
2011 County Health Department Community Health Improvement Activity

Has your CHD participated within the last three years or are you currently participating in a community health improvement process?

SEMINOLE CHD: Yes, we participated within the past three years (2009, 2010, 2011)



Number and Percent of CHDs Participating in Community Health Improvement (2009-2011)

| Statewide | , | Yes | 110 01 111 | ore Than 3 rs Ago | No Response | | |
|-----------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------------|----------------|---------|--|
| Responses | No. of CHDs | Percent | No. of CHDs | Percent | No. of CHDs | Percent | |
| 2003 | 48 | 72% | 14 | 21% | 5 | 7% | |
| 2004 | 53 | 79% | 8 | 12% | 6 | 9% | |
| 2005 | 57 | 85% | 6 | 9% | 4 | 6% | |
| 2006 | 59 | 88% | 8 | 12% | 0 | 0% | |
| 2007 | 64 | 96% | 3 | 4% | 0 | 0% | |
| 2008 | 52 | 78% | 12 | 18% | 3 | 4% | |
| 2009 | 56 | 84% | 10 | 15% | 1 | 1% | |
| 2010 | 41 | 61% | 26 | 39% | 0 | 0% | |
| 2011 | 57 | 85% | 10 | 15% | 0 | 0% | |

National Public Health Performance System Program (NPHPSP):

5.3.1 An established community health improvement process is in place

National Accreditation:

Standard 5.2 Conduct a health improvement planning process

Measure 5.2.1L Conduct a process to develop a community health improvement plan

Measure 5.2.2L Produce a community health improvement plan as a result of the community health improvement process

Measure 5.2.3A Implement elements and strategies of the health improvement plan in partnership with others


Measure 5.2.4A Monitor progress on implementation strategies in the community health improvement plan in collaboration with broad participation from stakeholders and partners

DOH Community Health Improvement:

1.1. Utilizes a systematic process for community health improvement planning

What is your CHD's role in the community health improvement process?

SEMINOLE CHD: Lead Agency (process champion, initiator)



CHD Role in Community Health Improvement

(data from 2003 - 2006 is not comparable to later years)

| | Lead | d Agency | Stak | eholder | S | Sponsor | · \ | s Manager | ı | rticipant | 1 | k or N/A |
|------|----------------|----------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|----------------|----------|
| | No. of CHDs | Percent | No. of CHDs | Percent | No. of CHDs | | No. of CHDs | Percent | No. of CHDs | Percent | No. of CHDs | Percent |
| 2003 | 32 | 48% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 2004 | 28 | 42% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 2005 | 48 | 72% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 2006 | 51 | 76% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 2007 | 52 | 78% | 7 | 10% | 3 | 4% | 1 | 1% | 4 | 6% | 0 | 0% |
| 2008 | 48 | 72% | 10 | 15% | 4 | 6% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 6% |
| 2009 | 45 | 67% | 12 | 18% | 3 | 4% | 2 | 3% | 2 | 3% | 3 | 4% |
| 2010 | 41 | 61% | 15 | 22% | 4 | 6% | 1 | 1% | 2 | 3% | 4 | 6% |
| 2011 | 51 | 76% | 11 | 16% | 1 | 1% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 4% |

National Public Health Performance System Program (NPHPSP):

- 4.1 Constituency Development
- 4.1.1 Process for identifying key constituents or stakeholders
- 4.1.2 Encourage participation of constituents in improving community health

National Accreditation:

Standard 4.1 Engage with the public health system and community in identifying and addressing public health problems through collaborative processes


Measure 4.1.1A Establish and/or actively participate in partnerships and/or coalitions to address specific public health issues or populations

DOH Community Health Improvement:

1B. Organizes effective partnerships that include diverse memberships, a vision, and partnerships management processes

What community health improvement models are currently used by the CHD?

SEMINOLE CHD: * MAPP (Mobilizing for Action through Planning and Partnerships) * PACE EH (Protocol for Assessing Community Excellence in Environmental Health)



Models of Community Health Improvement in Use

| | MA | PP | PACE | EH | Noi | ne | Oth | ner |
|------|----------------|-----|----------------|-----|----------------|-----|----------------|------|
| | No. of CHDs | % |
| 2003 | 28 | 42% | 10 | 15% | 0 | 0% | 39 | 58% |
| 2004 | 34 | 51% | 17 | 25% | 4 | 6% | 41 | 61% |
| 2005 | 45 | 67% | 24 | 36% | 1 | 1% | 40 | 60% |
| 2006 | 48 | 72% | 25 | 37% | 1 | 1% | 73 | 109% |
| 2007 | 49 | 73% | 21 | 31% | 3 | 4% | 57 | 85% |
| 2008 | 45 | 67% | 19 | 28% | 4 | 6% | 63 | 94% |
| 2009 | 41 | 61% | 25 | 37% | 10 | 15% | 8 | 12% |
| 2010 | 39 | 58% | 24 | 36% | 8 | 12% | 11 | 16% |
| 2011 | 56 | 84% | 18 | 27% | 3 | 4% | 8 | 12% |

MAPP PACE EH Other n/a Mobilizing for Action through Planning and Partnerships Protocol for Assessing Community Excellence in Environmental Health Includes CATCH, APEX PH, PATCH, Healthy People Healthy Communities not asked

National Public Health Performance System Program (NPHPSP):

5.3.1.1 Communty health improvement process use of established tool such as MAPP or PACE-EH

National Accreditation:

Standard 5.2 Conduct a health improvement planning process

Measure 5.2.1L Conduct a process to develop community health improvement plan

Produce a community health improvement plan as a result Measure 5.2.2L

of the community health improvement process

Measure 5.2.3A Implement elements and strategies of the health

improvement plan in partnership with others

Monitor progress on implementation strategies in the Measure 5.2.4A

community health improvement plan in collaboration with

broad participation from stakeholders and partners


DOH Community Health Improvement:

Implements a community health assessment process that includes

- Identification of community themes (issues) and strengths 1A1.
- Assessment of the local public health system 1A2.
- Assessment of community health status resulting in the development 1A3. of a community health profile document
- 1A4. Identification of potential forces of change (threats and opportunities)

What assets does the community health improvement process include that provide for sustainability?

SEMINOLE CHD: * None of the above



Community Health Assessment Assets reported by CHDs

| Assets | Budget | | Dedicate | ed Staff | Written Strategio | • | Other | |
|--------|----------------|-----|----------------|----------|----------------------|-----|----------------|-----|
| | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % |
| 2005 | 19 | 28% | 16 | 24% | 36 | 54% | 0 | 0% |
| 2006 | 34 | 51% | 15 | 22% | 17 | 25% | 0 | 0% |
| 2007 | 25 | 37% | 25 | 37% | 46 | 69% | 16 | 24% |
| 2008 | 24 | 36% | 39 | 58% | 39 | 58% | 10 | 15% |
| 2009 | 29 | 43% | 34 | 51% | 41 | 61% | 14 | 21% |
| 2010 | 31 | 46% | 37 | 55% | 17 | 25% | 5 | 7% |
| 2011 | 36 | 54% | 37 | 55% | 8 | 12% | 7 | 10% |

National Public Health Performance System Program (NPHPSP):

5.3.3 CHD conducts a strategic planning process

National Accreditation:

Standard 5.3 Develop and implement a health department organizational strategic plan

Measure 5.3.1A Conduct a department strategic planning process

Measure 5.3.2A Adopt a department strategic plan


Measure 5.3.3A Implement the department strategic plan

DOH Community Health Improvement:

1D. Demonstrates linkage of strategic plan with community health improvement planning

How many staff (FTE) are dedicated to your community health improvement process?

SEMINOLE CHD: < 1.00 FTE



Number of CHDs by FTE Devoted to Community Health Assessment

| Number of CHDs by FTE Devoted to Community Health Assessmen | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Statewide | More tha | | 1 - 2 | FTE | More tha | ın 2 FTE | Approximate Statewide Total FTE | | | | | | |
| Responses | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent | Number of CHDs | Percent | | | | | | | |
| 2007 | 11 | 16% | 6 | 9% | 6 | 9% | 35.15 | | | | | | |
| 2008 | 15 | 22% | 10 | 15% | 8 | 12% | 54.7 | | | | | | |
| 2009 | 32 | 48% | 9 | 13% | 9 | 13% | 63 | | | | | | |
| 2010 | 31 | 46% | 7 | 10% | 8 | 12% | 71 | | | | | | |
| 2011 | 40 | 60% | 11 | 16% | 5 | 7% | 73 | | | | | | |


Related Standards:

National Accreditation:

Standard 11.1 Develop and maintain an operational infrastructure to support the performance of public health functions

What unit coordinates community health improvement planning?

SEMINOLE CHD: Agency Leadership team



Coordinating Units for Community Health Assessment Activities

| Statewide | 20 | 07 | 200 | 8 | 20 | 09 | 20 | 10 | 2011 | |
|--|----------------|------|----------------|----------|----------------|------|----------------|------|----------------|----------|
| Responses | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % |
| Director's Office/ Agency Leadership team | 39 | 58% | 35 | 56 % | 40 | 61% | 39 | 58% | 42 | 63% |
| Nursing | 5 | 7% | 3 | 5% | 4 | 6% | 5 | 7% | 2 | 3% |
| Epidemiology | not asked | | not asked | | 1 | 2% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% |
| Environmental Health | 1 | 1% | 1 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 1 | 1% |
| Quality Improvement | 5 | 7% | 2 | 3% | 3 | 5% | 2 | 3% | 6 | 9% |
| Health Education | 11 | 16% | 12 | 19 % | 7 | 11% | 11 | 16% | 10 | 15% |
| Public Information | 1 | 1% | 2 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 3% | 2 | 3% |
| Community Health | 5 | 7% | 5 | 8% | 5 | 8% | 3 | 4% | 2 | 3% |
| Other | 0 | 0% | 3 | 5% | 6 | 9% | 3 | 4% | 2 | 3% |
| Total | 67 | 100% | 63 | 100 % | 66 | 100% | 67 | 100% | 67 | 100 % |

National Public Health Performance System Program (NPHPSP):


5.3.3.1 CHD reviews its organizational strategic plan to determine how it can best be aligned with the community health improvement process

National Accreditation:

Standard 11.1 Develop and maintain an operational infrastructure to support the performance of public health functions

What is the status of the CHD's strategic plan?

SEMINOLE: In progress (e.g., planning/implementing activities, updating written plan)



Strategic Plan Status

| | The state of the s | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|--|----|----------------|---------|----------------|----|----------------|----|----------------|-----|----------------|----|
| Statewide | 2006 | | 2007 | 2007 20 | | | 2009 | | 2010 | | 2011 | |
| Response | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % | No. of CHDs | % |
| Planned | 11 | 16 | 8 | 12 | 6 | 10 | 13 | 19 | 10 | 15 | 12 | 18 |
| In | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Progress | 40 | 60 | 31 | 46 | 40 | 65 | 25 | 37 | 32 | 48 | 21 | 31 |
| Complete | 16 | 24 | 28 | 42 | 16 | 26 | 28 | 43 | 25 | 37 | 34 | 51 |
| | | 10 | | 10 | | 10 | | 10 | | | | 10 |
| Total | 67 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 67 | 100 | 67 | 0 |

Related Standards:

National Public Health Performance System Program (NPHPSP):

5.3.3 CHD conducts a strategic planning process

National Accreditation:


Standard 5.3 Develop and implement a health department organizational strategic plan

Measure 5.3.1A Conduct a department strategic planning process

Measure 5.3.2A Adopt a department strategic plan

Measure 5.3.3A Implement the department strategic plan

DOH Community Health Improvement:



What is your CHD's schedule for implementing a community health planning process?

SEMINOLE CHD:

Organizing:

12/31/2012: Organizing 12/31/2012: Visioning

Assessing:

12/31/2012: Community Themes and Strengths


12/31/2012: Assessing Forces of Change

12/31/2012: Assessing the Local Public Health System 12/31/2012: Assessing the Community's Health Status

Developing Strategic Priorities and Goals 12/31/2012: Strategic Priorities and Goals


Implementing a Community Health Improvement Plan

12/31/2012: Action Cycle



Percent of CHDs by the Completed Phases of the Community Health Improvement Cycle

| Status | 2005 | ount | 2006 | unt | 2007 | ınt | 2008 | ount | 2009 | unt | 2010 | ınt | 2011 | ınt |
|---------------------------------------|---------|------|---------|-----|---------|-------|---------|------|---------|-----|---------|-------|---------|-----|
| | Percent | Cou | Percent | noo | Percent | Count | Percent | noo | Percent | Cou | Percent | Count | Percent | Cou |
| Organize for Success | 31% | 21 | 39% | 26 | 42% | 28 | 42% | 28 | 48% | 32 | 49% | 33 | 76% | 51 |
| Visioning | 24% | 16 | 31% | 21 | 40% | 27 | 48% | 32 | 52% | 35 | 45% | 30 | 75% | 50 |
| Assess Community Themes and Strengths | 25% | 17 | 46% | 31 | 43% | 29 | 42% | 28 | 48% | 32 | 39% | 26 | 73% | 49 |
| Assess Forces of Change | 16% | 11 | 24% | 16 | 34% | 23 | 31% | 21 | 36% | 24 | 30% | 20 | 63% | 42 |
| Assess Local Public Health System | 70% | 47 | 48% | 32 | 51% | 34 | 51% | 34 | 52% | 35 | 39% | 26 | 82% | 55 |
| Assess Community Health Status | 28% | 19 | 34% | 23 | 51% | 34 | 49% | 33 | 51% | 34 | 51% | 34 | 69% | 46 |
| Identify Strategic Issues | 18% | 12 | 22% | 15 | 27% | 18 | 28% | 19 | 42% | 28 | 28% | 19 | 46% | 31 |
| Implement the Action Cycle | 6% | 4 | 10% | 7 | 13% | 9 | 16% | 11 | 16% | 11 | 12% | 8 | 28% | 19 |



Related Standards:

National Public Health Performance System Program (NPHPSP):

- 4.2.1 Partnerships exist in the community to maximize public health improvement activities (organize for success)
- 5.3.1.2 Broad participation in the community health improvement process (organize for success, visioning)

- 5.3.1.3 Process includes information from community health assessments (health status assessment)
- 5.3.1.4 Process includes issues and themes identified by the community (community themes, strengths, forces of change)
- 5.3.1.5 Process includes identification of community assets and resources (local public health system assessment)
- 5.3.1.6 Process includes priorization of community health issues (identify strategic issues)
- 5.3.1.7 Process includes development of measurable health objectives (implement action cycle)

National Accreditation:

Standard 4.1 Engage with the public health system and the community in identifying and addressing health problems through collaborative processes

- Measure 4.1.1A Establish and/or actively participate in partnerships and/or coalitions to address specific public health issues or populations
- Measure 4.1.2T/L Link stakeholders and partners to technical assistance regarding models of engaging with the community

Standard 4.2 Promote the community's understanding of and support for policies and strategies that will improve the public's health

Standard 4.2.1A Engage with the community about policies and/or strategies that will promote the public's health

Standard 4.2.2A Engage with governing entities, advisory boards and elected officials about policies and/or strategies that will promote the public's health

Standard 5.2 Conduct a health improvement planning process

- Measure 5.2.1L Conduct a process to develop community health improvement plan
- Measure 5.2.2L Produce a community health improvement plan as a result of the community health improvement process
- Measure 5.2.3A Implement elements and strategies of the health improvement plan in partnership with others
- Measure 5.2.4A Monitor progress on implementation strategies in the community health improvement plan in collaboration with broad participation from stakeholders and partners

DOH Community Health Improvement:

Implements a community health assessment process that includes


- 1A1. Identification of community themes (issues) and strengths
- 1A2. Assessment of the local public health system
- 1A4. Identification of potential forces of change (threats and opportunities)

What themes do your community identified strategic issues and priorities address?

SEMINOLE CHD:

Has your community identified strategic issues and priorities? No:

If so, what themes have been identified as priorities? (This section is left blank if the community has not yet identified strategic issues and priorities.)




Percentage of CHDs by Themes Addressed

| Themes | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------|
| | | | | | | |
| Access to Care | 76% | 91% | 78% | 82% | 95% | 55% |
| Health Promotion & Primary | | | | | | |
| Prevention | 69% | 90% | 76% | 87% | 85% | 51% |
| Health Protection & Preparedness | 51% | 51% | 46% | 52% | 42% | 19% |
| Health Status (MCH, Chronic | | | | | | |
| Disease, infectious disease, etc.) | not asked | 48% |
| Public Health Infrastructure | 3% | 37% | 46% | 49% | 41% | 25% |
| Environmental Health | 34% | 27% | 39% | 46% | 41% | 18% |
| Policies and Laws | 3% | 19% | 25% | 33% | 29% | 22% |
| Other | 0% | 15% | 19% | 15% | 14% | 9% |

Counties may address more than one theme.

What themes do your community identified strategic issues and priorities address?



Related Standards:

National Public Health Performance System Program (NPHPSP):

5.3.1.6 Community health improvement process includes prioritization of community health issues

National Accreditation:

Standard 4.1 Engage with the public health system and the community in identifying and addressing health problems through collaborative processes

Measure 4.1.1A Establish and/or actively participate in partnerships and/or

coalitions to address specific public health issues or populations Engage with the community about policies and/or strategies

Measure 4.2.1A Engage with the community about policies and/or strategies that will promote the public's health

Standard 5.2 Conduct a comprehensive planning process resulting in a community health improvement plan

Standard 5.2 Conduct a comprehensive planning process resulting in a community health improvement plan

Measure 5.2.2 L Produce a community health improvement plan as a result of the community health improvement process


DOH Community Health Improvement:

Implements a community health assessment process that includes 1A1. Identification of community themes (issues) and strengths

What topics are being addressed through current communityidentified goals and objectives?

SEMINOLE County identified topics:

(This section is left blank if the community has not yet developed goals and objectives.)




Community Health Improvement Topics by Percent of CHDs

| | • • | | ı | 1 | |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|
| Topics | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Overweight & Obesity | 72% | 70% | 69% | 63% | 67% |
| Smoking & Tobacco Use | 73% | 69% | 73% | 60% | 59% |
| Diabetes | 73% | 70% | 69% | 64% | 54% |
| Maternal and child Health | 51% | 55% | 60% | 49% | 54% |
| Teen Pregnancy | 42% | 58% | 70% | 52% | 49% |
| Behavioral Health & Substance Abuse | 31% | 36% | 43% | 33% | 46% |
| Nutrition and Food Related Issues | | | | | 44% |
| Oral Health | | | | 55% | 41% |
| Heart Disease and Stroke | 55% | 63% | 51% | 45% | 38% |
| Infectious Disease | 39% | 49% | 48% | 37% | 38% |
| Cancer | 39% | 31% | 45% | 28% | 36% |
| Public Health Preparedness | 48% | 42% | 46% | 39% | 31% |
| Environmental Health | 39% | 45% | 45% | 36% | 28% |
| Injury and trauma | 27% | 31% | 34% | 16% | 23% |
| Immunization | 42% | 43% | 55% | 42% | 21% |
| Asthma | 25% | 24% | 21% | 19% | 15% |
| Aging | 13% | 22% | 28% | 15% | 13% |
| Not Yet Identified | 12% | 1% | 12% | 12% | 0% |
| Workforce | 31% | 31% | 33% | | |

blank areas indicate this question was not asked

CHDs selected multiple topics

Topics being Addressed by Community Identified Goals 2011



National Public Health Performance System Program (NPHPSP):

5.3.1.6 Community health improvement process includes prioritization of community health issues

National Accreditation:

Standard 5.2 Conduct a health improvement planning process

Measure 5.2.1L Conduct a process to develop community health improvement

plan

Measure 5.2.2L Produce a community health improvement plan as a result of

the community health improvement process

Measure 5.2.3A Implement elements and strategies of the health improvement

plan in partnership with others

Measure 5.2.4A Monitor progress on implementation strategies in the community

health improvement plan in collaboration with broad

participation from stakeholders and partners

DOH Community Health Improvement:


Implements a community health assessment process that includes

1C. Produces action plans including the identification of strategic issues, goals and strategies, a continuous action cycle with evaluation components

What products has the CHD helped produce as a result of community health improvement planning activities?

SEMINOLE CHD:

* Community health assessment or health status profile report * Community health improvement plan




Products Resulting from the Community Health Improvement Process

| 1 roddoto recoding from the Community realth improvement recodes | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Statewide | 2008 | | 200 | 9 | 201 | 10 | 201 | 11 | | | | | |
| Responses | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | | | | | |
| Community Health | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Status Profile Report | 69% | 46 | 63% | 42 | 66% | 44 | 79% | 53 | | | | | |
| Community Health | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Improvement Plan | 42% | 28 | 42% | 28 | 42% | 28 | 39% | 26 | | | | | |
| Action plans | 58% | 39 | 63% | 42 | 61% | 41 | 39% | 26 | | | | | |
| Web site | 0% | | 21% | 14 | 22% | 15 | 18% | 12 | | | | | |
| Other | 7% | 5 | 16% | 11 | 4% | 3 | 9% | 6 | | | | | |
| None/Not Applicable | 6% | 4 | 7% | 5 | 15% | 10 | 4% | 3 | | | | | |

What are some of the benefits the CHD has experienced from participating in community health improvement activities?

SEMINOLE CHD:

* More and/or new community partners * Stronger partnerships * Better understanding of public health in the community * Addressed and resloved a specific strategic issue or goal



Percent and Number of CHDs by Type of Benefit

| Percent and Number of Chos by Type of Benefit | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|--------|----------|--------|-----------|--------|---------|--------|--|--|--|
| Response | 20 | 800 | 20 | 009 | 20 | 010 | 20 | 11 | | | |
| | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | | | |
| Stronger partnerships | 75% | 50 | 76% | 51 | 85% | 57 | 88% | 59 | | | |
| More partners | 73% | 49 | 73% | 49 | 76% | 51 | 84% | 56 | | | |
| Increased understanding | | | | | | | | | | | |
| of public health | 61% | 41 | 78% | 52 | 75% | 50 | 76% | 51 | | | |
| Increased community | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ownership of issues | 54% | 36 | 54% | 36 | 64% | 43 | 54% | 36 | | | |
| Developed partnership | | | | | | | | | | | |
| with health planning | | | | | | | | not | | | |
| council | 46% | 31 | 51% | 34 | 51% | 34 | | asked | | | |
| Created a community | | | | | | | | | | | |
| health improvement plan | 36% | 24 | 45% | 30 | 45% | 30 | 34% | 23 | | | |
| Obtained grant funding | 43% | 29 | 52% | 35 | 42% | 28 | 37% | 25 | | | |
| Improved targeted | | | | | | | | | | | |
| indicators (based on data) | 30% | 20 | 37% | 25 | 39% | 26 | 13% | 9 | | | |
| Improved perception of | | | | | | | | | | | |
| government | 0% | 0 | 42% | 28 | 39% | 26 | 25% | 17 | | | |
| Addressed or resolved a | | | | | | | | | | | |
| strategic issue | 39% | 26 | 45% | 30 | 34% | 23 | 30% | 20 | | | |
| Created policy around a | | | | | | | | | | | |
| community health issue | 21% | 14 | 33% | 22 | 24% | 16 | 15% | 10 | | | |
| Preparation for the | | | | | | | | | | | |
| national voluntary agency | | | _ | | | | | | | | |
| accreditation | not asked | | not aske | d | not asked | d | 51% | 34 | | | |
| Provided health | | | | | | | | | | | |
| perspective to urban | | | | | | | | | | | |
| planning | not asked | | not aske | | not asked | | 15% | 10 | | | |
| Other | 4% | 3 | 9% | 6 | 9% | 6 | 7% | 5 | | | |

Has the CHD secured new resources as a result of the community health improvement planning process?

SEMINOLE CHD: No

The following section will be blank if new resources were not received in 2011.


If yes, what is the source of the resources:

Type:

Duration:

Topic addressed:

Dollar value:



2009: The total value of the new resources received by 30 CHDs was \$23,544,903.

2010: The total value of the new resources received by 35 CHDs was \$53,816,509.

2011: The total value of the new resources received by 17 CHDs was \$25,309,583.

Some funding reported covers multiple years.

Number of CHDs Receiving Resources

Have new resources been received?

| | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
|-------|------|------|------|
| YES | 30 | 35 | 17 |
| NO | 36 | 31 | 50 |
| Blank | 1 | 1 | 0 |