on demonstrated proficiency for each laboratory test method
 5.5.2.6(a)	 Does the laboratory management define the minimal level of qualification, skills, & experience necessary for all positions in the laboratory Note: Basic laboratory skills such as using a balance, pipeting, colony counting, & aseptic or quantitative techniques must also be considered
 5.5.2.6(b)	Does the laboratory ensure & document that all technical staff have demonstrated capability
Note:	in the activities for which they are responsible In laboratories with specialized work cells (a well-defined group of analysts that together perform the method analysis), the group as a unit must meet the above criteria and this documentation must be fully documented
 5.5.2.6(c)(1)	Does the laboratory have evidence on file demonstrating that each employee has read , understood , & is using the latest version of the laboratory's quality documentation that relates to his/her job responsibilities
 5.5.2.6(c)(2)	Does the laboratory document the training courses or workshops on specific equipment, analytical techniques, or laboratory procedures for each technical staff member
 5.5.2.6(c)(3) Note:	Does the laboratory's analyst training files contain certification that each analyst has read, understood, & agreed to perform the most recent version of the test method The most recent version is the approved method or SOP defined by the laboratory document control system in Section 5.4.2.3(d)
 5.5.2.6(d)	Does the laboratory document all its analytical & operational activities
 5.5.2.6(e)	Is the management responsible for supervising all personnel employed by the laboratory
 5.5.2.6(f)	Does the laboratory management ensure that all sample acceptance criteria are verified, samples are properly logged into the sample tracking system , & samples are properly labeled & stored
 5.5.2.6(g)	Does the laboratory document the quality of all data reported

 5.5.2.7	Does the laboratory provide data integrity training as a formal part of new employee orientation and annually for current employees
 5.5.2.7	Are the topics covered in data integrity training documented in writing & provided to all trainees
 5.5.2.7	Do the key topics covered during data integrity training include organizational mission & its relationship to the critical need for honesty & full disclosure in all analytical reporting, how & when to report data integrity issues , & record-keeping
 5.5.2.7	Does data integrity training include discussion regarding all data integrity procedures, data integrity training documentation, in-depth data monitoring, & data integrity procedure documentation
 5.5.2.7	Are employees required to understand that any infractions of the laboratory data integrity procedures will result in a detailed investigation that could lead to very serious consequences including immediate termination, debarment, or civil/criminal prosecution
 5.5.2.7	Does the data integrity training & annual refresher training have a signature attendance sheet or other forms of documentation demonstrating that all staff have participated & understand their obligations related to data integrity Note: Senior managers acknowledge their support of these procedures by upholding the spirit & intent of the organization's data integrity procedures & effectively implementing the specific requirements of the procedures
	Note: Specific examples of breaches of ethical behavior include: - improper data manipulations - adjustments of instrument time clocks - inappropriate changes in concentrations of standards
	 Note: Data integrity training procedures could include: emphasis on proper written narration in cases where analytical data may be useful, but are partially deficient written ethics agreements examples of improper practices examples of improper chromatographic manipulations requirements for external ethics program training any external resources available to employees

ACCOMMODATION & ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

 5.5.3.1	Is the laboratory's facilities for environmental testing, including energy sources, lighting, & environmental conditions, such as to facilitate the correct performance of these tests
 5.5.3.1	Does the laboratory environment in which its activities are taken not invalidate the results or adversely affect the required accuracy of measurement Note: Particular attention must be noted when laboratory activities are at sites other than its permanent premises
 5.5.3.1	Does the laboratory document the technical requirements for accommodation & environmental conditions that can affect the results of environmental tests
 5.5.3.2	Does the laboratory provide for effective monitoring, control, & recording of appropriate environmental conditions (such as biological sterility, dust, electromagnetic interference, humidity, mains voltage, temperature, and sound & vibration levels)
 5.5.3.2	Does the laboratory stop environmental tests when the environmental conditions jeopardize the results of the environmental tests
 5.5.3.3	Does the laboratory have effective separation between neighboring areas when the activities therein are incompatible (including culture handling or incubation areas, & volatile organic chemicals handling areas)
 5.5.3.3	Does the laboratory take measures to prevent cross-contamination
 5.5.3.4	Does the laboratory control access to & use of all areas affecting the quality of the environmental tests (the extent of control is determined based on its particular circumstances)
 5.5.3.5	Does the laboratory take adequate measures to ensure good housekeeping in the laboratory & to ensure that any contamination does not adversely affect data quality
 5.5.3.5	Are special procedures prepared where necessary
 5.5.3.6	Does the laboratory's available work spaces ensure an unencumbered work area Work areas include: Access and entryways to the laboratory Sample receipt area(s) Sample storage area(s) Chemical & waste storage area(s) Data handling & storage area(s)

ENVIRONMENTAL TEST METHODS

 5.5.4.1	Does the laboratory use appropriate test methods & procedures for all tests & related activities within its responsibility
	Note: Includes sample collection, sample handling, transport & storage, sample preparation, sample analysis, estimations of uncertainty, & statistical techniques
 5.5.4.1	Does the laboratory have documented instructions on the use & operation of all relevant equipment, and on the handling & preparation of samples (where the absence of such instructions could jeopardize the tests)
 5.5.4.1	Are all instructions, standards, manuals, & reference data relevant to the work of the laboratory maintained up-to-date
 5.5.4.1	Are all instructions, standards, manuals, & reference data relevant to the work of the laboratory readily available to the staff
 5.5.4.1	Do deviations from environmental test methods occur only if the deviations are documented , technically justified, authorized, & accepted by the client
 5.5.4.1.1	 Does the laboratory have standard operating procedures that accurately reflect all phases of current laboratory activities such as assessing data integrity, corrective actions, handling customer complaints, and all test methods Note: These documents may be equipment manuals provided by the manufacturer or internally written documents with adequate detail to allow someone similarly qualified, other than the analyst, to reproduce the procedures used to generate the test result Note: The test methods may be copies of published methods as long as any changes or selected options in the methods are documented & included in the methods manual
 5.5.4.1.1(c)	Are copies of these standard operating procedures accessible to all personnel
 5.5.4.1.1(d)	Are the standard operating procedures organized
 5.5.4.1.1(e)	Does each standard operating procedure indicate the effective date of the document, revision number , & signature of the approving authority
 5.5.4.1.1(f)	Does the laboratory document & include in the methods manual any changes , including the use of a selected option , to perform the test
	SELECTION OF TEST METHODS
 5.5.4.2	Does the laboratory use methods for sampling & environmental testing that meet the needs of the client & are appropriate for the environmental tests it undertakes
 5.5.4.2.1(a)	Does the laboratory preferentially use test methods published in international, regional, or national standards
 5.5.4.2.1(a)	Does the laboratory ensure that the latest valid edition of a standard is used, unless it is not appropriate or possible to do so
 5.5.4.2.1(a)	When necessary, is the standard supplemented with additional details to ensure consistent application

_ 5.5.4.2.1(b) When the use of specific test methods for sample analysis are mandated or requested, does the laboratory use only those methods

The following Standards apply when the client does not specify the test method to be used or where methods are employed that are not required.

 5.5.4.2.1(c)	Is the laboratory's developed test method fully documented & validated
 5.5.4.2.1(c)	Does the laboratory make its test method available to the client & other recipients of the relevant reports
 5.5.4.2.1(c)	Does the laboratory select appropriate test methods published in international, regional, or national standards; by reputable technical organizations; in relevant scientific texts or journals; or as specified by the manufacturer of the equipment
 5.5.4.2.1(c)	Are laboratory-developed or laboratory-adopted methods used only if they are appropriate for the intended use & if they are validated
 5.5.4.2.1(c)	Does the laboratory inform the client as to the test method chosen
 5.5.4.2.1(d)	Does the laboratory inform the client when the test method proposed by the client is considered to be inappropriate or out of date
 5.5.4.2.2	Does the laboratory confirm that it can properly operate all methods before introducing the environmental tests
 5.5.4.2.2	Does the laboratory repeat such confirmations each time the method changes
 5.5.4.3	Is the introduction of environmental test methods developed by the laboratory for its own use a planned activity
 5.5.4.3	Is the introduction of environmental test methods assigned to qualified personnel equipped with adequate resources
 5.5.4.3	Does the laboratory update plans as development proceeds & ensure effective communication among all personnel involved
 5.5.4.4	Does the laboratory's choice to use methods not covered by standard methods subject to agreement with the client & include a clear specification of the client's requirements & the purpose of the environmental test
 5.5.4.4	Is the method developed validated appropriately before use

VALIDATION OF TEST METHODS

 5.5.4.5.2	Does the laboratory validate non-standard methods, laboratory designed/developed methods, standard methods used outside their published scope, and amplifications/modifications of standard methods to confirm that the methods are fit for the intended use
 5.5.4.5.2	Was the validation extensive as necessary to meet the needs of the given application or field of application
 5.5.4.5.2	 Has the laboratory recorded the results obtained, the procedure used for the validation, & a statement as to whether the method is fit for intended use Note: The minimum requirements are the initial test method evaluation requirements given in Appendix C.3 (see pages 44-45)
 5.5.4.5.3	 Are the range & accuracy of the values obtainable, as assessed for the intended use, relevant to the client's needs Note: The values obtainable include the uncertainty of the results, detection limit, selectivity of the method, linearity, limit of repeatability and/or reproducibility, robustness against external influences, and/or cross-sensitivity against interference from the sample matrix)
	ESTIMATION OF UNCERTAINTY OF MEASUREMENT
 5.5.4.6.1	Does the laboratory have & apply procedures for estimating uncertainty of measurement
 5.5.4.6.1	At a minimum, does the laboratory attempt to identify all the components of uncertainty & make a reasonable estimation
 5.5.4.6.1	Does the laboratory ensure that the form of reporting of the test result does not give a wrong impression of the uncertainty
 5.5.4.6.1	Is the reasonable estimation (of the uncertainty) based on knowledge of the performance of the method & on the measurement scope
 5.5.4.6.1	Is the reasonable estimation make use of previous experience & validation data
Note:	In cases where a well-recognized test method specifies limits to the values of the major sources of uncertainty of measurement, and specifies the form of the calculated results, the laboratory is considered to have satisfied this clause by following the test method & reporting instructions
 5.5.4.6.2	When estimating the uncertainty of measurement, does the laboratory take into account all uncertainty components which are of importance in the given situation using appropriate methods of analysis

CONTROL OF DATA

	5.5.4.7.1	Does the laboratory subject calculations & data transfers to appropriate checks in a systematic manner
	5.5.4.7.1(a)	Has the laboratory established standard operating procedures to ensure that reported data is free from transcription & calculation errors
	5.5.4.7.1(b)	Has the laboratory established standard operating procedures to ensure that all quality control measures are reviewed & evaluated before data are reported
	5.5.4.7.1(c)	Has the laboratory established SOP's for manual calculations & manual integrations
The following standards are applicable when computers, automated equipment, or microprocessors are used for the acquisition, processing, recording, reporting, storage, or retrieval of environmental test data.		

 5.5.4.7.2(a) Note:	Is all the laboratory's computer software documented in sufficient detail & suitably validated as being adequate for use Commercial off-the-shelf software in general use within their designated application range is considered to be sufficiently validated; however, laboratory software configurations or modifications must be validated
 5.5.4.7.2(b) Note:	Has the laboratory established & implemented procedures for protecting electronic data Must include the integrity & confidentiality of data entry or collection, data storage, data transmission, & data processing
 5.5.4.7.2(c)	Are the laboratory's computers & automated equipment maintained to ensure proper functioning
 5.5.4.7.2(c)	Are the computers & automated equipment provided with the environmental & operating conditions necessary to maintain the integrity of test data
 5.5.4.7.2(d)	Has the laboratory established & implemented appropriate procedures for the maintenance of electronic data security (includes the prevention of unauthorized access to & unauthorized amendment of computer records)

EQUIPMENT

	5.5.5.1	Is the laboratory furnished with all items of equipment & reference materials required for the correct performance of tests for which accreditation is sought or maintained
	5.5.5.1	Does the laboratory ensure that equipment outside its permanent control meets the relevant requirements of these NELAC Standards
	5.5.5.2	Does the equipment & its software used for sampling & testing capable of achieving the accuracy required & comply with specifications relevant to the environmental tests concerned
	5.5.5.2	Does the laboratory calibrate and/or verify all equipment (including that used for sampling) to establish that it meets specified requirements & complies with the relevant standard specifications, before being put into service
Note:	incubators, wa	pertain to analytical support equipment , including balances, ovens, refrigerators, freezers, ter baths, temperature monitoring devices (including thermometers & thermistors), & pensing devices (if quantitative results are dependent on their accuracy).
	5.5.5.2.1(a)	Is the support equipment maintained in proper working order
	5.5.5.2.1(a)	Does the laboratory keep records of all repair & maintenance activities including service calls
	5.5.5.2.1(b)	Is the support equipment calibrated or verified at least annually , using NIST-traceable references when available, over the entire range of use
	5.5.5.2.1(b)	Are the results of calibration or verification for all support equipment within the specifications required for the application for which the equipment is used
	5.5.5.2.1(b)	Does the laboratory remove support equipment from service or establish & maintain correction factors to correct all measurements for the deviation when the results of the annual calibration are not within the specifications required for the support equipment
	5.5.5.2.1(c)	Does the laboratory retain raw data records to document support equipment performance
	5.5.5.2.1(d)	Does the laboratory check balances, ovens, refrigerators, freezers, and water baths with NIST- traceable references (where commercially available) prior to use on each working day in the expected use range
	5.5.5.2.1(e)	Are mechanical volumetric dispensing devices & burettes (except Class A glassware) checked for accuracy on a quarterly use basis
	Note:	Glass microliter syringes must come with a certificate attesting to established accuracy, or else the accuracy must be initially demonstrated & documented by the laboratory
	5.5.5.2.1(f)	Does the laboratory document the temperature, cycle time, & pressure of each use of the autoclave for chemical tests with the appropriate chemical indicators or temperature recorders & pressure gauges

 5.5.5.3	Is the test equipment operated only by authorized personnel
 5.5.5.3	Are up-to-date instructions on the use & maintenance of equipment (including relevant manuals provided the manufacturer) readily available for use by appropriate laboratory personnel
 5.5.5.3	Is all equipment properly maintained, inspected, & cleaned
 5.5.5.3	Does the laboratory document maintenance procedures for all its equipment
 5.5.5.4	Is each item of equipment & its software used for environmental testing, & significant to the result, uniquely identified (when practicable)
 5.5.5.5	Does the laboratory maintain records of each major item of equipment & all reference materials significant to the environmental tests performed
Do the	se records include:
 5.5.5.5(a)	The identity of the item of equipment & its software
 5.5.5(b)	Manufacturer's name, type identification, & serial number or other unique identification
 5.5.5.5(b) 5.5.5.5(c)	Manufacturer's name, type identification, & serial number or other unique identification Checks that the equipment complies with the specification (see Section 5.5.5.2)
 5.5.5.5(c)	Checks that the equipment complies with the specification (see Section 5.5.5.2)
 5.5.5.5(c) 5.5.5.5(d)	Checks that the equipment complies with the specification (see Section 5.5.5.2) Current location
5.5.5.5(c) 5.5.5.5(d) 5.5.5.5(e)	 Checks that the equipment complies with the specification (see Section 5.5.2) Current location Copy of the manufacturer's instructions, where available, or reference to their location Dates, results, & copies of reports & certificates of all calibrations, adjustments, acceptance
5.5.5.5(c) 5.5.5.5(d) 5.5.5.5(e) 5.5.5.5(f)	 Checks that the equipment complies with the specification (see Section 5.5.2) Current location Copy of the manufacturer's instructions, where available, or reference to their location Dates, results, & copies of reports & certificates of all calibrations, adjustments, acceptance criteria, & due date of the next calibration The maintenance plan, where appropriate, & maintenance carried out to date, documentation
5.5.5.5(c) 5.5.5.5(d) 5.5.5.5(e) 5.5.5.5(f) 5.5.5.5(g)	 Checks that the equipment complies with the specification (see Section 5.5.2) Current location Copy of the manufacturer's instructions, where available, or reference to their location Dates, results, & copies of reports & certificates of all calibrations, adjustments, acceptance criteria, & due date of the next calibration The maintenance plan, where appropriate, & maintenance carried out to date, documentation on all routine & non-routine maintenance activities & reference material verifications

 5.5.5.6	Does the laboratory have procedures for the safe handling, transport, storage, use, & planned maintenance of measuring equipment, to ensure proper functioning & to prevent contamination or deterioration
 5.5.5.7	Does the laboratory clearly identify, isolate, & remove from service any item of equipment that has been subjected to overloading or mishandling, gives suspect results, or has been shown by verification or otherwise to be defective
 5.5.5.7	Does the laboratory not place any defective equipment back into service until the equipment has been repaired & shown by calibration, verification, or testing to perform satisfactorily
 5.5.5.7	Does the laboratory evaluate the effect(s) of any defective equipment on previous environmental tests (& institute Section 5.4.9)
 5.5.5.8	Is each item of equipment under the control of the laboratory & requiring calibration (wherever practicable) labeled, marked, or otherwise identified to indicate its calibration status, including the date when last calibrated and the date or calibration criteria when recalibration is due
 5.5.5.9	When equipment goes outside the direct control of the laboratory , does the laboratory ensure that the function & calibration status of the equipment are checked & shown to be satisfactory before the equipment is returned to service
 5.5.5.11	Where calibrations give rise to a set of correction factors , does the laboratory have procedures to ensure that copies (e.g., in computer software) are correctly updated
 5.5.5.12	Does the laboratory safeguard its test equipment, including both hardware & software, from adjustments that would invalidate the test results

MEASUREMENT TRACEABILITY

 5.5.6.1	Does the laboratory calibrate and/or verify all measurement operations & test equipment having an effect on the accuracy or validity of tests before being put into service
 5.5.6.1	Does the laboratory calibrate and/or verify all measurement operations & test equipment having an effect on the accuracy or validity of tests on an on-going basis
 5.5.6.1	 Does the laboratory have an established program for the calibration & verification of its measuring & test equipment Note: This includes balances, thermometers, & control standards
 5.5.6.1	Does this program include a system for selecting, using, calibrating, checking, controlling, & maintaining measurement standards, reference materials used as measurement standards, and measuring & test equipment used to perform environmental tests
 5.5.6.2.1	Does the laboratory ensure that the equipment used can provide the uncertainty of measurement needed
 5.5.6.2.1	Is the overall program of calibration and/or verification & validation of equipment designed & operated such that laboratory measurements are traceable to national standards of measurement
 5.5.6.2.2	When traceability to the International System of Units (SI) is not possible or not relevant, is there traceability to certified reference materials, agreed methods, or consensus standards
 5.5.6.2.2	Does the laboratory provide satisfactory evidence of correlation of results in those cases where traceability to national standards of measurement is not applicable (examples include: interlaboratory comparisons, proficiency testing, or independent analysis)
	REFERENCE STANDARDS & REFERENCE MATERIALS
 5.5.6.3.1	Does the laboratory have a program & procedure for calibration of its reference standards
 5.5.6.3.1 Note:	Are the reference standards held by the laboratory calibrated by a body that can provide traceability (this applies to Class S standard weights or traceable thermometers) Where commercially available, this traceability must be to a national standard of measurement
 5.5.6.3.1	Are the reference standards held by the laboratory (e.g. Class S or equivalent weights, traceable thermometers) used for calibration only & for no other purpose
 5.5.6.3.1	If reference standards held by the laboratory are used for purposes in addition to calibration, has the laboratory demonstrated that their performance as reference standards has not been invalidated
 5.5.6.3.1	Are reference standards calibrated before & after any adjustments
 5.5.6.3.2 Note:	Are the reference materials traceable Where commercially available, this traceability must be to national or international standard reference materials or standards of measurement
 5.5.6.3.2	Are internal reference materials checked as far as is technically & economically practicable

	5.5.6.3.3	Are checks needed to maintain confidence in the calibration status or reference, primary, transfer, or working standards & reference materials carried out according to defined procedures & schedules
	5.5.6.3.4	Does the laboratory have procedures for the safe handling, transport, storage, & use of reference standards & reference materials in order to prevent contamination or deterioration & in order to protect their integrity
	5.5.6.4	Does the laboratory have documented procedures for purchasing, receiving, & storing consumable materials that are used for its technical operations
	5.5.6.4(a)	Does the laboratory retain records for all standards, reagents, & media including: manufacturer/vendor manufacturer's Certificate of Analysis or purity (if supplied) date of receipt (at the laboratory) recommended storage conditions expiration date after which the material shall not be used (unless verified by the laboratory)
	5.5.6.4(a)	Has the laboratory verified the purity of expired standards, reagents, & media prior to their continued use
	5.5.6.4(b)	Does the laboratory label the original containers of standards & reagents (provided by the manufacturer) with an expiration date
	5.5.6.4(c)	Does the laboratory maintain records on reagent & standard preparation
	5.5.6.4(c)	Do the records on reagent & standard preparation indicate: Traceability to purchased stocks or neat compounds Reference to the method of preparation Date of preparation Expiration date Preparer's initials
	5.5.6.4(d)	Do all containers of prepared standards & reference materials bear a unique identifier, expiration date, & link to its specific preparation record
	5.5.6.4(e) Note:	Are procedures in place to ensure that prepared reagents meet the requirements of the test method (see the scientific discipline & technology checklists for specific requirements) Reagents of appropriate quality must be selected and used. In methods where the purity of reagents is not specified, analytical reagent grade shall be used. Reagents of lesser purity than specified in the test method shall not be used. Checks of the container label to verify that the purity of the reagents complies with the test method must be documented.
	5.5.6.4(f)	Do containers of prepared reagents bear a preparation date
	5.5.6.4(f)	Is the expiration date for each prepared reagent defined on the container or documented elsewhere as indicated in the laboratory's quality manual or SOP
COMM	ENTS:	

SAMPLING

 5.5.7.1	Does the laboratory have a sampling plan & procedures for sampling when it carries out sampling for substances, materials, or products for subsequent environmental testing
 5.5.7.1	Are the sampling plan & sampling procedures available at the location where the sampling is undertaken
 5.5.7.1	Whenever reasonable, are the sampling plans based on appropriate statistical methods
 5.5.7.1	Does the sampling process address factors to be controlled to ensure the validity of the environmental test results
 5.5.7.1	Where sampling (as in obtaining sample aliquots from a submitted sample) is carried out as part of the test method, does the laboratory use documented procedures & appropriate techniques to obtain representative subsamples
 5.5.7.2	Are deviations, additions, or exclusions required by the client from the documented sampling procedure recorded in detail with the appropriate sampling data
 5.5.7.2	Are these deviations, additions, & exclusions included on all documents containing environmental test results
 5.5.7.2	Are any deviations, additions, or exclusions communicated to the appropriate personnel
 5.5.7.3	Does the laboratory have procedures for recording relevant data & operations relating to sampling that forms part of the environmental testing that is undertaken
 5.5.7.3	Do these records include the sampling procedure used, identification of the sampler, environmental conditions (if relevant), diagrams or other equivalent means to identify the sampling location , & (if appropriate) the statistics the sampling procedures are based upon

HANDLING OF SAMPLES

 5.5.8.1	Does the laboratory have procedures for the transportation , receipt , handling , protection , storage , retention , and/or disposal of samples , including all provisions necessary to protect the integrity of the sample, and to protect the interests of the laboratory & the client
 5.5.8.2	Does the laboratory have a system for identifying samples
 5.5.8.2	Is the sample identification retained throughout the life of the sample in the laboratory
 5.5.8.2	Is the sample identification system designed & operated so as to ensure that samples cannot be confused physically or when referred to in records or other documents
 5.5.8.2	Does the sample identification system, if appropriate, accommodate a sub-division of groups of samples, and the transfer of samples within & from the laboratory
 5.5.8.2(a)	Does the laboratory have a documented system for uniquely identifying items to be tested, such that there is no confusion regarding the identity of such items at any time
 5.5.8.2(a)	Does this system include identification for all samples, subsamples, & subsequent extracts & digestates
 5.5.8.2(a)	Does the laboratory assign a unique identification (ID) code to each sample container received in the laboratory
	Note: Use of container shape, size, or other physical characteristic, such as amber glass or a purple top, is not an acceptable means of identifying the sample
 5.5.8.2(b)	Does this unique laboratory ID code maintain an unequivocal link with the unique field ID code assigned each container
	Note: Section 5.5.8.2(e) allows the laboratory ID code may be the same as the field ID code in cases where the sample collector & analyst are the same individual or the laboratory preassigns numbers to sample containers
 5.5.8.2(c)	Is the laboratory ID code placed on the sample container as a durable label
 5.5.8.2(d)	Is the laboratory ID code the link that associates the sample with related laboratory activities , such as sample preparation or calibration

SAMPLE RECEIPT PROTOCOLS

 5.5.8.3	Does the laboratory record the condition of the sample , including any abnormalities or departures from standard condition as prescribed in the relevant test method, upon receipt at the laboratory
 5.5.8.3	Does the laboratory attempt to consult the client where there is doubt on the sample's suitability for testing , sample does not conform to the description provided, or the test required is not fully specified , before proceeding
 5.5.8.3	Are such discussions with the client recorded
 5.5.8.3.1(a)	Does the laboratory check each sample for all the items specified in its sample acceptance policy
	Note: See NELAC 5.5.8.3.2 for the minimum list of items that the laboratory must check
 5.5.8.3.1(a)(1)	For samples that require thermal preservation, does the laboratory consider acceptable only those samples where the arrival temperature is within 2 degrees Celsius of the required temperature or method-specified range OR is within 0-6 degrees Celsius (where the specified temperature is 4 degrees C)
	Note: For samples hand-delivered to the laboratory on the same day that they are collected, samples are considered acceptable if there is evidence that the chilling process has begun (e.g. arrival on ice)
 5.5.8.3.1(a)(2)	Does the laboratory implement procedures to check chemical preservation using readily available techniques (e.g. pH, free chlorine) prior to or during sample preparation or analysis
 5.5.8.3.1(b)	Does the laboratory record the results of all such checks for proper preservation & sample acceptability
If the sa	mple does not meet the laboratory's acceptance criteria or receipt protocols, does the laboratory EITHER :
 5.5.8.3.1(c)(1)	Retain correspondence and/or records of conversations regarding the final disposition of rejected samples OR
 5.5.8.3.1(c)(2)	Fully document any decision to proceed with the analysis of samples not meeting acceptance criteria
If the de	ecision is made to proceed with the analysis of samples not meeting acceptance criteria:
 5.5.8.3.1(c)(2)(i)	Does the laboratory note the condition of these samples on the chain-of-custody or transmittal forms & on laboratory receipt documents
 5.5.8.3.1(c)(2)(ii) Does the laboratory appropriately "qualify" the analysis data on the final report

 5.5.8.3.1(d)	Does the laboratory utilize a permanent chronological record (e.g. log book or electronic database) to document receipt of all sample containers
 5.5.8.3.1(d)(1)	 Does this sample receipt log record the following: Client or project name Date & time of laboratory receipt Unique laboratory ID code Signature or initials of person making the entries
 5.5.8.3.1(d)(2)	During the log-in process, is sample collection information unequivocally linked to the log record or included as part of the log
 5.5.8.3.1(d)(2)(i)	Is the field ID code which identifies each sample container linked to the laboratory ID code in the sample receipt log
 5.5.8.3.1(d)(2)(ii) Is the date & time of sample collection linked to the sample container and to the date & time of receipt in the laboratory
 5.5.8.3.1(d)(2)(ii	i) Are the requested analyses (including applicable approved test method numbers) linked to the laboratory ID code
 5.5.8.3.1(d)(2)(iv	Are any comments resulting from inspection for sample rejection linked to the laboratory ID code
 5.5.8.3.1(d)(2)	 If the above information on field ID codes, laboratory ID codes, sample collection date & time, sample receipt date & time, requested analyses, and sample rejection comments is not linked to the sample receipt log, is this information recorded & documented elsewhere as part of the laboratory's permanent records, easily retrievable upon request, & readily available to the individuals who will process the sample Note: Placement of laboratory ID number on the sample container is not considered a permanent record
 5.5.8.3.1(e)	Does the laboratory retain all documentation that is transmitted to the laboratory by the sample transmitter (e.g. memos or transmittal forms)
 5.5.8.3.1(f)	If utilized, does the laboratory maintain a complete chain-of-custody record

SAMPLE ACCEPTANCE POLICY

 5.5.8.3.2	Does the laboratory have a written sample acceptance policy that clearly outlines the circumstances under which samples will be accepted
 5.5.8.3.2	Is this sample acceptance policy made available to sample collection personnel
Does	the sample acceptance policy include the following areas of concern :
 5.5.8.3.2(a)	Proper, full, & complete documentation , which includes: sample identification
	location of sample collection
	date & time of collection
	collector's name
	preservation type
	sample type
	any special remarks concerning the sample
 5.5.8.3.2(b)	Proper sample labeling to include unique identification
 5.5.8.3.2(b)	Labeling system for the samples with requirements concerning the durability of the labels (water resistant) and the use of indelible ink
 5.5.8.3.2(c)	Use of appropriate sample containers
 5.5.8.3.2(d)	Adherence to specified holding times
 5.5.8.3.2(e)	Adequate sample volume to perform the necessary tests (including a matrix spike if this sample is randomly selected from the test batch for this purpose)
 5.5.8.3.2(f)	Procedures to be used if the sample shows signs of damage, contamination, or inadequate preservation
 5.5.8.3.2	For samples that do not meet the laboratory's sample acceptance policy, is the data flagged in an unambiguous manner clearly defining the nature & substance of the variation
 5.5.8.4	Does the laboratory have documented procedures & appropriate facilities to avoid damage , deterioration , or contamination to the sample during storage, handling, preparation, & testing
 5.5.8.4	Does the laboratory follow any relevant instructions that may be provided with the test item
 5.5.8.4	Does the laboratory maintain, monitor, & record any necessary specific environmental conditions whenever test items have to be stored or conditioned under such conditions
 5.5.8.4(a)	Are samples stored according to the conditions specified by preservation protocols
 5.5.8.4(a)(1)	For samples that require thermal preservation, does the laboratory store the samples under refrigeration which is: within 2 degrees Celsius of the specified preservation temperature, OR
	meets method-specific criteria, OR
	between 0-6 degrees Celsius when the specified storage temperature is 4 C

	5.5.8.4(a)(2)	Are samples stored away from all standards, reagents, food, & other potentially contaminating sources
	5.5.8.4(a)(2)	Are samples stored in such a manner as to prevent cross-contamination
	5.5.8.4(b)	Does the laboratory also store sample fractions, extracts, leachates, & other sample preparation products such that: specifications in the test method are achieved any necessary thermal preservation is ensured conditions specified by the preservation protocol are achieved cross-contamination is prevented storage with potentially contaminating sources is avoided
	5.5.8.4(c)	Does the laboratory have storage & security arrangements that protect the condition & integrity of sample or portions of samples, when those items or portions are to be held secure (e.g. for reasons of record, safety, or value; or to enable check calibrations or tests to be performed later)
	5.5.8.4(d)	Does the laboratory have standard operating procedures for the disposal of samples, digestates, leachates, extracts, & other preparation products
COMM	ENTS:	
		ASSURING THE QUALITY OF ENVIRONMENTAL TESTS
	5.5.9.1	Does the laboratory have quality control procedures to monitor the validity of environmental tests undertaken
	5.5.9.1	Is the resulting quality control data recorded in such a way that trends are detectable and, where practicable, statistical analyses can be applied to the reviewing of the results
	5.5.9.1 Note:	Is the quality control monitoring planned & reviewed Examples of such monitoring include: internal QC procedures using statistical techniques participation in proficiency testing or other interlaboratory comparisons use of certified reference materials in-house QC with secondary reference materials replicate testing using the same or different test methods re-testing of retained samples correlation of results for different parameters of a sample (e.g. [Total Phosphorus] >= [Orthophosphate])

ESSENTIAL QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES

Does the laboratory **have detailed written protocols** in place to monitor the following **quality controls**:

 5.5.9.2(a)(1)	Adequate positive & negative controls to monitor tests (e.g. blanks, spikes, reference toxicants)
 5.5.9.2(a)(2)	Adequate tests to define repeatability and/or variability of laboratory results (e.g. replicates)
 5.5.9.2(a)(3)	Measures to assure test method accuracy that include sufficient calibration and/or continuing calibrations, use of certified reference materials, & proficiency test samples
 5.5.9.2(a)(4)	Measures to evaluate test method capability (e.g. limit of detection & limit of quantitation) or range of applicability (e.g. linearity)
 5.5.9.2(a)(5)	Selection of appropriate formalae to reduce raw data to final results (e.g. regression analysis, statistical analyses, & comparison to internal/external standard calculations)
 5.5.9.2(a)(6)	Selection & use of reagents & standards of appropriate quality
 5.5.9.2(a)(7)	Measures to assure the selectivity of the test for its intended purpose
 5.5.9.2(a)(8)	Measures to assure constant & consistent test conditions (both environmental & instrumental) where required by the test method (e.g. temperature, humidity, light, or specific instrumental conditions)
 5.5.9.2(b)	Does the laboratory assess & evaluate all quality control measures on an on-going basis
 5.5.9.2(b)	Does the laboratory use quality control acceptance criteria to determine the usability of the data
 5.5.9.2(c)	Does the laboratory have procedures for the development of sample acceptance/rejection criteria where no method or regulatory criteria exist
 5.5.9.2(d)	Are the quality control protocols specified by the laboratory's method manual followed
 5.5.9.2(d)	Does the laboratory assure that the essential standards in Appendix D to NELAC Chapter 5 (see 5.5.4(a) above), mandated methods, or regulations are incorporated into the test method manuals The QC requirements in the mandated methods or regulations are to be followed
1.000.	when it is not apparent which QC is more stringent

REPORTING THE RESULTS

 5.5.10.1	Does the laboratory report the results of each test or series of tests carried out by the laboratory
	Note: These results must also be reported accurately, clearly, unambiguously, objectively, & in accordance with any specific instructions in the environmental test methods
 5.5.10.1	Does the laboratory's test reports contain all information requested by the client & necessary for the interpretation of test results & all information required by the methods used
 5.5.10.1	Is any test report information not reported to the client readily available in the laboratory that carried out the environmental test
	Note: If the laboratory has a written agreement with the client , the test results may be reported in a simplified way
 5.5.10.1	If not all required information is included in the laboratory's test reports, because the report is complying with specific regulatory reporting requirements or formats, does the laboratory still supply all the required information to its clients for preparing these reports
 5.5.10.1	If the laboratory is operated by a facility whose sole function is to provide data to the facility management , does the laboratory have all required test report information readily available for review
	Note: This information does not need to be included in a formal test report if the in-house facility laboratory is responsible for preparing the regulatory reports or the laboratory provides information to someone else within the organization for preparing the regulatory report
 5.5.10.1	Does the facility management for the in-house laboratory ensure that all required report items are included in the facility's regulatory reports
	Note: This may be a state-specific requirement; the primary accrediting authority is responsible for assessing whether the laboratory complies with such format or report requirements in the state where the laboratory resides
	ach laboratory report to an outside client include the following information (unless the laboratory d reasons for not doing so):
 5.5.10.2 (a)	A title (e.g. "Test Report," "Laboratory Results," "Certificate of Results")
 5.5.10.2(b)	Laboratory name & address
 5.5.10.2(b)	Phone number & contact person name to whom questions should be directed

5.5.10.2(b) Location where the **tests were carried out**, if different from the laboratory's address

 5.5.10.2(c)	Unique identification of the test report (e.g. serial number) and of each page & the total number of pages
	Note: The total number of pages may be listed on the first page of the report as long as subsequent pages are identified by the unique report identification number & consecutive numbers
	Note: Each page of the test report can also be identified with the unique report identification number, with the pages identified as a number of the total report pages (e.g. "3 of 10," "1 of 20")
	Note: Other methods of identifying the pages in a test report are acceptable as long as it is clear that discrete pages are associated with a specific report & that the report contains a specified number of pages
 5.5.10.2(d)	Client name & address, where appropriate, & project name, if applicable
 5.5.10.2(e)	Identification of the test method used , or unambiguous description of any non-standard method used
 5.5.10.2(f)	Description & unambiguous identification of the tested sample , including the client identification code
 5.5.10.2(g)	Date of sample receipt by the laboratory, where critical to the validity & application of the test results
 5.5.10.2(g)	Date & time of sample collection
 5.5.10.2(g)	Date of performance test (analysis)
 5.5.10.2(g)	Time of sample preparation and/or analysis if the required holding time for either activity is less than or equal to 72 hours
 5.5.10.2(h)	Reference to the sampling plan & procedure used by the laboratory or other bodies, where relevant to the validity or application of the results
 5.5.10.2(i)	Environmental test results, with any failures identified, as appropriate
 5.5.10.2(i)	Identification as to whether data was calculated on a dry weight or wet weight basis
 5.5.10.2(i)	Identification of reporting units (e.g. ug/L & mg/kg)
 5.5.10.2(i)	Identification of any statistical packages used (especially for Whole Effluent Toxicity)
 5.5.10.2(j)	Name(s), function(s), & signature(s), or equivalent electronic identification(s), of the person(s) authorizing the test report
 5.5.10.2(j)	Date of issue for the test report
 5.5.10.2(k)	A statement to the effect that the results relate only to the samples
 5.5.10.2(m)	For laboratories already NELAP-accredited, certification that the test results meet all requirements of the NELAC Standards, or the reasons and/or justification if they do not

Where **necessary for the interpretation** of the test results, do the test reports include:

 5.5.10.3.1(a)	Any deviations from (e.g. failed quality control), additions to, or exclusions from the test method (e.g. environmental conditions)
 5.5.10.3.1(a)	Any non-standard conditions that may have affected the quality of results
 5.5.10.3.1(a)	Use & definition of data qualifiers
 5.5.10.3.1(b)	Where quality system requirements are not met , a statement of compliance or non-compliance with requirements and/or specifications, including identification of test results derived from any sample that did not meet NELAC sample acceptance requirements (e.g. improper container, holding time, or temperature)
 5.5.10.3.1(c) Note: I	Statement of the estimated uncertainty of measurement , where applicable nformation on uncertainty is needed when required by the client
 5.5.10.3.1(d)	Where appropriate & needed, opinions & interpretations (see Section 5.5.10.5)
 5.5.10.3.1(e)	Additional information required by specific methods, clients, or groups of clients
 5.5.10.3.1(f)	Qualification of numerical results with values outside of the working range
When te	est reports include the results of sampling , do the reports include, where necessary for the Interpretation of test results:
 5.5.10.3.2(a)	Date of sampling
 5.5.10.3.2(b)	Unambiguous identification of the substance, material, or product sampled (including the name of the manufacturer, model or type of designation, & serial number as appropriate)
 5.5.10.3.2(c)	Location of sampling, including any diagrams, sketches, or photographs
 5.5.10.3.2(d)	Reference to the sampling plan & procedures used
 5.5.10.3.2(e)	Details of any environmental conditions during sampling that may affect the interpretation of the test results
 5.5.10.3.2(f)	Any standard or other specification for the sampling method or procedure
 5.5.10.3.2(f)	Any deviations, additions, or exclusions from the specification concerned
 5.5.10.4	When they are included, does the laboratory document the basis upon which the opinions & interpretations have been made
 5.5.10.4	Are opinions & interpretations clearly marked as such in the test report

 5.5.10.5	Does the laboratory clearly identify the subcontract laboratory name or accreditation number in reports that contain results of tests from sub-contractors
 5.5.10.5	Does the laboratory require the subcontractor to report the results in writing or electronically
 5.5.10.5	When requested by the client , does the laboratory make a copy of the subcontractor's report available to the client
 5.5.10.6	Does the laboratory ensure that all required items are present in test reports that are transmitted by electronic or electromagnetic means such as telephone, telex, or facsimile
 5.5.10.6	Does the laboratory ensure that all reasonable steps are taken to preserve confidentiality in test reports that are transmitted by telephone, telex, facsimile, or other electronic or electromagnetic means
 5.5.10.7	Is the format of the test report designed to accommodate each type of environmental test carried out & to minimize the possibility of misunderstanding or misuse
 5.5.10.8	Does the laboratory issue amendments to a test report only as a separate document or data transfer , which includes a statement such as "Supplement to Test Report, serial number" or equivalent wording
 5.5.10.8	Do the test report amendments meet all relevant requirements of the NELAC Standards
 5.5.10.8	When necessary to issue a complete new test report, is this report uniquely identified & contain a reference to the original that it replaces
	USE OF NELAP ACCREDITATION
 6.8(a)(1)	Does the laboratory post or display its most recent NELAP accreditation certificate or its NELAP-accredited fields of testing in a prominent place in the laboratory facility
 6.8(a)(2)	Does the laboratory make accurate statements concerning its NELAP accreditation status & its NELAP-accredited fields of testing
 6.8(a)(3)	Does the laboratory accompany the accrediting authority's name or the NELAC/NELAP logo with the phrase "NELAP accredited" & its accreditation number , when the accrediting authority's name is used on catalogs, advertising, business solicitations,

_____ 6.8(a)(4) Does the laboratory use its NELAP Certificate, accreditation status, or NELAC/NELAP logo in a manner so as **not to imply endorsement** by the accrediting authority

proposals, quotations, analytical reports, or other materials

INITIAL TEST METHOD EVALUATION

Notes:	For Toxicity testing & Microbiology testing , the initial test method evaluation requirements are contained in Appendices D.2 & D.3 , respectively.		
	For all tes	t methods other than Toxicity & Microbiology, the requirements on Limit of Detection & Limit of Duantitation apply.	
	For evalua	ation of precision & bias of a Standard Method, the Demonstration of Capability procedure in Appendix C.1 to NELAC Chapter 5 applies. Otherwise, for a Non-Standard Method, the precision & ias measurements must evaluate the method across the analytical calibration range of the method.	
		LIMIT OF DETECTION	
	C.3.1(a)	Has the laboratory determined the Limit of Detection (LOD) for each target analyte of concern in the quality system matrix.	
	C.3.1 (a)	Does the laboratory include all sample processing steps of the analytical method in the determination of the LOD	
	C.3.1(b)	Has the laboratory confirmed the validity of the LOD by qualitative identification of the analyte(s) in a quality control sample in each quality system matrix containing the analyte at no more than 2-3x the LOD for single-analyte tests and 1-4x the LOD for multiple analyte tests	
	C.3.1(b)	Is the LOD verification performed on every instrument that is to be used for analysis of samples & reporting of data	
	C.3.1(c)	Where a LOD study is not performed, does not laboratory not report a value below the Limit of Quantitation	
	N	Note: A LOD study is not required for any component for which spiking solutions or quality control samples are not available (e.g., Temperature), or when test results are not to be reported to the LOD (versus the Limit of Quantitation or working range of instrument calibration according to Appendices D.1.2, D.4.5, D.5.4, and D.6.6 to NELAC Chapter 5).	
		LIMIT OF QUANTITATION	
	C.3.2(a)	Has the laboratory determined the Limit of Quantitation (LOQ) for each analyte of concern according to a defined , documented procedure	
	N	The LOQ study is not required for any component or property for which spiking solutions or quality control samples are not commercially available or otherwise inappropriate (e.g., pH).	
	C.3.2(c)	Has the laboratory confirmed the validity of the LOQ by successful analysis of a quality control sample, containing the analytes of concern in each quality system matrix 1-2 times the claimed LOQ	
	N	Iote: A successful analysis is one where the recovery of each analyte is within the established test method acceptance criteria or client data quality objectives for accuracy.	
	N	lote: This single analysis is not required if the bias & precision of the measurement system are evaluated at the LOQ	

PRECISION AND BIAS

 C.3.3(a) Note:	 Has the laboratory evaluated the precision & bias of a Standard Method for each analyte of concern for each quality system matrix according to the single-concentration 4-replicate recovery study procedures in Appendix C.1 to NELAC Chapter 5 (see the technology-specific and scientific discipline checklists for these Standards) When the analyte cannot be spiked into the sample matrix and quality control samples are not commercially available, an alternate procedure documented in the quality manual is acceptable.
 C.3.3(b)	For laboratory-developed or non-standard test methods , does the laboratory have a documented procedure to evaluate precision & bias
	This Standard does not apply to test methods in use by the laboratory before July 2003 Laboratory-developed test methods are defined as environmental test methods developed by the
Note:	laboratory for its own use. Non-standard test methods are defined as methods not covered as standard methods.
 C.3.3(b)	Has the laboratory compared results of the precision & bias measurements for laboratory- developed & non-standard methods with: criteria established by the client, criteria given in the reference method, or criteria established by the laboratory
 C.3.3(b) Note:	Do the precision & bias measurements evaluate the laboratory-developed or non-standard test method across the analytical calibration range of the method Examples of systematic approach to evaluate precision & bias could be: a validation protocol , such as the Tier I, Tier II, & Tier III requirements in US EPA Office of Water's Alternate Test Procedure (ATP) approval process, or replicate analysis of quality control samples at or near the LOQ, at the upper range of the calibration, & at a mid-range concentration , processed on different days as 3 sets of samples through the entire measurement system for each analyte of interest (see Appendix C.3.3(b) to NELAC Chapter 5 for further details).
	EVALUATION OF SELECTIVITY
 C.3.4 Note:	Has the laboratory evaluated selectivity by following the checks established within the test method These evaluations may include mass spectral tuning, second-column confirmation, chromatography retention time windows, ICP inter-element interference checks, sample blanks, spectrochemical absorption or fluorescence profiles, co-precipitation evaluations, & electrode response factors.