## NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY ACCREDITATION CONFERENCE (NELAC)

#### **ON-SITE LABORATORY ASSESSMENT**

#### **RADIOCHEMISTRY CHECKLIST (17 PAGES TOTAL)**

LABORATORY:			
Physical Address:			
Mailing Address: (if different from	n above)		
Telephone Numbe	er: Fa	acsimile Number:	
E-mail address: _			
INSPECTED BY:	: (Name)	(Affiliation)	
INSPECTION DA	ATES:		
LABORATORY 7	TECHNICAL DIRECTORS AND MA (Name)	ANAGEMENT: (Title)	

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS: Before each item is a blank line and a NELAC Standard citation in **Bold Numerals**.

Place a check mark (\\_\_----) in the blank if the laboratory meets the NELAC Standard referenced.

- Place an X-mark (X) in the blank if the Standard is not met and the laboratory must devise an acceptable Plan of Correction and estimated completion date. The NELAC Standard reference must be cited in in the on-site assessment report.
- Mark "N/A" in the blank if the NELAC Standard is not applicable to this laboratory, either because of the nature of its business mission, because of the analytical tests it performs, or because of the situation never ever happening.

#### Notes:

- 40 CFR Parts 122.21(g)(7), 122.21(h)(4) 122.41(j)(4), 403.7(b)(2)(v), 403.12(b)(5)(vi), 403.12(g)(4), & 501.15(b)(10)(iv) mandate the use of test methods approved in 40 CFR Part 136
- 40 CFR Part 141.25(a) mandates the use of test methods contained therein for compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act

Photocopy pages 4-14 as necessary to assess each individual Radiochemistry test method

Pages 15-17 provide a quick reference guide to counting techniques & chemical reagents that are required for each test method; this guide does not substitute for training of on-site laboratory assessors required under NELAC

If the laboratory appears to meet a particular NELAC Standard but does not have the documentation to back its claim, use the following:	
 5.0	Does the laboratory have <b>all items</b> identified in NELAC Chapter 5 Quality Systems <b>available</b> for on-site inspection or data audit
 <b>D.4.3(b)</b>	Does the laboratory use the results of <b>proficiency test sample analysis</b> to evaluate its ability to <b>produce accurate data</b>
 <b>D.4.6(b)</b>	Does the laboratory <b>report each Radiochemistry test result</b> with the <b>associated measurement</b> <b>uncertainty</b>
 <b>D.4.6(b)</b>	Does the laboratory have <b>documented procedures</b> for determining measurement uncertainties that are <b>consistent with mandated methods or regulations</b>
 D.4.8	Has the laboratory maintained a <b>radiological control program</b> that addresses analytical radiological control
 D.4.8	Does the radiological control program address procedures for <b>segregating samples</b> with potentially <b>widely varying levels of radioactivity</b>
 D.4.8	Does the radiological control program explicitly define how low-level & high-level samples will be identified, segregated, & processed in order to <b>prevent sample cross-contamination</b>
 D.4.8	Does the radiological control program include the measures taken to <b>monitor &amp; evaluate</b> <b>background activity or contamination</b> on an on-going basis

# RADIOCHEMISTRY LABORATORY TOUR

 5.5.6.4(d)	Do <b>all</b> containers of prepared standards & reference materials bear a <b>unique identifier, expiration</b> <b>date, &amp; link</b> to its specific <b>preparation record</b>
	Are procedures in place to ensure that prepared reagents <b>meet the requirements of the test</b> <b>method</b> (see the scientific discipline & technology checklists for specific requirements) Reagents of appropriate quality must be selected and used. In methods where the purity of reagents is not specified, analytical reagent grade shall be used. Reagents of lesser purity than specified in the test method shall not be used. Checks of the container label to verify that the purity of the reagents complies with the test method must be documented. See pages 15-17 for specific chemical reagent requirements in each test method
 5.5.6.4(f)	Do containers of prepared reagents bear a preparation date
 5.5.6.4(f)	Is the expiration date for each prepared reagent <b>defined on the container or documented</b> <b>elsewhere</b> as indicated in the laboratory's quality manual or SOP
 <b>D.4.7</b> (a)	Does the laboratory's <b>quality control program</b> establish & <b>maintain provisions for</b> <b>radionuclide standards</b>
 D.4.7(a)(1)	Does the laboratory obtain reference standards <b>from NIST or suppliers</b> who participate in supplying <b>NIST standards or NIST traceable</b> radionuclides
 <b>D.4.7</b> (a)(1)	Does the laboratory purchase Radionuclide reference standards from commercial suppliers who <b>conform to ANSI N42.22</b> to assure the quality of their products
 <b>D.4.7</b> (a)(1)	For reference standards purchased <b>outside the United States</b> , are the reference standards <b>traceable back to each country's national standards laboratory</b>
 <b>D.4.7</b> (a)(2)	Do the reference standards <b>have certificates of calibration</b> whose content is as described in <b>ANSI N42.22 – 1995, Section 8</b> , Certificates
 <b>D.4.7</b> (a)(3)	Does the laboratory <b>not use</b> a value for the <b>activity</b> of the reference traceable standard <b>other</b> <b>than the decay-corrected certified value</b>
 <b>D.4.7</b> (a)(3)	Does the laboratory have a written procedures for <b>handling</b> , <b>storing</b> , <b>&amp; establishment of</b> <b>expiration dates</b> for reference standards
 <b>D.4.7(b)</b>	Are all chemical reagents used in the laboratory <b>analytical reagent grade or better</b> in quality
 5.5.8.3.1(a)(2)	Has the laboratory <b>checked samples</b> for <b>proper preservation</b> (e.g. pH<2 with Nitric Acid, 4 degrees Celsius, 6-month holding time) prior to or during sample preparation or analysis

## **RADIOCHEMISTRY TEST METHODS**

TEST METHOD EVALUATED: \_\_\_\_\_

	5.5.4.1.2(a)	Does the laboratory have an <b>in-house methods manual</b> for each accredited <b>analyte</b> or <b>method</b> <b>Note:</b> This manual may consist of copies of published or referenced test methods
	5.5.4.1.2(b)	Does the laboratory <b>clearly indicate</b> in its methods manual <b>any modifications</b> made to the referenced test method and <b>describe any changes or clarifications</b> where the referenced test method is ambiguous or provides insufficient detail
	Does ea	ch test method in the in-house methods manual include or reference, where applicable:
	5.5.4.1.2(b)(1)	Identification of the test method
	5.5.4.1.2(b)(2)	Applicable matrix or matrices
	5.5.4.1.2(b)(3)	Method Detection Limit
	5.5.4.1.2(b)(4)	Scope & application, including components to be analyzed
	5.5.4.1.2(b)(5)	Summary of the test method
	5.5.4.1.2(b)(6)	Definitions
	5.5.4.1.2(b)(7)	Interferences
	5.5.4.1.2(b)(8)	Safety
	5.5.4.1.2(b)(9)	Equipment & supplies
	5.5.4.1.2(b)(10)	Reagents & standards
	5.5.4.1.2(b)(11)	Sample collection, preservation, shipment, & storage
	5.5.4.1.2(b)(12)	Quality control
		Calibration & standardization
	5.5.4.1.2(b)(14)	Procedure
		Data Analysis & Calculations
		Method performance
		Pollution prevention
		Data assessment & acceptance criteria for quality control measures
	5.5.4.1.2(b)(19)	Corrective actions for out-of-control data
		Contingencies for handling out-of-control or unacceptable data
		Waste management
	5.5.4.1.2(b)(22)	
		Tables, diagrams, flowcharts, validation data
	D	Does the laboratory have <b>procedures</b> for developing <b>acceptance/rejection criteria</b> for each Radiochemistry test method
	D	Does the laboratory ensure that the <b>essential standards</b> outlined in Appendix D are incorporated into the method manuals and/or Quality Manual
	5.5.9.2(d)	Does the laboratory's Radiochemistry data indicate that the quality control protocols in the
	D	test methods manual <b>are being followed</b>
COMM	ENTS:	

# RADIOCHEMISTRY TEST METHOD EVALUATED: \_\_\_\_\_

 5.5.5.2.2.1(a)	Does the laboratory's <b>test method SOP</b> include or reference details of the <b>initial instrument</b> calibration procedures
	<b>Note:</b> This includes calculations, integrations, & associated statistics
	<b>Note:</b> If the test method is referenced for initial instrument calibration procedures, the laboratory
	must have this method & make it available for review
 5.5.5.2.2.1(b)	Does the laboratory retain <b>sufficient raw data records to permit reconstruction</b> of the initial instrument calibration
	Note: Examples of such data records include calibration date, test method, instrument, analysis
	date, each analyte name, analyst initials or signature, concentration & response,
	calibration curve or response factor, and unique equation or coefficient used to reduce instrument responses to concentration
 5.5.5.2.2.1(c)	Does the laboratory quantitate sample results only from the initial instrument calibration and
	not from any continuing instrument calibration verifications, unless required by regulation, method, or program
5.5.5.2.2.1(d)	Does the laboratory <b>verify all initial instrument calibrations</b> with a standard obtained from a
 0.0.0121211(u)	second manufacturer or lot if the lot can be demonstrated from the manufacturer as
	prepared independently from other lots
	Note: When commercially available, traceability shall be to a national standard
 5.5.5.2.2.1(e)	Has the laboratory established criteria for the acceptance of an initial instrument calibration
	Note: Examples include linear regression correlation coefficient & response factor %RSD
	Note: The acceptance criteria must be <b>appropriate</b> to the calibration technique employed
 5.5.5.2.2.1(f)	For purposes of establishing the <b>working calibration range</b> , is the lowest calibration standard
	concentration the lower limit of quantitation
 5.5.5.2.2.1(f)	Is all data reported below the lower limit of quantitation reported using defined qualifiers or
	flags or <b>explained in the case narrative</b>
 5.5.5.2.2.1(g)	Is the highest calibration standard the highest concentration for which quantitative data are to
	be reported
 5.5.5.2.2.1(g)	Is all data reported above the highest calibration standard reported using defined qualifiers or
	flags or <b>explained in the case narrative</b>
Note: H	For instrument technologies (e.g., ICP, ICP/MS) with validated techniques from manufacturers or
	methods employing standardization with a zero point & a single-point calibration std.,
	the following must occur:
 5.5.5.2.2.1(h)(1)	Prior to the analysis of samples, are the zero point & single point calibration analyzed, and
	the linear range of the instrument established by analyzing a series of standards,
	one of which must be at the lowest quantitation level
	<b>Note:</b> Sample results within the established linear range will not require data qualifier flags
 5.5.5.2.2.1(h)(2)	Are the zero point & single point calibration standard analyzed with each analytical batch
 5.5.5.2.2.1(h)(3)	Is a standard corresponding to the limit of quantitation analyzed with each analytical batch
	& meet established acceptance criteria
 5.5.5.2.2.1(h)(4)	Is the <b>linearity verified</b> at a frequency established by the test method and/or the manufacturer

# RADIOCHEMISTRY TEST METHOD EVALUATED: \_\_\_\_\_

 5.5.5.2.2.1(h)	Does the laboratory report measured concentrations <b>outside the working calibration range</b> as having <b>less certainty</b> & using <b>defined qualifiers or flags or explained in the case</b> <b>narrative</b>
 5.5.5.2.2.1(h)	Is the lowest calibration standard above the limit of detection for each analyte
 5.5.5.2.2.1(i)	Does the laboratory <b>perform corrective actions</b> & reanalyze all associated samples if the initial instrument calibration results are <b>outside established acceptance criteria</b>
 5.5.5.2.2.1(i)	<ul> <li>When reanalysis is not possible, does the laboratory report sample data associated with unacceptable initial instrument calibrations with appropriate data qualifiers</li> <li>Note: NELAC Standards 5.5.5.2.2.1(h) &amp; (i) may need to be assessed in conjunction with the Quality Systems data audit</li> </ul>
 5.5.5.2.2.1(j)	<ul> <li>Does the laboratory have a standard operating procedure for determining the number of points for establishing the initial instrument calibration</li> <li>Note: NELAC 5.5.5.2.2.1(h),(i),(j) are not applicable to Radiochemistry, except for mass attenuation in gas-proportional counting &amp; sample quench in liquid scintillation counting</li> </ul>
 5.5.5.2.2.1(j)	<ul> <li>Does the laboratory use a minimum of two calibration standards (not including blanks or a zero standard) for performing an initial instrument calibration</li> <li>Note: This Standard applies if a reference or mandated method does not specify the number of calibration standards</li> <li>Note: One of the standards must be at the limit of quantitation</li> <li>Note: This Standard does not apply to instrument technologies for which it has been established by methodologies &amp; procedures that a zero &amp; a single point standard are appropriate for calibrations (see Section 5.5.5.2.2.1(h))</li> </ul>
 5.5.5.2.2	<ul><li>Do the laboratory's initial &amp; continuing instrument calibration verifications meet the requirements in mandated test methods &amp; regulations</li><li>Note: If it is not apparent which standard is more stringent, then the requirements of the regulation or the mandated test method are to be followed</li></ul>
 <b>D.4.4</b> (a)(1)	Are the Radiochemistry <b>analytical instruments calibrated prior to initial use</b> , when the instrument is <b>placed back into service after malfunctioning</b> , & when the instrument's <b>response has changed</b> as determined by performance check or when the instrument's response exceeds predetermined acceptance criteria for the instrument quality control
 <b>D.4.4</b> (a)(2)	Does the laboratory perform <b>instrument calibrations with appropriate reference standards</b> <b>Note:</b> "Appropriate" means meeting the requirements in NELAC Section D.4.7(a)
 <b>D.4.4</b> (a)(2)	Do the reference standards have the <b>same general characteristics</b> (i.e. geometry, density, homogeneity, etc.) as the <b>associated samples</b>
 <b>D.4.4</b> (a)(3)	Does the laboratory method manual <b>specify a frequency of calibration</b> (e.g., monthly), or a frequency of observations plotted on the associated control or tolerance chart that serves as the basis for calibration, if such a frequency is not specified in the test method

# RADIOCHEMISTRY TEST METHOD EVALUATED: \_\_\_\_\_

 5.5.5.10	Does the laboratory <b>verify</b> the validity of the initial calibration by a <b>continuing instrument</b> <b>calibration verification</b> with <b>each analytical batch, prior to sample analyses,</b> whenever an initial instrument calibration is not performed on the day of analysis
 5.5.5.10(a)	Are the <b>details</b> of the continuing instrument calibration verification <b>procedure</b> , <b>calculations</b> , & <b>associated statistics</b> included or referenced in the <b>test method SOP</b>
 5.5.5.10(b)	Is calibration verified for each compound, element, or other discrete chemical species
 5.5.5.10(c)(1)	Is the instrument calibration verification performed at the <b>beginning &amp; end</b> of <b>each analytical</b> <b>batch</b> <b>Note:</b> Only <b>one</b> verification needs to be performed at the beginning of the analytical batch if an
	internal standard is used
 5.5.5.10(c)(2)	Is the instrument calibration verification performed whenever <b>it is expected</b> that the analytical system <b>may be out of calibration</b> or might not meet the verification acceptance criteria
 5.5.5.10(c)(3)	Is the instrument calibration verification performed if the <b>time period</b> for calibration or the most previous calibration verification <b>has expired</b>
 5.5.5.10(c)(4)	Is the instrument calibration verification performed for analytical systems that <b>contain a</b> calibration verification requirement
 5.5.5.10(d)	<ul> <li>Does the laboratory retain sufficient raw data records to permit reconstruction of the continuing instrument calibration verification</li> <li>Note: Such records include test method, instrument, analysis date, name of each analyte, concentration &amp; response, calibration curve or response factor, or unique equations or coefficients used to convert instrument responses into concentrations</li> </ul>
 5.5.5.10(d)	Does the laboratory's continuing calibration verification records <b>explicitly connect</b> the continuing verification data to the initial instrument calibration
 5.5.5.10(e)	Has the laboratory established <b>criteria for the acceptance</b> of a continuing instrument calibration verification (e.g. relative percent difference)
 5.5.5.10(e)	Does the laboratory <b>perform corrective actions</b> if the continuing instrument calibration verification results are <b>outside established acceptance criteria</b>
 5.5.5.10(e)	<ul> <li>Does the laboratory perform a new initial instrument calibration if the routine corrective action procedures fail to produce a second consecutive (immediate) calibration verification within acceptance criteria</li> <li>Note: Alternatively, the laboratory can demonstrate acceptable performance after correction with 2 consecutive calibration verifications</li> </ul>
 5.5.5.10(e)	<ul> <li>If the laboratory has not verified calibration, do sample analyses not occur until the analytical system is calibrated or calibration verified</li> <li>Note: For sample data associated with an unacceptable calibration verification, the results must be flagged but the data may be useable under the following special conditions: <ul> <li>Non-detects for analytes in associated samples where the acceptance criteria for the continuing calibration verifications are exceeded high</li> <li>Any test result for an analyte that indicates exceedence of a maximum regulatory limit or decision level, when the acceptance criteria for the continuing calibration verification for that analyte is exceeded low</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

 <b>D.4.4(b)</b>	Does the laboratory conduct performance checks using appropriate check sources
 <b>D.4.4(b)</b>	Does the laboratory monitor the performance checks with <b>control charts or</b> <b>tolerance charts to ensure</b> that the instrument is <b>operating properly</b> & that the <b>detector response</b> (and thus the instrument calibration) <b>has not changed</b>
 <b>D.4.4</b> (b)	Is the <b>same check source</b> used in the calibration verification checks <b>as is used</b> in the preparation of the <b>tolerance chart or control chart at the time of calibration</b>
 <b>D.4.4(b)</b>	Do the check sources <b>provide adequate counting statistics</b> for a relatively short count time
 <b>D.4.4(b)(1)</b>	For <b>gamma spectroscopy systems</b> , are performance checks for efficiency & energy calibration and for peak resolution <b>performed on each day of use</b>
 D.4.4(b)(2)	For <b>alpha spectroscopy systems</b> , are the performance checks for <b>energy calibration performed</b> weekly
 D.4.4(b)(2)	For <b>alpha spectroscopy systems</b> , are the performance checks for <b>counting efficiency conducted</b> <b>at least monthly</b>
 D.4.4(b)(3)	<ul> <li>For gas-proportional &amp; liquid scintillation counters, are the performance checks for counting efficiency conducted each day of use</li> <li>Note: For sample batches that uninterruptedly count for more than a day, a performance check can be conducted at the beginning &amp; end of the batch as long as this time interval is no greater than one week</li> <li>Note: Instrument calibration verification does not directly verify secondary calibrations (e.g. mass efficiency curve or quench curve)</li> </ul>
 <b>D.4.4(b)(4)</b>	For scintillation counters, is the calibration verification check for counting efficiency performed each day of use
 <b>D.4.4(c)</b>	<ul> <li>Does the laboratory perform background measurements on a regular basis &amp; monitored using control charts or tolerance charts to ensure its capability to meet required data quality objectives</li> <li>Note: These measured background values may be subtracted from the total measured activity in the determination of the sample activity</li> </ul>
 <b>D.4.4</b> (c)(1)	For gamma spectroscopy systems, are background measurements performed at least monthly
 <b>D.4.4</b> (c)(2)	For alpha spectroscopy systems, are background measurements performed at least monthly
 <b>D.4.4</b> (c)(3)	For gas-proportional counters, are background measurements performed weekly
 <b>D.4.4</b> (c)(4)	For scintillation counters, are background measurements performed each day of use
 <b>D.4.4</b> (d)	Does the laboratory have a written procedure for <b>monitoring</b> radiation measurement instrumentation <b>for radioactive contamination</b>
 <b>D.4.4</b> (d)	Do these procedures for monitoring radioactive contamination <b>indicate the frequency</b> of the monitoring & <b>include criteria</b> that initiates corrective action

 5.5.4.2.2(a) C.1	Has the laboratory performed a <b>satisfactory demonstration of method capability</b> prior to the acceptance & institution of this test method
D.4.3(a)	<b>Note:</b> Demonstrations of capability are done in an applicable & available <b>clean matrix sample</b> in a quality system matrix where <b>no target analytes or interferences present</b> at
	concentrations that impact the results of a specific test method
	Note: These following steps are may not be applicable for tests with which spiking is not an option and for which Quality Control samples are not readily available
	<b>Note:</b> Actual sample spike results, such as <b>4 consecutive matrix spikes</b> (or quality control samples of analytes that do not lend themselves to spiking), within the <b>last 12 months</b> may be used to meet this Standard
	<b>Note:</b> A demonstration of capability is <b>not required</b> in cases where samples are analyzed with this test method in use by the laboratory <b>before July 1999</b> & where there have <b>been no significant changes</b> in instrument type, personnel, or test method, in which case the analyst's documentation of continued proficiency is acceptable (the laboratory must have records on file to show that a demonstration of capability is not required)
	Note: Continuing demonstration of method performance, per the QC requirements in App. D (e.g., laboratory control samples), is required thereafter
	(e.g., laboratory control samples), is required thereafter
 C.1	Does the laboratory <b>document</b> in its Quality Manual <b>other adequate approaches</b> to <b>Demonstration of Capability</b> if the procedure below is <b>not required</b> by the mandated test method or regulation and if the laboratory <b>elects not to perform</b> this procedure
 <b>C.1(a)</b>	Is the <b>quality control sample</b> used for this Demonstration of Capability obtained from an <b>outside source</b>
	<b>Note:</b> If an outside source is not available, the laboratory may prepare this sample with stock standards that are <b>prepared independently</b> from those used in instrument calibration
 C.1(b)	Are the analytes diluted in a volume of <b>clean matrix</b> sufficient to prepare <b>4 aliquots</b> at the <b>specified concentration</b> or to a concentration approximately <b>1-4 times</b> the <b>limit of quantitation</b>
C.1(c)	Are at least 4 such alignate propaged & analyzed according to the test method
 C.1(C)	Are at least 4 such aliquots prepared & analyzed according to the test method <b>Note:</b> These analyses may occur either concurrently or over a period of days
 C.1(d)	Does the laboratory <b>calculate the mean recovery</b> in the appropriate reporting units & the <b>standard deviation</b> of the population sample (n-1) in the same reporting units for <b>each parameter of interest</b> using <b>all of the analysis results obtained</b>
	<ul> <li>Note: When it is not possible to assess mean &amp; standard deviation, such as for presence-absence</li> <li>&amp; logarithmic values, the laboratory must assess performance against established &amp; documented criteria</li> </ul>
 <b>C.1(e)</b>	Are the mean and standard deviation for each parameter <b>compared</b> to the corresponding <b>acceptance criteria for precision &amp; accuracy</b> in the test method (if applicable) or in laboratory-generated acceptance criteria (if the method or analyte is non-standard)
 C.1(e)	Does the laboratory consider the performance unacceptable & <b>not analyze actual samples</b> for parameters that <b>fail the acceptance criteria</b>
 C.1(f)	<ul> <li>When one or more parameters fail at least one of the acceptance criteria, does the analyst:</li> <li>Locate &amp; correct the source of the problem, then repeat the test for all parameters of interest, OR</li> <li>Repeat the test for all parameters that failed to meet criteria</li> </ul>
	<b>Note:</b> Repeated failure from employing the second option above indicates a general problem with the entire measurement system, and the analyst must then perform the first option above

## RADIOCHEMISTRY TEST METHOD ASSESSED: \_\_\_\_\_

 C.1	Is an <b>initial evaluation</b> performed for <b>all analytes to be added</b> to an existing accredited test method (for analytes not currently found on the laboratory's list of accredited analytes)
 5.5.2.6(c)(3)	Does each Analyst have <b>documentation</b> of <b>continued proficiency</b> by at least <b>one of the following once per year:</b>
	<ul> <li>Acceptable performance of a blind sample (single blind to the analyst)</li> <li>Another demonstration of capability or initial measurement system evaluation</li> <li>Successful performance of a blind performance sample on a similar test method using the same technology (the acceptable limits must be determined prior to analysis)</li> <li>At least 4 consecutive laboratory control samples with acceptable levels of precision &amp; accuracy (acceptable limits for precision &amp; accuracy must be determined prior to analysis)</li> <li>Analysis of authentic samples that have been analyzed by another trained analyst with statistically identical results</li> </ul>
 5.5.4.2.2(d) C.2	Does the laboratory use the <b>NELAC-specified certification statement</b> to document the <b>completion of each Demonstration of Capability</b> (initial & continuing)
 C.2	Are copies of these certification statements retained in the <b>personnel records</b> of each <b>employee performing the test method</b>
 5.5.4.2.2(d) C.1	Does the laboratory <b>retain all associated supporting data</b> necessary to <b>reproduce the analytical</b> <b>results</b> summarized in the appropriate certification statement
 5.5.4.2.2(e) C.1 D.4.3(a)	Does the laboratory <b>complete a demonstration of capability each time</b> there is a <b>change</b> in <b>instrument type</b> (e.g., different detection technique), <b>personnel, or test method</b>
 5.5.4.2.2(f)	<ul> <li>Does the laboratory fully document the achievement of demonstration of capability requirements for each specialized work cell</li> <li>Note: A work cell is defined as a group of analysts with specifically defined tasks that together perform the test method</li> </ul>
 5.5.4.2.2(g)	Does the laboratory demonstrate & document acceptable performance through <b>acceptable</b> <b>continuing performance checks</b> (e.g laboratory control samples) <b>each time</b> that <b>membership</b> in a work cell <b>changes</b>
 5.5.4.2.2(g)	Do the <b>new members</b> of the work cell <b>work with experienced analysts</b> in the specialty area
 5.5.4.2.2(g)	Does the laboratory <b>repeat a Demonstration of Capability</b> with the new work cell if the <b>first 4</b> <b>continuing performance checks</b> following the change in personnel <b>produce a failure</b> in any sample batch acceptance criteria
 5.5.4.2.2(g)	Is the Demonstration of Capability repeated if the entire work cell is changed or replaced
 5.5.4.2.2(h)	Is the <b>performance of the work cell</b> as a group <b>linked to the training records</b> of the <b>individual members</b> of the work cell
 5.1.1	<ul> <li>Does the laboratory's procedure for demonstrating its capability to perform the method, the analyst's capability to perform the method, or the acceptance criteria for precision &amp; accuracy comply with the requirements specified in the mandated test method</li> <li>Note: See page xx for such Demonstration of Capability procedural requirements &amp; acceptance criteria</li> </ul>

·	D	Does the laboratory assess & evaluate all quality control measures on an on-going basis
	D	Does the laboratory <b>use</b> quality control <b>acceptance criteria</b> to determine the <b>validity of the data</b> <b>Note:</b> Acceptance criteria from method blanks ( <b>D.4.1(a</b> )), laboratory control samples ( <b>D.4.1(b)(1)</b> ), matrix spikes ( <b>D.4.1(b)(2)</b> ), replicate sample analyses ( <b>D.4.2</b> ), tracer recoveries ( <b>D.4.1(c)(1)</b> ), & carrier recoveries ( <b>D.4.1(c)(2)</b> ) are the minimum criteria to be used to determine batch and/or individual sample result acceptance
	<b>D.4.1</b> (a)(1)	Does the laboratory analyze method blanks at a frequency of at least one per preparation batch
	<b>D.4.1</b> (a)(1)	Does the laboratory <b>assess the method blank result</b> against specific <b>acceptance criteria</b> in the laboratory <b>test method manual</b>
	<b>D.4.1</b> (a)(1)	If method blank acceptance criteria are not met, does the laboratory <b>take the corrective action &amp; follow the contingencies specified</b> in its test method manual
	<b>D.4.1</b> (a)(1)	If method blank acceptance criteria are not met, are test results <b>reported with appropriate data</b> <b>qualifying codes</b>
	<b>D.4.1</b> (a)(1)	Are the occurrence of failed method blank acceptance criteria & corrective actions taken <b>noted in</b> <b>the laboratory test report</b>
	<b>D.4.1</b> (a)(2)	<ul> <li>For gamma spectroscopic methods, generally a non-destructive analysis, is a method blank prepared using a calibrated counting geometry similar to that used for the samples</li> <li>Note: The container of appropriate volume can be empty or filled to similar volume, to simulate partially gamma attenuation due to a sample matrix</li> </ul>
	D.4.1(a)(3)	<ul> <li>Does the laboratory not subtract the method blank result from the sample results in the associated preparation or analytical batch (unless permitted by the test method or regulatory program)</li> <li>Note: Correction factors (e.g. instrument background, analyte presence in tracer, reagent impurities, peak overlap, etc.) may be applied to all analyzed samples &amp; internal quality control samples as long as these correction factors don't depend on the required method blank result for the associated analytical batch</li> </ul>
	D.4.1(a)(4)	Is the method blank prepared with <b>similar aliquot size</b> to that of the routine samples for analysis, with the method blank result & acceptance criteria calculated in a manner that compensates for sample results based on differing aliquot size
	<b>D.4.1(b)(1)</b>	Does the laboratory perform at least one Laboratory Control Sample per preparation batch
	<b>D.4.1(b)(1)</b>	Does the laboratory <b>assess the laboratory control sample result</b> against specific <b>acceptance</b> <b>criteria</b> in the laboratory <b>test method manual</b>
	<b>D.4.1</b> (b)(1)	If laboratory control sample acceptance criteria are not met, does the laboratory <b>take the</b> <b>corrective action &amp; follow the contingencies specified</b> in its test method manual
	<b>D.4.1(b)(1)</b>	Are the occurrences of failed laboratory control sample acceptance criteria & the actions taken <b>noted in the laboratory test report</b>

 D.4.1(b)(2)	Does the laboratory analyze at least <b>one matrix spike</b> per <b>preparation batch</b> , for Radiochemistry methods that <b>include a chemical separation process without the use of a carrier</b> or an internal standard (and for which there is sufficient sample to do so)
 D.4.1(b)(2)	Are matrix spikes performed <b>for gross alpha, gross beta, &amp; tritium</b> analyses on <b>aqueous samples</b> (even though a chemical separation process is not involved)
 D.4.1(b)(2)	Does the laboratory <b>assess the matrix spike recovery</b> against specific <b>acceptance criteria</b> in the laboratory <b>test method manual</b>
 <b>D.4.1(b)(2)</b>	If matrix spike sample acceptance criteria are not met, does the laboratory <b>take the corrective</b> <b>action &amp; follow the contingencies specified</b> in its test method manual
 D.4.1(b)(2)	Are the occurrences of failed matrix spike acceptance criteria & the actions taken <b>noted in the</b> laboratory test report
 <b>D.4.1(b)(2)</b>	Is the <b>lack of sufficient sample aliquot size</b> to perform a matrix spike noted in the laboratory report
 <b>D.4.1</b> (b)(3)	Is the activity of the laboratory control sample <b>at least 5 times the limit of detection</b> & at a level <b>comparable to routine samples</b> when such information is available if activities are expected to exceed 5 times the limit of detection
 <b>D.4.1(b)(4)</b>	Is the activity of the matrix spike analyte(s) greater than 5 times the detection limit
 D.4.1(b)(5)	Are the laboratory standards used to prepare the laboratory control sample & matrix spike from a <b>source independent</b> of the laboratory standards used for instrument calibration
 <b>D.4.1</b> (b)(6)	Is the matrix spike prepared by adding a known activity of target analyte <b>after subsampling</b> if required <b>but before any chemical treatment</b> (e.g., chemical digestion, dissolution, separation, etc.)
 <b>D.4.1</b> (b)(6)	<ul> <li>When more than one analyte isotope is present above a specified limit of detection, does the laboratory assess each isotope against the specified acceptance criteria</li> <li>Note: When a Radiochemical test method, other than gamma spectroscopy, has more than one reportable analyte isotope (e.g. Plutonium, Pu-238, &amp; Pu-239 by alpha spectroscopy), only one of the analyte isotopes needs to be included in the laboratory control or matrix spike sample at the indicated activity level</li> </ul>
 <b>D.4.1</b> (b)(7)	<ul> <li>When gamma spectroscopy is used to identify &amp; quantitate more than one analyte isotope, does the laboratory control sample contain isotopes that represent the low (e.g. Am-241), medium (e.g. Cs-137), &amp; high (e.g. Co-60) energy range of the analyzed gamma spectra</li> <li>Note: The selected isotopes do nor necessarily need to bracket the calibrated energy range or the range over which isotopes are identified &amp; quantitated</li> </ul>
 <b>D.4.1</b> (b)(8)	Is the laboratory control sample <b>prepared with similar aliquot size</b> to that of routine samples for analysis

 <b>D.4.1(c)(1)</b>	For Radiochemistry test methods that utilize a tracer (i.e. internal standard), does <b>each sample</b> <b>result</b> have an associated <b>tracer recovery calculated &amp; reported</b>
 <b>D.4.1(c)(1)</b>	Is the tracer added to the sample <b>after subsampling</b> if required <b>but before any chemical treatment</b> (e.g., chemical digestion, dissolution, separation, etc.) unless otherwise specified by the method
 <b>D.4.1</b> (c)(1)	Does the laboratory <b>assess the tracer recovery</b> against specific <b>acceptance criteria</b> in the laboratory <b>test method manual</b>
 <b>D.4.1</b> (c)(1)	If the tracer recovery acceptance criteria are not met, does the laboratory <b>take the corrective</b> <b>action &amp; follow the contingencies specified</b> in its test method manual
 <b>D.4.1(c)(1)</b>	Are the occurrences of failed tracer recovery acceptance criteria & actions taken <b>noted in the</b> laboratory test report
 <b>D.4.1(c)(2)</b>	For Radiochemistry test methods that utilize a carrier for recovery determination, does <b>each</b> <b>sample result</b> have an associated <b>carrier recovery calculated &amp; reported</b>
 <b>D.4.1</b> (c)(2)	Is the carrier added to the sample <b>after subsampling</b> if required <b>but before any chemical treatment</b> (e.g., chemical digestion, dissolution, separation, etc.) unless otherwise specified by the method
 D.4.1(c)(2)	Does the laboratory <b>assess the carrier recovery</b> against specific <b>acceptance criteria</b> in the laboratory <b>test method manual</b>
 <b>D.4.1</b> (c)(2)	If the carrier recovery acceptance criteria are not met, does the laboratory <b>take the corrective</b> <b>action &amp; follow the contingencies specified</b> in its test method manual
 <b>D.4.1</b> (c)(2)	Are the occurrences of failed carrier recovery acceptance criteria & actions taken <b>noted in the</b> <b>laboratory test report</b>
 D.4.2(a)	<ul> <li>Does the laboratory perform at least one replicate sample analysis per preparation batch, where there is sufficient sample to do so</li> <li>Note: For low-level samples (&lt;3 times the detection limit) LCS duplicate or matrix spike duplicate may be used to assess reproducibility within a preparation batch</li> </ul>
 <b>D.4.2</b> (a)	Does the laboratory <b>assess the result of replicate sample analysis</b> against specific <b>acceptance</b> <b>criteria</b> in the laboratory <b>test method manual</b>
 <b>D.4.2</b> (a)	If replicate sample precision acceptance criteria are not met, does the laboratory <b>take the</b> <b>corrective action &amp; follow the contingencies specified</b> in its test method manual
 <b>D.4.2</b> (a)	Are the occurrences of failed replicate acceptance criteria & the actions taken <b>noted in the</b> <b>laboratory test report</b>

 <b>D.4.5</b> (a)	Has the laboratory determined detection limits prior to sample analysis
 <b>D.4.5</b> (a)	Has the laboratory determined the detection limit each time there is <b>significant change in the test</b> <b>method or instrument type</b>
 <b>D.4.5(b)</b>	Are the <b>procedures employed</b> for the detection limit determination <b>documented &amp; consistent</b> with mandated test method or regulatory requirements
 5.1.1	Do the laboratory's detection limits <b>fulfill the requirements</b> of <b>mandated test methods</b> or <b>regulations</b> (i.e. reliably & consistently <b>below</b> any SDWA <b>Maximum Contaminant Levels</b> , RCRA <b>Toxicity Characteristics</b> , CWA <b>effluent limitations</b> , or other concentration levels upon which regulatory decisions are based)
	Note:       SDWA Detection Limit requirements in 40 CFR Part 141.25(c)         Ra-226 + Ra-228       1.0 pCi/L         Gross Alpha       3.0 pCi/L         Tritium       1000 pCi/L         Sr-89       10 pCi/L         Sr-90       2.0 pCi/L         I-131       1.0 pCi/L         Gross Beta       4.0 pCi/L         Gross Beta       4.0 pCi/L         Gross Beta       4.0 pCi/L         Other Radionuclides       One-tenth the concentration determined to produce total body or organ dose of 4 millirem/year         Note:       Other regulations (including state regulations) & permits may contain additional requirements for Reporting Limits, Minimum Levels, Lower Limits of Detection, & other criteria         Note:       NELAC 2000 now requires Method Detection Limits be done prior to sample analyses & each time there is significant change to test method or instrument type         Note:       NELAC 2000 requires the MDL procedure to be documented & consistent with mandated test methods or regulations
 5.1.1	Does the laboratory fulfill <b>additional requirements</b> specified in the <b>mandated test method</b> or <b>regulation</b> SM7120B, 6a requires replicate sample analysis for every 10 samples analyzed

COMMENTS: List analytes for which the above Standards or requirements for measurement sensitivity have not been fulfilled

#### Gross Alpha, Gross Beta EPA 900.0; EPA-600/4-75-008, p.1; EPA 00-01; EPA (1979), p.1; SM7110B; USGS R-1120-76

Thin end-window proportional counter; internal proportional & Geiger counters as alternate Beta counters Counting pan, solids loading needs to be < 5 mg/cm2 to prevent Alpha radiation self-absorption SDWA: Natural Uranium or Thorium-230 as Gross Alpha standards Plutonium-239 or Americium-241 also as Gross Alpha standards Cesium-137 or Strontium-90 as Gross Beta standards

#### **Gross Alpha**

#### EPA 900.1; EPA 00-02; SM7110C

Alpha scintillation counter or low-background proportional counter Barium Chloride & Ferric Nitrate as carriers Sulfuric Acid & Ammonia-water, to co-precipitate Alpha-emitters with Barium Sulfate & Iron(III) Hydroxide SDWA: Natural Uranium, Thorium-230, or Americium-241 as Gross Alpha standards

#### **Radioactive Cesium**

#### EPA 901.0; EPA-600/4-75-008, p.4; SM7500Cs B; ASTM D2459-72; USGS R-1111-76

Low-background Beta (gas-proportional) counter or Gamma spectrometer Cesium Chloride as carrier Ammonium Phosphomolybdate, to precipitate radioactive Cesium Chloroplatinic Acid, to convert cesium precipitate to Cs2PtCl6

#### **Photon Emitters**

#### EPA 901.1; EPA (1979), p.92; SM7120B; ASTM D3649-91; USGS R-1110-76; DOE 4.5.2.3; DOE GA-01-R

Gamma spectrometer with Tl-activated NaI detector or Li-drifted Ge detector

#### Radioactive Iodine - EPA 902.0; EPA-600/4-75-008, p.6; SM7500I B

Low-background Beta (gas-proportional) counter or Beta-Gamma coincidence counter or Gamma spectrometer Potassium Iodate as carrier
Sodium Sulfite, to reduce iodine-species to iodide
Silver Nitrate, to precipitate iodide
Zinc powder, to purify silver iodide precipitate
Palladium Chloride & Sulfuric Acid, to re-precipitate iodide as PdI2

#### Radioactive Iodine - SM7500I C; ASTM D4785-93

Low-background Beta (gas-proportional) counter or Beta-Gamma coincidence counter or Gamma spectrometer Sodium Iodide or Potassium Iodide as carrier Sodium Bisulfite, to convert all iodine species to iodide (before anion exchange & after extraction) Anion Exchange Resin, to concentrate iodide species Sodium Hypochlorite, to elute iodide from column (as iodate at this point) Hydroxylamine, to convert iodate from anion-exchange column to iodine prior to CCl4 extraction Carbon Tetrachloride extraction solvent Palladium Chloride or Copper(I) Chloride, to precipitate iodide as PdI2 or CuI, respectively

#### Radioactive Iodine - EPA-600/4-75-008, p.9; SM7500I D

Low-background Beta (gas-proportional) counter or Beta-Gamma coincidence counter or Gamma spectrometer Potassium Iodide as carrier Tartaric Acid & Nitric Acid, to distill HI into Sodium Hydroxide scrubber solution Sodium Nitrite, to oxidize iodides to iodine Carbon Tetrachloride extraction solvent Sodium Bisulfite, to reduce iodine back to iodide & re-extract into aqueous phase Palladium Chloride, to precipitate iodide as PdI2

#### Radium-226 - EPA 903.0, EPA-600/4-75-008, p.13; EPA Ra-03; SM7500Ra B; ASTM D2460-97; USGS R-1140-76

Internal gas-flow proportional counter, Alpha scintillation counter, or thin end-window gas-flow proportional Counter

Radium-226 standard Lead Nitrate & Barium Chloride as carriers Sulfuric Acid, to coprecipitate radium-lead-barium sulfates EDTA & Ammonia-water, to redissolve precipitate Acetic Acid at pH 4.5, to precipitate radium & barium sulfates (Pb & other natural Alpha emitters stay in sol'n)

# Radium-226 – EPA 903.1; EPA-600/4-75-008, p.16; EPA Ra-04; EPA (1979), p.19; SM7500Ra C; ASTM D3454-97; DOE Ra-05; USGS R-1141-76

Alpha scintillation counter, with Ag-activated ZnS phosphor coating & SnO4-coated glass window Radium-226 standard Barium Chloride as carrier Barium-133 as tracer (ASTM D3454-91) Sulfuric Acid, to precipitate barium & radium sulfates HF & Flux (fusion of Barium Sulfate, Potassium & Sodium Carbonates, & Sodium Borate), to remove silicates Hydrogen Peroxide, to decompose insoluble radium compounds Phosphoric Acid, to remove sulfites Ascarite & Magnesium Perchlorate sorbents, for Radon-222 Emanation Assembly (3 weeks recommended)

#### Radium-228 - EPA 904.0; EPA-600/4-75-008, p.24; EPA Ra-05; EPA (1979), p.19; SM7500Ra D; USGS R-1142-76

Gas-flow internal proportional counter, or thin end-window proportional counter Barium Chloride, Lead Nitrate, & Strontium Nitrate / Yttrium Oxide as carriers

Sulfuric Acid, to coprecipitate radium-lead-barium sulfates

EDTA & Ammonia-water, to redissolve precipitate (DTPA (Diethylenetriaminepentaacetic Acid) used in Ra-05) Acetic Acid at pH 4.5, to precipitate radium & barium sulfates (Pb & other natural Alpha emitters stay in sol'n) (In-growth of Actinium-228 from Radium-228 for 36 hours)

- Ammonium Sulfide, to remove precipitate lead carrier
  - (pH 3 Acetic Acid used to re-precipitate Ra & Ba sulfates in Ra-05)

Sodium Hydroxide, to coagulate yttrium hydroxide (supernatant solution analyzed for Ra-226) (omitted in Ra-05) Ammonium Oxalate, to carry the Actinium on yttrium oxalate precipitate

(Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phosphoric Acid in Heptane used to extract supernatent Actinium in Ra-05) (If Ra-228 absent, Ra-226 may be determined by EPA 903.0 above; if Ra-228 present, radon emanation is used)

#### Strontium-89 & Strontium-90

EPA 905.0; EPA-600/4-78-008, p.29; EPA Sr-04; EPA (1979), p.65; SM7500Sr B; USGS R-1160-76; DOE Sr-01, Sr-02

Gas-flow internal proportional (low-background Beta) counter, or thin end-window proportional counter Strontium Nitrate, Barium Nitrate, Yttrium Oxide, & Cerium(III) Nitrate / Zirconyl Chloride / Iron(III) Chloride as carriers

Sodium Carbonate & Sodium Hydroxide, to precipitate Strontium

Nitric Acid, to redissolve Strontium & separate it from SiO2, BaSO4, etc.

Fuming Nitric Acid, to precipitate Sr(NO3)2 & separate it from calcium interference

Ammonia-water, to precipitate rare earth hydroxides (converted Yttrium-90 Hydroxide later)

(Conversion of Strontium-90 to Yttrium-90 begins after removal of rare earth oxides, allow in-growth for 2 weeks)

Sodium Chromate & pH 5.5 Acetate Buffer, to precipitate barium

(Strontium re-precipitated as SrCO3 & can be counted to produce the Total Radiostrontium result)

Ammonium Oxalate, to convert yttrium hydroxide to yttrium oxalate precipitate for counting

Tributyl Phosphate extraction solvent (extract Y-90 as alternative to precipitation as hydroxide (oxalate))

#### Tritium

### EPA 906.0; EPA-600/4-78-008, p.34; EPA H-02; EPA (1979), p.87; SM7500(3H) B; ASTM D4107-91; USGS R-1171-76

Liquid scintillation coincidence-type spectrometer

Sodium Hydroxide, to distill Tritium & not radiocarbon or radioiodine

Potassium Permanganate, to oxidize organic matter

Scintillation Solution (1,4-Di-2-(5-phenyloxazolyl)benzene (POPOP); 2,5-Diphenyloxazole (POP); Naphthalene; & 1,4-Dioxane)

#### Natural Uranium - EPA 908.0; SM7500U B

Gas-flow proportional counter or Alpha scintillation counter Iron(III) Chloride as carrier Uranyl Acetate standard Ammonia-water, to co-precipitate iron(III) hydroxide & Uranium (boil sample first to remove carbonates) Anion-exchange resin (wash out iron & plutonium with HI in HCl) Hydrochloric Acid, to elute Uranium Nitric Acid, to convert Uranium to its nitrate & to remove HIO3

## Natural Uranium – EPA 908.1; SM7500U C (17th ed.); ASTM D2907-97; USGS R-1180-76, R-1181-76; DOE U-04

Fluorimeter (320-370 nm excitation wavelength, 530-570 nm fluorescence) Sodium Fluoride & Lithium Fluoride Flux (ASTM D2907-91 direct method) Aluminum Nitrate & Diammonium Hydrogen Phosphate, to co-precipitate aluminum & uranyl phosphates Nitric Acid, to redissolve precipitate Magnesium Nitrate, to salt the aqueous phase Ethyl Acetate extraction solvent (MIBK in ASTM D2907-91) Sodium Fluoride, Sodium Carbonate, & Potassium Carbonate Flux Uranous-Uranium Oxide standard (U3O8)

# Natural Uranium – EPA 00-07; EPA (1979), p.33; SM7500U C (18<sup>th</sup> ed.); ASTM D3972-97; USGS R-1182-76; DOE U-02

Alpha spectrometer Uranium-232 as tracer Iron(III) Chloride as carrier Ammonia-water, to co-precipitate iron(III) hydroxide & Uranium (boil sample first to remove carbonates) Anion-exchange resin (wash out iron & plutonium with HI in HCl) Hydrochloric Acid, to elute Uranium Electrolyte Solution (Ammonium Sulfate at pH 3.5) Stainless-steel disk & electrode system, to electrodeposit Uranium

#### Natural Uranium – ASTM D5174-97

Laser Phosphorimeter Nitric Acid & Hydrogen Peroxide, to digest sample Uranium Complexant (e.g. phosphoric acid)