The Florida

**Dietetics Nutrition Practice Council** 

# Minutes

May 29, 2020

Telephone Conference Call 1-888-585-9008 Participant Code 564-341-766 then #

Stephanie Petrosky, MHA, RDN, LDN Chair

Carol Elliott, RDN, LDN, FAND Vice Chair

### DRAFT DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH DIETETICS AND NUTRITION PRACTICE COUNCIL GENERAL BUSINESS MEETING May 29, 2020

### <u>9:30 a.m. EST</u> Call to Order – General Business Meeting

Ms. Stephanie Petrosky called the meeting to order at 08:31 a.m. Leah Compagnone- Bolt conducted roll call.

#### MEMBERS PRESENT

Stephanie Petrosky, MHA, RD/LDN, FAND, Chair Diane Guillemette, Assistant Attorney General Carol Elliott, RDN, LDN, FAND, Vice-Chair Stephanie Norris, MS, RDN, LDN Linda Rex

#### STAFF PRESENT

Allen Hall. Executive Director Leah Compagnone-Bolt, Regulatory Supervisor Myozotis Ryfkogel, Regulatory Specialist Cassandra Fullove, Paralegal

### MEMBERS NOT PRESENT

None

# **OTHERS PRESENT**

None

To accommodate persons present at the meeting, agenda item sequence may have been adjusted. The minutes will reflect the actual sequence of events rather than the original agenda order.

# 8:30 a.m. ET

Call to Order – General Business Meeting

# ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS

RULE DISCUSSION AND/OR DEVELOPMENT

- 1. HB 115- Revised Applications:
  - 64B8-42.001, F.A.C., Licensure by Endorsement

Ms. Stephanie Petrosky made a motion to approve rule change 64B8-42.001, F.A.C. Ms. Carol Elliot seconded the motion which carried 4/0.

Question 1- Will the proposed rule amendment have an adverse impact on small business or will the proposed rule amendment be likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs to any entity including government in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in Florida within one year after the implantation of the rule? No.

**MOTION:** After Discussion, Ms. Stephanie Petrosky made a motion to answer no to question 1. The motion was seconded by Ms. Carol Elliott and carried 4/0.

Question 2- Should a violation of this rule or any part of this rule be designated a minor violation? **No** 

**MOTION:** After Discussion, Ms. Stephanie Petrosky made a motion to answer no to question 2. The motion was seconded by Ms. Carol Elliott and carried 4/0.

Question 3- Do you want to sunset this particular rule? No

**MOTION:** After Discussion, Ms. Carol Elliot made a motion to answer no to question 3. The motion was seconded by Ms. Stephanie Petrosky and carried 4/0.

• 64B8-42.002, F.A.C., Licensure by Examination

**MOTION:** After Discussion, Ms. Stephanie Petrosky made a motion to approve rule change 64B8-42.002, F.A.C. The motion was seconded by Ms. Stephanie Norris and carried 4/0.

Question 1- Will the proposed rule amendment have an adverse impact on small business or will the proposed rule amendment be likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs to any entity including government in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in Florida within one year after the implantation of the rule? **No.** 

**MOTION:** After Discussion, Ms. Stephanie Petrosky made a motion to answer no to question 1. The motion was seconded by Ms. Carol Elliott and carried 4/0.

Question 2- Should a violation of this rule or any part of this rule be designated a minor violation? **No** 

**MOTION:** After Discussion, Ms. Stephanie Petrosky made a motion to answer no to question 2. The motion was seconded by Ms. Carol Elliott and carried 4/0.

Question 3- Do you want to sunset this particular rule? No

**MOTION:** After Discussion, Ms. Stephanie Petrosky made a motion to answer no to question 3. The motion was seconded by Ms. Stephanie Norris and carried 4/0.

The meeting adjourned at 09:11 a.m.