### $\mathbf{R}_{\text{esearch}} \; \mathbf{R}_{\text{eview}} \;$ and $\mathbf{A}_{\text{dvisory}} \; \mathbf{C}_{\text{ommittee}}$

#### **ONSITE SEWAGE TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL SYSTEMS**

#### ADVISORY TO THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

AUTHORITY: SECTION 381.0065(4)(0), FLORIDA STATUTES

DATE AND TIME: July 28, 2015 at 9:30 a.m. ET

PLACE: Florida Department of Health Southwood Complex 4025 Esplanade Way, Room #130 L Tallahassee, FL 32399

> **Or via conference call / web conference:** Toll free call in number: 1-888-670-3525 Conference pass code: 8605907413 Website: http://connectpro22543231.na5.acrobat.com/rrac\_new/

This meeting is open to the public

AGENDA:	FINAL	
9:30 – 9:40		Introductions and Housekeeping
9:40 – 9:45		<ul><li>Review of minutes:</li><li>March 19, 2015 meeting</li></ul>
9:45 – 2:30		<ul> <li>Discussion on Nitrogen Reduction Strategies Study:</li> <li>Current status and timeline</li> <li>Presentation by Hazen and Sawyer on the project</li> <li>Discussion on Task B Draft Final Report</li> </ul>
2:30 - 2:45		Updates on Other Projects
2:45 – 2:50		Other Business
2:50 - 2:55		Public Comment
2:55 – 3:00		Closing Comments, Next Meeting, and Adjournment

NOTE: Time slots are approximate and may be subject to change.

#### ADVISORY TO THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

AUTHORITY: SECTION 381.0065(4)(0), FLORIDA STATUTES

Approved Minutes of the Meeting held at the Southwood Office Complex, Tallahassee, FL July 28, 2015

#### In attendance:

### Research Review and Advisory Committee (RRAC) Members and Alternates:

#### In person:

Eberhard Roeder (member, Department of Health)

### Via teleconference:

- Quentin (Bob) Beitel (member, Real Estate Profession)
- Christopher Pettit (member, Local Government)
- John Schert (member, State University System)
- Clay Tappan (chairman, member, Professional Engineer)

### Absent members and alternates:

- Ed Dion (alternate, Home Building Industry)
- Geoff Luebkemann (member, Restaurant Industry)
- Carl Ludecke (vice-chairman, member, Home Building Industry)
- Tony Macaluso (alternate, Real Estate Profession)
- Daniel Meeroff (alternate, State University System)
- Bill Melton (member, Consumer)
- Matt Surrency (alternate, Local Government)
- Robert Washam (alternate, Consumer)

### Other attendees:

#### In person:

- Damann Anderson (Hazen and Sawyer)
- Douglas Buck (Florida Home Builders Association)
- Bill Helmich (FOWA)
- Richard Hick (DEP)
- Josefin Hirst (Hazen and Sawyer)
- Mark Repasky (FRLA)

#### Via teleconference:

- Maurice Barker (DEP)
- Charles (Ed) Brown (DOH, Marion)
- Glenn William Bryant (DOH, Citrus)
- Nanci Cornwell (Senator Hays Office)
- Jessica Crawford
- Kim Dinkins (Marion County)
- Kim Duffek (DOH, Orange)
- Victor Faconti (DOH, St. Lucie)
- Christianne Ferraro (DEP)
- Roxanne Groover (FOWA)
- Bob Himschoot (Septic Industry)
- Kathryn Lowe
- Christopher Rowe (PTI)
- Pam Tucker (Real Estate Industry)
- Tyler (Unknown)

#### ADVISORY TO THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

AUTHORITY: SECTION 381.0065(4)(0), FLORIDA STATUTES

### Department of Health (DOH), Onsite Sewage Program Section:

In person:

- Ed Barranco, Environmental Administrator, Onsite Sewage Programs
- Kendra Goff, State Toxicologist, Bureau of Environmental Health
- Andrew Reich, Acting Bureau Chief, Bureau of Environmental Health
- Elke Ursin, Research Program Coordinator, Onsite Sewage Programs

#### Via teleconference:

- Bart Harriss, Environmental Manager, Onsite Sewage Programs
- Marcelo Blanco, Environmental Health Program Consultant, Onsite Sewage Programs
- Introductions Five out of eight groups with non-expired members were present, representing a quorum. Chairman Tappan called the meeting to order at 9:45 a.m. The agenda was presented, introductions were made, and some housekeeping issues were discussed. Doug Buck asked for staff to check and see if expired members can stay on the committee until replaced. Elke Ursin would check on that.
- 2. Review of previous meeting minutes The RRAC reviewed the minutes of the March 19, 2015 meeting. Quentin Beitel pointed out that he was incorrectly listed as an alternate when he should be a member.

# Motion by Quentin Beitel and seconded by Eberhard Roeder, for the RRAC to approve the minutes of the March 19, 2015 meeting as amended during the meeting. All were in favor, none opposed, and the motion passed unanimously.

- 3. Nitrogen Study Update Elke Ursin presented a 10,000 foot overview of the project to get everyone on the same page. In 2008 the Florida Legislature directed the Florida Department of Health to look at strategies to reduce nitrogen in onsite sewage treatment and disposal systems (OSTDS). The Department did a competitive solicitation and Hazen and Sawyer was selected as the contract provider. There has been a great deal of work done, and this would be discussed later during the meeting when Hazen and Sawyer present on the project. Elke Ursin went over what has happened since the March 2015 meeting and what the timeline is moving forward. The current status is that the Legislature appropriated \$10,000 to conclude the study. By December 31, 2015, the Department shall submit a final report to the Legislature containing:
  - a) Analysis of field monitoring of performance and cost of technologies at various sites
  - b) Analysis of soil and groundwater sampling at various sites to determine how nitrogen moves
  - c) Analysis of various models to show how nitrogen is affected by treatment in Florida-specific soils
  - d) Final reporting on all tasks with recommendations for science-based nitrogen reduction options for OSTDS

The Department will use the \$10,000 plus some Bureau of Environmental Health cash and budget authority to wrap up the contractor work by August 30, 2015. Elke Ursin is working on a website to have all the tasks and associated deliverables up and available for anyone to view. The holdup in getting this done is making sure any homeowner specific information is redacted from these online

#### ADVISORY TO THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

AUTHORITY: SECTION 381.0065(4)(0), FLORIDA STATUTES

documents to protect their privacy. She said that if anyone wants a deliverable that they can contact her. The project cost was anticipated to be \$5 million, and the final spending amount is likely to come to around \$4.7 million which includes all the appropriated funds plus some cash from the Bureau of Environmental Health. Bob Himschoot said that the RRAC had recommended full funding and time to complete the project with the contract ending January 2016, but based on the information presented it appeared that both funding and time were cut. Doug Buck said that the RRAC and the experts have said that \$10,000 is a grossly inadequate amount of money to finish the project. Clay Tappan said that there is a great deal of history with this project and it does not look like more time or money will be made available. He suggested that the group make the best out of the situation and to move forward with the agenda. Elke Ursin went over the timeline for the project.

Damann Anderson presented the results for the Florida Onsite Sewage Nitrogen Reduction Strategies Study. He presented an overview of all the parts of the study in detail, then Kathryn Lowe with the Colorado School of Mines demonstrated the groundwater monitoring tool and Josefin Hirst demonstrated the life cycle cost assessment tool. He acknowledged the project team and the volunteer homeowners. Due to the project funding and timeline issues Tasks A, C, and D were not totally completed, but Task B was completed. Damann Anderson presented recommendations for the implementation of passive nitrogen reduction systems. Quentin Beitel asked what the status was on each of the systems now that the sampling is over. Damann Anderson said that systems 1 and 6 (same site) had the system removed due to costs, the homeowner for system 2 loves the system, the homeowner for system 3 is on the fence due to costs, the homeowner for system 4 loves the system, the homeowner for system 5 has mixed feelings due to costs, and the homeowner for system 7 is keeping the system.

The public was allowed to comment throughout. Some of the discussions were about the sulfide created during the denitrification step for the systems with sulfur as the treatment media, possible tank corrosion issues with extra sulfides, and how to apply the results of this work to the DEP Basin Management Action Plans. Andy Reich said that the Bureau is looking for help looking through this work and want the end product to be the best possible. Bob Himschoot recommended continued sampling for these systems. Roxanne Groover said that she was happy to hear about the reports recommendations for continued monitoring and sufficient DOH staffing. She said that implementation needs to address approved media sources, the approval process for the systems, the permitting process and how to handle use of existing tank and drainfield, the contractor processes, and how the systems will be inspected for compliance. Doug Buck asked what did not get done and Damann Anderson said the main thing is that there is no final project report tying all the pieces of the project together. Doug Buck then asked what Damann Anderson's opinion is on the path forward and if money could be found to create the final report, whether it is too late to do anything. Damann Anderson said that he would need to weigh the options but would require that the Department is on board with the project. Andy Reich hopes that if there is additional money that the project could be picked up and do additional work. He also encouraged everyone to stay engaged with this issue at both a state and local level.

Comments are due to Elke Ursin on the Draft Task B report by 7/30/15. Elke Ursin will send compiled comments to Hazen and Sawyer on the Draft Task B report on 8/1/15. Damann Anderson

#### ADVISORY TO THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

AUTHORITY: SECTION 381.0065(4)(0), FLORIDA STATUTES

said that particular areas of focus for comments would be the recommended framework and conclusions and recommendations.

Damann Anderson mentioned that an article was published in the Water Environment and Technology Journal, which reaches a national audience, as well as an article in the June issue of the Florida Water Resources Journal. Florida is in the news with the work being done. Elke Ursin said she will present at the American Planning Association's Florida conference in September jointly with the Department of Economic Opportunity and Florida Atlantic University. Also, both Hazen and Sawyer and the Department will present at the National Onsite Wastewater Recycling Association / State Onsite Regulators Association conference in November. Hazen and Sawyer will also present at the Florida Onsite Wastewater Association conference in one week.

- 4. Updates on Other Projects Elke Ursin gave an update on the Florida Water Management Inventory. The project is to create a statewide map showing the drinking water source and wastewater treatment method for all built properties. This tool ties in with the nitrogen issue, and will provide refined estimates for loading of nitrogen from OSTDS in sensitive watersheds. She said that funding had run out for the moment, and is actively looking for additional funding.
- 5. Other Business None.
- 6. Public Comment The public commented throughout the meeting.
- 7. Closing Comments, Next Meeting, and Adjournment It was recommended that future meetings be held in larger rooms and possibly also at a centralized location.

Motion by Eberhard Roeder and seconded by Quentin Beitel, for the RRAC to adjourn at 2:56 p.m. All were in favor, none opposed, and the motion passed unanimously.

#### Summary of action items from meeting:

- →Doug Buck asked for staff to check and see if expired members can stay on the committee until replaced. Elke Ursin would check on that.
- Elke Ursin will update the Department Nitrogen Study website to include links to all deliverables for the project.
- $\rightarrow$ 7/30/15 Comments are due to Elke Ursin on the Draft Task B report.
- →8/1/15 Elke Ursin will send compiled comments to Hazen and Sawyer on the Draft Task B report.
- $\rightarrow$ Elke Ursin will post the presentations for this meeting on the website.

# Research Review & Advisory Committee Meeting July 28, 2015

Elke Ursin Bureau of Environmental Health Onsite Sewage Programs Elke.Ursin@flhealth.gov 850-245-4070 x 2708

Division of Disease Control and Health Protection Florida Department of Health Florida HEALTH

To protect, promote and improve the health of all people in Florida through integrated state, county, and community efforts

### Agenda

9:30 – 9:40 Introductions and Housekeeping

9:40 - 9:45	Review of minutes:

• March 19, 2015 meeting

9:45 – 2:30 Discussion on Nitrogen Reduction Strategies Study:

- Current status and timeline
- Presentation by Hazen and Sawyer on the project
- Discussion on Task B Draft Final Report
- 2:30 2:45 Updates on Other Projects
- 2:45 2:50 Other Business
- 2:50 2:55 Public Comment

2:55 – 3:00 Closing Comments, Next Meeting, and Adjournment



2

## **Introductions & Housekeeping**

- Committee roll call
- Identification of audience
- How to view web conference
- Mute / unmute phone line = \*6
- Do not put phone on hold
- Download meeting material:

http://www.floridahealth.gov/environmental-health/ onsite-sewage/research/rrac.html



### **Review of Meeting Minutes**

• March 19, 2015



### Florida Onsite Sewage Nitrogen Reduction Strategies Study



5

### Florida Onsite Sewage Nitrogen Reduction Strategies Study

Discussion on Nitrogen Reduction Strategies Study:

- Current status and timeline
- Presentation by Hazen and Sawyer on the project
- Discussion on Task B Draft Final Report
- Public Comment



# Nitrogen Study – Current Status

- Legislature appropriated \$10,000 to conclude the study
- Final report from the Department due 12/31/2015 containing:
- 1. Analysis of field monitoring of performance and cost of technologies at various sites
- 2. Analysis of soil and groundwater sampling at various sites to determine how nitrogen moves
- 3. Analysis of various models to show how nitrogen is affected by treatment in Florida-specific soils
- 4. Final reporting on all tasks with recommendations for science-based nitrogen reduction options for OSTDS



# Nitrogen Study – Financial Status

- Reviewing invoices
- Anticipated total project spending: \$4,703,646.60 (estimated through 6/30/2015)
- + \$ 26,218.05 (estimated through 8/30/2015) \$4,729,864.65 (estimated contracted total)



# Nitrogen Study – Timeline



# Nitrogen Study – Timeline



### Florida Onsite Sewage Nitrogen Reduction Strategies Study

Discussion on Nitrogen Reduction Strategies Study:

 Presentation by Hazen and Sawyer on the project



### Florida Onsite Sewage Nitrogen Reduction Strategies Study

Discussion on Nitrogen Reduction Strategies Study:

- Discussion on Task B Draft Final Report – Final comments due to Elke Ursin (<u>elke.ursin@flhealth.gov</u>) by July 30, 2015
- Public Comment



# **Updates on Other Projects**



13















### HIDDEN GEMS

# DATA SILOS



# **Other Business**



19

# **Public Comment**



20

## **Next Meeting Discussion**

When and how often should we meet to stay on track with the December 31, 2015 final report deadline?



# Closing Comments and Adjournment

Elke Ursin, PMP, CPM Email: <u>Elke.Ursin@flhealth.gov</u> Phone: 850-245-4444 x 2708 4052 Bald Cypress Way, Bin A08, Tallahassee FL, 32399-1710

> Division of Disease Control and Health Protection Florida Department of Health

Florida HEALTH

22

To protect, promote and improve the health of all people in Florida through integrated state, county, and community efforts





# FLORIDA ONSITE SEWAGE NITROGEN REDUCTION STRATEGIES (FOSNRS) STUDY

Presentation to the FDOH Research Review and Advisory Committee (RRAC) July 28, 2015

ADENT PORT

OTIS ENVIRONMENTAI CONSULTANTS

44237-000

by Damann L. Anderson, P.E. Josefin E. Hirst, P.E.

### **PROJECT TEAM ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**











OTIS ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS

And many support firms and staff! Special acknowledgements to the volunteer homeowners!

### **Presentation Outline**

- Excess Nitrogen impacts water quality!
- Florida onsite sewage nitrogen reduction strategies (FOSNRS) project background
- Task A: Technology Review and Pilot Testing
- Task B: Full Scale Prototype PNRS Testing
  - Proprietary system (System 1)
  - In-tank PNRS (System 2)
  - In-ground PNRS (System 3)
- Task C: Soil and Groundwater Monitoring
- Task D: Nitrogen Fate & Transport Modeling and Tool Development
- Summary & Questions





### Why are we here?

### Excess Nitrogen impacts water quality!

### Adverse effects of nitrogen

- Public Health: SDWA Limit of 10 mg/L NO<sub>3</sub> N, Harmful Algal Blooms (HABs)
- Ecosystem Health/ Water Qualty: N is limiting nutrient in many water bodies
  - Algal blooms, loss of habitat, hypoxia
- Impacts of excess nitrogen on water quality have been documented in many areas:
  - Tampa Bay, Sarasota Bay, Indian River Lagoon
  - Florida Keys
  - Florida's Freshwater Springs and elsewhere

# In Florida, nitrogen loading has resulted in water quality problems for our freshwater springs...

Ichetucknee, Springs State Park, 1995

Ichetucknee Springs State Park, 2012

Photos courtesy of John Moran - SpringsEternalProject.org

6

# Nitrogen reducing onsite wastewater systems (OWS)

- Concerns over nitrogen impacts have led to requirements to reduce nitrogen, typically to a 10 mg/L total nitrogen goal prior to discharge to the soil
  - Florida Keys
  - Wakulla County, FL
  - Performance based treatment systems (PBTS) utilizing an activated sludge biological (BNR) process, similar to a municipal treatment plant, have been typically used.
  - Inconsistent performance of PBTS has been documented, with systems generally unable to meet 10 mg/L TN goal.





Florida Onsite Sewage Nitrogen Reduction Strategies (FOSNRS) project background

### FOSNRS project initiated by Florida legislature

- Florida Legislature directed FDOH to conduct a study to further develop more "passive" & cost-effective nitrogen reduction strategies for onsite sewage treatment and disposal systems (OSTDS)
- "Passive" nitrogen reducing OSTDS should be more similar to conventional onsite systems in their operation and maintenance
  - Initiated the Florida Onsite Sewage Nitrogen Reduction Strategies (FOSNRS) Project in 2009
- RFP identified four primary study areas
#### Four primary study areas







## Task A: Technology Review and Pilot Testing

#### **Task A Components**

- Literature review to evaluate nitrogen reducing technologies
- Ranking and prioritization of nitrogen reducing technologies for field testing
- Technology ranking workshop with RRAC conducted on May 28, 2009
- Pilot testing of passive nitrogen reduction systems (PNRS)
- Materials testing for FDOH additives rule

#### **Treatment Technology Rankings**

	System Rank	Technology/Process	Comments	
	1	Two stage (segregated biomass) system: <i>Stage 1:</i> Biofiltration with recycle (nitrification) <i>Stage 2:</i> Autotrophic denitrification with reactive media biofilter	<ul> <li>Top ranked system capable of meeting the lowest TN concentration standard</li> <li>Suitable for new systems or retrofit</li> </ul>	
A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC	2	Two stage (segregated biomass) system: <i>Stage 1:</i> Biofiltration with recycle (nitrification) <i>Stage 2:</i> Heterotrophic denitrification with reactive media biofilter	<ul> <li>Top ranked system capable of meeting the lowest TN concentration standard</li> <li>Suitable for new systems or retrofit</li> </ul>	
	3	Natural system: Septic tank/STU (Drainfield) with in-situ reactive media layers, Stage 1 media over Stage 2 media	<ul> <li>Lower cost natural system that is untested but appears capable of achieving 75-78% TN removal before reaching groundwater</li> <li>Suitable for new systems or replacing existing systems at end of useful life</li> </ul>	

13

#### Biological Nitrogen Removal (BNR) Two stage biofiltration is more stable process





14

# Unique pilot test facility was designed and constructed

- Follow up to PNRS I with larger, pilot scale units and various media combinations
- Established test facility at Gulf Coast Education and Research Center (University of Florida IFAS)
- Operated on septic tank effluent for 12+ months
- Produce scalable design criteria from pilot scale biofilters for subsequent full-scale testing



# What are "passive" nitrogen reduction systems?

- Passive nitrogen reduction systems (PNRS) are OSTDS that reduce effluent N using reactive media for denitrification and a single liquid pump, if necessary.
  - Two stage process:
    - Stage 1: "nitrify" nitrogen compounds to NO<sub>3</sub> (nitrification)
    - Stage 2: "denitrify" NO<sub>3</sub> to nitrogen gas
       (denitrification)



nitrification media: sand & expanded clay



denitrification media: lignocellulosics



denitrification media: elemental sulfur



# Photo of two-stage single pass biofilter pilot units





18

#### **PNRS** pilot-scale test results



#### Vertical sampler profile in upflow biofilters







#### **Development of in-ground PNRS concepts**

- Tank based PNRS performed extremely well (previous talk), but large tankage requirements make systems expensive
- Desired an in-ground system that could be constructed like a soil treatment unit (drainfield)
- Conceptual ideas revolved around a vertically stacked PNRS, where Stage 1 media was placed over the Stage 2 media
- Liner could be used to saturate Stage 2 media and collect treated effluent

## Vertically stacked Stage 1/Stage 2 concept was first pilot tested in small tanks



## Successful pilot concept developed into prototype in-ground PNRS for further testing



# Shaping soil for liner







#### Prototype in-ground PNRS performance

Mean results over 8 sample events, 523 days of operation



28

4237-000

#### Lessons learned from pilot test

- Encouraging results from pilot PNRS; several system configurations capable of <u>></u> 95% N reduction
- Sulfate production vs nitrate reduction
- Highly reactive elemental sulfur media
- Lignocellulosic retention time issues
- Recommended evaluation of combination lignocellulosic and elemental sulfur denitrification systems for full-scale treatment units





## Task B: Full Scale Prototype PNRS Testing

#### Task B Components

- Full scale operation and monitoring of 7 nitrogen reducing technologies at single family residences
- Developed PNRS Life Cycle Cost Analysis tool

31

## Task B: Full scale concepts complement existing OSTDS



#### **Full scale PNRS installed**



14237-000

#### Full scale PNRS Summary

	Design	Location (County)	Stage 1 Hydraulics	Stage 2 Hydraulics
System 1	Proprietary	Wakulla	Pumped with recirculation	Gravity
System 2	In-tank PNRS	Hillsborough	Pumped with recirculation	Pumped
System 3	In-ground PNRS	Seminole	Pumped with subsurface drip irrigation	Gravity
System 4	In-tank PNRS	Seminole	Gravity	Gravity
System 5	In-tank PNRS	Seminole	Pumped single pass and tested with recirculation	Pumped
System 6	In-tank PNRS	Wakulla	Pumped single pass vertically stacked	Gravity
System 7	In-ground PNRS	Marion	Pumped low pressure distribution	Gravity



## Proprietary system (System 1)

#### **Proprietary System 1**





- Single family home
- 3 bedroom
- 4 residents
- Flow of 112 gpd





237-000









#### System 1 Time series of nitrogen data



41

#### **System 1: Operation and maintenance**

- Average energy consumption of 3.21 kWh/day or 28.7 kWh/1000 gal treated (~\$120 per year)
- Aerocell<sup>TM</sup> (Stage 1 biofilter) no surficial biomat or clogging present
- Nitrex<sup>™</sup> (Stage 2 biofilter) reactive media showed very little reduction in volume







## In-tank PNRS (System 2)

#### In-tank PNRS (System 2)





- Single family home
- 3 bedroom
- 2 residents
- Flow of 108 gpd

#### Stage 1 biofilter construction


## **Stage 1 biofilter construction**





46

## Stage 2 biofilter construction



## Stage 2 biofilter construction



## System 2 Time series of nitrogen data



## **System 2: Operation and maintenance**

- Average energy consumption of 0.28 kWh/day or 2.6 kWh/1000 gal treated (~\$10 per year)
- Stage 1 biofilter no surficial biomat or clogging present
- Stage 2 biofilter reactive media showed very little reduction in volume







## In-ground PNRS (System 3)

# In-ground PNRS (System 3) with onsite reuse





- 5 bedroom (2 residents)
- Flow of 145 gpd
- Mounded drainfield
- Soils: Myakka and EauGallie fine sands

### **Construction:** Liner installation



>

### **Construction: Liner installation**



## Stage 1 biofilter w drip irrigation of STE



### Stage 2 sulfur biofilter construction



# Subsurface drip irrigation of treated effluent



# Subsurface drip irrigation of treated effluent



58

# Subsurface drip irrigation of treated effluent



### **Drip irrigation controls & headworks**



# Completed full-scale vertically stacked in-ground PNRS with onsite reuse



### System 3 Time series of nitrogen data



62

4237

## **System 3: Operation and maintenance**

- Average energy consumption of ~1 kWh/day or 7.8 kWh/1000 gal treated
- Stage 1 biofilter no surficial biomat or clogging present
- Stage 2 biofilter reactive media shows immeasurable reduction in volume





63

# Summary of full scale prototype PNRS nitrogen results

System	Design	System Description	Mean Influent TN, mg/L	Mean Effluent TN, mg/L	Mean TN Removal %
1	Proprietary	Stage 1 Aerocell <sup>™</sup> , Stage 2 Nitrex <sup>™</sup>	82.7	7.1	91
2	PNRS In-tank	Stage 1 with R, dual-media Stage 2	54.7	2.5	95
3	PNRS In-ground	Stacked Stage 1 over Stage 2a ligno with supplemental Stage 2b sulfur	50.5	1.9	96
4	PNRS In-tank	Gravity Stage 1, dual-media Stage 2	70.1	7.4	89
5	PNRS In-tank	Stage 1 SP and with R, dual-media Stage 2	72.1	2.1	97
6	PNRS In-tank	Stacked Stage 1 over Stage 2a ligno with supplemental Stage 2b sulfur	66.3	12.4	81ª
7	PNRS In-ground	In-ground stacked SP Stage 1 over Stage 2 ligno	54.9	19.1	65ª
-	<sup>a</sup> Performance	of systems 6 and 7 may have been significantly	y improved	with desig	n and

construction revisions based on lessons learned in this study.

## Lignocellulosic Media Life Estimates

	System	% Reactive Media	Media Volume, ft <sup>3</sup>	Calculated Longevity <sup>1</sup> , years	Longevity with factor of safety <sup>2</sup> , years
ALC: NO	1	100%	194.8	83.8	64.5
	2	100%	126.0	107.5	82.7
	3	50%	136.5	80.8	62.2
	4	100%	126.0	21.6	16.6
	5	100%	126.0	43.6	33.5
	6	100%	67.0	39.1	30.1
	7	100%	362.0	176.2	135.5

<sup>1</sup> Assumptions regarding lignocellulosic media included: dry bulk density of 20 lb./ft<sup>3</sup>; 50% carbon content by weight with available carbon being approximately 50% of carbon content <sup>2</sup> Factor of safety used was 1.3

### **Sulfur Media Life Estimates**

			Study Conditions			If lignocellulosic depleted			
	System	% Reactive Media	ve Volume, ft <sup>3</sup>	Mean influent NOx-N	Calculated Longevity <sup>1</sup> , years	Longevity with factor of safety <sup>2</sup> , years	Stage 1 mean influent NOx-N	Calculated Longevity <sup>1</sup> , years	Longevity with factor of safety <sup>2</sup> , years
	2	90%	32.4	0.02	N/A	N/A	16.7	194.0	149.2
	3	90%	34.7	5.8	461.2	354.8	23.9	112.2	86.3
1	4	90%	24.3	3.2	348.5	268.0	33.6	27.2	20.9
	5	90%	24.3	4.1	520.5	400.4	43.4	53.5	41.1
	6	90%	18.0	24.9	57.2	44.0	42.3	34.0	26.1

<sup>1</sup>Assumptions regarding sulfur media included: dry bulk density of 76 lb./ft<sup>3</sup> and influent NOx concentrations from the preceding process. In systems where lignocellulosic denitrification preceded the sulfur, low influent NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations resulted in very long estimates of longevity. <sup>2</sup> Factor of safety used was 1.3

#### **PNRS Cost Analysis Tool**

- User specifies nitrogen removal efficiency range, selects desired treatment process, and the tool calculates all system costs over the entire specified project life
  - Low Level (25-35% nitrogen removal efficiency)
  - Medium Level (50-70% nitrogen removal efficiency)
  - High Level (95% nitrogen removal efficiency)
- Derives the Present Worth cost
- Reported as \$/Ib nitrogen removed

#### 2. Table of LCCA Worksheets

Worksheet	Contents
1. LCCA Structure	Two-Stage PNRS Description • Basic Model Structure • Example PNRS Systems
2. Table of LCCA Worksheets	Summary Table of LCCA Worksheets
3. Wastewater Quantity & System Parameters	Determine design flowrate • Specify conventional system parameters • Select nitrogen removal level as high, medium or low @ 95%, 50-70%, or 25-30% • Specify PNRS system parameters • Specify recurring costs • Specify net interest rate
4. PNRS Process Selection	Select specific PNRS system
5. Baseline Design & Cost	Summary of conventional system default design & cost • Summary of PNRS design and default cost
6. Baseline Design Cost Summary	Default cost summary for conventional system, for PNRS system and for total system
7. User Override Costs	User specified costs for conventional system • User specified costs for PNRS
8. LCCA: Conventional	Characteristics of conventional system • Life Cycle Cost Analysis of conventional system
9. LCCA: Total System	Characteristics of conventional system + PNRS • Life Cycle Cost Analysis of conventional system + PNRS
10. Design Data	Compilation of flow and sizing criteria, unit cost factors for materials, energy, site access and installation complexity
11. Example LCCAs	Example Life Cycle Costs







#### Nomenclature for LCCA Identification

#### Wastewater Quantity

No. of Bedrooms

Building area, square feet

#### Level of Treatment

What level of nitrogen removal efficiency is needed for the site?

Low conventional (25 - 35%) Medium (50 - 70%) High (95+%)

#### **Conventional System Parameters**

#### Existing system Size of existing primary treatment tank, gallons

	Size of existing pump treatment tank, ganons
	Size of existing soil treatment unit, square feet
Di	il treatment unit
	Trench or bed configuration

Infiltrative surface loading rate, gal/ft <sup>2</sup> -day
Depth to seasonal high water table (inches) at soil treatment unit







0

0

trench 0.80 60

#### **PNRS Parameters**

		-
New OSTDS system installation or retrofit of existing system?	new	-
		-
Will a PNRS Stage 1 biofilter be used or a proprietary system (e.g. Hoot, FAST, Norweco)?	PNRS	-
What is the construction complexity? enter 1, 2 or 3		
1 Simple (new undeveloped property)	1	
2 Moderate (retrofit of existing system, easy accessibility to site)	1	Ť
3 Complex (retrofit of existing system, difficult accessibility to site)		
Is there at least an 8 foot elevation drop from the house out 60 feet in the direction of the proposed system?	no	-
		-
Standard or complex control panel?	standard	-

#### **Construction permit fees**

Enter new system conventional construction permit County fee add-on, \$

#### Annual operating costs

Energy Consumption	
Electrical rate, \$/kw-hour	0.100
Inspections, permit and monitoring	
Number of inspection visits per year	2
Inspection & maintenance cost per visit, \$	150
Enter PBTS operating permit County fee add-on, \$	
Number of water quality monitoring events per year	1
Water quality monitoring cost per sample event, \$	120

#### **Maintenance costs**

Primary treatment tank pump out	
Interval, years	5.0
Cost, \$	300
Media Replacement	
Stage 2 media replacement interval, years	15

10

#### Equipment Replacement

|--|

#### **Cost Analysis Parameters**

Life Cycle Cost Analysis



71

#### **PNRS LCCA Process Selection**

Processes: Stage 1&2, Stage 1 only, or Stage 2 only

Stage1&2

All blue shaded cells below must be filled in to fully specify the PNRS process

#### Stage 1&2 Biofilters System Selections

Stage 1 biofilter: in-tank or in-ground	Tank	
Is the Stage 2 lignocellulosic media underlying the Stage 1 media?	No	
Stage 1 biofilter mode of operation, single pass or recirculation?	Recirculation	
Stage 1 biofilter type of media, expanded clay or sand?	Expanded clay	
Stage 2 biofilter type of media: lignocellulosic, sulfur or dual media?	Dual_media	-

Stage 1 Only Selections

Stage 2 Only Selections

#### PNRS System Number (refers to LCCA logic)



#### PNRS System Summary Nitrogen Removal Efficiency High Stage 1: PNRS or proprietary **PNRS** PNRS Stage(s) Stage1&2 Stage 1: in-tank or in-ground Tank Stage 1: Single pass or recirculation Recirculation Stage 1 media type Expanded Clay Lignocellulosic disposition Tank Dual: Ligno & sulfur Stage 2 media type

5

### **LCCA PNRS Output**

#### Worksheet

- LCCA Structure л, Table of LCCA Worksheets 2.
- З. WW Quantity & System Parameters
- 4. PNRS Process Selection
- 5, Baseline Design & Cost
- 6. Baseline Design Cost Summary User Override Costs 7.
- 8. LCCA Conventional
- 9. LCCA Total System
- 10. Design Data
- 11 Example LCCAs

#### 9. LCCA Total System

#### Installed Capital Cost Present Worth (2015 dollars) Installed Lagital Cost Engineering Design & Construction Permit Operation & Maintenanz Dompliants.

-			
and it	-	 1000	

#### a more an allonge - by amount

.....

Strength Strength

Adda Anno

----

-Oni Asterna Mint. Same Procontained and produced and the

-----

			Life Cycle Cost Calculations		Life Cycle Cost				Installed Capital Cost			
Num of Budde stands of standing st	Conventional System Sum	imarg			Carbleom	Prosont Warth,\$	Uniform Annual Cart, \$	×affinear Life Cycle Cart	Installation CastItom	Prozent Worth,	Uniform Annual Cart, \$	≪of Installation Cost
	No. of Bodroom	3	Project Life (PL), years	30	Conventional System Installation	*		1. 1. 1	Tankaao	6,009,29	268,31	36.5
Dark barray starting start	Building area, rayare feet	2200	InterestRate (IR), 2	2.000	Primary treatment tank	1,400.00	62.51	4.5	Sail Troatmont Unit	2,625.00	117.21	15.9
Number 1000 Statistication for inclusion statistication of the conduction of the conducti	Depth to sear an al high water table (in cher)	80	Construction of the second second	a	Pump tank	0.00	0.00	0.0	Propriotary Stage 1 System	0,00	0.00	0,0
Designed by Control function of the c	Nou OSTDS installation is rotrofit of oxisting systom	heu	Primary tank pump but interval (11), years	5.0	Canventionalsystempump	0.00	0.00	0.0	Modia	2,226,78	99.43	13.5
PNRTS Signer       ************************************	Darign warte water flow, gallaniday	300	Pump out analyziz life (PL), years	25.0	Spiltreatmontunit	2,625.00	117.21	8.4	Pump(r)	250,00	11,16	1.5
Base 2 market in the 2 mark in the 2 mark interval (100) yours       199         Philes System       199         System 2 mark interval (100) yours       199	and the second se		and the second sec	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Subtatăl Canventianal	4,025.00	179.72	12.8	ControlPanol	875.00	39.07	5.3
PMRS Satem Summary     Import 2 gends and the during the durin	Be neer neertide Gannestinnel surtr bare b	Be near prestide Connontinuel cartr have been specified		15.0	Proprietory Stage laystem	0.00	0.00	910	Mirc. Appurtonanco	1,693.00	75.59	10.3
PARS System Summary       Part 2 geditioned industry (bit (10), bars       100       Takes       44023       2030       457         1005 30000       1005       50000       1005       100000       10000       100000				1	PNBSInstallation				Piping	289.60	12.93	1.8
1       1			Stage 2 media surt analyziz (ife (ML), yearz	15.0	Tankaqo	4,609.29	205,80	14.7	Drip Dirporral Unit Complete (control panel, valuer, tubing, etc.)	0.00	0,00	0.0
HIRS System     +       State IPING entrapidency     PINS       State IPING entrapidency     PINS       State IPING entrapidency     PINS       State IPING entrapidency     PINS       State IPING entrapidency     Rot       PINS State IPING entrapidency     State IPING       State IPING entrapidency     PINS Entrapidency       State IPING entrapidency     State IPING       State IPING entraping entrapidency     S	The is system summa		the second se		Madia	2,226.78	99.43	7,1	Liner	0,00	0.00	0,0
Stars 1PHRS       PHRS       Laying model style (CL), control       290       Outransfer and Stars 1PHRS       2346.0       152.0       92.0       246       152.0       92.0       1445.0       755.7       54.4         Stars 1/H MS are regarding to the control in the stars of a control	PNRSSystem		Equipment replacement internal (El), y corr	10.0	PNRSPump	250.00	11.16	0.8	CantractorFoo	2,500.00	111.62	15.2
FHRSSinger()       Stege 102         Stege 11ctuaker for yround       Tunk         Stege 11ctuaker for yround       Tunk <td< td=""><td>Stage 1: PNRS or proprietory</td><td>PNRS</td><td>Equipment replacement analysis life (EL), years</td><td>.20.0</td><td>CantralPanel</td><td>\$75.00</td><td>39.07</td><td>2.*</td><td>Tatal Systèm</td><td>16,468.67</td><td>735.32</td><td>100.0</td></td<>	Stage 1: PNRS or proprietory	PNRS	Equipment replacement analysis life (EL), years	.20.0	CantralPanel	\$75.00	39.07	2.*	Tatal Systèm	16,468.67	735.32	100.0
Stage find winder programsd       Task         Stage find winder part or reactive listing:       Finde         Stage finder finde winder part or reactive listing w	PNRSStage(r)	Stage 182	1 mm		Pipina	289.60	12.93	0.9				
Stree-Linde strart correctivelation     Resciculation       Stree-Linde strart correctivelation       Stree-Linde strate correctivelation       Stree-Linde street       Stree-Linde street       Stree-Linde street       Street-Linde street       Street-Street       Street-Street       Street-Street       Street-	Stage finstanker in-around Tank		GampoundInterestFactors		Mirc. Appurtonanco	1,693.00	75.59	5.4	Life Cucle Cost			
Stopp media type     Entrondia COnv     are FLUIP     0.004052       Linnedig priling.     Trail.     0.004052     0.000     0.000     0.000       Stopp media type     0.004052     0.000     0.000     0.000     0.000       Stopp media type     0.004052     0.0000     0.000     0.000     0.000       Stopp media type     0.004052     0.0000     0.000     0.000     0.000       Stopp media type     0.004052     0.0000     0.000     0.000     0.000       Stopp media type     0.00102     0.0000     0.0000     0.000     0.000       Mark table type     0.00102     0.00102     0.00102     0.00102     0.00102       Prid H     0.00102     0.00102     0.00102     0.00102     0.00102     0.00102       Prid H     0.00102     0.00102     0.00102     0.00102     0.00102     0.00102       Prid H     0.00102     0.00102     0.00102     0.00102     0.00102     0.00102	Stage Isingle pare or recirculation	Rocirculation,	P/A FLUR	22.396	Stage 1Drip Dispersal System Complete (controlpanel, valves,	0.00	0.00	0.0	Cartitom	Prozent Warth,	Uniform Annual	ZafTasal LifeCycle
Line-dispurition       Tank.       Aff Ti       Operation of the participant is control of the par	Staas I madia tura	Expanded Glav	S/P PLUE	0.04465		0.00	0.00		Jostallad Ganital Cost	16 468 67	Cart.\$	Cart 52.5
Line disparition.     Tack.       Dash Line & Kallon     Dash Line & Kallon       Styles Zimedia type     Dash Line & Kallon       Dash Line & Kallon     FA PL       Aff Ti     P/A PL       P/A PL     0.9216       Aff Ti     0.9216       P/A PL     0.9216									Engineering Dering & Construction			
States Zimodig type       Disclignen Biz differ       PAPL       195,923       Subtatil       12,442,57       555,61       29,7         Aff HI       0.005783       0.005783       0.005783       10,466,67       735,52       52,5       34,07,40       170,00       02         Aff HI       0.005783       0.005783       10,466,67       735,52       52,5       34,07,40       170,00       02         Aff HI       10,945       0.05783       10,466,67       735,52       52,5       100,00       12,422,62       1,390,44       100,00       12,422,62       1,390,44       100,00       12,422,62       1,390,44       100,00       12,422,62       1,390,44       100,00       12,422,62       1,390,44       100,00       12,422,62       1,390,44       100,00       12,422,62       1,390,44       100,00       12,422,62       1,390,45       100,00       12,422,62       1,390,45       100,00       12,422,62       1,390,45       100,00       12,422,62       1,390,45       100,00       12,422,62       1,390,45       100,00       12,42,62       12,99,45       100,00       14,42,67       75,50,4       12,62       12,62       12,69,65       12,62       12,69,65       14,6       17,62,62       12,62,7       12,62       14	Ligno-dirparition	Tank	A/E TI	0.19216	Contractor Fee	2,500.00	111.62	\$.0	Pormit	1,375.00	61.39	-4,4
Conversions Complexities       Simple       Arf P(I)       0.05762       Text of System Last cellations       16,465,67       735,82       52,5         Leocelari prime permis       12,444 <t< td=""><td>Stage 2 modia type</td><td>Dual: Liana &amp; sulfur</td><td>PYAPL</td><td>19.523</td><td>Subtatal</td><td>12,443.67</td><td>555.61</td><td>39.7</td><td>Operation@Maintenance</td><td>9,691.56</td><td>432.73</td><td>30.9</td></t<>	Stage 2 modia type	Dual: Liana & sulfur	PYAPL	19.523	Subtatal	12,443.67	555.61	39.7	Operation@Maintenance	9,691.56	432.73	30.9
Laodaln hitmagen Romandi efficiency zame de efficience de e	Construction Complexity	Simple	A/F (M)	0.05783	Tatal System Installation	16,468.67	735.32	52,5	Compliance	3,807.40	179.00	12.1
Ar Ei Bréan Bonnoval Mitragen Romaval Mitragen Romaval Mitragen Romaval Afficiency, 2010 Barbard Statistics Parties - 1000.000 44.05 22 000 40.05 20 000 44.05 22 000 40.05 20 000 44.05 22 000 40.05 20 000 44.05 22 000 40.05 20 000 40.05 20 000 44.05 22 000 40.05 20	Level of nitrogen removal efficiency provided by system	m Hial	P/A ML	12.849		1.1	÷		Tatal	31,342.63	1,399,45	100.0
PAEL 16.331 PAEL 16.331 Mittagen Removal Marrised in fisher like manual officiency, 2 Marrised in fisher like manual officiency, 2 Marrised in fisher like Marrised	100 00000000000000000000000000000000000		A/F EI	0,09133	Engineering Derign & Courtruc	tion Permit		1				
Nitragen Romavil Marchashinety.orr. kin Perrelioped by: HAZENAND SAWYER and AET Developed by: HAZENAND SAWYER and AET Intel 23:342433 (2395) (25.00) (25.0	Ba was amerida PHRS carts have been specified		P/A EL	16.351	Construction permit	375.00	16.74	1.2	\$flb nitragon romavod	40.71	54.52	
Developed by: HAZENAND SAWYER and AET					Engineering darign feer	1,000.00	44.65	3.2				
Developed by: HAZENAND SAWYER and AET			Nitrogen Komoyal Massing Kondusas Ika	27.0	Uperation and Meintenance	776 22	22.92					
Developed by: HAZENAND SAWYER and AET			Bompuglofficioney, 2	95.0	Annual inspection 2 maintenance	6.712.94	300.00	21.4				
Developed by: HAZEN AND SAWYER and AET Developed by: HAZEN AND SAWYER and AET Developed by: HAZEN AND SAWYER (1) (1) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2			Marremovalfyear, lbr.	25.66	Primarytank pump out	1,125.48	50.25	3.6				
Developed by: HAZENAND SAWYER and AET					Stage 2 media replacement	737.5\$	32.93	2,4				
Developed by: HAZENAND SAWYER and AET					Equipment replacement	373.33	16.67	1.2				
Developed by: HAZENAND SAWYER and AET					Subtotal	9,691.56	432.73	30.9				
Developed by: HAZENAND SAWYER and AET		1 m 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	the second se		Campliance			+				
Water quality manitaring         2,887.57         120.00         8.6           Subtexed         3,807.40         170.00         12.1           Tatel         31,342.63         1,399.45         100.00	DISCOURSE HAZEN AND	SAWVER	AFT		Operatingpormitfee	1,119.82.	50.00	3.6				
Subtextal         3,807.40         170.00         12.1           Tatal         21,942.63         1,399.45         100.00	Deteroped by. 11/LLCC/17/11/1	COMPACT NUMBER	ALI		Water gyality monitoring	2,687.57	120.00	3.6				
Tatal 21.942/63 4.399/45 100.00	<ul> <li>A second As Eagle</li> </ul>				Subtotal	3,807.40	170.00	12.1				
					Tatal	31,342.63	1,399,45	100,00				

### **Summary of PNRS Construction Cost**

		Total Sys	stem Costs			
System	System Description	Total PW, \$	Total Construction Cost, \$	Conv. Component Construction Cost, \$	PNRS Component Construction Cost, \$	
BHS-1	Proprietary	44,533	20,349	5,225	15,124	
BHS-2	In-tank	34,545	18,697	2,576	16,121	
BHS-3	In-ground	52,763	33,155	10,734	22,421	
BHS-4	In-tank	33,373	19,350	3,171	16,180	
BHS-5	In-tank	39,003	20,920	0	20,920	
BHS-6	In-tank	29,926	12,926	0	12,926	
BHS-7	In-ground	20,940	9,800	0	9,800	

#### **PNRS LCCA Construction Costs**



#### **PNRS LCCA Construction Costs**



76

#### **PNRS LCCA Construction Costs**



# Comparison of PNRS LCCA to Other Studies (Maryland BRF)



## Task B Recommendations: Treatment Process – 3 Levels of Treatment

- Low level onsite wastewater nitrogen removal
  - TN reductions (from STE) of 25-35% prior to GW
  - Compliant conventional system with STU meets this level of treatment
- Medium level onsite wastewater nitrogen removal
  - TN reductions (from STE) of 50 70% prior to GW
  - Stage 1 PNRS w recirculation or in-ground Stage1/Stage 2 PNRS followed by STU
- High level onsite wastewater nitrogen removal
  - TN reductions of 95% prior to GW
  - Numerous 2-stage PNRS configurations from study followed by STU

# Task B Recommendations: Technical Recommendations

- Long term monitoring of PNRS is needed to evaluate reliability and life
- PNRS specific tanks, equipment, media, appurtenances are needed prior to widespread implementation
- Detailed design criteria and designs should be developed for several standardized PNRS
  - PNRS specifications should be established for all materials and methods
    - Tanks, lids & covers, liners, media, pipe, controls, process controls, operations

## Recommendations: PNRS Implementation

- Establish uniform guidance for PNRS regulation and permitting, streamline permitting requirements.
- Establish uniform requirements for PNRS inspection, operation and maintenance
- Establish uniform requirements for PNRS performance monitoring
  - Implement technology transfer and training on PNRS implementation
- Establish sufficient FDOH staffing for PNRS implementation, including wastewater engineering staff




# Task C: Soil and Groundwater Monitoring

# Task C Components

- Literature review to evaluate nitrogen fate and transport in saturated and unsaturated soils
- Developed the soil and groundwater (S&GW) research test facility
- Conducted soil and groundwater monitoring at test facility
- Conducted 3 tracer tests at the S&GW test facility
- Groundwater monitoring at 4 single family residences

# Soil and Groundwater Test Facility: N transport studies



# Home site (conventional system) groundwater monitoring network







### **Groundwater monitoring results**



# Groundwater monitoring: After PNRS installation (System 3)



# Most impacted groundwater well Total nitrogen time series







# Task D: Nitrogen Fate and Transport Modeling and Tool Development

# Task D Components

- Literature review to evaluate nitrogen fate and transport models
- Simple soil tool for vadose zone N transport
- Development of Florida specific vadose zone fate and transport model (STUMOD-FL)
  - Development of saturated zone fate and transport model (HPS)
- Development of combined vadose and saturated zone fate and transport model (STUMOD-FL-HPS)
- Incorporation of multiple OWTS inputs
- Sensitivity analysis
- Uncertainty analysis

#### Task D - Overview

- Provide a simple to use tool for assessment of OWTS performance and impact to groundwater
  - Literature Review
  - Simple tool
  - Simple to use spreadsheet model, STUMOD-FL-HPS



#### Task D – Deliverables

- Simple tools
  - tables of selected Florida conditions
  - Outcomes
    - white paper discussing relative differences in nitrogen behavior based on various conditions
    - 64 numerical model simulations (HYDRUS-2D)
    - corroboration to field data
    - look-up tables



 Scenario 61
 trench, unequal distribution

 Soil Type:
 0-160 cm (0-5.25 ft) less permeable sand; 160-244 cm (5.25-8 ft) sandy clay loan

 Loading Rate:
 5.35, 2.67, 0 cm/d (1.31, 0.65, 0 epd/ft²)

 Effuent Nitrogen:
 60 mg·N/L as NH,

 Depth to Water Table:
 183 cm (6 ft) below the infiltrative surface



Field data (mg-N/I

### Task D - Deliverables

- Complex soil-aquifer model
  - rigorous scientific principals, but simple to use
  - stand alone tool
  - Outcomes
    - STUMOD-FL-HPS
    - combined unsaturated and saturated zone model
    - corroborated to field data / validated with numerical model
    - demonstration...









# **Summary & Questions**

# **FOSNRS Summary**

- Multi-prong project for evaluating nitrogen reduction from onsite sewage treatment and disposal systems:
  - Treatment technology evaluation including new passive systems
  - Full scale field testing of PNRS treatment technologies
  - Monitoring of nitrogen fate and transport in subsurface
  - Modeling and planning tools to support regulatory decision making

# **FOSNRS Summary (cont)**

- Results indicate that OSTDS are capable of achieving high levels of nitrogen reduction and can play a role in nitrogen reduction from OSTDS in sensitive watersheds
- Useful tools were developed to assist with planning and implementation of nitrogen reduction strategies for OSTDS in Florida
  - PNRS-LCCA
  - Simple Soil Tools
  - STUMOD FL
  - STUMOD FL HPS

# What's left to do?

- Link the results of all FOSNRS tasks together into a final database and report.
- Link treatment, soil and groundwater tools to develop onsite wastewater nitrogen reduction best management practices (BMPs)
- Develop onsite wastewater nitrogen reduction management strategies for Florida, based on nutrient sensitivity. Watershed/water body sensitivity varies, N reduction is not needed everywhere.
- Develop detailed design criteria, performance definitions, performance boundaries, and strategy implementation guidance
- Move forward with implementation





# **QUESTIONS ?**

Damann L. Anderson, P.E., Vice President Josefin E. Hirst, P.E., Senior Principal Engineer Hazen and Sawyer Phone: 813-630-4498 e-mail: danderson@hazenandsawyer.com jhirst@hazenandsawyer.com

# Hydrus 2D modeling of multiple vadose zone nitrogen fate and transport scenarios

Configuration:trench, equal distributionSoil Type:less permeable sandLoading Rate: $2.67 \text{ cm/d} (0.65 \text{ gpd/ft}^2)$ Effluent Nitrogen: $60 \text{ mg-N/L as NH}_4$ Depth to Water Table:60 cm (2 ft)





# Vadose Zone Operating Conditions Modeled

Condition	Variations Simulated
Distribution Configuration	Trenches, equal effluent distribution to each trench; Trenches, unequal effluent distribution to each trench; Bed, equal effluent distribution to each bed; or Bed, unequal effluent distribution to each bed.
Soil Texture	sandy clay loam; less permeable sand; or more permeable sand.
Soil Profile	homogenous; or layered
Effluent Nitrogen Composition	typical STE; or
	nitrified effluent.
Depth to Water Table	1 ft below the infiltrative surface;
	2 ft below the infiltrative surface;
	6 ft below the infiltrative surface; or
	free drainage (deep water table).

# Simple Soil Tool for estimating vadose zone N transport

Configuration:bed, equal distributionSoil Type:less permeable sandLoading Rate:1.68 cm/d (0.41 gpd/ft²)Effluent Nitrogen:60 mg-N/L as NH4Depth to Water Table:183 cm (6 ft)



# **STUMOD FL: Graphical user interface**



# Vadose zone output (STUMOD-FL)



# Vadose zone output (STUMOD-FL)



1

## Vadose zone output (STUMOD-FL)

STUMOD

C/Co		. 1					~ [	Marca B.		
C/Co NH4 [-]	1 Update vi	alues	-	NS	species	N C/		Mass flux		
C/Co TotN [-]	1									
- ET Outputs										
PET [cm/d]	1	-								
ET [cm/d]	1		1.4	1	1	1				
Uptake [kg/ha/d]	1		1.2	_		-	— Mass Fl	ux TN		
Update values		lay-1)	1.0		-	-				
		(g m-20	0.8					<		
		iss Flux	0.6			-				
		Wa	0.4							
			0.2							
			0.0	1	1	i i	1	1	1	
			0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70

# Saturated zone (HPS) inputs

	Multiple OWS	Calculate Concentration	TY, Z Plume Cross Section
Aquifer properties	C Use 1 Parameter Set	C Manual Input X, Y, Z	Specifiy X [m] 90
Contaminant parameters ?	C Input Distance to Target	Y [m] 0 Z [m] 0	
Groundwater velocity ?	Distance [m] 90	Calculate X, Y & Imput Z	
Output options ?	Latitude 27,757036 Longitude -82,228215	Latitude 27,757036 Longitude -82,228215 Y [m]	
Run Contaminant Transport Model ?		z [m]	
Get values from last run	Store va	lues Previou	svalues
Get default values	Vertical - L		

#### Saturated zone (HPS) outputs

— Model C [mg	Outputs [/] X = 2000 m Plume Width X = 15 [m]	Seepage Velocity [cm/d] Max C. [mg/l] X =	15 [m]
Display values Mass	195 Flux [kg/yr] X = 15 [m] Plume Depth X = 15 [m]	8.66 1.87 Hydraulic Gradient [cm/cm] Min C. [mg/l] X = 1	5 [m]
1.5	7 30.8	0.005	
M1: wat	er table M2: Downgradient	M2/M1: at X = [m]	
1	[kġ/yr]	1	
	70		100
	50	·	S & 2 V
nterline concentration	60 Center	Line Concentration	
nterline concentration	60 50 Center	Line Concentration	
nterline concentration ? Display plume ?	60 50 (1) 20 40	Line Concentration	
Display plume	60 50 (1) 10 40 et	Line Concentration	
nterline concentration ? Display plume ?	60 50 (1/8 40 30	Line Concentration	
nterline concentration ? Display plume ?	60 50 (1) 30 20 Center 20	Line Concentration	
nterline concentration ? Display plume ?	60 50 (1) Su 40 40 20 20	Line Concentration	
nterline concentration ? Display plume ?	60 50 <b>Center</b> 10 <b>Center</b> 20 10	Line Concentration	

## Saturated zone (HPS) outputs

	Model Outputs C [mg/l] X = 2000 m	Plume Width X = 15 [m]	Seepage Velocity [cm/d]	Max C. [mg/l] X = 15 [n
	0	195	8.66	1.87
Display values	Mass Flux [kg/yr] X = 15	[m] Plume Depth X = 15 [m]	' Hydraulic Gradient [cm/cm	i] Min C. [mg/l] X = 15 [m]
	1.57	30.8	0.005	O
	M1: water table [kg/yr]	M2: Downgradient	M2/M1: at X = [m]	1
		Plume Cross Se	ction	
Display plume	E			Leg end (mg/) 1.9E+00 1.6E+00 1.4E+00
Display plume	E S			Leg end (mg/) 1.9E+00 1.8E+00 1.4E+00 1.3E+00
nterline concentration ? Display plume ?	pth, 31 m			Leg end (mg/) 1.9E+00 1.6E+00 1.4E+00 1.3E+00 1.1E+00 9.8E-01
nterline concentration ? Display plume ?	Depth, 31 m			Legend (mg/) 1.9E+00 1.6E+00 1.4E+00 1.3E+00 1.1E+00 9.8E-01 8.4E-01
nterline concentration ? Display plume ?	Depth, 31 m			Leg end (mg/t) 1.9E+00 1.6E+00 1.4E+00 1.3E+00 1.1E+00 9.8E-01 8.4E-01 7.3E-01
enterline concentration ? Display plume ?	Depth, 31 m			Leg end (mg/) 1.9E+00 1.6E+00 1.4E+00 1.3E+00 1.1E+00 9.8E-01 8.4E-01 7.3E-01 6.4E-01
nterline concentration ? Display plume ?	Depth, 31 m			Leg end (mg/) 1.9E+00 1.6E+00 1.4E+00 1.3E+00 1.1E+00 9.8E-01 8.4E-01 7.3E-01 6.4E-01 2.9E-13
nterline concentration ? Display plume ?	Depth, 31 m			Leg end (mg/) 1.9E+00 1.6E+00 1.4E+00 1.3E+00 1.1E+00 9.8E-01 8.4E-01 7.3E-01 6.4E-01 2.9E-13