Alzheimer’s Disease Research Grant Advisory Board
Meeting Minutes

Alzheimer’s Disease Research Grant Advisory Board:
- Ken Brummel-Smith, Ph.D., Florida State University, Chair
- Frederick Schaerf, M.D., Ph.D., Neuropsychiatric Research Center of Southwest Florida
- Neill Graff-Radford, M.D., Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville
- Leilani Doty, Ph.D., University of Florida, Assistant Chair
- Jacqueline Wiltshire, Ph.D., University of South Florida
- Mariana Dangiolo, M.D., University of Central Florida
- Todd Golde, M.D., Ph.D., University of Florida (absent)
- Amanda Smith, M.D., University of South Florida (absent)
- Ranjan Duara, M.D., Mount Sinai Medical Center (absent)
- Clinton Wright, M.D., University of Miami (absent)
- Leonard Petrucelli, Ph.D., Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville (absent)

DOH Staff:
- Derek Schwabe-Warf, Public Health Research Unit
- Deanna Barath, M.P.H., Health Programs Administrator
- Bonnie Gaughan-Bailey, Administrator, Public Health Research Unit
- Philip Cavicchia, Ph.D, Director, Public Health Research Unit

Members of the Public:
None

A quorum was present. Board members received all pertinent meeting materials. Board members participated via conference call and could actively and equally participate in the discussion.

I. Meeting Minute Approval
Meeting minutes from 9/21/2015 were approved with Dr. Doty’s edits incorporated. Total votes for approval: (Total members voting: 6) Affirmative: 6, Negative: 0, Recusal: 0

II. Revisions to the Research Agenda
- The Board requested Department staff clarify that the research agenda aims to evaluate the cumulative effects rather than the annual effects of funding on various metrics, which are listed under Section 3.
- The Board requested Department staff take out metrics under Table 1, Column 1, Section 3. The metrics will be listed in the second column only.
- The following table lists the revisions requested by the Board in Table 1, Section 3. Please note that specific numerical metrics will be finalized in the future.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic Outcome</th>
<th>Measurement of Outcome</th>
<th>Corresponding Priority Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase the number of collaborations initiated as a result of grant funding between institutions established in Alzheimer’s disease research</td>
<td>10 or more collaborations will occur between institutions established in Alzheimer’s disease research.</td>
<td>Priority area 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase the number of collaborations initiated as a result of grant funding between an institution established in Alzheimer’s disease research and an institution without a history of Alzheimer’s disease research</td>
<td>3 or more collaborations will occur between an institution established in Alzheimer’s disease research and an institution without a history of Alzheimer’s disease research.</td>
<td>Priority area 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase the number of studies obtaining extramural follow-on funding</td>
<td>The percentage of studies funded by this program that obtain extramural follow-on funding will be 10% or greater. Please note Dr. Golde and Dr. Petrucelli will be asked to provide input on this row and the following row due to their expertise in NIH Alzheimer’s disease funding.</td>
<td>Priority area 1, 2, 4, and 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase Florida’s national ranking and total amount of Alzheimer’s disease research currently flowing into the state from the National Institutes of Health</td>
<td>Increase Florida’s national ranking in funding from the National Institutes of Health for Alzheimer’s disease research from 13th to 10th in the period from 2013 to 2020. In addition, increase the total amount of Alzheimer’s disease research funding flowing into Florida from NIH by 1.5 million dollars from 2013 to 2020.</td>
<td>Priority area 1, 2, 4 and 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase the number of new researchers entering the field of Alzheimer’s disease research</td>
<td>The number of new researchers entering the field of Alzheimer’s disease research will be &lt;value to be determined at a later meeting&gt; or greater as evaluated by the number of post-doctoral fellowships awarded.</td>
<td>Priority area 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase the number of publications in peer-reviewed journals, presentations at national or regional academic meetings or</td>
<td>The number of publications in peer-reviewed journals, presentations at national or regional academic meetings or health provider conferences, resulting</td>
<td>Priority Area 1, 2, 4 and 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>health provider conferences resulting from research funded by this program</td>
<td>from research funded by this program will be 50 or greater</td>
<td>Priority Area 1, 2, 4 and 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase the number of non-academic (general public) publications resulting from research funded by this program. Examples of non-academic publications include: brochures designed to educate caregivers or electronic tool kits designed to increase knowledge about Alzheimer’s disease among medical professionals</td>
<td>The number of non-academic (general public) publications resulting from research funded by this program will be 50 or greater.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The Board asked the Department to add in language in the grant terms and conditions so that the Department receives a hard copy of all peer-reviewed publications, presentations, and non-academic publications resulting from research funded through this program.

III. **Update on review of applications**

The Department reminded Board members to complete their review by Friday November 13, 2015. The Board requested Department staff contact Ad Hoc reviewers to conference call in to the in-person meeting on December 4, 2015. Ad Hoc reviewers who review applications also reviewed by a Board member but the Board member is unable to be present at the in-person meeting will be asked to be available for a conference call. In addition, if the overall score provided by an Ad Hoc reviewer is more than three points away from a Board member, the Ad Hoc reviewer will be asked to be present for the phone call. If an application has been evaluated with an overall score discrepancy of three points or more, a third reviewer will be assigned to the application.

IV. **Public Comment**

None

The meeting was adjourned at 3:50 p.m.