

2010 Community Health Assessment Survey

Topic: Current Participation

Has your CHD participated within the last three years or are you currently participating in a community health improvement process?

Related Standards:

NPHPSP: 5.3.1 An established community health improvement process in place

National Accreditation:

Standard 5.3 Conduct a health improvement planning process

Measure 5.3.1 Conduct a health improvement process that includes broad participation from the community

Measure 5.3.2 Produce a health improvement plan as a result of the community health improvement process


Measure 5.3.3 Implement elements and strategies of the health improvement plan in partnership with others

Measure 5.3.4 Establish a monitoring system to track progress on strategies and health improvement in order to revise plan as needed

DOH Community Health Improvement:

Standard 1.1 Utilizes a systematic process for community health improvement planning

**CHDs Participating in Community Health Improvement
During the Past Three Years**



Sarasota County Response: Yes

Number and Percent of CHDs Participating in Community Health Improvement

| Statewide Response | Yes | | No | | No Response | |
|--------------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| | No. of CHDs | Percent | No. of CHDs | Percent | No. of CHDs | Percent |
| 2003 | 48 | 72% | 14 | 21% | 5 | 7% |
| 2004 | 53 | 79% | 8 | 12% | 6 | 9% |
| 2005 | 57 | 85% | 6 | 9% | 4 | 6% |
| 2006 | 59 | 88% | 8 | 12% | 0 | 0% |
| 2007 | 64 | 96% | 3 | 4% | 0 | 0% |
| 2008 | 52 | 78% | 12 | 18% | 3 | 4% |
| 2009 | 56 | 84% | 10 | 15% | 1 | 1% |
| 2010 | 41 | 61% | 26 | 39% | 0 | 0% |

2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

Topic: CHD Leadership Role

What is your CHD's role in the community health improvement process?

Related Standards:

NPHSP: 4.1 Constituency Development

4.1.1 Process for identifying key constituents or stakeholders

4.1.2 Encourage participation of constituents in improving community health

National Accreditation:

Standard 4.1 Engage the Public Health System and Community in Comprehensive Planning

DOH Community Health Improvement:

1B. Organizes effective partnerships that include diverse memberships, a vision, and partnerships management processes



| Statewide Response | Lead Agency | | Stakeholder | | Sponsor | | Process Manager | | Participant | | Blank/Not Applicable | Total |
|--------------------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|-----------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------------|-------|
| | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent | | |
| 2003 | 32 | 48% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 2004 | 28 | 42% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 2005 | 48 | 72% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 2006 | 51 | 76% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 2007 | 52 | 78% | 7 | 10% | 3 | 4% | 1 | 1% | 4 | 6% | 0 | 0% |
| 2008 | 48 | 72% | 10 | 15% | 4 | 6% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 6% |
| 2009 | 45 | 67% | 12 | 18% | 3 | 4% | 2 | 3% | 2 | 3% | 3 | 4% |
| 2010 | 41 | 61% | 15 | 22% | 4 | 6% | 1 | 1% | 2 | 3% | 4 | 6% |

(data from 2003 - 2006 is not comparable)

Sarasota County Response: Lead Agency

2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

Topic: Community Health Assessment Models

What community health improvement models or tools are currently used at your CHD?

Related Standards:

NPHPSP: 5.3.1.1 Community health improvement process use of established tool such as MAPP or PACE-EH

Accreditation: Standard 5.3 Conduct a health Improvement Planning Process

DOH Community Health Improvement:

Implements a community health assessment process that includes

1A1. Identification of community themes (issues) and strengths


1A2. Assessment of the local public health system

1A3. Assessment of community health status resulting in the development of a community health profile document

1A4. Identification of potential forces of change (threats and opportunities)

Models of Community Health Assessment in Use

CHDs May Use More Than One Model



CHDs may be using more than one community health assessment model.

| Statewide Responses | 2003 | | 2004 | | 2005 | | 2006 | | 2007 | | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2010 | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Models in Use | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % |
| MAPP | 28 | 42% | 34 | 51% | 45 | 67% | 48 | 72% | 49 | 73% | 45 | 67% | 41 | 61% | 39 | 58% |
| PACE EH | 10 | 15% | 17 | 25% | 24 | 36% | 25 | 37% | 21 | 31% | 19 | 28% | 25 | 37% | 24 | 36% |
| CATCH | 13 | 19% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 3% | 18 | 27% | 9 | 13% | 6 | 9% | 7 | 10% | 5 | 7% |
| APEX PH | 8 | 12% | 5 | 7% | 8 | 12% | 12 | 18% | 6 | 9% | 6 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 3% |
| PATCH | 12 | 18% | 11 | 16% | 8 | 12% | 14 | 21% | 5 | 7% | 7 | 10% | 1 | 1% | 4 | 6% |
| Other | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 9% | 17 | 25% | 4 | 6% | 9 | 13% | 14 | 21% | 18 | 27% |
| None | 0 | 0% | 4 | 6% | 1 | 1% | 1 | 1% | 3 | 4% | 4 | 6% | 10 | 15% | 8 | 12% |
| HPHC | 0 | 0% | 22 | 33% | 20 | 30% | 26 | 39% | 34 | 51% | 44 | 66% | (not asked) | (not asked) | (not asked) | (not asked) |
| Unknown | 6 | 9% | 3 | 4% | 2 | 3% | 3 | 4% | 3 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | (not asked) | (not asked) |

Descriptive Names

| | |
|---------|---|
| MAPP | Mobilizing for Action through Planning and Partnerships |
| PACE EH | Protocol for Assessing Community Excellence in Environmental Health |
| CATCH | Comprehensive Assessment for Tracking Community Health |
| APEX PH | Assessment Protocol for Excellence in Public Health |
| PATH | Planned Approach to Community Health |
| HPHC | Healthy People, Healthy Communities |

Sarasota County Response: MAPP, PATCH, PACE EH

2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

What assets does the CHDs community health improvement process include?


Related Standards:

NPHPSP: 5.3.3 CHD conducts a strategic planning process

Accreditation: Standard 5.2 Engage in local health department strategic planning

DOH Community Health Improvement:

1D. Demonstrates linkage of strategic plan with community health improvement planning



| Assets | Budget | | Dedicated Staff | | Written Policy-Strategic Plan | | Other | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----|-----------------|-----|-------------------------------|-----|-------------|-----|
| | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % |
| Statewide Responses | | | | | | | | |
| 2005 | 19 | 28% | 16 | 24% | 36 | 54% | 0 | 0% |
| 2006 | 34 | 51% | 15 | 22% | 17 | 25% | 0 | 0% |
| 2007 | 25 | 37% | 25 | 37% | 46 | 69% | 16 | 24% |
| 2008 | 24 | 36% | 39 | 58% | 39 | 58% | 10 | 15% |
| 2009 | 29 | 43% | 34 | 51% | 41 | 61% | 14 | 21% |
| 2010 | 31 | 46% | 37 | 55% | 17 | 25% | 5 | 7% |

Sarasota County Response: Budget, Staff

2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

Topic: Staff Dedication

How many staff (FTE) are dedicated to your community health improvement process?

Related Standards:

Accreditation: Part A - Administrative Capacity and Governance
Standard A1: Provide infrastructure for public health services



Number of CHDs by FTE Devoted to Community Health Assessment

| Statewide Responses | 2007 | | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2010 | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|
| | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent |
| More than 0 and less than 1 FTE | 11 | 16% | 15 | 22% | 32 | 48% | 31 | 46% |
| 1 - 2 FTE | 6 | 9% | 10 | 15% | 9 | 13% | 7 | 10% |
| More than 2 FTE | 6 | 9% | 8 | 12% | 9 | 13% | 8 | 12% |
| Approximate Statewide Total FTE | 35.15 | n/a | 54.7 | n/a | 63 | n/a | 71 | n/a |

Sarasota County Response: <1.00 FTE

2010 Community Health Improvement Survey


What unit coordinates your community health improvement activities?

Related Standards:

NPHPSP: 5.3.3.1 CHD reviews its organizational strategic plan to determine how it can best be aligned with the community health improvement process

Accreditation: Part A - Administrative Capacity and Governance
Standard A1 Provide infrastructure for public health services

Coordinating Unit for Community Health Assessment Activities



Coordinating Units for Community Health Assessment Activities

| Statewide Responses | 2007 | | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2010 | |
|----------------------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|
| | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent |
| Director's Office | 39 | 58 | 35 | 52 | 40 | 60 | 39 | 58 |
| Nursing | 5 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 7 |
| Epidemiology | not asked | | not asked | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Environmental Health | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Quality Improvement | 5 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 16 |
| Health Education | 11 | 16 | 12 | 18 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 3 |
| Public Information | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| Community Health | 5 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 1 |
| Total | 67 | 100 | 63 | 94 | 66 | 100 | 67 | 100 |

Sarasota County Response: Health Education/Promotion

2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

What is the status of your CHD's strategic plan?

Related Standards:

NPHPSP: 5.3.3 CHD conducts a strategic planning process

Accreditation: Standard 5.2 Engage in local health department strategic planning

Measure 5.2.1 Conduct a strategic planning process


Measure 5.2.2 Produce a strategic plan

Measure 5.2.3 Implement the strategic plan

Measure 5.2.4 Review and update the strategic plan

DOH Community Health Improvement:

1D. Demonstrates linkage of strategic plan with community health improvement planning



Year

Strategic Plan Status

| Statewide Response | 2006 | | 2007 | | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2010 | |
|--------------------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|
| | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent |
| Planned | 11 | 16 | 8 | 12 | 6 | 10 | 13 | 19 | 10 | 15 |
| In Progress | 40 | 60 | 31 | 46 | 40 | 65 | 25 | 37 | 32 | 48 |
| Complete | 16 | 24 | 28 | 42 | 16 | 26 | 28 | 43 | 25 | 37 |
| Total | 67 | 100 | 67 | 100 | 62 | 100 | 67 | 100 | 67 | 100 |

Sarasota County Response: Complete

2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

Do the issues in your CHD's strategic plan align with some of the community-identified issues?

Related Standards:

NPHPSP:

5.3.3.1 CHD reviews its organizational strategic plan to determine how it can best be aligned the community health improvement process


Accreditation:

Measure 5.2.1 Conduct a strategic planning process (documentation: cross reference to community health improvement plan or quality improvement plan)

DOH Community Health Improvement:

1D. Demonstrates linkage of strategic plan with community health improvement planning

Strategic Plan Alignment with Community Issues



Strategic Plan Alignment with Community Issues

| Statewide Responses | Yes | Percent Yes | No | Percent No | Don't Know / Have not identified priorities | Percent Don't Know / Have not identified priorities | Total No. of Responses |
|---------------------|-----|-------------|----|------------|---|---|------------------------|
| 2006 | 55 | 98 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| 2007 | 62 | 93 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 67 |
| 2008 | 58 | 94 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 62 |
| 2009 | 58 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 66 |
| 2010 | 57 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 15 | 67 |

Sarasota County Response: Yes

2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

What is the status of the Community Health improvement process?

Related Standards:

NPHPSP:

- 4.2.1 Partnerships exist in the community to maximize public health improvement activities (organize for success)
- 5.3.1.2 Broad participation in the community health improvement process (organize for success, visioning)
- 5.3.1.3 Process includes information from community health assessments (health status assessment)
- 5.3.1.4 Process includes issues and themes identified by the community (community themes, strengths, forces of change)
- 5.3.1.5 Process includes identification of community assets and resources (local public health system assessment)
- 5.3.1.6 Process includes prioritization of community health issues (identify strategic issues)
- 5.3.1.7 Process includes development of measurable health objectives (implement action cycle)

Accreditation:

- Standard 4.1 Engage the public health system and the community in comprehensive planning
 - Measure 4.1.1 Recruit governing entity members, stakeholders, community partners and the public to participate in a community
 - Measure 4.1.3 Establish and support planning process to improve health
- Standard 4.2 Engage the community to promote policies to improve the public's health
- Standard 4.2.1 Disseminate results of community health assessments to community (assess community themes and strengths, forces of change, local public health system, community health status)
- Standard 5.3.1 Conduct a health improvement process that includes broad participation from the community
- Standard 5.3.2 Produce a health improvement plan as a result of the community health improvement process (assessments, strategic issues, action plan)
- Standard 5.3.3 Implement elements and strategies of the health improvement plan in partnership with others (action cycle)
- Standard 5.3.4 Establish a monitoring system to track progress on strategies and health improvement in order to revise, update plan as needed (action cycle, evaluation)

DOH Community Health Improvement:

Implements a community health assessment process that includes

- 1A1. Identification of community themes (issues) and strengths
- 1A2. Assessment of the local public health system
- 1A3. Assessment of community health status resulting in the development of a community health profile document
- 1A4. Identification of potential forces of change (threats and opportunities)

Completed Phases of the Community Health Improvement Cycle, 2010




2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

What is the status of the Community Health improvement process?

Percent of CHDs by the Completed Phases of the Community Health Improvement Cycle

| Status | 2005 | Count | 2006 | Count | 2007 | Count | 2008 | Count | 2009 | Count | 2010 | Count | Sarasota County Response |
|---------------------------------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|--------------------------|
| | Percent | | Percent | | Percent | | Percent | | Percent | | Percent | | |
| Organize for Success | 31% | 21 | 39% | 26 | 42% | 28 | 42% | 28 | 48% | 32 | 49% | 33 | Complete |
| Visioning | 24% | 16 | 31% | 21 | 40% | 27 | 48% | 32 | 52% | 35 | 45% | 30 | #REF! |
| Assess Community Themes and Strengths | 25% | 17 | 46% | 31 | 43% | 29 | 42% | 28 | 48% | 32 | 39% | 26 | #REF! |
| Assess Forces of Change | 16% | 11 | 24% | 16 | 34% | 23 | 31% | 21 | 36% | 24 | 30% | 20 | Complete |
| Assess Local Public Health System | 70% | 47 | 48% | 32 | 51% | 34 | 51% | 34 | 52% | 35 | 39% | 26 | #REF! |
| Assess Community Health Status | 28% | 19 | 34% | 23 | 51% | 34 | 49% | 33 | 51% | 34 | 51% | 34 | #REF! |
| Identify Strategic Issues | 18% | 12 | 22% | 15 | 27% | 18 | 28% | 19 | 42% | 28 | 28% | 19 | #REF! |
| Implement the Action Cycle | 6% | 4 | 10% | 7 | 13% | 9 | 16% | 11 | 16% | 11 | 12% | 8 | In Progress |

Completed Phases of the Community Health Improvement Cycle



2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

What themes are being addressed by community-identified strategic issues?

Related Standards:

NPHPSP:

5.3.1.6 Community health improvement process includes prioritization of community health issues

Accreditation:

Measure 4.1.3 Establish and support collaborative partnerships to solve priority health issues

DOH Community Health Improvement:

Implements a community health assessment process that includes

1A1. Identification of community themes (issues) and strengths

Themes Addressed by Communities in 2010



Number of CHDs by Themes Addressed

| Themes | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Access to care | 51 | 61 | 52 | 55 | 56 |
| Health Promotion | 46 | 60 | 51 | 58 | 50 |
| Public Health Infrastructure | 2 | 25 | 31 | 33 | 24 |
| Public Health Preparedness | 34 | 34 | 31 | 35 | 25 |
| Environmental Health | 23 | 18 | 26 | 31 | 24 |
| Policies and Laws | 2 | 13 | 17 | 22 | 17 |
| Other | 0 | 10 | 13 | 10 | 8 |

Counties may address more than one theme.

2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

What themes are being addressed by community-identified strategic issues?

Community Themes, 2006-2010



| Percentage of CHDs by Themes Addressed | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|
| Themes | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Access to Care | 76% | 91% | 78% | 82% | 95% |
| Health Promotion | 69% | 90% | 76% | 87% | 85% |
| Public Health Infrastructure | 3% | 37% | 46% | 49% | 41% |
| Public Health Preparedness | 51% | 51% | 46% | 52% | 42% |
| Environmental Health | 34% | 27% | 39% | 46% | 41% |
| Policies and Laws | 3% | 19% | 25% | 33% | 29% |
| Other | 0% | 15% | 19% | 15% | 14% |

Counties may address more than one theme.

Sarasota County Response: Access to care, Environment, Health Prom. & Educ., PH Infrast. & PH Prep.

2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

What topics are being addressed by community-identified goals and objectives?

Related Standards:

NPHPSP: Community health improvement process that includes development of measureable health objectives


Accreditation: Measure 5.3.2 Produce a health improvement plan as a result of the community health improvement process (documentation: measureable health improvement indicators to monitor progress)

Measure 5.3.4 Establish monitoring system to track progress on strategies and health improvement in order to revise, update plan as needed (documentation: performance measures, evaluation results)

DOH Community Health Improvement: Implements a community health assessment process that includes

1C. Produces action plans including the identification of strategic issues, goals and strategies, a continuous action cycle with evaluation components

**Topics being Addressed by Community Identified Goals
2010**



Community Health Improvement Topics by Number of CHDs

| Topics | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|----------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| Diabetes | 49 | 47 | 46 | 43 |
| Overweight & Obesity | 48 | 47 | 46 | 42 |
| Smoking & Tobacco Use | 49 | 46 | 49 | 40 |
| Dental Issues | | | | 37 |
| Teen Pregnancy | 28 | 39 | 47 | 35 |
| Maternal and child Health | 34 | 37 | 40 | 33 |
| Heart Disease and Stroke | 37 | 42 | 34 | 30 |
| Infant Mortality | 34 | 36 | 36 | 29 |
| Immunization | 28 | 29 | 37 | 28 |
| Public Health Preparedness | 32 | 28 | 31 | 26 |
| Infectious Disease | 26 | 33 | 32 | 25 |
| Environmental Health | 26 | 30 | 30 | 24 |
| Mental Health | 21 | 24 | 29 | 22 |
| Substance Abuse | 16 | 19 | 26 | 20 |
| Cancer | 26 | 21 | 30 | 19 |
| Asthma | 17 | 16 | 14 | 13 |
| Injury and trauma | 18 | 21 | 23 | 11 |
| Aging | 9 | 15 | 19 | 10 |
| Not Yet Identified | 8 | 1 | 8 | 8 |
| Workforce | 21 | 21 | 22 | |

CHDs may select multiple topics

Community Health Improvement Topics by Percent of CHDs

2010 Community Health Improvement Survey


What topics are being addressed by community-identified goals and objectives?

| Topics | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | Sarasota County Response |
|----------------------------|------|------|------|------|--------------------------|
| Diabetes | 73% | 70% | 69% | 64% | x |
| Overweight & Obesity | 72% | 70% | 69% | 63% | x |
| Smoking & Tobacco Use | 73% | 69% | 73% | 60% | x |
| Dental Issues | 0% | 0% | 0% | 55% | x |
| Teen Pregnancy | 42% | 58% | 70% | 52% | x |
| Maternal and child Health | 51% | 55% | 60% | 49% | x |
| Heart Disease and Stroke | 55% | 63% | 51% | 45% | x |
| Infant Mortality | 51% | 54% | 54% | 43% | x |
| Immunization | 42% | 43% | 55% | 42% | x |
| Public Health Preparedness | 48% | 42% | 46% | 39% | x |
| Infectious Disease | 39% | 49% | 48% | 37% | x |
| Environmental Health | 39% | 45% | 45% | 36% | x |
| Mental Health | 31% | 36% | 43% | 33% | None |
| Substance Abuse | 24% | 28% | 39% | 30% | x |
| Cancer | 39% | 31% | 45% | 28% | x |
| Asthma | 25% | 24% | 21% | 19% | x |
| Injury and trauma | 27% | 31% | 34% | 16% | x |
| Aging | 13% | 22% | 28% | 15% | x |
| Not Yet Identified | 12% | 1% | 12% | 12% | x |
| Workforce | 31% | 31% | 33% | | x |

shaded areas indicate this response option was not available


CHDs may select multiple topics

Top 5 Community Health Improvement Topics



2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

How many times has your CHD completed the community health improvement cycle?




Iterations of the Community Health Improvement Cycle

| Statewide Responses | 2009 | | 2010 | |
|---------------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|
| | Percent | Count | Percent | Count |
| One | 34% | 23 | 37% | 25 |
| Two | 18% | 12 | 25% | 17 |
| Three or more | 27% | 18 | 16% | 11 |
| Not Applicable | | | 21% | 14 |

Sarasota County Response: 3 or more

2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

What products has your CHD helped produce as a result of the community health improvement process?




Products Resulting from the Community Health Improvement Process

| Statewide | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2010 | | Sarasota County Response |
|--|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|--------------------------|
| Response | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | |
| Community Health Status Profile Report | 69% | 46 | 63% | 42 | 66% | 44 | ✓ |
| Community Health Improvement Plan | 42% | 28 | 42% | 28 | 42% | 28 | ✓ |
| Action plans | 58% | 39 | 63% | 42 | 61% | 41 | ✓ |
| Web site | 0% | | 21% | 14 | 22% | 15 | ✓ |
| Other | 7% | 5 | 16% | 11 | 4% | 3 | |
| None/Not Applicable | 6% | 4 | 7% | 5 | 15% | 10 | |

2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

What benefits have you attained as a result of participating in the community improvement process?




Percent and Number of CHDs by Type of Benefit

| Response | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2010 | | Sarasota County Response |
|--|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|--------------------------|
| | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | |
| Stronger partnerships | 75% | 50 | 76% | 51 | 85% | 57 | ✓ |
| More partners | 73% | 49 | 73% | 49 | 76% | 51 | ✓ |
| Increased understanding of public health | 61% | 41 | 78% | 52 | 75% | 50 | ✓ |
| Increased community ownership of issues | 54% | 36 | 54% | 36 | 64% | 43 | ✓ |
| Developed partnership with health planning council | 46% | 31 | 51% | 34 | 51% | 34 | ✓ |
| Created a community health improvement plan | 36% | 24 | 45% | 30 | 45% | 30 | |
| Obtained grant funding | 43% | 29 | 52% | 35 | 42% | 28 | |
| Improved targeted indicators (based on data) | 30% | 20 | 37% | 25 | 39% | 26 | ✓ |
| Improved perception of government | 0% | 0 | 42% | 28 | 39% | 26 | ✓ |
| Addressed or resolved a strategic issue | 39% | 26 | 45% | 30 | 34% | 23 | |
| Created policy around a community health issue | 21% | 14 | 33% | 22 | 24% | 16 | |
| Other | 4% | 3 | 9% | 6 | 9% | 6 | |

2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

Needs of CHDs for conducting, participating in or sustaining a community health improvement process:

CHD Needs for Community Health Improvement Processes, 2010




Community Health Improvement Process Needs by Percent of CHDs

| Response | Training | Resources | Technical Assistance | No Assistance Needed | Sarasota County Response |
|---|----------|-----------|----------------------|----------------------|---|
| 1 Introduction to the MAPP planning tool | 19% | 27% | 16% | 55% | No Assistance Needed |
| 2 Organizing for success | 15% | 16% | 1% | 60% | No Assistance Needed |
| 3 Building & sustaining partnerships | 21% | 18% | 19% | 48% | No Assistance Needed |
| 4 Building Skills & meeting facilitation | 22% | 18% | 16% | 52% | No Assistance Needed |
| 5 Accessing & using www.FloridaCHARTS.com | 12% | 10% | 9% | 70% | No Assistance Needed |
| 6 Identifying local health indicators | 10% | 19% | 13% | 66% | No Assistance Needed |
| 7 Collecting & using qualitative data | 21% | 19% | 15% | 58% | No Assistance Needed |
| 8 Creating a community health status profile report | 21% | 25% | 25% | 42% | No Assistance Needed |
| 9 Applying results of the local public health system assessment | 24% | 16% | 24% | 48% | No Assistance Needed |
| 10 Using maps for health planning | 28% | 24% | 25% | 46% | No Assistance Needed |
| 11 Identifying community strategic health priorities | 15% | 24% | 13% | 63% | No Assistance Needed |
| 12 Formulating measurable goals & objectives | 18% | 13% | 12% | 63% | No Assistance Needed |
| 13 Developing action plans | 22% | 16% | 19% | 52% | Training |
| 14 Measuring success and evaluation | 27% | 24% | 21% | 48% | Training, Resource Materials & Technical Assistance |
| 15 Writing Community Health Improvement Plan | 22% | 31% | 22% | 43% | Training, Resource Materials & Technical Assistance |
| 16 Implementing & sustaining a community health planning process | 22% | 36% | 33% | 39% | No Assistance Needed |
| 17 Identifying & using model practices for assessment & planning | 19% | 37% | 28% | 37% | No Assistance Needed |
| 18 Core functions and essential services of public health | 15% | 18% | 6% | 69% | No Assistance Needed |
| 19 Identifying accessing & using reference materials | 13% | 22% | 12% | 60% | No Assistance Needed |
| 20 Identifying & using evidence-based practices to improve community health | 13% | 22% | 12% | 42% | No Assistance Needed |
| 21 Participating in a mentoring program | 18% | 19% | 13% | 45% | No Assistance Needed |

2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

CHD ratings of Community Health Improvement (COMPASS) resources:

2010 CHD Rating of COMPASS Resource Materials




Ratings of Resources by Percent of CHDs

| Ratings of Resources | 2009 | | | 2010 | | | | Alachua County Response |
|-------------------------------|--------|------------|------------------------------|--------|-----------------|------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Useful | Not Useful | Never Used/Didn't Know About | Useful | Somewhat Useful | Not Useful | Never Used/Didn't Know About | |
| MAPP Field Guide | 73% | 3% | 19% | 40% | 33% | 4% | 21% | Not Useful |
| MAPP Tour Book | 63% | 4% | 25% | 37% | 30% | 4% | 27% | Never used/didn't know about |
| CHARTS User's Guide | 78% | 1% | 16% | 60% | 27% | 0% | 13% | Not Useful |
| COMPASS Points | 72% | 3% | 19% | 43% | 37% | 4% | 13% | Somewhat useful |
| COMPASS Website | 81% | 0% | 13% | 58% | 40% | 1% | 13% | Never used/didn't know about |
| Core Fuctions & MAPP training | 88% | 1% | 18% | 37% | 31% | 1% | 27% | Never used/didn't know about |
| CHARTS website | 97% | 0% | 1% | 87% | 12% | 0% | 1% | Useful |
| Quarterly Meet-me-Calls | 76% | 3% | 12% | 37% | 31% | 12% | 16% | Somewhat useful |
| Technical Assistance | 88% | 0% | 9% | 57% | 24% | 1% | 18% | Useful |
| PARTNER tool | 22% | 0% | 70% | 18% | 13% | 1% | 64% | Never used/didn't know about |
| CDC Community Guide | 60% | 1% | 36% | 43% | 19% | 0% | 37% | Never used/didn't know about |
| Intervention MICA | 7% | 0% | 84% | 7% | 7% | 0% | 85% | Never used/didn't know about |

2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

CHD ratings of CHARTS features




Usefulness of CHARTS Features by Percent of CHDs

| Component | Useful | Not Useful | Never Used | Didn't Know | Useful | Not Useful | Never Used/ Didn't Know | Alachua County Response |
|------------------------------------|--------|-------------|------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | 2010 | | | | 2009 | | |
| Individual indicator reports | 97% | 0% | 1% | 1% | 99% | 0% | 0% | Useful |
| Profile Reports | 100% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 97% | 0% | 0% | Useful |
| Community Interactive Maps | 81% | 3% | 12% | 4% | 73% | 3% | 21% | Useful |
| CHARTS Training and Reference Info | 88% | 0% | 9% | 3% | 69% | 0% | 28% | Useful |
| CHARTS Query Systems | 96% | 0% | 0% | 3% | not asked | not asked | not asked | Useful |

2010 Community Health Improvement Survey

Has your CHD received new resources as a result of the community health improvement process?

**Sources of Resources Received
by 35 CHDs**



2009: The total value of the new resources received by 30 CHDs was \$23,544,903.

2010: The total value of the new resources received by 35 CHDs was \$53,816,509.

Some funding reported covers multiple years.

Number of CHDs Receiving Resources

Have new resources been received?

| | 2009 | 2010 |
|-------|------|------|
| YES | 30 | 35 |
| NO | 36 | 31 |
| Blank | 1 | 1 |

Alachua County Response: Yes

Resources by Source

| Sources | 2009 | 2010 | Percent in 2010 |
|-------------|------|------|-----------------|
| State | 6 | 6 | 17% |
| Foundations | 5 | 3 | 9% |
| Local | 5 | 3 | 9% |
| Federal | 8 | 17 | 57% |
| Combination | | 3 | 9% |

Alachua 2010 Response: A Denta Quest Grant for at least one year, \$74,000 for Oral health.