

FLORIDA PUBLIC HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT TOOL (FPHRAT)

User's Guide

2016

Evaluation and Analysis Unit of the Community Preparedness Section Bureau of Preparedness and Response Division of Emergency Preparedness and Community Support



Table of Contents

| Getting to the Florida Public Health Risk Assessment Tool (FPHRAT) | 5 |
|--|----|
| Logging In | 6 |
| Using the FPHRAT | 9 |
| Capability Assessment | |
| Resources Worksheet | 19 |
| Risk Assessment | 24 |
| Charts and Outputs | 26 |
| Saving Charts | 31 |
| Open Charts | |
| Main Menu | |
| Informational Section | |
| Hazard Information | 34 |
| Risk Assessment Explanation | 34 |
| Tool Overview and Demonstration Presentation | |
| Social Vulnerability Publication | 34 |
| Resilience Publication | 34 |
| Entered by Jurisdiction Section | 34 |
| Select Jurisdiction | 34 |
| Capability Assessment Worksheet | 34 |
| Resources Worksheet | 34 |
| Finished Product Based on All Inputs | 35 |
| Charts and Outputs | 35 |
| Risk Assessment | 35 |
| Aggregated Reports | 35 |
| Aggregated Reports and Data Interpretation | |
| Capability Aggregated | |
| Capability Assessment | |
| Capability Assessment Aggregated | |



| | Capability Assessment Worksheet | . 39 |
|---|---|------|
| | Capability Function Aggregated | .40 |
| | Capability Gap | .41 |
| | Capability Gap Aggregated | .42 |
| | Capability Hazard Component | .43 |
| | CIKR | .45 |
| | CIKR Aggregated | .46 |
| | CIKR Data | .47 |
| | CIKR Data Aggregated | .48 |
| | Hazard Risk Index | .49 |
| | Hazard Risk Index Aggregated | . 50 |
| | Incomplete Capability Assessment | .51 |
| | Incomplete Resource Assessment | .51 |
| | Probability | .52 |
| | Probability Aggregated | .53 |
| | Residual Risk | .54 |
| | Residual Risk Aggregated | .56 |
| | Resource Gap (resource readiness gap) | .57 |
| | Resource Gap Aggregated | .58 |
| | Resources Worksheet | . 59 |
| | Resources Worksheet Aggregated | . 60 |
| | Risk Assessment | .61 |
| | SoVI BRIC MEDVI | . 62 |
| | SoVI BRIC MEDVI Aggregated | .63 |
| A | ttachment 1. Risk Assessment Explanation | .64 |
| | Hazard | .64 |
| | Probability Score (0-5) | .64 |
| | Social Vulnerability Index (1-4) | .64 |
| | Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources (1-2) | . 65 |
| | Impact | . 65 |
| | Hazard Risk Index Score (0-24) | .67 |



| Capabilities Index Score (1-4) | 67 |
|----------------------------------|----|
| Resources Index Score (1-4) | 69 |
| Community Resilience Score (1-4) | 69 |
| Residual Risk Index | 70 |



Getting to the Florida Public Health Risk Assessment Tool (FPHRAT)

Getting to the FPHRAT is fairly simple. All you have to do is open your internet browser and enter the following website URL: https://flphrat.com

After that, you should see the following screen.





Logging In

Your login information is the email address and password created for your county, or the ones that you request.

Each County Health Department has been assigned two accounts and corresponding login information:

- Data-input account (default)
- Read-only account

The data-input account is only used to enter data for the Capabilities Assessment and the Resources Assessment worksheets. The read-only account allows you to see the information for your own county and for other jurisdictions, and to create queries.

Click on the login button in the top right-hand corner to login to the website.

You should then see the following page.

| | 3 47 🗙 | P Google | | <i>р</i> - |
|---|-----------------------|------------------|-----------|------------|
| ile Edit View Favorites Tools Help | | x | Convert - | 🔊 Sele |
| 🗧 Favorites 🛛 🙀 🏟 SERT TRAC 🕖 Get more Add-ons 🕶 | | | | - 1 |
| Log in - Risk Assessment Tool | 🚰 Hor | me 🔻 🔝 Feeds (), | Ŧ | ** |
| Florida HEALTH | | Home | Contact | * |
| Log in. To request an account <u>contact tool administrator</u> . Note: You will be automatically logged out after <u>2 hor</u> | ur of inactio | it. | | |
| Email address | <u>IIS</u> OF INACTIV | ny. | | Ш |
| | | | | |
| Password | | | | |
| Password Log in | | | | |
| | Response | | | |



Now just enter your login information.



Click on the "Log in" button to log into the website.

In order to protect the integrity of the database, you will be automatically logged out after 2 hours of inactivity.



You should now see the page below with a welcome statement at the top right-hand corner welcoming you to the tool.





Using the FPHRAT

To get started, click on the "Get Started" link shown at the bottom left hand corner of the screen.

| | Review Score Reviewing and modifying, if necessary, hazard risk scores via the Risk Assessment Spreadsheet |
|--------|---|
| 4 | Review Charts Examining charts and graphs that that the Florida Tool produces |
| 5 | Aggregated Reports Estimating data aggregates to obtain additional information |
| | e Note: This tool supports the following desktop browsers. are not using one of these browsers, your experience may not be optimal, or you may not be able to use certain features of the tool: |
| | E Microsoft Internet Explorer version 8 or newer |
| | Mozilla Firefox version 10 or newer Google Chrome version 13 or newer |
| | Apple Safari version 5 or newer |
| SPN | |
| Get | Started |
| | |
| Mair | n Menu |
| | |
| 014 | Florida Department of Health. Bureau of Preparedness and Response |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| .014 - | Definition of the second sec |

Clicking the "Get Started" link should bring you to the page below. In this page you will select the jurisdiction you would like to work on or edit.

Click on the "Select one" drop down and choose the jurisdiction you would like to work on.

You should see the page below. Now click on the "Next: CDC Capabilities Assessment" button to move forward.

| | | | Hello, | sonia.mcnelis@flheal | h.gov! Log out |
|--|---------|-------------|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Florida HEALTH | lome | Main Menu | Manage Accounts | Manage Data | Contact |
| Select Jurisdiction. Please se | elect | your jurisd | iction from the | dropdown b | elow and |
| select NEXT. | | | | | |
| urisdiction: Select One | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Next: CDC Capabilities Assessment | | | | | |
| Main Menu | | | | | |
| Main Menu | | | | | |
| 2014 - Florida Department of Health, <u>Bureau of Preparedness and R</u> | esponse | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | Internet Protected Mode: 0 | in 6 | • • 125% • |
| | | | miterhet Protected Mode: C | AI | 12370 |



Capability Assessment

You should now see the page below. In this section you will assess and report on the capabilities of the selected jurisdiction in regards to each of the specified functions.

| | | Home Main Menu M | anage Accounts Manage D | ata Contact | |
|--|---|--|--|--|----------------|
| Capabili | ties Assessme | 1t. Complete the Following | CDC Public Health Pre | paredness | |
| Capabilitie | es Assessment Wo | rksheet | | | |
| ote: Please save | your work often by clicking on | the "Save" button at the bottom of the page. You | ur work could be lost if you are logged | out without saving. | |
| | zard Component displays the si lore by reading the: <u>FLPHRAT T</u> | im of the Relative Intensity Engagement and Aver <u>ool Explanation</u> . | rage Function Involvement. This is not c | urrently utilized in the | |
| lease complete t | | jurisdiction's Capabilities Assessment. This data functions by choosing from one of the five optio | | | |
| coring for Capab | oility Functions is conducted at t | ne jurisdiction level based on the following tiers: | | | |
| Option | | Description | | | |
| 1. No ability / c | apability | No progress has been made toward a function. This may be because there h because barriers exist. | | | |
| 2. Limited abilit | y / capability | related to this function are identified a Few, if any, of the tasks associated wit | h this function can be performed. | 25 | |
| 3. Some ability | / capability | Some of the tasks associated with this Assessment Counter | Remaining program gap are | | |
| 4. Significant ab | ility / capability | pressor challenges remain. | function can be performed but a few These remaining gaps are minor in eveloped to fill these gaps. The ability to ed and stable. | 0 | |
| 5. Full ability / c | apability | All of the tasks associated with this fur continu | nction can be performed even if | | |
| 5 of 65 functi | ions have been assessed | Capability Cate | egory | | |
| Expand All | Collapse All | 7 | | | |
| ncidents. By engage roviders, commu- levelopment of pu- based partners on nental/behavioral independence, sup unctional needs on nay be at higher r | redness is the ability of commu ging and coordinating with emr inity and faith-based partners, s ublic health, medical, and ment n how to prevent, respond to, health resources that help prot pervision, transportation) of at- f at-risk individuals as well as th isk for adverse health outcome | ities to prepare for, withstand, and recover — in rgency management, healthcare organizations (r late, local, and territorial, public health's role in a l/behavioral health systems that support recover nd recover from public health incidents • Promo et the community's health and address the func isk individuals • Engage public and private organ e cultural and socio-economic, demographic co • Receive and/or integrate the health needs of 5 (e.g., improvised nuclear device or hurricane). | private and community-based), mental, community preparedness is to do the fi ry - Participate in awareness training wi the awareness of and access to medical ctional needs (i.e., communication, med nizations in preparedness activities that mponents of the community - Identify t | tbehavioral health ollowing: • Support the th ar caa tra- hose por | Function Table |
| Capability Assessed | C. | pability Functions | Capability Function Assessment | Capability Function Assessment Score | |
| | potential hazards, vulnerabil the jurisdiction's public healt systems, the relationship of public health, medical, and r | b the health of the jurisdiction. Identify the ties, and risks in the community that relate to n, medical, and mental/behavioral health hose risks to human impact, interruption of nental/behavioral health services, and the jurisdiction's public health, medical, and restructure. | Limited Ability/Capacit | 2 | |



| Jurisdiction: C | allier County | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | ons have been assessed. | | |
| | your Capabilities Functions Status Assessments. | | |
| Expand All | Collapse All | | |
| protect the comm individuals • Enga socio-economic, c | io, and recover from public health incidents • Promote awareness of and access to r unity's health and address the functional needs (i.e., communication, medical care, in ge public and private organizations in preparedness activities that represent the fun- emographic components of the community • Identify those populations that may be | dependence, supervision, transportatio ctional needs of at-risk individuals as w | on) of at-risk vell as the cultural and |
| device or hurrican | | d in their own or distant communities | |
| | | | e.g., improvised nuclea |
| device or hurrican Capability | e). | d in their own or distant communities Capability Function | e.g., improvised nuclea Capability Function Assessment |
| device or hurrican Capability Assessed | e). Capability Functions Function 1: Determine risks to the health of the jurisdiction. Identify the potential hazards, vulnerabilities, and risks in the community that relate to the jurisdiction's public health, medical, and mental/behavioral health systems, the relationship of those risks to human impact, interruption of public health, medical, and mental/behavioral health services, and the impact of those risks on the jurisdiction's public health, medical, and mental/ | d in their own or distant communities Capability Function Assessment | e.g., improvised nuclea Capability Function Assessment |

This section has 65 functions in 15 capability categories that need to be assessed for the jurisdiction. Until all 65 have been assessed, the tool will not allow you to move forward to the next worksheet. You can determine how many of the 65 functions have been assessed by looking at the assessment counter.

It is possible to display or collapse all, one or several capabilities using the "Expand all" or "Collapse all" buttons or the symbols (+) or (-).

Each capability is denoted by a number and its title. There you will also find a description of the capability. Under each capability category you will find a table that lists the functions, a description of the function, and the function's assessment represented by a dropdown. To assess the function, click on the drop down and select the appropriate value. Once functions are assessed, it is indicated by a change of color in the table, the word "No" in "Capability



Assessed" column disappears as well as the message near the capability title- "*Section Incomplete". The capabilities can be assessed in any order.

Note: The Capability Function Assessment may be preset to "No Ability/Capacity" and it must be updated by each jurisdiction.

As you can see below, selecting a value has changed the function's highlighted color from a reddish hue to blue indicating it has been assessed. You will also find that the capability function assessment score has been updated to a numeric value. This will be used by the tool later on in the summary data.



| Expand All | Collapse All | | |
|--|---|---|---|
| ngaging and coo nd faith-based pa ealth, medical, an revent, respond t rotect the commu- ndividuals • Engag ocio-economic, d ntegrate the healt | reparedness edness is the ability of communities to prepare for, withstand, and recover — in bc rdinating with emergency management, healthcare organizations (private and comr intners, state, local, and territorial, public health's role in community preparedness i d menta/behavioral health systems that support recovery - Participate in awarenes o, and recover from public health incidents - Promote awareness of and access to inity's health and address the functional needs (i.e., communication, medical care, in e public and private organizations in preparedness activities that represent the fun emographic components of the community - Identify those populations that may be needs of populations who have been displaced due to incidents that tawe occurr | nunity-based), mental/behavioral healt s to do the following: • Support the de s training with community and faith-bas medical and mental/behavioral health r dependence, supervision, transportati ctional needs of at-risk individuals as w a th higher risk for adverse health outco | h providers, community velopment of public sed partners on how to resources that help on) of at-risk vell as the cultural and omes • Receive and/or |
| | | | (e.g., improvised nuclea |
| levice or hurricand Capability Assessed | | Capability Function Assessment | Capability Function Assessment Score |

Continue assessing all the functions for this capability category.



After assessing the capability functions for the first capability category, your screen should look similar to the page below.

| Capability Assessed | Capability Functions | Capability Function Assessment | Capability Function Assessment Score |
|------------------------|--|------------------------------------|---|
| | Function 1: Determine risks to the health of the jurisdiction. Identify the potential hazards, vulnerabilities, and risks in the community that relate to the jurisdiction's public health, medical, and mental/behavioral health systems, the relationship of those risks to human impact, interruption of public health, medical, and mental/behavioral health services, and the impact of those risks on the jurisdiction's public health, medical, and mental/ behavioral health infrastructure. | No Ability/Capacity | 1 |
| | Function 2: Build community partnerships to support health preparedness. Identify and engage with public and private community partners who can do the following: • Assist with the mitigation of identified health risks • Be integrated into the jurisdiction's all-hazards emergency plans with defined community roles and responsibilities related to the provision of public health, medical, and mental/behavioral health as directed under the Emergency Support Function #8 definition at the state or local level. | No Ability/Capacity | 1 |
| | Function 3: Engage with community organizations to foster public health, medical, and mental/behavioral health social networks. Engage with community organizations to foster social connections that assure public health, medical and mental/behavioral health services in a community before, during, and after an incident. | Some Ability/Capacity | 3 |
| ch | Function 4: Coordinate training or guidance to ensure community engagement in preparedness efforts Coordinate with emergency management, community organizations, businesses, and other partners to provide public health preparedness and response training or guidance to community partners for the specific risks identified in the jurisdictional risk assessment. | Collap Limited Ability/Capacity | osed Capability Categorie |

You should see underneath the first capability category the list of the other 14 capability categories as shown in the picture above. They are currently collapsed, hiding their content. In order to expand the first capability category for assessment, click on the branch symbol which will change the symbol from (+) to (-).



You should now see the second capability expanded, as the first is completed allowing you to continue assessing each of the 65 functions.

| | Emergency Support Function #8 definition at the state or local level. | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Function 3: Engage with community organizations to foster public health, medical, and mental/behavioral health social networks. Engage with community organizations to foster social connections that assure public health, medical and mental/behavioral health services in a community before, during, and after an incident. | Some Ability/Capacity | 3 |
| | Function 4: Coordinate training or guidance to ensure community engagement in preparedness efforts Coordinate with emergency management, community organizations, businesses, and other partners to provide public health preparedness and response training or guidance to community partners for the specific risks identified in the jurisdictional risk assessment. | Limited Ability/Capacity | 2 |
| dvocate for mproved lev Response. Po ity and requi | ery is the ability to collaborate with community partners, (e.g., healthcare organization the rebuilding of public health, medical, and mental/behavioral health systems to at I sl where possible. This capability supports National Health Security Strategy Objecti st-incident recovery of the public health, medical and mental/behavioral health servic res collaboration and advocacy by the public health agency for the restoration of ser id human services sectors. Monitoring the public health, medical and mental/behavioral health behavioral mental/behavioral health, medical and mental/behavioral sectors sectors. | least a level of functioning comparable t ve 8: Incorporate Post-Incident Health R ces and systems within a jurisdiction is co rvices, providers, facilities, and infrastruc | to pre-incident levels, ecovery into Planning ritical for health cture within the public |
| | Capability Functions | | |
| ssessed | | Assessment | Assessment Score |
| NO | Function 1: Identify and monitor public health, medical and mental/behavioral health system recovery needs. Assess the impact of an incident on the public health system in collaboration with the jurisdictional government and community and faith-based partners, in order to determine and prioritize the public health, medical, or mental/behavioral health system recovery needs. This function addresses the intent of National Health Security Strategy Outcome 8 that there should be a collaborative effort within a jurisdiction that results in the identification of public health, medical, and mental/behavioral assets, facilities, and other resources which either need to be rebuilt after an incident or which can be used to guide post-incident reconstitution activities. | | |

Continue through the page, expanding each capability category, and assess all functions listed. Feel free to collapse any category that you are not currently working on.



As you come to completion with your assessments, you will get to the bottom of the screen where you will find two buttons, "Save and Continue to Edit" and "Next: Resources Worksheet". As you can see, the "Next: Resources Worksheet" button is disabled. This is because all functions have not yet been assessed. Once the last function is assessed, the "Next: Resources Worksheet" will be enabled.

| pability ssessed | Capability Functions | Capability Function Assessment | Capability Function Assessment Score |
|---------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| | Function 1: Coordinate volunteers. Recruit, identify, and train volunteers who can support the public health agency's response to an incident. Volunteers identified prior to an incident must be registered with the Emergency System for Advance Registration of Volunteer Health Professionals (ESAR-VHP), Medical Reserve Corps, or other pre-identified partner groups (e.g., Red Cross or Community Emergency Response Teams). | Some Ability/Capacity | 3 |
| NO | Function 2: Notify volunteers. At the time of an incident, utilize redundant communication systems where available (e.g., reverse 911 or text messaging) to request that prospective volunteers participate in the public health agency's response. | Select One | |
| NO | Function 3: Organize, assemble, and dispatch volunteers. Coordinate the assignment of public health agency volunteers to public health, medical, mental/behavioral health, and non-specialized tasks as directed by the incident, including the integration of inter-jurisdictional (e.g., cross-border or federal) volunteer response teams into the jurisdictional public health agency's response efforts. | Select One | |
| NO | Function 4: Demobilize volunteers. Release volunteers based on evolving incident requirements or incident-action plan and coordinate with partner agencies to assure provision of any medical and mental/behavioral health support needed for volunteers to return to pre-incident status. | Select One | |
| e and Co | apabilities scoring method, please review the <u>Capability-Hazar</u> ntinue to Edit rces Worksheet | d Component | |
| k: Select Ju | risdiction | | |



As you can see below, after the last assessment, the "Next: Resources Worksheet" button is now enabled.

| Capability Assessed | Capability Functions | Capability Function Assessment | Capability Function Assessment Score |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| | Function 1: Coordinate volunteers. Recruit, identify, and train volunteers who can support the public health agency's response to an incident. Volunteers identified prior to an incident must be registered with the Emergency System for Advance Registration of Volunteer Health Professionals (ESAR-VHP), Medical Reserve Corps, or other pre-identified partner groups (e.g., Red Cross or Community Emergency Response Teams). | Some Ability/Capacity | 3 |
| | Function 2: Notify volunteers. At the time of an incident, utilize redundant communication systems where available (e.g., reverse 911 or text messaging) to request that prospective volunteers participate in the public health agency's response. | No Ability/Capacity | 1 |
| | Function 3: Organize, assemble, and dispatch volunteers. Coordinate the assignment of public health agency volunteers to public health, medical, mental/behavioral health, and non-specialized tasks as directed by the incident, including the integration of inter-jurisdictional (e.g., cross-border or federal) volunteer response teams into the jurisdictional public health agency's response efforts. | Some Ability/Capacity | 3 |
| | Function 4: Demobilize volunteers. Release volunteers based on evolving incident requirements or incident-action plan and coordinate with partner agencies to assure provision of any medical and mental/behavioral health support needed for volunteers to return to pre-incident status. | Significant Ability/Capacity | 4 |

At any point and time during the assessment you can click the "Save and Continue to Edit" button to save your work so far. Until this button is selected, your work has not been saved. Please click on the "Save and Continue Edit" button.



After clicking on the button, your work is saved, and the page will refresh bringing you back to the top of the page. At this point, you can scroll down and assess any functions or modify any assessments before moving on.

| | Hello, <u>sonia.mcnelis@flhealth.gov</u> ! Log ou |
|---|--|
| Florida | |
| HEALTH | |
| | Home Main Menu Manage Accounts Manage Data Contact |
| Capabilities Assessn | nent. Complete the Following CDC Public Health Preparedness |
| anabilities Assessment | Worksheet |
| | |
| ote: Please save vour work often by click he Capability Hazard Component display | Worksheet sing on the "Save" button at the bottom of the page. Your work could be lost if you are logged out without saving. s the sum of the Relative Intensity Engagement and Average Function Involvement. Learn more by reading the: <u>Risk</u> |
| lote: Please save your work often by click he Capability Hazard Component display <u>ssessment Explanation</u> . he first step of the Tool is to input data fo omplete the assessment of the capability | ting on the "Save" button at the bottom of the page. Your work could be lost if you are logged out without saving. |
| Inter: Please save your work often by click the Capability Hazard Component display assessment Explanation . The first step of the Tool is to input data for omplete the assessment of the capability ssessment to a numerical score. | ing on the "Save" button at the bottom of the page. Your work could be lost if you are logged out without saving. s the sum of the Relative Intensity Engagement and Average Function Involvement. Learn more by reading the: <u>Risk</u> or your jurisdiction's Capabilities Assessment. This data will be used later in the Tool to determine residual risk. Please |
| he Capability Hazard Component display ssessment Explanation . he first step of the Tool is to input data for omplete the assessment of the capability ssessment to a numerical score. | ing on the "Save" button at the bottom of the page. Your work could be lost if you are logged out without saving. Is the sum of the Relative Intensity Engagement and Average Function Involvement. Learn more by reading the: <u>Risk</u> or your jurisdiction's Capabilities Assessment. This data will be used later in the Tool to determine residual risk. Please functions by choosing from one of the five options provided. This worksheet converts responses from the capabilities |

The "Risk Assessment Explanation" is a document describing all the components of the Risk Assessment including the Capability Hazard Component. The link to the document is on the Capability Assessment page. See the picture above.



At the bottom of the Capability Assessment page, there is a link to the Capability Hazard Component, which is an application that displays the capabilities' functions associated with each specific hazard.

| | Function 4: Demobilize volunteers. Release volunteers based on incident requirements or incident-action plan and coordinate wit agencies to assure provision of any medical and mental/behavio support needed for volunteers to return to pre-incident status. | h partner | 4 |
|--------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| or more on o | capabilities scoring method, please review the Capab | pility-Hazard Component | |
| Save and Co | ontinue to Edit | | |
| Next: Resou | urces Worksheet | | |
| | | | |

Now that all functions have been assessed, let's move on to the next step.

Click on the "Next: Resources Worksheet" button.

| or more on capabilities scoring method, please review the <u>Capability-Hazard Component</u> Save and Continue to Edit Next: Resources Worksheet | | Function 4: Demobilize volunteers. Release volunteers based on evolving incident requirements or incident-action plan and coordinate with partner agencies to assure provision of any medical and mental/behavioral health support needed for volunteers to return to pre-incident status. | Significant Ability/Capacity | 4 |
|--|-----------|---|------------------------------|---|
| | or more c | n capabilities scoring method, please review the <u>Capability-Hazar</u> | rd Component | |
| | | | | |



Resources Worksheet

This worksheet is used to report on a jurisdictional level of access to required resources for each of the 36 hazards reported on by this tool.

| Florid | da .TH | Home | Main Menu | Manage Accounts | Manage Data | Contact |
|---|---|--|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Resour | ces Workshe | et. Access to Resource | es Workshe | et | | |
| lote: Please sa | ve your work often by cli | cking on the "Save" button at the bottor | n of the page. Your | work could be lost if you a | re logged out without | t saving. |
| coring for Reso Fully in p Substant Partially i Less thar lease complete | ources Available is condu lace: 76%-100% of need ially in place: 51%-75% o in place-25%: 50% of nee n Partially in place: less th | e the necessary response to the hazard. cted at the jurisdiction level based on the ed resources accessible (4 points) f needed resources accessible (3 points) ded resources accessible (2 points) an 25% of needed resources accessible i esources needed for each hazari Hazari | | ent Counter ed. | | |
| | zards have been ass | essed for resources red to re | espond and rec | over. | | |
| lease compl | ete your Resource As | sessments. | | | Reso | urce 🔺 |
| | ete your Resource As Hazard List | Definition | | Resource Assessn | | sment |

Just as in the Capability Function Assessment, until all 36 hazards have been assessed, the tool will not allow you to continue. You will also find a hazard-assessment counter that lets you know how close you are to completion. On this page, you will find a scrollable window that contains a table listing 36 hazards, their definitions, the Resource Assessment and Resource Assessment Scores. Click on the first "Select One" dropdown for the first hazard and choose the appropriate assessment.



As you can see, by assessing the hazard, the record changed from a reddish hue to white to indicate the hazard's resource has been assessed and the Hazard Assessment Counter has increased by 1 and the word "No" in the Resource Assessed column will disappear to indicate its completion.

| Resource Assessed | Hazard List | Definition | Resource Assessment | Resource Assessment Score |
|----------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Air Quality (ozone/pollution advisories) | Poor air quality occurs when the air contains gases, dust, fumes or odor in harmful amounts. That is, amounts which could be harmful to the health or comfort of humans and animals or which could cause damage to plants and materials. Air pollution is associated with health problems that include increased emergency department visits and hospitals stays for breathing and heart problems, asthma, and increases in illnesses such as pneumonia and bronchitis. National Weather Service and Environmental Protection Agency may issue ozone, air pollution and smoke advisories and alerts. Does not include dust storms. | Partially in place: 0 - 25% of a ▼ | 1 |
| NO | Biological Disease Outbreak | The occurrence of a larger number of cases of a specific illness or syndrome than expected in a certain location during a certain (usually short) time frame. This definition also includes those biological agents found in the environment, diagnosed in animals. Biological disease outbreaks include zoonotic disease(s) and/or an increase in the population of disease-carrying species that have the potential for transmission to humans, including vectors of vector-borne | Select One | |

Now do the same for all 36 hazards, making sure to scroll down so you don't miss any of the listed hazards.

Note: The Needed Resources Access may be preset to "Partially in Place: 0-25%" and it must be updated by each jurisdiction.



As with the Capability Assessment, you have two buttons on the page: "Save and Continue to Edit" and "Next: Risk Assessment". As you can see, the "Next: Risk Assessment" button is disabled because all hazards have not yet been assessed. Once the last hazard is assessed, the "Next: Resources Worksheet" will be enabled.

| t.com/DataEntry | /ResourcesWorksheet | | 🔻 🤁 🖁 🕶 Google | ₽ ☆ |
|--------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|-----|
| | advisories) | department visits and hospitals stays for breathing and heart problems, asthma, and increases in illnesses such as pneumonia and bronchits. National Weather Service and Environmental Protection Agency may issue ozone, air pollution and smoke advisories and alerts. Does not include dust storms. | r ununy m place, a kara ara | 1 |
| NO | Biological Disease Outbreak | The occurrence of a larger number of cases of a specific illness or syndrome than expected in a certain location during a certain (usually short) time frame. This definition also includes those biological agents found in the environment. diagnosed in animais. Biological diseases outbreaks include zonotic disease(s) and/or an increase in the population of disease-carrying species that have the potential for transmission to humans, including vectors of vector-borne illnesses. | Select One 🔹 | |
| NO | Biological Terrorism - Communicable (including A - B - C agents) | The intentional use of microorganisms or toxins derived from living organisms to cause death or disease in humans, animalis, or plants on which we depend. Communicable agents include: Ebola Virus (Hemorrhagic Fever), Plaguet (Versinia pestis), Smallpox (Variola major), Cryptosporidium parvum (Water Safety Threats), Escherichia coli 015/2H7, Salmonella Typhi (typhoid fever), Salmonellosis, Shigella dysenteriae Type 1. Typhus fever (Rickettia prowazelis) and Nipah virus (Emerging Infectious | Select One 👻 | Ţ |
| • | | Ш | | • |
| Next: Risk | Continue to Edit Assessment Capabilities Assessment |] | | |
| Main Menu | | | | |
| © 2014 - Florida D | epartment of Health, <u>Bureau of</u> | Preparedness and Response | | |



As you can see below, after the last assessment, the "Next: Risk Assessment" button is now enabled.

| A violently rotating storm of small diameter; the most violent weather phenomenon. It is most violent weather phenomenon. It is solved cell in a very severe thunderstorm and appears as a funnel cloud extending from the base of a Cumulonimbus to the ground. For purposes of this analysis, and unformity of measuring, applicants should consider the frequency and severity of damages caused by tornadoes in your area. Analysis should be based on I Enhanced Fujita level flory your area. Substantially in place: 51-75%, 3 Water Supply Includes disruptions of supply chain in environmental Forduction, areano analysis should be based on average Enhanced Fujita level flory your area. Substantially in place: 51-75%, 3 Water Supply Includes disruptions of supply chain in environmental Substantially in place: 51-75%, 3 Windstorm This product is issued by the National Weather Service when high wind speeds may pose a hazard or is lift threatening. Non-tornadic greater than or equal to 40 mph lasting for one hour or indiger, area than or equal to 40 mph lasting for one hour or winds greater than or equal to 58 mph for any duration. Excludes dust storms. Substantially in place: 51-75%, 3 Save and Continue to Edit Next: Risk Assessment Hain Menu Main Menu Next Risk Assessment | | | hurricane. For purposes of this analysis, and uniformity of measuring, applicants should consider the frequency and severity of damages caused by an 11 ft. storm surge. | | |
|--|----------------|---|---|----------------------------------|---|
| Water Supply Contamination - environmental production, warehousing, transportation and demand from natural and man-made events with repercussions on commerce and the public well-being and safety. Substantially in place: 51-75% • 3 Windstorm Windstorm Substantially in place: 51-75% • 3 Windstorm Substantially in place: 51-75% • 3 Mindstorm Substantially in place: 51-75% • 3 Save and Continue to Edit III III | | Tornado | most violent weather phenomenon. It is produced in a very severe thunderstorm and appears as a funnel cloud extending from the base of a Cumulonimbus to the ground. For purposes of this analysis, and uniformity of measuring, applicants should consider the frequency and severity of damages caused by tornadoes in your area. Analysis should be based on 1 Enhanced Fujita level higher than the | Substantially in place: 51-75% ▼ | 3 |
| Windstorm Service when high wind speeds may pose a hazard or is life threatening. Non-tornadic greater than or equal to 40 mph lasting for one hour or longer, or winds greater than or equal to 58 mph for any duration. Excludes dust storms. Substantially in place: 51-75% • 3 Save and Continue to Edit Next: Risk Assessment Back: CDC Capabilities Assessment | | Contamination - | production, warehousing, transportation and demand from natural and man-made events with repercussions on commerce and the public | Substantially in place: 51-75% ▼ | 3 |
| Save and Continue to Edit Next: Risk Assessment Back: CDC Capabilities Assessment | | Windstorm | Service when high wind speeds may pose a hazard or is life threatening. Non-tornadic greater than or equal to 40 mph lasting for one hour or longer, or winds greater than or equal to | Substantially in place: 51-75% ▼ | 3 |
| Next: Risk Assessment Back: CDC Capabilities Assessment | • | | III | | • |
| Main Menu | Next: F | Risk Assessment | ent | | |
| | | | | | |
| 2014 - Florida Department of Health, <u>Bureau of Preparedness and Response</u> |) 2014 - Flori | ida Department of Health, <u>Bureau</u> | of Preparedness and Response | | |

At any point and time during the assessment, you can click the "Save and Continue to Edit" button to save your work so far. Until this button is selected, your work has not been saved. Please click on the "Save and Continue Edit" button.



After clicking on the button, your work is saved, and the page will refresh, bringing you back to the top of the page. At this point, you can scroll down and assess any hazards or modify any resource assessments you need to make before moving on.

Now that all functions have been assessed, let's move on to the next step.

| | Windstorm | This product is issued by the National Weather Service when high wind speeds may pose a hazard or is life threatening. Non-tornadic greater than or equal to 40 mph lasting for one hour or longer, or winds greater than or equal to 58 mph for any duration. Excludes dust storms. | Substantially in place: 51-75% ▼ | 3 E |
|---|--|---|----------------------------------|-----|
| • | | III | | • |
| | | | | |
| | tisk Assessment DC Capabilities Assessm enu | ent | | |

Click on the "Next: Risk Assessment" button.



Risk Assessment

Below is the Risk Assessment page. This page takes the assessments previously made and provides an assessment of the risks for a particular jurisdiction regarding the specified hazards. This is a non-editable spreadsheet that can be sorted, in descending or ascending order, by clicking on any of the column headings.

| Florida HEALTH | ment. Rev | view Hazaro | | | nu Manag | | | e Data Cont | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| e matrix below summa | | | | | | | | | | | |
| e column headings rep | | | | | | | | | | | |
| r more information: <u>R</u> | | | | | | | | | | | |
| you see no data in the you have entered all ca urisdiction: Hamilt | risk assessment ta apability and all res | ble please first verif | y that you have enter | ered the data | | trator | 7 | | | | |
| ote: Click on each colu you see no data in the you have entered all ca | risk assessment ta apability and all res con County Probability Score | ble please first verif sources data and sti Social Vulnerability Index | y that you have enter Il see no result here, Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources | Public Health Impact Score | tact tool adminis Healthcare Impact Score | Behavioral Impact Score | Hazard Risk Index Score (0-24) | Capabilities Index Score (1-4) | Resources Index Score (1-4) | Community Resilience Score (1-4) | Residual Risk Index Score |
| ote: Click on each colu you see no data in the you have entered all ca prisdiction: Hamilt | risk assessment ta apability and all res con County Probability | ble please first verif sources data and sti Social Vulnerability | y that you have ente Il see no result here, Critical Infrastructure and Key | ered the data please <u>cont</u> Public Health Impact | tact tool adminis Healthcare Impact | Behavioral Impact | Risk Index | Index | Index | Resilience | Risk Index |
| ote: Click on each colu you see no data in the you have entered all ca irisdiction: Hamilt Hazard Name Air Quality (ozone/pollution | risk assessment ta pability and all re- ton County Probability Score (0-5) | ble please first verif sources data and sti Social Vulnerability Index (1–4) | y that you have enter Il see no result here, Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources (1-2) | Public Public Health Impact Score (1-4) | tact tool adminis Healthcare Impact Score (1-4) | Behavioral Impact Score (1-4) | Risk Index Score (0-24) | Index Score (1-4) | Index Score (1-4) | Resilience Score (1-4) | Risk Index Score |

Scroll to the bottom of the page.



At the bottom of the page, you will find the "View Charts and Outputs" button. This will take you to the next section that provides charts for the data collected.

| Terrorism - (Radiological Dispersal Device) | 0.50 | 1.63 | 1.00 | 1.87 | 1.51 | 3.4 | 0.55 | 1.56 | 4.00 | 1.35 | 0.07 |
|---|----------------|------|------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|
| Severe Winter Storm | 1.00 | 1.63 | 1.00 | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.5 | 0.18 | 1.71 | 4.00 | 1.35 | 0.02 |
| Sewer Failure | 1.00 | 1.63 | 1.00 | 0.41 | 0.36 | 0.4 | 0.18 | 1.54 | 4.00 | 1.35 | 0.02 |
| Storm Surge | 1.00 | 1.63 | 1.00 | 0.64 | 0.74 | 1.2 | 0.41 | 1.59 | 4.00 | 1.35 | 0.05 |
| Tornado | 1.00 | 1.63 | 1.00 | 0.55 | 0.62 | 0.5 | 0.27 | 1.67 | 3.00 | 1.35 | 0.04 |
| Water Supply Contamination - environmental | 0.50 | 1.63 | 1.00 | 0.88 | 1.95 | 1.9 | 0.38 | 1.48 | 3.00 | 1.35 | 0.06 |
| Windstorm | 1.00 | 1.63 | 1.00 | 0.30 | 0.29 | 0.2 | 0.12 | 1.58 | 3.00 | 1.35 | 0.02 |
| Next: View Char | rts and Output | ts | | | | | | | | | |

Click on "Next: View Charts and Outputs"



Charts and Outputs

Here you will find three charts on the data collected: the Hazard Risk Indices, Capabilities Gap Analysis, and the Resource Gap Charts.

| HEALTH | Home Main Menu Manage Accounts Manage Data Contact |
|---|--|
| - | |
| Charts and Outputs. | Review the Charts |
| Jurisdiction: Gilchrist County | |
| Hazard Risk Indices Chart | |
| This chart displays the Hazard Risk Index fie | eld in Risk Assessment for each hazard specified. The Hazard Risk Index is calculated using the following formula: |
| | core × Social Vulnerability × Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources × (Public Health Impact + Healthcare |
| Impact + Behavioral Impact) / 10 | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | Hazard Risk Indices |
| 1.4 | Hazard Kisk Indices |
| 1.4 | Hazaro Kiskindices |
| | Hazard Risk Indices |
| 1.2 | |
| 1.2 1 0.8 | |
| 1.2 | |



Hazard Risk Indices



The "Download Chart" button, allows you to download the chart as a JPG file.



Capabilities Gap Analysis





Resource Gap





Scroll to the bottom of the screen. At the bottom of the page, you will find a "Download All as PDF" button. This button will allow you to either open or save all three charts as a PDF.

Click on "Download All as PDF".

After clicking on "Download All as PDF" a dialog box will appear. The appearance of the dialog box may vary when different internet browsers are used. The example below displays a dialog box from Mozilla Firefox.

| You have chosen to | open: | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------|
| 🛣 reportGilchri | | | i re |
| | pe Acrobat Documen | t (251 KB) | - |
| What should Firefo | x do with this file? | | |
| Open with | Adobe Acrobat 9.2 | (default) | • |
| Save File | | | |
| 🔲 Do this <u>a</u> uto | omatically for files lik | e this from now on. | |
| | | ОК | Cancel |
| | | | |



Saving Charts

To save the PDF, click on the "Save" button, and then indicate where you would like the file saved and click on the "Save" button.



Open Charts

To open the charts as a PDF file, click on "Download All as PDF". The following dialog box should open. Now select the "Open" button (the pictures below show the dialog box as it appears in the Mozilla Firefox and Internet Explorer browsers).

| You have chosen to open: | Do you want to open or save this file? |
|--|--|
| 🕵 reportGilchrist | |
| which is: Adobe Acrobat Document (251 KB) | PDF Name: report.pdf |
| from: https://flphrat.com | Type: Adobe Acrobat Document, 246KB |
| What should Firefox do with this file? | From: flphrat.azurewebsites.net |
| Open with Adobe Acrobat 9.2 (default) | |
| © Save File | <u>O</u> pen <u>S</u> ave Cancel |
| Do this automatically for files like this from now on. | |
| | While files from the Internet can be useful, some files can potentially |
| OK Cancel | harm your computer. If you do not trust the source, do not open or save this file. What's the risk? |









Main Menu

The Main Menu provides quick links to different areas of the tool, excluding management sections that are only accessible by admin users. At times, a particular link may require selecting a jurisdiction first and will first bring you to the "Select Jurisdiction" page before continuing to a particular page.





Informational Section

This section contains informational materials for the user.

Hazard Information

The Hazard Information is a downloadable spreadsheet that contains information regarding 36 hazards with public health significance in Florida. The information in the table includes hazard definition, source of the data utilized in the tool, corresponding capabilities engaged preparing or responding to any given hazard, public health actions required to respond to any given hazard, and links to agencies or data sets.

Risk Assessment Explanation

This document describes the concepts and equations utilized to estimate the risk assessment and its measures for each county and hazard.

Tool Overview and Demonstration Presentation

This presentation was created by Dr. Chris Emrich from the Hazards and Vulnerability Research Institute (HVRI) of the University of South Carolina. It describes the tool and explains how the scores were calculated.

Social Vulnerability Publication

The *Social Vulnerability to Environmental Hazards* is an article published by members of the HVRI. It describes the factors affecting a jurisdiction's social vulnerability and the methodology used to estimate it and provides evidence and scientific support for the tool.

Resilience Publication

Disaster Resilience Indicators for Benchmarking Baseline Conditions is an article published by members of the HVRI, and it describes the role that community resilience plays on mitigating consequences of disasters, and the methodology to determine a community's resilience.

Entered by Jurisdiction Section

Select Jurisdiction

This application of the tool allows users to select the jurisdiction to enter or edit information.

Capability Assessment Worksheet

The Main Menu allows selecting the *Capability Assessment Worksheet* in addition to the *Get Started* button at the home page.

Resources Worksheet

The Main Menu allows selecting the *Resources Worksheet* in addition to the *Get Started* button at the home page.



Finished Product Based on All Inputs.

Charts and Outputs

This feature is described previously in this document. Users can access it from the *Main Menu* or from the button at the bottom of the **Risk Assessment** page.

Risk Assessment

From the *Main Menu*, users can access the Risk Assessment table created after completing the Capability Assessment and Resources worksheets.

Aggregated Reports

The *Aggregated Reports* application allows users to see and export customized reports at the county, multicounty, regional, and state level.



Aggregated Reports and Data Interpretation

The Aggregated Reports application allows users to customize queries at the county, multicounty, regional, and state levels. The application is found in the section of the *Main Menu* called *Finished Product based on All Inputs*. Aggregated reports may take longer to download because the information is calculated on demand.

The data displayed in the pictures and reports is for educational purposes only. The *Aggregated Reports* are:

Capability Aggregated

Description: Display of the aggregated values of the capability assessment worksheet for selected counties as an average, where average or mean average is the sum of data divided by the number of items in the data. It also displays the maximum and minimum values for a specific selection.

Aggregation Levels: County, region, and state.

Data: County (Selecting only one county will not generate a chart and the values will be similar to the nonaggregated Capability Assessment), region (aggregated data for one or more regions) and state (aggregated data for all counties).

Display / Downloads: This application displays a chart and a table. The chart is downloadable as a JPG file, and the table as a CSV file.

Interpretation: Average measure of how well each capability is assessed by each county by group of counties. The higher numbers reflect higher assessed capability.

| CAPABILITY AGGREGATED • | | County County County County County Counties. | | Alachua County Baker County Bradford County Brevard County Broward County |
|---|----------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Capabi | ility: Alachua County - Ba | y County - Brevard County | | Calhoun County Charlotte County |
| Capability Description | Average Assessment | Minimum Average Capability | Maximum Average Capability | |
| Community Preparedness | 4.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | Generate Report |
| Community Recovery | 4.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 | |
| Emergency Operations Coordination | 4.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | |
| Emergency Public Information and Warning | 4.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | |
| Fatality Management | 3.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | |
| Information Sharing | 4.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | |
| Mass Care Coordination | 4.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | |
| Medical Countermeasures Dispensing | 4.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | |
| Medical Material Management and Distribution | 4.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | |
| Medical Surge Capability | 4.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 | |
| Non-Pharmaceutical Interventions | 4.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | |
| Public Health Laboratory Testing | 4.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | |
| Public Health Surveillance and Epidemiological Investigation | 4.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | |
| Responder Safety and Health | 4.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | |
| Volunteer Management | 4.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 | |


Capability Assessment

Description: The capability assessment value is calculated from the scores assigned to each capability function. Values are not aggregated.

Aggregation Levels: County.

Data: nonaggregated data for a single, multiple, or all counties.

Display / Downloads: Data is downloaded as a CSV file.

Interpretation: Indicates, in descending order, how well each capability can be performed overall.





Capability Assessment Aggregated

Description: Display of the aggregated values of the capability assessment for selected counties as an average, where average or mean average is the sum of data divided by the number of items in the data. It also displays the maximum and minimum values for a specific selection.

Aggregation Levels: County, region, and state.

Data: County (Selecting only one county will not generate a chart and the values will be similar to the nonaggregated Capability Assessment), region (aggregated data for one or more regions) and state (aggregated data for all counties).

Display / Downloads: This application displays a chart and a table. The chart is downloadable as a JPG file, and the table as a CSV file.

Interpretation: Average measure of how well each capability is performed by a selected group of counties. The higher numbers reflect higher performance.





Capability Assessment Worksheet

Description: Display of the scores assigned by jurisdictions to the capability functions. This report does not aggregate data. The picture below depicts scores preset to the minimum value: 1. No ability / capacity. Jurisdictions will assess each capability function and assign a true score.

Aggregation Levels: County.

Data: One, multiple, or all counties.

Display / Downloads: CSV file.

Interpretation: Scores from the assessment of each capability function. Data is displayed on a table. Jurisdictions will be able to use the information for further analysis and comparison, for example, creating a multicounty or state average of the scores. The data in the Capability Assessment worksheet is not the same as the data produced by the Capability Assessment report.

| | 1. Choose your report: | 2. Choose your aggregation: | 3. Select your data: | | B2 | ▼ (* <i>f</i> _x 1 | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|-----|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT WORKSHEET | | County v | Citrus County Clay County Clay County Colier County E Colier County DeStote County DeStote County Dixie County Dixie County Escambia County | 1 | Community | A Preparedness:Function 1 | B Columbia County | C Flagler County 1 |
| | | | Flagler County Download CSV |] 3 | Community I | Preparedness:Function 2 | 1 | 1 |
| м | lain Menu | | | 4 | Community I | Preparedness:Function 3 | 1 | 1 |
| | _ | | | 5 | | Preparedness:Function 4 | 1 | . 1 |
| | | | | 6 | Community I | Recovery:Function 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | nt to open or save CAPABILITY_ASSESSMENT_ | WORKSHEET.csv from flphrat.com? | × | 1 | | Recovery:Function 2 | 1 | 1 |
| war | | Open | Save 🔻 Cancel | 8 | Community I | Recovery:Function 3 | 1 | . 1 |
| war | | | | | | | | |
| war | | | | 9 | Emergency C Coordination | | 1 | . 1 |



Capability Function Aggregated

Description: Display of the aggregated values of the capability assessment for selected counties as an average, where average or mean average is the sum of data divided by the number of items in the data. It also displays the maximum and minimum values for a specific selection.

Aggregation Levels: County, region, and state.

Data: County (Selecting only one county will not generate a chart and the values will be similar to the nonaggregated Capability Assessment), region (aggregated data for one or more regions) and state (aggregated data for all counties).

Display / Downloads: This application displays a chart and a table. The chart is downloadable as a JPG file, and the table as a CSV file.

Interpretation: Average measure of how well each capability is performed by a selected group of counties. The higher numbers reflect higher performance.

| Capability Description | Average Capability Function | Minimum Capability Function | Maximum Capability Function |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| ommunity Preparedness:Function 1 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Community Preparedness:Function 2 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 |
| Community Preparedness:Function 3 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Community Preparedness:Function 4 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 |
| Community Recovery:Function 1 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 |
| Community Recovery:Function 2 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Community Recovery:Function 3 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 |
| mergency Operations oordination:Function 1 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| mergency Operations oordination:Function 2 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| mergency Operations Coordination:Function 3 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| mergency Operations Coordination:Function 4 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| mergency Operations Coordination:Function 5 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| mergency Public Information and Varning:Function 1 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |



Capability Gap

Description: Display of the gap between a "capability goal" and a "capability assessment". This report does not aggregate data. The reference for the capability goal is the maximum score attainable; the "capability goal" is expressed as the Hazard Risk Weighted Capability Goal, and the "capability assessment" as the Hazard Risk Weighted Capability Assessment, both values are mathematically calculated.

Aggregation Levels: County.

Data: One, multiple or all counties.

Display / Downloads: CSV file.

Interpretation: Gap between how a capability is performed and the maximum level of performance. The gap takes into account the capability scores, assigned by a jurisdiction, in a complex mathematical equation.

The largest gap is represented by the highest value. In the example, the community preparedness capability in Hillsborough County has the highest gap: 679.53.

| 2 | R 9 - 0 - 1 | - | 10. | CAPABILITY_G | AP - Microsoft | | ose vour repr | | | × | oose your aggregation: | 3. Select your data: Hillsborough County | |
|-----|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Home K2 | Insert Page L | | as Data Re | view View | Acrobat | | | 000 | Concession in which the | Non-Aggregated reports can only select | Holmes County Indian River County Jackson County | |
| | K2 | • (* | f. | | | | | | | 4.1 | es. | Jackson County Jefferson County Lafayette County | |
| 4 | A | В | c | D | E | F | G | Н | 1 | - | | Lafayette County Lake County Lee County Leon County | |
| 1 | | Hillsborough County | Holmes County | Indian River County | Jackson County | Jefferson County | Lafayette County | Lake County | Lee County | | | Download CSV | |
| 2 | Community Preparedness | 679.53 | 427.63 | 488,5 | 341.72 | 398.7 | 281.07 | 478.99 | 548.77 | | | | |
| 3 | Community Recovery | 486.18 | 304.92 | 361.1 | 247.92 | 287.68 | 201.9 | 331.46 | 394.54 | | | | |
| | Emergency Operations | 481.99 | 303.82 | 353.45 | 242.37 | 284.19 | 199.11 | 320.85 | 388.03 | | | | |
| | Emergency Public | 418.71 | 274.76 | 315.7 | 219.14 | 258.81 | 183.34 | 296.28 | 340.9 | 1 | | | |
| | Fatality | 296.12 | 211.92 | 238.44 | 172.6 | 202.44 | 140.12 | 217.68 | 249.68 | | | | |
| 6 | Management | | 309.56 | 265.24 | 248.9 | 208 5 | 206.20 | 220.44 | 202 | | | | |
| 7 | Information Sharing | 464.68 | 309.56 | 355.24 | 248.8 | 298.6 | 206.28 | 328.44 | 383 | | | | |
| | Mass Care | 473.96 | 309.2 | 361.96 | 248.4 | 290.24 | 206.76 | 343.24 | 397.88 | - | | | |
| 8 | Coordination Medical | 401.16 | 260.92 | 301.92 | 213.36 | 238.6 | 170.68 | 287.4 | 326.48 | | | | |
| 9 | Countermeas | | | | | 20010 | | | 0.00.70 | | | | |
| 10 | Medical Material | 401.16 | 260.92 | 301.92 | 213.36 | 238.6 | 170.68 | 287.4 | 326.48 | | | | |
| 11 | Medical Surge | 473.4 | 306.88 | 347.68 | 242.36 | 283.88 | 199.24 | 329.92 | 378.8 | 1 | | | |
| | Non- Pharmaceuti | 384.92 | 230.44 | 270.84 | 190.12 | 212.4 | 151.72 | 250.52 | 293.12 | | | | |
| | Public Health Laboratory | 363 | 217.56 | 262.2 | 179.8 | 195.64 | 143.52 | 240.56 | 284.96 | | | | |
| | Public Health Surveillance | 544.84 | 344.88 | 401.52 | 279.08 | 324.84 | 226.52 | 374.92 | 439.32 | | | | |
| | Responder Safety and | 416.89 | 271.5 | 315.19 | 222.92 | 254.22 | 176.84 | 292.36 | 345.18 | | | | |
| | Volunteer Management | 480.2 | 313 | 364.4 | 253.32 | 303.8 | 206.8 | 317.2 | 385.84 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | ILITY_GAP | 30/ | | |] • [| 10 | | | • [] • | | | |
| Rea | idy 🗂 | | | | | B | 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |



Capability Gap Aggregated

Description: This report aggregates the capability gap data for selected jurisdictions and calculates a unique value for all, expressed as average, where average or mean average is the sum of data divided by the number of items in the data. It also displays the maximum and minimum values for a specific selection.

Aggregation Levels: County, region, and state

Data: Aggregates data for multiple counties, one or more regions, and state.

Display / Downloads: Generates a chart downloadable as a JPG file, and a report exportable as a CSV file.

Interpretation: Average of the gap values between the current capability's performance and the maximum level of performance attainable. The largest gap is represented by the highest value. In the example below, the community preparedness capability has the highest gap average at the state level: 467.84.





Capability Hazard Component

Description: It is a nonaggregated report. This report provides information for each county summarizing the relationship between the capability functions and their relationship or involvement with the 36 hazards, the capability's Relative Intensity of Engagement (RIE) score, the Community Preparedness Capability Hazard Component, and the Average of the Capability Assessment Score assigned by the jurisdiction.

Aggregation Levels: County.

Data: Aggregates data for one, multiple or all counties.

Display / Downloads: Generates a report exportable as a CSV file.

Interpretation: *Capability functions* are assigned a value of 1 if they are directly related to preparedness and response for each specific hazard, and a value of 0 if the functions are not involved in the response to a specific hazard.

Preparedness Relative Intensity of Engagement (RIE): has a value of 0-4 for each capability and hazard. It measures the public health relevance of a capability to a hazard response. The scores are: 0 (very limited intensity level of this public health preparedness capability engagement for this hazard), 1 (low intensity level), 2 (moderate intensity level), 3 (high intensity level), and 4 (extreme intensity level).

Community Preparedness Capability Hazard Component: it is the sum of the RIE and Average Function Involvement. For example, in Hernando County, the Community Preparedness Hazard Component for Air Quality is 4 + (1+1+0+1/4) = 4 + 0.75 = 4.75.

Capability Assessment: is the average of the scores assigned to the functions of each capability.

| l. Choose your report: | 2. Choose your aggregation: | 3. Select your data: |
|----------------------------|--|--|
| APABILITY HAZARD COMPONENT | Note: Non-Aggregated reports can only select counties. | Gilchrist County Glades County Gulf County Harniton County Henday County Highpands County Hillsborough County Download CSV |



| 2 | А | В | С | D | E | F | G | Н | 1 |
|----|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| 1 | | hazard_name | Community Preparedness- Function 1 | Community Preparedness- Function 2 | Community Preparedness- Function 3 | | Community Preparedness- RIE | Community Preparedness- Capability Hazard Component | capability_1_ assessment |
| | Hernando County | Air Quality (ozone/pollut | 1 | 1 | C | 1 | 4 | 4.75 | 1 |
| 2 | Hernando County | ion Biological Disease Outbreak | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| 4 | Hernando County | Biological Terrorism - Communicabl e (including A B - C agents) | 1 | . 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| 5 | Hernando County | Biological Terrorism - Non- Communicabl e (including A B - C agents) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| 6 | Hernando County | Chemical Terrorism | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| | Hernando County | Civil Disorder | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4.75 | 1 |
| | Hernando County | Communicati ons Failure | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| | Hernando County | Conventional Terrorism | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| | Hernando County | Cyber/Technic al Incident | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4.25 | 1 |
| | Hernando | Dam failure | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | <mark>4.</mark> 75 | 1 |
| | Hernando County | Drought | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4.75 | 1 |
| | Hernando County | Earthquake | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| | Hernando | Extreme Cold | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| | County Hernando County | Extreme Heat | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| 15 | Hernando County | Fires - Large- Scale (not Wild Fire) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4.25 | 1 |



CIKR

Description: The values in this report represent the critical infrastructure and key resources (CIKR) score for each hazard type based on expert opinion of the importance of and existence of each infrastructure component in the area of interest.

Aggregation Levels: County.

Data: Displays nonaggregated data for one, multiple, or all counties.

Display / Downloads: Generates a CSV file.

Interpretation: Higher values indicate that the combination of utility and existence of all CIKR assets within the county has a relatively higher utility for one hazard than another. In the example below, CIKR is more important in mitigating extreme cold events than in Air Quality disasters in Alachua county, but nearly equally important in mitigating effects from the same type of event in Baker county.

| 1. Choose your report: | 2. Choose yo | our aggregatio | n: | 3. Select your data: |
|--|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| CIKR | County 🔻 | | | Alachua County 🔷 🔺 |
| | | | | Baker County |
| | | gregated reports | can only select | Bay County |
| | counties. | | | Bradford County |
| A | | В | С | Brevard County |
| | | Alachua County | Baker County | Broward County Calhoun County |
| Air Quality (ozone/pollution advisories) | | 2.279428 | 2.02072 | Charlotte County |
| Biological Disease Outbreak | | 2.243173 | 1.966654 | |
| Biological Terrorism - Communicable (including A - I | 3 - Cagents) | 2.268208 | 1.948351 | Download CSV |
| Biological Terrorism - Non-Communicable (including | g A - B - Cagents) | 2.199439 | 1.896925 | Download COV |
| Chemical Terrorism | | 2.187802 | 1.833265 | |
| Civil Disorder | | 2.128117 | 1.860889 | |
| Communications Failure | | 2.056954 | 1.901461 | |
| Conventional Terrorism | | 2.148172 | 1.918915 | |
| Cyber/Technical Incident | | 2.093221 | 1.932907 | |
| Dam failure | | 2.242 | 2.005219 | |
| Drought | | 2.42836 | 2.088871 | |
| Earthquake | | 2.177008 | 1.943182 | |
| Extreme Cold | | 2.361562 | 2.023189 | |
| Extreme Heat | | 2.21494 | 1.96435 | |
| Fires - Large-Scale (not Wild Fire) | | 2.133106 | 1.898104 | |
| Flood | | 2.183749 | 1.92901 | |
| Food Borne Disease | | 2.335558 | 1.93441 | |
| Hailstorm | | 2.109958 | 1.899925 | |
| Hazardous Materials Incident - Fixed Facility | | 2.223556 | 1.937122 | |
| Hazardous Materials Incident - Transportation | | 2.092477 | 1.785388 | |
| Hurricane/Tropical Storm | | 1.98676 | 1.839061 | |
| Lightning | | 2.041498 | 1.839019 | |
| Mass Casualty Incidents | | 2.099707 | 1.832494 | |
| Mass Population Surge | | 2.258959 | 1.904896 | |
| Nuclear Attack | | 2.062741 | 1.769356 | |



CIKR Aggregated

Description: The values in this report represent the critical infrastructure and key resources (CIKR) score for multiple counties, region or state aggregated as an average. Also, the maximum and minimum values are calculated.

Aggregation Levels: County, region, and state.

Data: Aggregates data for multiple counties, one or more regions, and state.

Display / Downloads: Generates a chart downloadable as a JPG file, and a report exportable as a CSV file.

Interpretation: The aggregated CIKR scores indicate the status of each county's CIKR resources and utility for each hazard type. Higher scores indicate either more CIRK assets or a higher utility for a lower number of assets in a given area.

| . Choose your report: | 2. Choose yo | ur aggregatio | n: | 3. Select your data: |
|---|--|-----------------|-------------------|--|
| IKR AGGREGATED ▼ | State ▼ Note: Non-Aggi counties. | regated reports | : can only select | Region 1 Region 2 Region 3 Region 4 Region 5 Region 6 Region 7 |
| Hazard | Average CIKR | Minimum CIKR | Maximum CIKR | Generate Report |
| Hazardous Materials Incident - Transportation | 2.10 | 1.00 | 4.00 | |
| Pandemic Influenza | 2.29 | 1.00 | 4.00 | |
| Communications Failure | 2.10 | 1.00 | 4.00 | |
| Earthquake | 2.17 | 1.00 | 4.00 | |
| Air Quality (ozone/pollution advisories) | 2.33 | 1.00 | 4.00 | |
| Radiological Incident - Transportation | 2.10 | 1.00 | 4.00 | |
| Mass Casualty Incidents | 2.05 | 1.00 | 4.00 | |
| Dam failure | 2.23 | 1.00 | 4.00 | |
| Sewer Failure | 2.21 | 1.00 | 4.00 | |
| Biological Terrorism - Non-Communicable (including A - B - C agents) | 2.22 | 1.00 | 4.00 | |



CIKR Data

Description: The values in this report represent the count of critical infrastructure and key resources (CIKR) for the selected county of interest

Data: Aggregates data for one or more counties.

Display / Downloads: Generates an exportable CSV file.

| 1. Choose your report: | 2. Choose your aggregation: | 3. Select your data: |
|------------------------|---|---|
| CIKR Data | County Note: Non-Aggregated reports can only select counties. | Sumter County Suwannee County Taylor County Union County Volusia County Wakulla County Wakulla County Walton County Washington County |

| | Volusia County | Wakulla County | Walton County | Washington County |
|---|----------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Ambulatory Healthcare Facilities - Ambulatory Surgery Centers | 12 | | | |
| Ambulatory Healthcare Facilities - Kidney Dialysis Centers | 9 | | 1 | |
| Ambulatory Healthcare Facilities - Outpatient Mental Health and Substance Abuse Centers | 18 | 1 | 4 | |
| Extended Care Facilities - Assisted Living Facilities (ALF) | 93 | | 3 | |
| Extended Care Facilities - Nursing Homes | 29 | 1 | 2 | |
| Extended Care Facilities - Residential Treatment Facilities and Centers | 2 | | 2 | |
| Health Practitioner Offices and Clinics - Health Care Clinics | 28 | | 2 | |
| Health Practitioner Offices and Clinics - Mental Health Practitioner Offices | 42 | | 2 | |
| Health Practitioner Offices and Clinics - Physician Offices | 185 | | 10 | |
| Health Practitioner Offices and Clinics - Rural Health Clinic | 3 | 2 | 5 | |
| Hospitals - Children's Hospitals | | | | |
| Hospitals - Crisis Stabilization Units | 1 | | | |
| Hospitals - General Hospitals | 7 | | 2 | |
| Hospitals - Psychiatric and Substance Abuse Hospitals | 1 | | | |
| Hospitals - Specialty Hospitals | | | | |
| Laboratories and Blood Banks - Blood/Blood Component Banks | | | | |
| Laboratories and Blood Banks - Public Health Laboratories | | | | |
| Laboratories and Blood Banks - Stand-Alone (Independent) Medical and Diagnostic Laboratories | 7 | | 2 | |
| Medical Supplies/Devices/Equipment Storage and Stockpiles - Home Medical Equipment Provider | 31 | | 1 | |
| Medical Supplies/Devices/Equipment Storage and Stockpiles - Local Stockpiles (Emergency Preparedness) | | | | |
| Other Direct Patient Healthcare - County Health Department Facilities /Clinics | 9 | 1 | 4 | |
| Other Direct Patient Healthcare - EMS Apparatus | 163 | 7 | 27 | |
| Other Direct Patient Healthcare - Fatality/ Mortuary Facilities (Morgues) / Medical Examiner Offices | 1 | | | |
| Pharmaceutical/Biopharmaceutical Storage and Stockpiles - Community Pharmacies | 113 | 4 | 13 | |
| Pharmaceutical/Biopharmaceutical Storage and Stockpiles - Local Stockpiles for Emergency Preparedness | 4 | | 1 | |
| Registries and Information Networks - Information Network Data Centers and Systems (Poison Control Centers) | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Registries and Information Networks - Information Network Data Centers and Systems (Public Health Data Centers) | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Registries and Information Networks - Medical Practitioner Registries And Referral Centers (Home Health Agency) | 39 | 1 | 2 | |



CIKR Data Aggregated

Description: The values in this report represent the count of critical infrastructure and key resources (CIKR) for multiple counties, region or state are aggregated as an average. Also, the maximum and minimum values are calculated.

Aggregation Levels: County, region, and state.

Data: Aggregates data for multiple counties, one or more regions, and state.

Display / Downloads: Generates a chart downloadable as a JPG file, and a report exportable as a CSV file.

Interpretation: The aggregated CIKR data indicate the status of each county's CIKR resources.

| 1. Choose your report: | | 2. Choose your aggrega | tion: | 3. Select your data: |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| CIKR DATA AGGREGATED | T | Region Note: Non-Aggregated reported r | orts can only select | Region 1 Region 2 Region 3 Region 4 Region 5 Region 6 Region 7 |
| 300 250 | CIKR D Selection Data | ata 2: Region 6 | | Generate Report |
| | | | | |
| Amb Jubery Leathan Fodifes - Amb Jubery Sugny Conter Amb Jubery Leathan Fodifes - Markebry Sugny Conter Amb Jubery Leathane Autor Landson Livery Bugst Conter Amb Jubery Leathane Fodifes - Oupster Aman Livery Bugst Conter Contect Conter Contect Conter Contect Conter Leath Pacettone - Offices and Contes - Market Lash Pacettone - Offices Leath Pacettone - Offices and Contes - Market Lash Pacettone - Offices Leath Pacettone - Offices and Contes - Market Lash Pacettone - Offices | Lealth Pheetkow (Offices and Orice - Fauil Lealth Orice) Longithis - Ories Stabilitation i Longithis - Ories Stabilitation I rite Longithis - Ories Stabilitation I and Longithis - Ories and Longithis Longithis - Psychiatics and Substross Auson Longithis | I optist: Specially J optist: Isloomtoises and Bood Brink: Buod/Bood Camponent Burk Isloomtoises Isloomtoises and Bood Brink: Phakic Tashti, Lakomtoises Isloomtoises Medical Stepher/Deveor/Equipment Stange and Stepherics. Medical Stepher/Deveor/Equipment Stange and Stepherics. Other Date I Patent Leathtome - County Leathto Deveorment Fradesics. Other Datent Leathtome - Faulty Annuary Phalites. (Annuary Phalites. Other Datent Leathtome - Faulty Annuary Phalites. (Annuary Phalites. Datent Datent Leathtome - Faulty Annuary Faulties. (Annuary Phalites. Datent Datent Leathtome - Faulty Annuary Phalites. (Annuary Phalites. Datent Datent Leathtome - Faulty Annuary Faulties. (Annuary Phalites. Datent Datent Leathtome - Faulty Annuary Faulties. Datent Datent Leathtome - Faulties. Datent Datent Leathtome - Faulty Annuary Faulties. Datent Datent Leathtome - Faulties. Datent Datent Leathtome - Faulties. Datent Datent Leathtome - Faulty Annuary Faulties. Datent | Premises utical Boyh mises utical Storage and Stochglins - Load Stochglins for Bigatas and Information Resolutio - Homation Network, David Carriero System (David Carriero Rigatas and Information Resolutio - Homation Resolution Carriero Rigatas and Information Resolution - Model Presidence (Carriero Rigatas and Information Resolution - Model Presidence (Carriero Rigatas and Information Resolution - Model Presidence (Rigatas Ladim Spectra Rigatas and Information Resolution - Model Presidence (Rigatas Ladim Spectra Rigatas and Information Resolution - Model Presidence (Rigatas Ladim Spectra Rigatas and Information Resolution - Model Presidence (Rigatas Ladim Spectra | |

Higher numbers indicate more CIRK assets.



Hazard Risk Index

Description: It is a value (score) of the overall risk for each county hazard. This score is a component of the Risk Assessment matrix. It is different from the Residual Risk Index which includes mitigation factors. This score is calculated as follows:

Probability × Social Vulnerability × CIKR × (Public Health + Healthcare Impact + Behavioral Health Impact)

Aggregation Levels: County

Data: Displays non-aggregated data for one, multiple, or all counties.

Display / Downloads: Generates a CSV file.

Interpretation: Hazard Risk is the likelihood of a given hazard of a given level causing a particular level of loss or damage. The hazard risk index is a complex value that takes into

account the hazard probability for a given county, the social vulnerability of the county, and the combined scores from the public health impact, healthcare impact, and behavioral health impact at a state level. The Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources Index (CIKR) has not been calculated and its value is one for all counties; it will be developed in the future. The

| 1. Choose your report: | | 2. Choose your aggregation: | 3. Select your dat |
|------------------------|---|---|--|
| HAZARD RISK INDEX | • | County V Note: Non-Aggregated reports can only select counties. | Alachua County Baker County Bradford County Brevard County Broward County Calhoun County Charlotte County Citrus County |
| | | | Download CSV |

index's scores range from 0-4, where 4 represents the highest risk.

| _ | E3 • (* <i>fi</i> e | | | - |
|----|---|-----------|------------------|----|
| 4 | A | В | С | TE |
| - | A | Charlotte | Citrus | |
| 1 | | County | County | |
| 1 | Air Quality (ozone/pollution | 0.62 | 1.21 | _ |
| 2 | advisories) | | | |
| 3 | Biological Disease Outbreak | 2.48 | <mark>2.4</mark> | |
| 4 | Biological Terrorism - Communicable (including A - B - C | 1.28 | 1.24 | |
| - | Biological Terrorism - Non- | 0.75 | 0.73 | - |
| | Communicable (including A - B - C | 0.75 | 0.73 | |
| 5 | agents) | | | |
| 6 | Chemical Terrorism | 0.61 | 0.59 | |
| 7 | Civil Disorder | 0.23 | 0.23 | - |
| 8 | Communications Failure | 0.53 | 0.51 | _ |
| 9 | Conventional Terrorism | 0.39 | 0.38 | |
| LO | Cyber/Technical Incident | 1.51 | 1.46 | |
| 11 | Dam failure | 0 | 0.01 | |
| 12 | Drought | 0.39 | 0.38 | |
| 13 | Earthquake | 0.46 | 0.44 | |
| 14 | Extreme Cold | 0.54 | 0.52 | |
| 15 | Extreme Heat | 0.32 | 0.31 | |
| 16 | Fires - Large-Scale (not Wild Fire) | 1.45 | 1.41 | |
| 17 | Flood | 0.6 | 0.59 | |
| 18 | Food Borne Disease | 1.11 | 1.07 | |
| 19 | Hailstorm | 0.1 | 0.1 | |
| 20 | Hazardous Materials Incident - Fixed Facility | 0.37 | 0.73 | |
| | Hazardous Materials Incident - | 0.39 | 0.38 | |
| 21 | Transportation | | | |
| 22 | Hurricane/Tropical Storm | 1.52 | 1.47 | |
| 23 | Lightning | 0.2 | 0.19 | |
| .4 | Mass Casualty Incidents | 0.45 | 0.44 | |
| 25 | Mass Population Surge | 0.99 | 0.24 | |



Hazard Risk Index Aggregated

Description: Hazard Risk indexes for multiple counties, region or state are aggregated as an average. Also, the maximum and minimum values are calculated.

Aggregation Levels: County, region, and state.

Data: Aggregates data for multiple counties, one or more regions, and state.

Display / Downloads: Generates a chart downloadable as a JPG file, and a report exportable as a CSV file.

Interpretation: The aggregated indexes represent the likelihood that a given hazard will cause a certain level of loss or damage in the selected jurisdictions. The aggregated index scores range from 0-24, where 24 represents the highest risk average.





Incomplete Capability Assessment

Description: The values in this report identify counties that have yet to complete portions of their capability assessment.

Aggregation Levels: County.

Data: Displays non-aggregated data for one, multiple, or all counties.

Display / Downloads: Generates a CSV file.

| 1. Choose your report: | 2. Choose your aggregation: | 3. Select your data: |
|------------------------------------|--|---|
| INCOMPLETE CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT • | County Note: Non-Aggregated reports can only select counties. | Alachua County Baker County Bradford County Brevard County Broward County Calhoun County Charlotte County |

Incomplete Resource Assessment

Description: The values in this report identify counties that have yet to complete portions of their resource assessment.

Aggregation Levels: County.

Data: Displays non-aggregated data for one, multiple, or all counties.

Display / Downloads: Generates a CSV file.

| 1. Choose your report: | 2. Choose your aggregation: | 3. Select your data: |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| INCOMPLETE RESOURCE ASSESSMENT | County County Note: Non-Aggregated reports can only select counties. | Alachua County Baker County Bay County Bradford County Brevard County Broward County Calhoun County Charlotte County |



Probability

Description: The values in this report represent hazard probability scores for counties.

Aggregation Levels: County.

Data: Displays non-aggregated data for one, multiple, or all counties.

Display / Downloads: Generates a CSV file.

Interpretation: Hazard probability is a quantitative description of the likely occurrence of a particular event represented by the percent chance something will occur. This is also known as likelihood of occurrence. It is important for all users to understand not all events lend themselves to frequencies (e.g. terrorism) so subject matter experts used proxies. For example, subject matter experts identified other types of funding that were provided for different threat assessments, and that information was used to determine the likelihood of occurrence. Frequency scores can be modified by the jurisdiction, with documentation to support these changes. Frequencies were converted to the Likert scale (0-5) below. Hazards with a real probability of 0 (zero) based on historical events are scaled to 0.5-5 because a real score of 0 (zero) will cause errors in the subsequent equations.

| Choose your report: | 2. (| Choose you | r aggreg <u>ati</u> | on: | 3. Select your data: |
|--|------|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---|
| PROBABILITY • | Not | unty 💌 e: Non-Aggre nties. | egated repor | ts can only sele | Alachua County Baker County Bay County Bradford County Brevard County Broward County |
| | | Alachua County | Broward County | Charlotte County | Calhoun County |
| Air Quality (ozone/pollution advisories) | | 2 | 1 | 0.5 | |
| Biological Disease Outbreak | | 1 | 1 | | Charlotte County 🚽 👻 |
| Biological Terrorism - Communicable (including A - B - C age | nts) | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | |
| Biological Terrorism - Non-Communicable (including A - B - | | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | Download CSV |
| Chemical Terrorism | | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | |
| Civil Disorder | | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | |
| Communications Failure | | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| Conventional Terrorism | | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | |
| Cyber/Technical Incident | | 5 | 5 | 5 | |
| Dam failure | | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | |
| Drought | | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | |
| Earthquake | | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | |
| Extreme Cold | | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Extreme Heat | | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | |
| Fires - Large-Scale (not Wild Fire) | | 5 | 5 | 5 | |
| Flood | | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Food Borne Disease | | 1 | 2 | 1 | |
| Hailstorm | | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Hazardous Materials Incident - Fixed Facility | | 1 | 3 | 1 | |
| Hazardous Materials Incident - Transportation | | 1 | 3 | 1 | |



Probability Aggregated

Description: The values in this report represent average hazard probability scores for selected jurisdictions. This report also provides the maximum and minimum values.

Aggregation Levels: County, region, and state.

Data: Aggregates data for multiple counties, one or more regions, and state.

Display / Downloads: Generates a chart downloadable as a JPG file, and a report exportable as a CSV file.

Interpretation: Hazard probability is a quantitative description of the likely occurrence of a particular event represented by the percent chance something will occur. This is also known as likelihood of occurrence. It is important for all users to understand not all events lend themselves to frequencies (e.g. terrorism) so subject matter experts used proxies. For example, subject matter experts identified other types of funding that were provided for different threat assessments, and that information was used to determine the likelihood of occurrence. Frequency scores can be modified by the jurisdiction, with documentation to support these changes. Frequencies were converted to the Likert scale (0-5) below. Hazards with a real probability of 0 (zero) based on historical events are scaled to 0.5-5 because a real score of 0 (zero) will cause errors in the subsequent equations.

| L Choose your report: | 2. Choose your aggregation: | 3. Select your data: |
|---|--|--|
| PROBABILITY AGGREGATED • | State Note: Non-Aggregated reports can only select counties. | Alachua County Baker County Bay County Bradford County |
| Probability Selection | / Aggregated Data: State | Brevard County Broward County Calhoun County Charlotte County |
| 6 | | Generate Report |
| Itarardona (Aderciala incident - Transportation Prodomic utilities Communic utilities Communic utilities Communic utilities Communic utilities Communic utilities Communic utilities Communic utilities Communic utilities Communic utilities (Adercy Communic utilities Communic utilities Scover Taillue Unition Mant Population Serge Trans Lungs Scole (not Wild Tray Inter Communic Utilities) Communic Utilities Communic Utilities Commu | Connectional Tecretian Wahatam Belogical Disease cathevals. Belogical Disease cathevals Incuration Razardona yaterististicades - Tiped Tacility Razardona yaterististicades - Tiped Tacility degical Terretian Goaled ogical Dispensal Device) Water Stepply Contanination - environmental | |



Residual Risk

Description: The values in this report represent the residual (remaining) risk after accounting for all capabilities, resources, resilience, and CIKR.

Aggregation Levels: County.

Data: Displays non-aggregated data for one, multiple, or all counties.

Display / Downloads: Generates a CSV file.

Interpretation: Higher scores indicate that even after accounting for resources and capabilities, said jurisdiction still has elevated risk from "x" hazard event

Residual risk scores incorporate both pre-populated information and information provided by jurisdictions. The residual risk score incorporated the mitigation factors present in jurisdictions and is represented by the CDC PHP Capabilities Assessment and resources scores.

Residual Risk = (Hazard Probability * Severity of Consequences) / Mitigation

Severity of Consequences

Severity of consequences factors the hazard vulnerability and impact on health, both pre-populated elements of the FPHRAT.

Severity of Consequences = Hazard Vulnerability × Impact on Health

Mitigation

Mitigation is the sum of three elements: Capability to Respond, Available Resources, Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources, and Community Resilience. Theoretically, the score can range from 4 to 16.

Mitigation = Capability Preparedness Index + Available Resources + CIKR + Community Resilience

| 1. Choose your report: | 2. Choose your aggregation: | 3. Select your data: |
|------------------------|---|--|
| RESIDUAL RISK | County Note: Non-Aggregated reports can only select counties. | Osceola County Palm Beach County Pasco County Pinellas County Polk County Putnam County Santa Rosa County Sarasota County |



| | Polk County | Putnam County |
|--|-------------|---------------|
| Air Quality (ozone/pollution advisories) | 4.15 | 1.94 |
| Biological Disease Outbreak | 6.73 | 5.97 |
| Biological Terrorism - Communicable (including A - B - C agents) | 3.38 | 3.04 |
| Biological Terrorism - Non-Communicable (including A - B - C agents) | 2.42 | 2.2 |
| Chemical Terrorism | 1.71 | 1.96 |
| Civil Disorder | 1.18 | 1.35 |
| Communications Failure | 4.12 | 4.7 |
| Conventional Terrorism | 1.44 | 1.61 |
| Cyber/Technical Incident | 12.83 | 11.98 |
| Dam failure | 1.07 | 0.96 |
| Drought | 1.51 | 1.54 |
| Earthquake | 1.91 | 1.71 |
| Extreme Cold | 2.44 | 2.74 |
| Extreme Heat | 2.87 | 1.47 |
| Fires - Large-Scale (not Wild Fire) | 10.62 | 11.85 |
| Flood | 2.62 | 2.89 |
| Food Borne Disease | 3.29 | 3.7 |
| Hailstorm | 2.3 | 2.08 |



Residual Risk Aggregated

Description: The values in this report represent the average residual (remaining) risk after accounting for all capabilities, resources, resilience, and CIKR for selected jurisdictions. This report also provides the maximum and minimum values.

Aggregation Levels: County, region, and state.

Data: Aggregates data for multiple counties, one or more regions, and state.

Display / Downloads: Generates a chart downloadable as a JPG file, and a report exportable as a CSV file.

Interpretation: Higher scores indicate that even after accounting for resources and capabilities, said jurisdiction still has elevated risk from "x" hazard event

| 1. Choose your report: RESIDUAL RISK AGGREGATED | 2. Choose yo Region ▼ Note: Non-Ago counties. | | | only select | 3. Select your data: Region 1 Region 2 Region 3 Region 4 Region 5 |
|--|--|---------|------|-------------|--|
| Hazard | | Average | Min | Мах | Region 6 |
| Air Quality (ozone/pollution advisories) | | 3.24 | 1.73 | 5.45 | Region 7 |
| Biological Disease Outbreak | | 4.91 | 2.65 | 7.53 | |
| Biological Terrorism - Communicable (including A - B - | C agents) | 2.66 | 1.43 | 3.86 | Generate Report |
| Biological Terrorism - Non-Communicable (including A | - B - C agents) | 1.98 | 1.12 | 2.79 | |
| Chemical Terrorism | | 1.84 | 0.98 | 2.58 | |
| Civil Disorder | | 1.39 | 0.65 | 2.89 | |
| Communications Failure | | 3.94 | 2.36 | 6.23 | |
| Conventional Terrorism | | 1.53 | 0.90 | 2.05 | |
| Cyber/Technical Incident | | 10.61 | 7.26 | 15.79 | |
| Dam failure | | 0.84 | 0.55 | 1.38 | |
| Drought | | 1.79 | 0.91 | 3.36 | |



Resource Gap (resource readiness gap)

Description: The values in this report represent the relationship between each hazard's risk index and the resources needed to address the hazard (represented by the resource assessment score).

Aggregation Levels: County.

Data: Displays nonaggregated data for one, multiple, or all counties.

Display / Downloads: Generates a CSV file.

Interpretation: In addition to the resources available, the resource gap represents the resources needed to counteract a hazard's risk. In order to calculate the resource gap, it is necessary to look at the resource assessment in relation to the hazard's risk (this relationship is called Resource score in proportion of hazard risk index). Subsequently, the resource gap is calculated subtracting the hazard risk index minus the "Resource Score in Proportion of Hazard Risk Index".

| gregated Reports. | | * | | C4 • f _x | | |
|-----------------------|--|---|------|---|----------------|--|
| | | | 1 | А | В | |
| . Choose your report: | 2. Choose your aggregation: | 3. Select your data: | | | Charlotte | |
| ESOURCE GAP | County Note: Non-Aggregated reports can only select | Bradford County Brevard County Broward County | 1 | Air Quality (ozone/pollution advisories) | County 0.62 | |
| | counties. | Calhoun County Charlotte County Citrus County | 3 | Biological Disease Outbreak | 2.48 | |
| | | Clay County | | Biological Terrorism - Communicable | 1.28 | |
| | | Columbia County - | | (including A - B - C agents) | | |
| | | Download CSV | | Biological Terrorism - Non- | 0.75 | |
| | | Download CSV | | Communicable (including A - B - C Chemical Terrorism | 0.64 | |
| | al a company and a company and a company | | 6 | Chemical Terrorism | 0.61 | |
| | | | 1.22 | Civil Disorder | 0.23 | |
| | | | 8 | Communications Failure | 0.53 | |
| | | | 9 | Conventional Terrorism | 0.39 | |
| | | | 10 | Cyber/Technical Incident | 1.51 | |
| | | : | 11 | Dam failure | 0.00 | |
| | | | 12 | Drought | 0.39 | |
| | | : | 13 | Earthquake | 0.46 | |
| | | | 14 | Extreme Cold | 0.54 | |
| | | | 15 | Extreme Heat | 0.32 | |
| | | | 16 | Fires - Large-Scale (not Wild Fire) | 1.45 | |
| | | | 17 | Flood | 0.6 | |



Resource Gap Aggregated

Description: Average of the resource score in proportion of the hazard risk index for the selected jurisdictions. This report also provides the maximum and minimum values.

Aggregation Levels: County, region, and state.

Data: Aggregates data for multiple counties, one or more regions, and state.

Display / Downloads: Generates a chart downloadable as a JPG file, and a report exportable as a CSV file.

Interpretation: Average value of the resource assessment in relation to the hazard's risk (this relationship is called Resource Score in Proportion of Hazard Risk Index).





Resources Worksheet

Description: This report displays the scores entered into the Resources Worksheet. Each jurisdiction assigned a "Needed Resource Score" to each of the 36 hazards. This data is not aggregated but allows displaying data to compare counties.

Aggregation Levels: County.

Data: Displays non-aggregated data for one, multiple or all counties.

Display / Downloads: Generates a CSV file.

Interpretation: Assessment of the status of the resources needed to respond to each hazard. The scores are as follows: Fully in place: 76%-100% of needed resources accessible (4 points), substantially in place: 51%-75% of needed resources accessible (3 points), partially in place: 25%-50% of needed resources accessible (2 points), and less than partially in place: less than 25% of needed resources accessible (1 point).

| ggregated Repo | orts. | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| 1. Choose your report: | | 2. Choose | your aggregation: | 3. Select your data: |
| RESOURCES WORKSHEET | × | County V Note: Non-A counties. | ggregated reports can only select | St. Johns County St. Lucie County Sumter County Union County Volusia County Walton County |
| I III IIII → CI → I ÷ RESOU | | _ | | Walton County |
| A1 • | fx | VIEW ACI | | |
| A | В | C | | |
| 1 | Taylor Coun | tv | | |
| 2 Air Quality (ozone/pollu | iti 1 | | | |
| 3 Biological Disease Outb | | | | |
| 4 Biological Terrorism - C | or 1 | | | |
| 5 Biological Terrorism - N | | | | |
| 6 Chemical Terrorism | 1 | | | |
| 7 Civil Disorder | 1 | | | |
| 8 Communications Failur | e 1 | | | |
| 9 Conventional Terrorism | 1 | | | |
| 0 Cyber/Technical Incide | nt 1 | | | |
| 11 Dam failure | 1 | | | |
| 12 Drought | 1 | | | |
| 13 Earthquake | 1 | | | |
| 14 Extreme Cold | 1 | | | |
| 15 Extreme Heat | 1 | | | |
| 6 Fires - Large-Scale (not | W 1 | | | |
| 17 Flood | 1 | | | |
| 18 Food Borne Disease | 1 | | | |
| 19 Hailstorm | 1 | | | |
| 20 Hazardous Materials In | cic 1 | | | |
| 1 Hazardous Materials In | cic 1 | | | |
| 2 Hurricane/Tropical Stor | m 1 | | | |
| 23 Lightning | 1 | | | |
| 4 Mass Casualty Incident | s 1 | | | |
| 25 Mass Population Surge | 1 | | | |
| 26 Nuclear Attack | 1 | | | |



Resources Worksheet Aggregated

Description: Average of the Resources Worksheet scores entered in the worksheet for a selected group of jurisdictions.

Aggregation Levels: County, region, and state

Data: Aggregates data for multiple counties, one or more regions, and state.

Display / Downloads: Generates a chart downloadable as a JPG file, and a report exportable as a CSV file.

Interpretation: Average value of the *Needed Resource Scores* entered in the Resources Worksheet by jurisdictions. In this report, the values are interpreted as the *Average Resource Status Assessment*.

| 1. Choose your report: | 2. Choose your aggregation: | 3. Select your data: | | | |
|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| RESOURCES WORKSHEET AGGREGATED | | St. Johns County St. Lucie County | | | |
| | | Generate Report | | | |
| Main Menu | | Resources Worksheet: 1 | | isia County - Wakulla County - Walto | |
| | R | esource Status Assessment ID | Average Resource Status Assessment | Minimum Resource Status Assessment | Maximum Resource Status Assessment |
| | Resourc Air Q | uality (ozone/pollution advisories) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Selection E | lata: Taylor County - Union County Biolog | gical Disease Outbreak | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Average 🦰 Mininimum 📕 Maximum | | gical Terrorism - Communicable ding A - B - C agents) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1.2 | | gical Terrorism - Non-Communicable ding A - B - C agents) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | Chem | nical Terrorism | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1+1000000000 | Civil E | Disorder | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | Comr | nunications Failure | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 0.8 | Conve | entional Terrorism | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 0.6 | Cyber | r/Technical Incident | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | Dam | failure | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 0.4 | Droug | ght | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | Earth | quake | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 0.2 | Extrem | me Cold | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 0 | Extrem | me Heat | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | Fires | - Large-Scale (not Wild Fire) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| on adrisorie euse Outbres - B - Cagenti - B - Cagenti - Call Disords (cal Terroris and Terroris and Terroris and Terroris | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| sae'palitaina adrivation) diagta Distones Calteradi, diagta Distones Calteradi, distabata A. F. C. Sapara) Inchanda, A. F. C. Sapara, C. C. Sapara, Sapara, C. Carradiana Terrationa Calencia Terrationa | | Borne Disease | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | e Hailst | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| sulty (scare) pullation of hirder(s) induction and the contrast induction and the contrast induction and the contrast contrast interval communic contrast interval contrast interval | Hazar | rdous Materials Incident - Fixed Facility rdous Materials Incident - portation | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 3 1 1 | | cane/Tropical Storm | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| ~ 8 8 | Light | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | | Casualty Incidents | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | | Population Surge | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | | Population Surge | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | | emic Influenza | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |



Risk Assessment

Description: Displays the Risk Assessment Matrix.

Aggregation Levels: County.

Data: Displays non-aggregated data for one, multiple or all counties.

Display / Downloads: Matrix is downloadable as a CSV file.

Interpretation: Each component of the Risk Assessment Matrix is described in Attachment 1.

| 1. Choose your | report: | 2. Cł | noose your aggre | gation: | 3. 9 | Select your dat | a: | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|-------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| RISK ASSESSMEN | NT | Coun | ty 💌 | | Gul | f County | • | | | | |
| | | Note: counti | Non-Aggregated rej ies. | ports can onl <u>i</u> | y select Ge | enerate Repo | rt | | | | |
| te: Click on each colu ou see no data in the | e risk assessment ta | ble please first veri | fy that you have ent | ered the data | | | | | | | |
| ou see no data in the ou have entered all c more information: <u>F</u> | e risk assessment ta apability and all res Risk Assessment Exp Probability Score | ble please first veri cources data and st planation Social Vulnerability Index | y that you have ent ill see no result here Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources | ered the data please <u>cont</u> Public Health Impact Score | tact tool adminis Healthcare Impact Score | Behavioral Impact Score | Hazard Risk Index Score (0-24) | Capabilities Index Score (1-4) | Resources Index Score (1-4) | Community Resilience Score (1-4) | Residual Risk Index Score |
| ou see no data in the | e risk assessment ta capability and all res Risk Assessment Exp Probability | ble please first veri cources data and st <u>planation</u> Social Vulnerability | fy that you have ent ill see no result here Critical Infrastructure and Key | ered the data , please <u>cont</u> Public Health Impact | act tool adminis Healthcare Impact | Behavioral Impact | Risk Index | Index | Index | Resilience | Risk Index |



SoVI BRIC MEDVI

Description: Displays the Social Vulnerability (SoVI®), Medical Vulnerability M(MedVI), and Baseline Resilience Indicators (BRIC) scores for selected county.

Aggregation Levels: County.

Data: Displays non-aggregated data for one, multiple or all counties.

Display / Downloads: Matrix is downloadable as a CSV file.

Interpretation: SoVI, MedVI, and BRIC scores.

| SIDUAL RISK | | • | County 🔻 | | Duval County |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| JUDAL RIJK | | · · | County * | | Escambia County |
| | | | Note: Non-Age | gregated reports can only select | Flagler County |
| | | | counties. | | Franklin County |
| | | | | | Gadsden County |
| | | | | | Gilchrist County |
| | | | | | Gladaa Countu |
| | 1 | | | | Glades County |
| | So∨l | Med∨l | BRIC | | Glades County Gulf County |
| Flagler County | So√I 2.6 | | | | |
| Flagler County Franklin County | | 2.65 | 2.91 | | Gulf County |



SoVI BRIC MEDVI Aggregated

Description: Average of the Social Vulnerability (SoVI®), Medical Vulnerability M(MedVI), and Baseline Resilience Indicators (BRIC) scores for selected county. For a selected group of jurisdictions.

Aggregation Levels: County, region, and state

Data: Aggregates data for multiple counties, one or more regions, and state.

Display / Downloads: Generates a chart downloadable as a JPG file, and a report exportable as a CSV file.



Interpretation: Average value of the SoVI, MedVI, and BRIC Scores by jurisdictions.



Attachment 1. Risk Assessment Explanation

The risk assessment sheet provides a summary of the many pieces of the Public Health Risk Assessment Tool. Each of these elements is described here:

Hazard

Name of the hazard for which planning must account for.

Probability Score (0-5)

Hazard probability is a quantitative description of the likely occurrence of a particular event represented by the percent chance something will occur. This is also known as likelihood of occurrence. It is important for all users to understand not all events lend themselves to frequencies (e.g. terrorism) so subject matter experts used proxies. For example, subject matter experts identified of other types of funding that are provided for different threat assessments was used by to determine the likelihood of occurrence. Frequency scores can be modified by the jurisdiction, with documentation to support these changes. Frequencies were converted to the Likert scale (0-5) below:

5- Almost Certain: Is expected to occur in most circumstances; and /or high level of recorded incidents and/or strong anecdotal evidence and/or strong likelihood the event will recur; and or great opportunity, reason, or means to occur; has a 90% chance or greater of occurring once in a given year; may occur one or more times annually.

4- Likely: Will probably occur in most circumstances; and/or regular recorded incidents and strong anecdotal evidence; and/or considerable opportunity, reason, or means to occur; has a 50% - 89% chance of occurring once in a given year; may occur once every 2 years.

3- Possible: Might occur at some time; and/or few, infrequent, random recorded incidents or little anecdotal evidence; and/or very few incidents in associated or comparable organizations, facilities, or communities; and/or some opportunity, reason, or means to occur; has a 14% - 49% chance of occurring in a given year; may occur once every 3-7 years.

2- Unlikely: Is not expected to occur; and/or very few recorded incidents or anecdotal evidence; and/or no recent incidents in associated organizations, facilities, or communities; and/or little opportunity, reason, or means to occur; has a 4% - 13% chance of occurring in a given year; may occur once every 8-25 years.

1- Rare: May occur only in exceptional circumstances; has a less than 4% chance of occurring in a given year; may occur once every 26 years or more.

0- Not Possible: There is a zero or near zero chance the hazard will occur in the jurisdiction.

Social Vulnerability Index (1-4)

A Jurisdiction's Social Vulnerability is its score from the Social Vulnerability Index (SoVI®) (Cutter et al. 2003). SoVI® scores in Florida range from -7.42 (Monroe County) to + 6.61 (Glades County). The SoVI® index is compiled across the United States and incorporates sociometric variables that impact the ability of a community to prepare and respond to disasters- details can be found on their website: <u>www.sovius.org</u>. In the



FPHRAT SoVI® scores were converted to a number in the range of 0 to 4 where 0 is low vulnerability and 4 is high vulnerability.

Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources (1-2)

Currently there is insufficient data calculate the Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources (CIKR). Therefore, in the FPHRAT, all values are set to one (1).

Impact

Impact on health was calculated using pre-populated information and is the sum of the *Public Health Impact*, *Healthcare Impact*, and *Behavioral Health Impact*. Scores can range from 0 to 12. This score is calculated for each of the 36 hazards and is constant for all counties.

a. Public Health Impact (PHI) Score (1-4)

PHI is calculated from the harmonic mean for each Indicator. These means were converted to normalized means on a scale of 0 to 4. These values were then summed and divided by the y-intercept.

Equation of PHI for PHRAT

$$Public Health Impact = (\sum IMR + PE + PS + PRI + PRMC + PHRCI + PRMD + PRLHTM + PWTFE + PFSEE + PILSTT) / \frac{1}{Y Intercept} or 10.015$$

Where:

(IMR) - Incident Mortality Rate (per 1000)

(PS) – Number of people requiring a public shelter (per 1000)

(PE) – Number of people evacuating (per 1000)

(PSIP) - Number of people sheltering in place (per 1000)

(*PRI*) - Number of people requiring immunization (per 1000)

(PRMC) - Number of people requiring medical countermeasures (per 1000)

(PHRCI) - Number of health-related case investigations (per 1000)

(PRMD) - Number of people requiring mass decontamination (per 1000)

(PRLTHM) - Number of people requiring long-term health monitoring (per 1000)

(PWTFE) - Percentage of water treatment facilities affected (%)

(PFSEE) - Percentage of food service establishments affected (%)

(PILSTT) - Increase in clinical laboratory samples for testing (%)



y-intercept - Δy *is* 4 (4-0) and Δx *is* the maximum value of x (43.93) minus the minimum value of x (0). In this case slope (m) is less than 1 (m=0.09985) leading to a re-arrangement of the formula y=mx using the reciprocal to y=x/(1/m) leading to a final equation y=x/10.015 (1/m=1/0.09985=10.015).

b. Healthcare Impact (HI) Score (1-4)

HI is a weighted composite score based on normalized harmonic means for the following survey derived impact data. Individual hazard impact indicators are weighted based on scheme developed by the City of Houston Department of Health and Human Services.

 $Healthcare Impact = \frac{(IMR * 0.4) + (HR * 0.3) + (IOTC * .05) + (PD * 0.1) + (MD * 0.1) + (ICLT * .05)}{slope or 1.6618075785}$

Where:

(*IRM*) = Standardized (0-4) Incident Morbidity Rate (Number of people becoming ill or injured) (per 1000)

(HR) = Standardized (0-4) Hospitalization Rate (Number of people requiring hospitalization) (per 1000)

(IOTC) = Standardized (0-4) Increase in OTC/Pharmacy Purchases (%)

(*PD*) = Standardized (0-4) Number of people requiring dialysis stations due to hazard (per 1000)

(*MD*) = Standardized (0-4) Number of people requiring mass decontamination (per 1000)

(ICLT) = Standardized (0-4) Increase in clinical laboratory samples for testing (%)

Slope - *is* 4 (4-0) and Δx *is* the maximum value of x (3.43) minus the minimum value of x (0). In this case slope (m) since slope is > 1 (m=1.166187058) no transformation using y-intercept was utilized.

c. Behavioral Impact (BI) Score (1-4)

BI is a composite score based on the harmonic means for the following survey derived impact data.

Behavioral Impact = PRPB + PRMMPM + CMHA + PRI + PRLSAP

Where:

(PRPB) - Standardized (0-4) number of people requiring psychiatric beds. *(PRMMPM)* - Standardized (0-4) number of people requiring methadone maintenance or psychotropic medications.

(CMHA) - Standardized (0-4) number of call to local mental health authority.

(PRI) - Standardized (0-4) number of people requiring intervention.



(*PRLSAP*) - Standardized (0-4) number of people requiring a licensed substance abuse provider.

Hazard Risk Index Score (0-24)

The hazard risk index score is based on data pre-populated in the FPHRAT.

Hazard Risk Index =

Probability score * Vulnerability score * CIKR score $* \sum (PHI + HI + BI)/10$

Theoretical values for hazard risk can be as high as 48 ([$(5 \times 4 \times 2 \times (4 + 4 + 4))/10$] = [480/10] = 48). In version 1.1 of FPHRAT the CIKR is fixed at 1 so the maximum score is cut in half and is 24.

Capabilities Index Score (1-4)

Scores for the capability preparedness index can range from 1 to 4 and are based on a series of calculations as described below.

4= Full ability / capability:

All of the tasks associated with this function can be performed even if continued resources may be required to sustain this level of performance. Evidence is readily available documenting the ability to perform this function.

3= Substantial ability / capability:

Most of the tasks associated with this function can be performed but a few program gaps or challenges remain. These remaining gaps are minor in nature and there is a resource plan developed to fill these gaps. The ability to perform this function is well established and stable.

2= Partially ability / capability:

Some of the tasks associated with this function can be performed but important program gaps or challenges remain. Remaining program gap areas are identified and a resource plan to fill these gaps is developed but not yet fully implemented

1= Limited or no ability / capability:

No or only preliminary efforts and plans are underway for this function. Required activities related to this function are identified and an action plan may be developed. Few, if any, of the tasks associated with this function can be performed. less than Capable: less than 25% of needed resources accessible.

CDC Capabilities are composed of 15 general preparedness categories, each containing several functions. The tool accepts user input to evaluate these capabilities at the function level. The capabilities and their functions are then weighted according to relevance to each of 36 hazards and evaluated as described below.

Function Assessments, C_iF_j = function scores for each capability, where capability number i = 1 to 15 and function number j = 1 up to 6 (7 for healthcare capabilities). These are a measure of how well each capability function can be performed, without considering the hazard that initiated the response.



Jurisdiction's Assessment Score for Capabilities is scored using CDC Public Health Preparedness Capabilities Assessment, defined as follows:

5=Full Ability/Capability

4=Significant Ability/Capability

3=Some Ability/Capability

2=Limited Ability/Capability

1= No Ability/Capability

Capability Status, $C_iS(\sum_{j=1}^{j \le 6} C_iF_j)/j$ = Average of function assessments for each capability.

Function involvement, $C_i I_j = 0$ for not involved or 1 for involved. This is a prepopulated yes/no evaluation of each capability function's relevance to a hazard response.

Relative Intensity of Engagement, $C_i E = 0$ to 4 for each capability and hazard. Another measure of public health relevance of a capability to a hazard response, defined as follows

0 = very limited intensity level of this Public health preparedness capability engagement for this hazard

- 1 = Low intensity level
- 2 = Moderate intensity level
- 3 = High and intensity level
- 4 = Extreme intensity level

Capability Hazard Component is the sum of Relative Intensity Engagement and *Average Function Involvement*,

Average Function Involvement, $C_iH_k = CiE + ((\sum_{l=1}^{J \le 6} C_iF_j)/j)$

This is an overall measure of the relevance of a capability to a hazard response; i.e., fatality management is more relevant to nuclear attack than air quality. 0 to 5 for each capability, Ci and each hazard, H k.

Each capability is evaluated for:

Hazard Risk Weighting, $Qi = \sum \frac{37}{k=1} C_i H_k X Rk$ is the *Hazard Risk Index* for each hazard; this pushes the following scores into the hundreds due to summing 36 hazard elements.

Hazard Risk Weighted Capability Goal = 5Q*i*. Maximum weighted score. Used on the Capability Gap Chart.

Hazard Risk Weighted Capability Assessment = $C_iH_k X Q_i$ *Capability Hazard Component* weighted score. Used on the Capability Gap Chart.



Hazard Component Weighted Capability Assessment is the Capability Status divided by the goal of 5 points. It is not displayed but is a Capability Gap Graph sort option.

Each hazard is evaluated for:

Preparedness %. The sum of the product of *Capability Hazard Component* and *Capability Status* for each capability divided by the sum of the Capability Hazard Components for each capability multiplied by the goal of 4 points.

In equation form: PrepPct = $\sum_{i=1}^{15} CiHk * CiS / \sum_{i=1}^{15} 5CiHk$

This is the ratio of capability to goal for each hazard weighted for relevance expressed as a percentage. **Capabilities Preparedness Index** is the above percentage normalized for the 1 to 4 scale. This is the score that is presented on the risk assessment sheet as part of mitigation.

Resources Index Score (1-4)

Public Health resources available to respond are scored by hazard by each jurisdiction and entered into the tool. Scores range from 1 to 4 and are defined as follows:

4= Fully in place: 76%-100% of needed resources accessible

3= Substantially in place: 51%-75% of needed resources accessible

2= Partially in place-25%: 50% of needed resources accessible

1= Less than Partially in place: less than 25% of needed resources accessible

Community Resilience Score (1-4)

Community Resilience was pre-populated and is based on the Baseline Resilience Indicators for Counties (BRIC) created by the Hazards and Vulnerability Research Institute (Cutter et al, 2010). Community resilience scores range from a low of 1.75 (Glades County) to a high of 2.03 (Pinellas County). Resilience scores were normalized between 1 - 4 for computational purposes. Score development is a composite of indicators characterizing the following categories affecting community resilience to disasters:

- 1. Social Resilience
- 2. Economic Resilience
- 3. Institutional Resilience
- 4. Infrastructure Resilience
- 5. Community Capital



Residual Risk Index

Residual risk scores incorporate both pre-populated information and information provided by jurisdictions. The residual risk score incorporated the mitigation factors present in jurisdictions and is represented by the CDC PHP Capabilities Assessment and resources scores.

Residual Risk = (Hazard Probability * Severity of Consequences) / Mitigation

Severity of Consequences

Severity of consequences factors the population vulnerability and impact on health, both pre-populated elements of the FPHRAT.

Severity of Consequences = Population Vulnerability × Impact on Health

Mitigation

Mitigation is the sum of three elements: Capability to Respond, Available Resources, and Community Resilience. Theoretically, the score can range from 3 to 12.

Mitigation = Capability Preparedness Index + Available Resources + Community Resilience