Page 1

FLORIDA INFLUENZA SURVEILLANCE

Week 2: January 11th 2009—January 17th 2009



Kateesha McConnell, MPH, Respiratory Disease Surveillance Epidemiologist Kate Goodin, MPH, Surveillance Epidemiologist Lillian Stark, PhD, MPH, MS, Bureau of Laboratories-Tampa Valerie Mock, Bureau of Laboratories-Jacksonville Julian Everett, Influenza Coordinator



IN THIS ISSUE:

- I. Summary
- II. FSPISN Influenza-like Illness Statewide Graph
- III. Florida Pneumonia and Influenza Mortality Surveillance
- IV. FDOH Laboratory Surveillance
- V. Laboratory and Influenza-like Illness Surveillance by Region
- VI. County Influenza Activity Map
- VII. Reports of Influenza or Influenza-like Illness (ILI) Outbreaks VIII Notifiable Disease Reports: Influenza-associated deaths in children & post-influenza infe
- VIII. Notifiable Disease Reports: Influenza-associated deaths in children & post-influenza infection encephalitis
- IX. ESSENCE ILI syndromic surveillance summary X. Summary of Worldwide A/H5N1 Influenza Activity

I. SUMMARY

This is the sixteenth weekly Florida influenza surveillance report for the 2008-09 season. Influenza surveillance in Florida consists of seven surveillance components*: 1) Florida Sentinel Physician Influenza Surveillance Network (FSPISN); 2) Florida Pneumonia & Influenza Mortality Surveillance System; 3) State laboratory viral surveillance; 4) County influenza activity levels; 5) Notifiable Disease Reports: Influenza-associated deaths in children & post-influenza infection encephalitis; 6) Influenza or ILI outbreaks; 7) Syndromic surveillance.

During week 2 (01/11/09-01/17/09), the proportion of patient visits for influenza-like illness (ILI) as reported by the Florida Sentinel Physician Influenza Surveillance Network was 2.34 percent. This is below the state threshold for moderate activity of 2.98 percent. Eleven of the twenty-two ILI specimens tested by Bureau of Laboratories were positive for influenza. No counties reported widespread activity and one county reported localized activity. Sixteen counties reported sporadic activity and 14 counties reported no activity. Thirty-six counties did not report. The graph below shows the progression of the 2007-08 & 2008-09 Florida influenza seasons as monitored by three** of seven surveillance systems.

Each week an activity code for the state as a whole is reported to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). There are five possible categories: No Activity, Sporadic, Local, Regional, or Widespread. Local activity has been reported in Florida for this reporting week (week 1). Florida meets the CDC local activity definition. The CDC definition for local activity is: Outbreaks of influenza or increases in ILI cases and recent laboratory-confirmed influenza in a single region of the state. The CDC report can be viewed at http://www.cdc.gov/flu/weekly/usmap.htm.



*The purposes of these surveillance systems are to determine when and where influenza activity is occurring, to identify circulating viruses, to detect changes in the circulating influenza viruses, to track patterns of influenza-associated morbidity and mortality and estimate the overall impact of influenza in the state of Florida.

**1) FSPISN, 2) State Laboratory Viral Surveillance, and 3) County Activity Levels.

II. FSPISN INFLUENZA-LIKE ILLNESS STATEWIDE GRAPH

During week 2, 2.34%* of patient visits to Florida sentinel providers were due to ILI. This percentage is below the statewide threshold for moderate activity of 2.98%**. The percentage of visits ranged from 0.40% in the Centralwest to 4.74% in the Southeast region.



*FSPISN reporting is incomplete for this week (53% of providers reported). Numbers may change as more reports are received. Data displayed is weighted to the state population.

**The 2008—09 threshold for moderate activity is calculated from FSPISN data. The threshold for moderate activity is the mean percentage of patient visits for ILI during influenza weeks for the previous three seasons plus two standard deviations. Only weeks with 10% or greater of laboratory specimens testing positive are included in the calculation. Due to wide variability in regional level data, it is not appropriate to apply the state baseline to regional data.

^ There was no week 53 during the 2006-07 and 2007-08 seasons; the week 53 data point for those seasons is an average of weeks 52 and 1.

III. FLORIDA PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA MORTALITY SURVEILLANCE

Please refer to the most recent national data compiled by the CDC below. Three major metropolitan locations participate in the national 122 Cities Mortality Reporting System. Florida is currently in the process of updating the Florida Pneumonia and Influenza Mortality Surveillance System (FPIMSS). Twenty-three counties participate in the FPIMSS. During week 2, seven counties did not report. Data from all participating counties are required to accurately display the data in this report.

Pneumonia and Influenza (P&I) Mortality Surveillance: During week 2, 7.5% of all deaths reported through the 122-Cities Mortality Reporting System were due to P&I. This percentage is below the epidemic threshold of 7.8% for week 2.



IV. FDOH LABORATORY SURVEILLANCE

During week 2, Florida Department of Health Bureau of Laboratories tested a total of 22 specimens for influenza viruses. Eleven (50%) of 22 were positive for influenza. Four were influenza AH1, five were influenza A unknown, one was influenza B Malaysia, and one was influenza B unknown. The Bureau of Laboratories have tested a total of 218 specimens so far this season. Laboratory information is preliminary and may change as additional results are received. Totals from previous weeks will be adjusted to reflect correct specimen numbers.



*Please note that the graph displays positive influenza isolates in each county reported during week 2. Totals will be adjusted to reflect actual week of positive specimen.

V. LABORATORY AND INFLUENZA-LIKE ILLNESS (ILI) SURVEILLANCE BY REGION





The table below shows the weighted ILI activity by region as reported by Florida sentinel physicians for the 2007-08 & 2008-09 seasons. The graphs below include ILI activity as reported by sentinel physicians and FDOH laboratory data.

Week 2: FSPISN Weighted ILI Activity, by Region 2007-08 & 2008-09 Seasons				
REGION	2008-09 ILI %	2007-08 ILI %		
Centraleast	2.06%	1.75%		
Centralwest	0.40%	0.17%		
Northcentral	1.29%	0.81%		
Northeast	0.55%	0.11%		
Northwest	2.15%	0.81%		
Southeast	4.74%	0.40%		
Southwest	2.93%	0.00%		





Influenza A specimens FDOH Laboratories
 Influenza B specimens FDOH Laboratories
 % of visits for ILI, reported by sentinel providers

VI. COUNTY INFLUENZA ACTIVITY MAP

During week 2, no counties reported widespread activity, one county reported localized activity (Pinellas). Sixteen counties (Alachua, Baker, Brevard, Escambia, Gilchrist, Hillsborough, Holmes, Indian River, Lake, Manatee, Martin, Okaloosa, Palm Beach, Seminole, Volusia, Walton) reported sporadic activity. Fourteen counties reported no activity. Thirty-six counties did not report.



COUNTY INFLUENZA ACTIVITY LEVEL DEFINITIONS

Overa 1 = Sp <i>And</i> / 2 = Lo	No Activity: erall clinical activity remains low with no laboratory confirmed cases [†] in the co Sporadic: nd/or _ a. Isolated cases of laboratory confirmed influenza [†] in the county. b. An ILI [§] outbreak in a single setting [‡] in the county. (No detection of activity by surveillance systems*) Localized: nd/or _ a. ILI [§] activity detected by a <i>single</i> surveillance system* within the co ILI [§] activity has not been detected by <i>multiple</i> ILI surveillance system	of decreased ILI [§]		
	 ILI[§] activity has not been detected by <i>multiple</i> ILI surveillance sys b. Two or more outbreaks (ILI[§] or lab confirmed[†]) detected in a <i>singl</i> county. 	e setting [∓] in the		
And/ No Re [†] Labo [§] ILI = I *ILI su school emerg	 c. Recent (within past three weeks) laboratory evidence[†] of influenz Widespread: a. An increase in ILI[§] activity detected in ≥2 surveillance systems in b. Two or more outbreaks ((ILI[§] or laboratory confirmed[†]) detected ir in the county. Report: (No report was received from the county at the time of publication) boratory confirmed case = case confirmed by rapid diagnostic test, antigen de Influenza-like illness, fever ³ 100°F AND sore throat and/or cough <i>in the abs</i> surveillance system activity can be assessed using a variety of surveillance sol/workplace absenteeism, long-term care facility (LTCF) surveillance, correct rgency department surveillance and laboratory surveillance. 	the county. <i>multiple</i> settings [‡] etection, culture, or PCR. <i>sence</i> of another known cause. ystems including sentinel provider tional institution surveillance, hosp		
VII. R	REPORTS OF INFLUENZA OR INFLUENZA-LIKE ILLNESS (I	LI) OUTBREAKS		
During week 2, there were no reports of influenza or influenza-like illness outbreaks in the state. County Health Department epidemiologists should report Influenza and ILI outbreaks via EpiCom at: <u>https://fdens.com/vabtrs/GateStart.aspx</u> within the Influenza Forum.				
Count	nty Health Department epidemiologists should report Influenza and ILI		<u>″</u>	
Count	nty Health Department epidemiologists should report Influenza and ILI		<u>11</u>	
Count	nty Health Department epidemiologists should report Influenza and ILI		<u>//</u>	
Count fdens.	nty Health Department epidemiologists should report Influenza and ILI	outbreaks via EpiCom at: <u>https:/</u>		
Count fdens. VIII. N (<18 N As	nty Health Department epidemiologists should report Influenza and ILI on the Influenza Forum.	DEATHS AMONG CHILL ted deaths among those <18 year	DREN	
Count fdens. VIII. N (<18 N As	NOTIFIABLE DISEASE REPORTS: INFLUENZA-ASSOCIATE YEARS) & POST-INFLUENZA INFECTION ENCEPHALITIS	DEATHS AMONG CHILL ted deaths among those <18 year	DREN	

Influenza-associated deaths among those <18 years of age and/or post-influenza infection encephalitis are reportable; case report forms can be accessed at: <u>http://www.doh.state.fl.us/disease_ctrl/epi/topicscrforms.htm</u>.

0

Post-influenza infection encephalitis

IX. SYNDROMIC SURVEILLANCE SUMMARY

Syndromic surveillance ILI data as monitored through the ESSENCE system is a newly added component of the overall state influenza surveillance program. Florida uses the Electronic Surveillance System for the Early Notification of Community-based Epidemics (ESSENCE) for syndromic surveillance, which currently collects data from 91* hospitals. These data are processed into 11 different syndrome categories based on the patient's chief complaint. One of the categories is influenza-like illness (ILI), which is composed of chief complaints that include the words "influenza" or "flu", or either fever and cough or sore throat. The data are collected on a daily basis from participating hospital emergency departments (ED) across the state. Displayed below are the percentage of ILI visits to local EDs from 2006 to 2008 by week.



*The total number of facilities participating in ESSENCE has increased steadily from 2006 to 2008. In 2007 ES-SENCE was implemented as the state syndromic surveillance system. Please note that numbers may change as facility data is updated.

X. SUMMARY OF WORLDWIDE A/H5N1 INFLUENZA ACTIVITY

Update 01/07/09

Since the outbreak activity began at the end of December 2003, there have been a total of 393 confirmed human cases and 248 deaths. Cases and deaths have occurred in the following nations: Azerbaijan 8 cases and 5 deaths; Bangladesh 1 case 0 deaths; Cambodia 8 cases and 7 deaths; China 31 cases and 21 deaths; Djibouti 1 case 0 deaths; Egypt 51 cases and 23 deaths; Indonesia 139 cases and 113 deaths; Iraq 3 cases and 2 deaths; Lao People's Democratic Republic 2 cases and 2 deaths; Myanmar 1 case and 0 deaths; Nigeria 1 case and 1 death; Pakistan 3 cases and 1 death. Thailand 25 cases and 17 deaths; Turkey 12 cases and 4 deaths; and, Vietnam 107 cases and 52 deaths. For a complete analysis and summary of WHO confirmed human cases of H5N1 from 12/1/2003 to current, please visit: http://www.who.int/csr/disease/avian_influenza/ai_timeline/en/index.html

Changes in the WHO case definition for human infection with avian influenza H5N1 can be found here: http://www.who.int/csr/disease/avian_influenza/guidelines/case_definition2006_08_29/en/index.html

During week 2, the Ministry of Health and Population of Egypt announced a confirmed human case of avian influenza A (H5N1) virus infection. The case was a 21-month old female from the Kerdasa District whose symptoms began on January 9th, 2009, was hospitalized on January 10th and is currently in stable condition. The case had a history of recent contact with sick and dead poultry.

The current phase of alert as defined by the WHO global influenza preparedness plan is phase 3, which states that human infections with a new subtype are occurring, but no human-to-human spread, or at most rare instances of spread to a close contact. At the present time the WHO is not recommending restrictions on travel to areas affected by H5N1 avian influenza, but is suggesting that travelers to these areas avoid contact with live animal markets and poultry farms, and any free-ranging or caged poultry. Evidence suggests that the primary route of infection at this time is associated with direct contact with infected poultry, or surfaces and objects contaminated by their droppings.

Human cases of influenza due to infection from novel or pandemic strains are reportable in Florida. Reports should be made to the Department 24/7 upon initial suspicion.